

LTD-17 Presentation 1598297

## Fabrication of Ultrasensitive Transition Edge Sensor **Bolometric Detectors for HIRMES**



Ari-David Brown<sup>1,\*</sup>, Regis Brekosky<sup>1,2</sup>, David Franz<sup>1</sup>, Wen-Ting Hsieh<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Kutyrev<sup>1,3</sup>, Vilem Mikula<sup>1,4</sup>, Timothy Miller<sup>1</sup>, S. Harvey Moseley<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Oxborrow<sup>1,5</sup>, Karwan Rostem<sup>1,6</sup>, Edward Wollack<sup>1</sup>

1. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771 USA; 2. Stinger Ghaffarian Technologies, 7515 Mission Drive, Suite 300, Seabrook, MD 20706 USA; 3. Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742 USA; 4. Institute for Astrophysics and Computational Science, Catholic University of America, 620 Michigan Ave N.E., Washington, DC 20064 USA; 5. Scientific and Biomedical Microsystems, 806 Cromwell Park Drive Suite R, Glen Burnie, MD 21061 USA; 6. Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St, Baltimore, MD 21218 USA

The high resolution mid-infrared spectrometer (HIRMES) is a high resolving power (R~100,000) instrument operating in the 25-122 µm spectral range and will fly on board the Stratospheric Observatory for Far-Infrared Astronomy (SOFIA) in 2019. Central to HIRMES are its two transition edge sensor (TES) bolometric cameras, an 8x16 detector high resolution array and a 64x16 detector low resolution array. Both types of detectors consist of Mo/Au TES fabricated on leg-isolated Si membranes. Whereas the high resolution detectors, with a noise equivalent power (NEP)~2  $aW/\sqrt{Hz}$ , are fabricated on 0.45  $\mu$ m Si substrates, the low resolution detectors, with NEP~10  $aW/\sqrt{Hz}$ , are fabricated on 1.40  $\mu$ m Si. Here we discuss the similarities and differences in the fabrication methodologies used to realize the two types of detectors.

**Generic Fabrication Process for TES Bolometric Detectors: Starting with a Silicon-on-Insulator Wafer** 



High Resolution Detector Array: Si Device Layer =  $0.45 \mu m - Mechanical Yield > 70\%$ 

generic the of Use fabrication process results in low pixel yield due to breaking of the silicon thermal isolation



## Low Resolution Detector Array: Si Device Layer = $1.4 \mu m - Mechanical Yield > 99\%$





We believe that capillary pressure is partially responsible for leg breakage.

The capillary pressure is proportional to  $\gamma/r$ , where  $\gamma$  is the surface tension and *r* is the distance between the (sapphire backing wafer and Si) surfaces was reduced by:

- Increasing *r* by fabricating SU-8 "rails" on the sapphire wafer.
- Decreasing  $\gamma$  (to zero) by drying the parts in a critical point dryer once the wax is dissolved.



R.										4					1	8	R	3	10	8			1 1	E	R	E	E							
21			71												-	3	R		8	E		1	8	8	1	R	¢.							
3	1									1					-	8	5	5	8	R			1	E	B	B	E.			-L		1000		
2	8	ŝ	Alle Hoy (H.) av Hand Tank (H.) av KNI MARK (L) HE H				al di seconda di secon			4					1	E	T	3	8	E			1	E	B	R	E	÷		and the second s				
8	9	9	2		1	-						2			-	- 1	E	8		2	1			E	E	B	E	+	-	-1		-	-	-
1	1		F		1	1				4					-	B	2	1	8	1	6	1	8	B	E	B	2	E	1	m	m	E	E.	
E	1	6	E:		1					4					8	81		- 2		R	1		8	5	13	38	K	2	81	13	81	E	E	
-	4	-	-		1	-				4					-	E		2	8		9	-	8	2	R	8	E	2	E.	-	-	12	5	
-	1	-			1	-										E				2		-		R	8	B	R	8	8	-	R	E	E.	5
-	1		-							4						E			8	8		-		2	-	R	R	1	8.	-	R	E	2	RE
										4																	3				-	-		UII O
																								R.			1							SL
1	1																								-	-	20		E.		-1			ME
	HIL	IIIIII	IIIIII		1111			RITHU	HILL	INNE		111111		111111	anna				10101															HIR
	μШ	ųππi	min	minn	μШ	, inni	,anni	AUJUR	άπη		Щ		, annin	uiim	ļIIII	11111	IIIIII	minn	IIIIIII	IIIIII	uiin	uuuu				IIIIIII	uuui	minn		minni	anim	ümü		

Micrograph of a 32x16 TES bolometric detector array. *Right*, the pixel area is 1 mm<sup>2</sup> and the Si membrane thickness is 1.40  $\mu$ m. There are four thermal isolation legs which are 15  $\mu$ m wide and 30  $\mu$ m long. Left, a scanning electron micrograph of several of the lifted off 4  $\mu$ m wide Nb leads.

## Conclusions & Future Challenges

As membranes get thinner (for low NEP bolometric applications) risk

The SU-8 "rail" process was vetted on a mechanical model. The mechanical yield was 100% in the absence of bubbles in the wax or other defects.

Without rails, the membranes became adhered to the sapphire substrate and broke.



## of mechanical failure increases.

A process for achieving high mechanical yield on large filling fraction ulletleg-isolated ultrathin Si membranes was demonstrated.

Further developments to increase the yield by adjusting deep reactive etching parameters, in order to prevent wax reflow, are on-going.



This work was supported by a SOFIA Third Generation Instrument Award.

The authors gratefully acknowledge suggestions from Kevin Denis, and cryogenic test support from James Chervenak.

\*ari.d.brown@nasa.gov