1	ACCEPTED MANUSCR	RIPT
2	Article type: REVIEW	
3		
4	Title: Implication of nitri	c oxide (NO) in excess element-induced morphogenic responses
5	of the root system	
6		
7	Zsuzsanna Kolbert ¹	
8	¹ Department of Plant Bio	logy, Faculty of Science and Informatics, University of Szeged,
9	HUNGARY	
10		
11	Postal address:	Department of Plant Biology
12		University of Szeged
13		Közép fasor 52.
14		H-6726 HUNGARY
15		
16	Corresponding Author:	Zsuzsanna Kolbert
17	e-mail:	kolzsu@bio.u-szeged.hu
18	telephone/fax:	+36-62-544-307
19		
20		
21		
22	Running title: NO in exce	ss element-induced SIMR of the root system
23		

1 Abstract

Extremes of metal and non-metal elements in the soils create a stressful environment 2 and plants exposed to sub-lethal abiotic stress conditions show a broad range of morphogenic 3 responses designated as stress-induced morphogenic response (SIMR). Being the first plant 4 organ directly contacting with elevated doses of elements, the root system shows remarkable 5 symptoms and deserves special attention. In the signalling of root SIMR, the involvement of 6 7 phytohormones (especially auxin) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) has been earlier suggested. Emerging evidence supports that nitric oxide (NO) and related molecules (reactive 8 9 nitrogen species, RNS) are integral signals of root system development, and they are active components of heavy metal-induced stress responses as well. Based on these, the main scope 10 of this review is to demonstrate the contribution of NO/RNS to the emergence of excess 11 element-induced root morphogenic responses. The SIMR-like root system of lead-treated 12 13 Arabidopsis thaliana contained elevated NO levels compared to the root not showing SIMR. In NO-deficient *nia1nia2* plants, the degree of selenium-induced root SIMR was, in some 14 15 characteristics altered compared to the wild-type. Moreover, among the molecular elements of SIMR several potential candidates of NO-dependent S-nitrosylation or tyrosine nitration have 16 17 been found using computational prediction. The demonstrated literature data together with 18 own experimental results strongly outline that NO/RNS are regulating signals in the 19 development of root SIMR in case of excess metal and non-metal elements. This also reveals 20 a new role of NO in acclimation emphasizing its importance in defence mechanisms against abiotic stresses. 21

22

23 Keywords: excess element, nitric oxide, stress-induced morphogenic response, root system

24

25 Abbreviations:

26 CK cytokinin; cPTIO 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1-imidazollyl-1-

27 oxy-3-oxide; ET ethylene; H_2O_2 hydrogen peroxide; LR lateral root; NO nitric oxide; PR

28 primary root; RNS reactive nitrogen species; ROS reactive oxygen species; SIMR stress-

29 induced morphogenic response; SNP sodium nitroprusside.

1. Excess element-induced morphogenic responses of the root system: common features induced by different conditions

Due to their cumulative effects and long-term interactions, the inordinate accumulation of 3 different metal (e.g. heavy metals like copper, Cu; cadmium, Cd; lead, Pb) and non-metal 4 (e.g. selenium, Se; bromine, Br) elements in the soils can be a challenge for living organisms, 5 especially for plants. Being sessile organisms, the reorientation of growth is the only option 6 7 for plants to survive e.g. in an environment exposed to excess doses of elements. The 8 common morphological symptoms of this developmental adaptation were determined and 9 their manifestation was named as stress-induced morphogenic responses (SIMR, Potters et al., 10 2007). After a literature survey, it's evident that during excess element-triggered SIMR the 11 main target is the root system, which is not surprising giving the fact that it is the first organ growing in the soil. Therefore this organ is in direct contact with the high doses of elements. 12 13 Furthermore, in case of excessive external supply, the uptake of elements is often accompanied by their disproportionate accumulation in root cells. For the above reasons, roots 14 15 show alterations in their growth and morphology as a part of their SIMR. At cellular level the main symptoms are the blocked cell division in the primary meristem, the inhibited cell 16 17 elongation, the induced pericycle cell division and the altered cell differentiation (Potters et al., 2007). Blocked cell division and intensified cell differentiation could be supported by 18 molecular data in the primary root (PR) meristem of Arabidopsis treated with the metal 19 20 element chromium (in the form of dichromate salt). In these roots, the expression of the mitotic marker CycB1;1 gene was decreased and the expression of cell differentiation marker 21 (Exp7:uidA) appeared closer to the meristem (Castro et al., 2007). As a result of the 22 counteracting growth inhibition and activation mechanisms, the root system showing SIMR 23 phenotype is generally shorter but contains more lateral root (LR) compared with control 24 roots. These main symptoms of SIMR were observed in case of several elements and 25 26 numerous plant species (Table 1) indicating that the disturbance of element homeostasis is an effective inducer of root growth alterations. Among essential microelements, the effect of 27 28 copper is well documented. For example, in Arabidopsis grown and treated with Cu in agar, the PR shortening was accompanied by the reduction of the mitotic index and the 29 30 intensification of meristem cell death (Lequeux et al., 2010). Moreover, the copper-triggered 31 SIMR phenotype appeared also in *Brassica juncea*, *Brassica napus*, *Triticum aestivum* and 32 Origanum vulgare grown in nutrient solution or soil (Feigl et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2007; Mahmood et al., 2007; Panou-Filotheou and Bosabalidis, 2004). Similarly, in case of excess 33 34 zinc (Zn), SIMR phenotype appeared in several mono- and dicot species grown in various

media. Among them, the Zn hyperaccumulator and tolerant Thlaspi caerulescens developed 1 2 more lateral roots as a response to localized Zn enrichment; while in case of the nonaccumulator T. arvense excess Zn had a negative effect on PR elongation and LR formation 3 (Whiting et al., 2000). In case of localized selenium supply, the non-hyperaccumulator 4 Brassica juncea showed no SIMR phenotype, while the Colorado ecotype of the 5 hyperaccumulator Stanleya pinnata was able to reorient its root growth (Goodson et al., 6 7 2003). These results reveal a correlation between the hyperaccumulating capacity and the 8 ability of growth reprogramming. However, question arises about the adaptive advantages (if 9 any) of the appearance of SIMR phenotype. The possible contribution of root SIMR to the 10 direct evasion of metal contaminated sites has been raised by Potters et al. (2007). According 11 to this idea, the function of excess element-induced changes in root morphology may be to redirect root development away from a local source of xenobiotics. The SIMR-type root 12 13 system contains more lateral roots, which provide a lateral expansion at the same time a better fixation for the root system. Moreover, the enhanced number of LRs can contribute to 14 15 improved water and nutrient uptake promoting endurance of the plant. The possible involvement of SIMR in tolerance supports the hypothesis that SIMR is not the inevitable 16 consequence of stress, but a joint of active acclimation processes. This is also suggested by 17 the fact that SIMR is induced by mild doses of excess elements while under severe stress the 18 growth responses are inhibited. For instance, in Arabidopsis 10 µM selenite or 5 µM copper 19 increased but 40 µM selenite or 50 µM copper reduced the number of LR primordia (Lehotai 20 et al., 2012; Kolbert et al., 2012). Furthermore, non-essential elements are also able to trigger 21 the formation of the SIMR phenotype. As shown in Fig 1A, exposure of Arabidopsis thaliana 22 23 to 25 µM lead nitrate (PbNO₃) resulted in shorter PR (by 28%) and enhanced number of lateral roots (by 60%). Similarly, Brassica juncea roots grown and treated in nutrient solution 24 25 also developed SIMR in response to lead (Fig 1B).

26 Based on the above detailed literature (summarized in Table 1) and experimental data 27 (presented in Fig 1), the emergence of root growth responses seems to be independent from the type (e.g. essential, non-essential) and the property (e.g. redox-active, redox-inactive 28 metal, non-metal) of the element. The fact that SIMR appears in various monocot and dicot 29 plant species grown in different conditions (soil, agar, solution) supports the species-30 independence thus the general nature of this stress response. Although, both the concentration 31 of the element and the duration of the exposure determines the emergence of SIMR; generally 32 low doses (corresponding to mild, sublethal stress) result in growth reprogramming in a 33 relative long duration. As it was mentioned above, the emergence of SIMR phenotype can be 34

connected to tolerance, which emphasizes its ecological relevance in contaminated areas.
 Although, it has to be mentioned, that there is no direct experimental result demonstrating
 how does SIMR lead to tolerance so far. Therefore, an important task for future research is to
 answer this exciting question.

- 5
- 6

2. Components of the signal transduction of excess element-induced SIMR

7 With respect that during mild stress-induced growth responses, the inhibition of PR 8 elongation is accompanied by LR initiation, the cell division of pericycle considered to be 9 more tolerant compared to cell elongation possibly due to the endodermal barrier and the 10 characteristic structure of central cylinder. By all means, these morphological alterations 11 induced by environmental signals (e.g. excess element) are needed to be tightly coordinated 12 by endogenous signal networks.

13 2.1 Hormonal components of SIMR induced by excess elements

The architecture of the root system is highly determined by the distribution of growthhormones such as auxin, cytokinin (CK) and ethylene (ET).

16 2.1.1 Auxin as integral growth signal during SIMR

Auxin is a major player in altering PR growth and in promoting root hair and LR 17 formation. All of these parameters are altered during SIMR suggesting the involvement of 18 19 auxin. The phenotype of Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to e.g. copper sulphate resembles those of plants altered in auxin metabolism (Pasternak et al., 2005; Kolbert et al., 2012). 20 The excess of different elements (e.g. copper, cadmium) results in the redistribution of 21 auxin within the root system, which has been revealed mainly by the *in situ* detection of the 22 auxin responsive DR5 promoter activity. E.g. Potters et al. (2007) found that the 23 DR5::GUS activity decreased in the root tips, but intensified in the upper root parts in Cd-24 exposed Arabidopsis. Copper induced similar alterations in auxin distribution (Lequeux et 25 al., 2010). In a detailed study it was shown that the auxin signal disappeared from 26 27 columella, but increased in the meristematic and elongation zones of the PR (Yuan et al., 2013). In the tips of Cu- treated Arabidopsis roots, DR5 promoter activity was strong and 28 29 expanded in a short term (7 days), but was reduced in a longer term (17 days), which demonstrated the temporal evolution of auxin redistribution induced by excess metal (Pető 30 31 et al., 2011; Kolbert et al., 2012). The spatiotemporal distribution of auxin is controlled via the regulation of its *de novo* biosynthesis, transport, degradation and conjugation reactions. 32

Theoretically all of these processes can be modulated by stress; although the stress-1 2 induced alterations in auxin transport are principally studied. The directional, intercellular transport of auxin is achieved by precisely localized and regulated influx and efflux carriers. 3 AUX1 is an influx protein being responsible for indole-acetic-acid (IAA) uptake into the cell. 4 The main group of carriers involved in auxin export is formed by the PIN-FORMED (PIN) 5 proteins. Under Cu exposure, PIN1, but not PIN2 or AUX1 seems to be needed for auxin 6 7 redistribution in the root tips of Arabidopsis (Yuan et al., 2013). In the case of localized iron supply, the density of lateral roots increased in the wild-type, but not in the aux1-3 mutant, 8 9 which suggests the necessity of AUX1-mediated auxin redistribution for iron-triggered LR 10 development (Giehl et al., 2012). According to the work of Hu et al. (2013), application of the 11 auxin transport inhibitor napthylthalamic acid (NPA) as well as mutations in the aux1-7 and the *pin2* genes mitigated cadmium-induced LR formation. These observations reflect that LR 12 13 number increase under Cd exposure requires auxin redistribution and the activity of the AUX1-7 and PIN2 transport proteins. In case of arsenite, SIMR phenotype was more intense 14 15 in the *aux1-7* mutant compared to the wild-type. Due to the mutation, both the acro- and the basipetal auxin transport were reduced. Moreover, inhibitors of auxin transport intensified the 16 17 arsenite sensitivity and exogenous IAA improved tolerance in the aux1-7 mutant (Krishnamurthy and Rathinasabapathi, 2013). 18

Besides the transport, the regulation of auxin biosynthesis, catabolism and conjugation 19 also appear to be involved in the evolution of SIMR phenotype. In Cd-exposed Arabidopsis 20 showing SIMR phenotype, the auxin biosynthetic nitrilase (AtNIT) gene was upregulated and 21 22 consequently the IAA content was elevated (Vitti et al. 2013). Similarly, Arabidopsis exposed to combined treatment of copper, cadmium and zinc showed increased AtNIT expression and 23 enhanced IAA concentration in the root system (Sofo et al., 2013). Also, cadmium induced 24 25 the expression of NIT1, NIT2 and the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase CYP79B3 genes 26 leading to the intensification of DR5::GUS activity in the root system (Wang et al., 2015). In 27 the same study, lead treatment of Arabidopsis seedlings resulted in the up-regulation of the 28 Gretchen Hagen genes (GH3.4, GH3.1, GH3.3), which are IAA-amido synthases and thought to be important in controlling free IAA levels. Excess Cd triggered the expression of the auxin 29 30 biosynthetic NIT and YUCCA (NIT1, NIT2, YUCCA1) and the GH3.9 genes in Arabidopsis seedlings (Li et al., 2015). In these plants, the activity of the DR5 promoter decreased and that 31 of the IAA oxidase enzyme increased (Li et al., 2015). Likewise in cadmium-treated 32 Medicago and Arabidopsis, the activity of auxin catabolic enzyme IAA oxidase increased and 33 34 the IAA content consequently decreased (Xu J et al., 2010b; Hu et al., 2013).

Based on the above, the redistribution of the active auxin pool of the root system plays a
fundamental role in excess element-induced root growth responses. However auxin transport,
metabolism, and conjugation processes leading to SIMR, respond differentially to various
treatments suggesting the existence of element-specific background mechanisms.

5

6 2.1.2. Other hormonal components of SIMR-related signalling induced by excess element

Besides auxin, cytokinins and ethylene are also notable regulators in the shaping of the
root system architecture; therefore their involvement is also presumable in the evolution of the
SIMR phenotype.

10 Cytokinins are present in high quantities in the root cap and compensate the effect of auxin in LR initiation therefore help to maintain root apical dominance thus the suitable root 11 system architecture. In the PR of selenium-exposed Arabidopsis, the activity of the cytokinin-12 inducible ARR5:: GUS promoter notably increased. Additionally, the CK overproducer ipt-161 13 mutant was completely insensitive to Se-induced growth inhibition further supporting the role 14 of CKs in selenium tolerance (Lehotai et al., 2012). In response to Cu exposure, the 15 16 ARR5::GUS activity was enhanced in the root tips indicating CK accumulation (Lequeux et al., 2010). However in case of cadmium treatment, Arabidopsis plants showed cytokinin 17 18 oxidase (CKX) up-regulation in the roots, which could be associated with the observed increase in LR number (Vitti et al., 2013). In a comprehensive study, Sofo et al. (2013) found 19 20 that the excess of copper, cadmium, or zinc as well as the combination of them increased the 21 trans-zeatin riboside and dihydrozeatin riboside content in Arabidopsis roots.

22 Ethylene levels are known to be positively regulated by heavy metals like e.g. copper and cadmium. In case of selenite treatment, the activity of ACC synthase8::GUS promoter was 23 24 enhanced in the root tips of Arabidopsis reflecting ethylene generation. Furthermore, in ET-25 deficient (*hls1-1*) and -perception mutant (*etr1-1*), the selenite-induced root growth inhibition proved to be slighter compared to the WT suggesting the requirement of a normal ET content 26 and signalling for root growth responses to selenium (Lehotai et al., 2012). In Lotus 27 japonicus, aluminium exposure led to the generation of ethylene which was associated with 28 29 PR shortening (Sun et al., 2007). In Arabidopsis, aluminium treatment triggered ethylene 30 production that acted as a signal to modify auxin distribution in the roots by disrupting AUX1- and PIN2-dependent auxin transport (Sun et al., 2010). This was considered to lead to 31 the observed inhibition of root elongation (Sun et al., 2010). In contrast, ethylene does not 32 seem to participate in the long-term remodelling of Arabidopsis root growth under excess Cu 33

(Lequeux et al., 2010; Yuan et al., 2013). The above literature data imply the involvement of 1 cytokinin and ethylene in the regulation of root system architecture under stress induced by 2 excess elements; although the related mechanisms need further elucidation. For instance, 3 endogenous hormone levels are needed to be measured in the SIMR root systems in order to 4 reveal the possible changes in hormone distribution as main background mechanisms of the 5 morphological response. The appearance of SIMR in the root system of transgenic and mutant 6 7 plants with altered hormone contents or signalling may also serve interesting findings in the future. Furthermore, e.g. abscisic acid, brassinosteroids and gibberellic acid participate in the 8 9 regulation of root system development (De Smet et al. 2015), but the determination of their possible action in excess element-triggered SIMR has to be a future research objective. 10

11 2.2. *ROS-dependent signals in SIMR induced by excess element*

12 Since most stress situations are accompanied by the increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), Potters et al. (2007; 2009) have raised the idea that they are involved 13 in SIMR. Although the overproduction of ROS can cause oxidative damages which 14 consequently lead to cell death, this group of molecules acts also as signal components during 15 16 plant growth and development. Regarding root cell elongation, moderate levels of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) -the most studied ROS acting as a developmental signal- promote PR 17 growth, while excessive amount of it inhibits this process. The H₂O₂-induced root growth 18 inhibition in Arabidopsis is mediated by the mitogen activated protein kinase (MPK6) and a 19 20 calcium influx across the plasma membrane (Han et al., 2015). Additionally, among genes required for lateral root emergence peroxidase genes are highly represented, and peroxidase 21 activity and ROS signalling is specifically required for LR formation but not for primordium 22 specification. The ROS signalling of the later phases of LR development proved to be 23 independent from auxin signal transduction (Manzano et al., 2014). During Cd exposure, 24 H₂O₂ accumulated in the root tips of rice, which influenced auxin distribution and the 25 expression of cell cycle regulatory genes (e.g. CDKs and CYCs) within the root system (Zhao 26 27 et al., 2012). Unlike the *aux1-7* mutant, wild-type *Arabidopsis* showed decreased expression of catalase genes and consequently enhanced formation of H₂O₂ in response to arsenate. This 28 29 indicates the role of AUX1-mediated auxin transport in H₂O₂ formation during arsenic stress (Krishnamurthy and Rathinasabapathi, 2013). The ascorbic acid-deficient vtc2-1 mutant with 30 slightly elevated ROS content in its roots (Pető et al., 2013) maintains better root growth 31 under selenite stress compared to the WT. Therefore, ROS might be involved in the control of 32 33 root elongation in the presence of excess selenium (Lehotai et al., 2012). In the regulation of

boron-induced root growth inhibition, auxin- and cytokinin-related processes seem to be not 1 involved, since the expressions of ARR5 and DR5 reporters in the roots were unaffected 2 (Aquea et al., 2012). Instead the participation of ROS is assumable; although abscisic acid 3 (ABA) may also play a role since boron up-regulated several ABA- and water stress-induced 4 genes (Aquea et al., 2012). A direct link between ROS and the SIMR phenotype was 5 demonstrated in Arabidopsis treated with the ROS-generating compound paraquat or a H₂O₂ 6 7 derivative (tert-butyl-hydroperoxide) (Pasternak et al., 2005). These ROS-exposed plants showed SIMR-like root system, namely enhanced number of lateral roots and shorter primary 8 9 roots compared to the untreated plants. According to the results of Olmos et al. (2006) the ascorbic acid-deficient vtc1 mutant contains enhanced number of lateral roots, which further 10 11 supports the possible involvement of ROS in the appearance of SIMR. The role of ascorbic acid in SIMR response may derive not only from the control of ROS levels, but from its effect 12 13 on cell wall structure and on cell cycle progression (reviewed by Gallie 2013). Although, the molecular mechanisms of ROS action during the development of SIMR remain to be 14 15 elucidate. An important task for the future is to determine the excess-element induced modifications in the levels of different ROS within the SIMR root system. Also, the ROS-16 17 dependent post-translational modifications and gene expressions are needed to be compared in control and SIMR roots of the wild-type and ROS homeostasis mutants. 18

19

20

3. Reactive nitrogen species, as signals in root system growth and development

Reactive nitrogen species are nitric oxide (NO)-derived radicals (e.g. nitrogen dioxide 21 radical, NO₂) and non-radical molecules (e.g. peroxynitrite, ONOO, S-nitrosoglutathione, 22 GSNO) generated by both algae and higher plants. The central molecule is the redox active 23 gas signal, nitric oxide, having a fundamental role in coordinating, inter alia, plant growth 24 and development. Emerging evidence suggests that NO regulates all three stages of the life 25 cycle of seed-bearing plants. Nitric oxide proved to be involved in embryogenesis and seed 26 27 germination just like in the determination of flower development, flowering time or pollen tube growth (reviewed by Yu et al., 2014). In the vegetative growth phase of numerous plant 28 29 species, the effect of NO proved to be concentration-dependent, since low levels of it caused an increase in the biomass (e.g. fresh weight, hypocotyl elongation), while higher NO 30 31 contents reduced growth (reviewed by Hebelstrup et al., 2013). In plants, the intracellular concentration of NO is controlled by several biosynthetic and removal routes. The classic 32 33 enzyme of nitrogen metabolism, nitrate reductase (NR) has been widely accepted as a

candidate for NO source especially in the root tissues. In Arabidopsis, NR is encoded by NIA1 1 2 and NIA2 genes, and the nialnia2 double mutant possesses less than 1% of the NR activity of the wild-type (Wilkinson and Crawford, 1993). In the roots of these plants, also the NO levels 3 were reduced by 60% (Pető et al., 2013), supporting the contribution of NR activity to NO 4 production in the root system. Another enzyme playing direct or indirect role in NO 5 production of plant cells is the nitric oxide associated1/resistant to inhibition by fosfidomycin 6 7 1 (AtNOA1/RIF1) protein. More recently, the nialnia2noal-2 triple mutant has been generated, which is impaired in nitrate reductase- and nitric oxide associated1- mediated NO 8 9 biosynthetic pathways and it contains extremely low NO level in their roots (Lozano-Juste 10 and León, 2010). In contrast, the nox1/cue1 mutant being deficient in the chlorophyll a/b 11 binding protein under expressed 1 (CUE1) gene contains elevated L-arginine, L-citrulline and NO contents compared to the wild-type (He et al., 2004). Indeed, the mutation resulted in 2-12 13 fold NO accumulation in the root system (Pető et al., 2013); although the molecular mechanism of NO overproduction has not been revealed yet. The S-nitrosoglutathione 14 15 reductase (GSNOR) plays a role in the conversion of S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) into oxidized glutathione and ammonia thus contributing to the reduction of active RNS pool. In 16 gsnor1-3 plants, the GSNOR activity is reduced by 80% and the total S-nitrosothiol, nitrate 17 and NO contents are enhanced compared to the WT (Feechan et al., 2005; Pető et al., 2013). 18 The above mentioned nitric oxide overproducer mutants (nox1 and gsnor1-3) as well as plants 19 containing reduced NO levels (nia1nia2, nia1nia2noa1-2) possess lower fresh weight and 20 smaller leaf area than the WT (Frungillo et al., 2014; Kolbert et al., 2015), which supports the 21 22 requirement of an optimal NO level for normal growth.

Among the organ development processes, shaping of the root system as a NO-23 coordinated mechanism received the most attention. The key processes determining root 24 system architecture such as adventitious and lateral root formation, root hair differentiation 25 26 and primary root elongation take place with the participation of NO (Yu et al., 2014). Regarding lateral root emergence, nitric oxide was proved to be a downstream element of 27 28 auxin signalling promoting the process (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2004). Indeed, exogenous auxin treatment induces NO generation in LR primordia of wild-type Arabidopsis, but the 29 30 nitrate reductase-deficient *nia1nia2* mutant failed to produce NO reflecting the fundamental role of nitrate reductase activity in auxin-triggered NO synthesis during LR formation 31 (Kolbert et al., 2008). The mechanism of NO action in LR development materializes to be the 32 modulation of the auxin-induced expression of cell cycle regulatory genes. In case of NO 33 34 scavenging; IAA was not able to increase the expression of the genes coding for cyclin-

dependent kinase and cyclins (e.g. CDKA1, CYCA2;1, CYCD3;1) (Correa-Aragunde et al., 1 2006). In contrast, Shi et al. (2015) indicated that auxin-dependent lateral root induction was 2 impaired in the gsnor1-3 mutant having elevated S-nitrosothiol content, which indicated the 3 negative effect of NO/SNO overproduction on auxin signalling leading to LR formation. 4 Similar results were published in a recent paper of Correa-Aragunde and co-workers (2015), 5 where the pharmacological inhibition of the NADPH-dependent tioredoxin reductase (NTR) 6 7 in auxin-treated roots led to the accumulation of S-nitrosothiol compounds, to the intensification of protein S-nitrosylation and to the inhibition of LR formation. These suggest 8 9 the involvement of NTR in protein denitrosylation during auxin-mediated root development. Moreover, high NO concentration induced NTR activity, which implies the possibility that 10 11 NO controls the level of protein S-nitrosylation through a negative feedback mechanism in plant cells (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2015). Using either mutant Arabidopsis lines deficient in 12 13 NO homeostasis or pharmacological treatments, the necessity of NO for normal root elongation, and for the maintenance of the root apical meristem has been demonstrated (Sanz 14 15 et al., 2014). Moreover, high NO levels have been reported to reduce PIN1-mediated polar auxin transport and negatively affect the activity of the primary root meristem thus inhibiting 16 17 root elongation (Fernández-Marcos et al., 2011). These results were supported by that of Shi et al. (2015), where significantly reduced basipetal auxin transport and lower protein levels of 18 PIN1 and PIN2 were measured in the NO/SNO overproducing gsnor1-3 mutant. In addition 19 20 to the regulation of auxin transport, nitric oxide also modulates auxin signalling and sensitivity. Auxin perception and signal transduction can be altered as a consequence of NO-21 dependent S-nitrosylation of the auxin receptor TIR1 (TRANSPORT INHIBITOR 22 RESPONSE 1) promoting its interaction with AUX/IAA (AUXIN/INDOL-3-ACETIC ACID) 23 transcriptional co-repressor proteins. The NO-related modulation of signalling results in the 24 subsequent promotion of auxin-dependent gene expression (Terrile et al., 2012). In 25 26 accordance with this, reduced NO levels in noal, nialnia2, nialnia2noal-2 mutants as well 27 as the pharmacological inhibition of nitric oxide synthase in wild-type plants resulted in 28 decreased activity of the DR5::GUS auxin response marker (Sanz et al., 2014). Furthermore, in a root elongation inhibition test, the mutants showed decreased auxin sensitivity compared 29 30 to the wild-type revealing the fundamental role of NO in the maintenance of auxin sensitivity 31 of the primary root (Sanz et al., 2014). More recently, the degradation of AXR3NT-GUS 32 (reporter for auxin-mediated degradation of AUX/IAA by TIR1) was found to be delayed in gsnor1-3 plants compared with the WT showing that the TIR1-mediated auxin signalling 33 34 pathway was compromised in this mutant (Shi et al., 2015). These inconsistent results indicate

the necessity for further experiments (experimental setups) in order to reveal the exact
 molecular mechanism of NO action in the regulation of auxin signalling.

In several physiological processes, such as root system development, nitric oxide 3 seems to be in complex interactions with cytokinins, as well. The synergistic action of 4 cytokinin and NO was demonstrated by Shen et al. (2013), where NO-deficient nos1/noa1 5 plants showed impaired cytokinin-triggered activation of the cell cycle gene CYCD3;1 6 7 supposing that NO might be a downstream element of cytokinin signalling directed to CYCD3. However, antagonism between cytokinins and NO has also been evidenced. The 8 9 NO-derivative peroxynitrite is able to participate in the regulation of the bioactivity of at least 10 certain types of cytokinins, like zeatin, via chemical interaction (Liu W-Z et al., 2013). On the 11 other hand, zeatin may also modulate the homeostasis of RNS due to these reactions. Moreover, the NO-triggered S-nitrosylation directly inhibits the activity of HISTIDINE 12 13 PHOSPHOTRANSFER PROTEIN (AHP1) which reveals and supports an antagonistic interference between cytokinin and NO signalling at the molecular level (Feng et al., 2013). 14 15 Regarding root development, the interaction between cytokinins and NO presently seems to be synergistic. The NO-deficient *nos1/noa1* mutant possesses significantly smaller root apical 16 17 meristem, which could be complemented with the overexpression of CYCD3;1. The expression of CYCD3;1 is regulated, among others, by cytokinin. Therefore the positive 18 regulatory role of NO in the maintenance of root apical meristem (RAM) activity was 19 hypothesized (Shen et al., 2013). 20

In physiological processes, like fruit ripening, the relationship between ethylene and 21 22 NO is antagonistic, while during e.g. biotic stress responses their interaction proved to be rather synergistic (Freschi, 2013). However, during growth processes of the root system, only 23 a few literature data are available regarding the putative crosstalk between them. Interestingly, 24 mutant *hls1-1* and *etr1-1* plants deficient in ethylene content or signal transduction contain 25 26 extremely high NO levels in their primary root tips, which indicate a relevant antagonism between these signalling molecules during Arabidopsis root growth (Lehotai et al., 2012). In 27 28 contrast, Arabidopsis and cucumber plants supplemented with 1-aminocyclo-propane-1-29 carboxylic acid (ACC) showed increased NO levels in their roots (Garcia et al., 2011).

Although the nature of their crosstalk depends on the physiological process and condition, the signal transduction of nitric oxide and ROS (especially H_2O_2) is connected at several points and they coordinate developmental processes in a tight cooperation. As for the root system, NO-deficient *nia1nia2* and *nia1nia2noa1-2* mutants showed two-fold accumulation of the highly reactive superoxide anion as well as total ROS in their RAM (Pető et al., 2013; Kolbert et al., 2015). In *gsnor1-3* plants with two-fold increased NO content, the levels of superoxide and H₂O₂ were 50% lower relative to the wild-type (Pető et al., 2013) clearly indicating a negative relationship between ROS and NO in the root system. Similarly, the root meristems of *noa1*, *nia1nia2* and *nia1nia2noa1-2* and wild-type plants treated with L-NMMA contained elevated ROS levels, which were supposed to contribute to the reduced root growth properties of these plants (Sanz et al., 2014).

7

8

4. Reactive nitrogen species, as possible signals in SIMR induced by excess element

It is increasingly obvious that like ROS, nitric oxide and related species are formed in 9 response to almost all biotic and abiotic stressors. In addition, they regulate several aspects of 10 11 growth and development which makes the assumption apparent that NO/RNS are integrating 12 elements of SIMR signalling as it has recently been proposed by Leung (2015). If the growth responses are taken in a wider sense and considered to appear in case of the presence of a 13 single symptom (e.g. an element in excess only inhibits primary and lateral root elongation 14 without inducing lateral root formation), several literature data can be found about the 15 16 involvement of NO. For instance, in the roots of Lupinus luteus, rice or Medicago truncatula treated with lead, cadmium or arsenic, the NO donors (S-Nitroso-N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine, 17 SNAP and sodium nitroprusside, SNP) ameliorated growth inhibition possibly through the 18 reduction of the accumulation of different ROS forms such as superoxide anion (Kopyra and 19 20 Gwóźdź, 2003) or hydrogen peroxide (Xu J et al., 2010b) and the level of oxidative damage (Singh et al., 2009). The crosstalk between NO and auxin during excess element-induced 21 growth inhibition has also been revealed in recent years. The NO donor (SNP) had a positive 22 effect on the IAA content of Cd-exposed Medicago roots, which was supposed to be the result 23 of the inhibition of IAA oxidase activity (Xu J et al., 2010b). In pretty different experimental 24 systems, copper, selenium or cadmium oppositely influenced the levels of nitric oxide and 25 auxin in the root meristem of Arabidopsis (Pető et al., 2011; Lehotai et al., 2012; Yuan and 26 27 Huang, 2016). Genetic and biochemical experiments confirmed their antagonistic relationship in these experimental systems (Pető et al., 2011; Lehotai et al., 2012; Yuan and 28 29 Huang, 2016). The application of the NO scavenger 2-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-4,4,5,5tetramethyl-1-imidazollyl-1-oxy-3-oxide (cPTIO), prevented the reduction of root meristem 30 31 growth of cadmium-treated Arabidopsis. The cPTIO treatment also prevented the Cd-induced decrease in the auxin content and in the level of the PIN protein and inhibited the stabilization 32 33 of the IAA17 protein, one of the transcriptional repressors of auxin-responsive gene expression. These observations support the involvement of NO in the changes induced by Cd
 regarding auxin metabolism and signalling and consequently PR growth (Yuan and Huang,
 2016).

Taken rigorously, stress-induced morphogenic response is a totality of both growth inhibition and induction processes (Potters et al., 2007). In case of roots it means that the shortening of the PR is associated with LR induction as a SIMR. Regarding this more complex developmental response, there is only few supporting evidence for the involvement of NO as a signal.

9 In one of their early works, Correa-Aragunde and co-workers (2004) demonstrated that 10 the supplementation of tomato seedlings with the NO donor SNP inhibited PR elongation and 11 concomitantly induced LR formation resulting in a SIMR-like root system. Contrary, the reduction of endogenous NO content by cPTIO led to the formation of a root system 12 13 containing elongated PR and almost no LRs. Also in Arabidopsis, SNP was able to induce the appearance of SIMR phenotype in the root system (Méndez-Bravo et al., 2010) and this effect 14 15 could be reversed by NO scavenging. Moreover, the NO overproducing *cue1* and *argah1-1*, argah2-1 arginase-negative mutants showed enhanced LR density/number compared with the 16 17 WT supporting the positive effect of NO on LR development (Lira-Ruan et al., 2013; Flores et al., 2008). However, in control situation, the root system of *nialnia2* mutant contains a 18 similar number of LR than the WT (Fig 3 and Kolbert et al., 2010) but the gsnor1-3 mutant 19 has seriously reduced LR number (Shi et al., 2015). When the root length of the NO 20 homeostasis mutants is compared, all the mutants (nox1/cue1, gsnor1-3, noa1, nia1nia2, 21 22 *nia1nia2noa1-2*) can be characterized by reduced capability of elongation independently from the up- or down-regulation of the NO content (Fig 3, Fernández-Marcos et al., 2011; Espunya 23 et al., 2006; Lehotai et al., 2012; Sanz et al., 2014; Xu W et al., 2015; Yuan and Huang, 2016; 24 Pető et al., 2011; Kolbert et al., 2015). This shows that there is no correlation between the NO 25 26 level and root elongation but there is a necessity of tight NO-level regulation to allow normal 27 root elongation to take place.

In certain cases, the excess element-induced SIMR phenotype correlates with elevated NO levels in the root tissues referring to the participation of the NO signal in the growth response. For instance, within the SIMR-type root system of *Arabidopsis* induced by Pb (shown in Fig 1) NO levels were intensified not only in the PR tips (Fig 2 AB) but also in the upper root parts and in LRs (Fig 2 CD). The NO formation was more intense in the primary root tips, where three-fold increase was detected, while root tissues far from the tip showed only twofold NO accumulation as the effect of lead (Fig 2). Moreover, in case of both *Arabidopsis* and *Brassica*, the SIMR-type roots that were formed due to excess copper or selenium had higher
 NO-related fluorescence in the PR tip than control roots (Kolbert et al., 2012; Lehotai et al., 2012; Feigl et al., 2013).

4 In order to provide direct evidence for the regulatory role of nitric oxide in excess element-triggered SIMR, the selenite-induced root system architecture changes of the WT and 5 6 the NO-deficient *nia1nia2* double mutant were compared. The *nia1nia2* double mutant has 7 approximately 40% NO content in its root as compared to the WT (Pető et al., 2013). Ten days of Se treatment (10 µM sodium selenite) decreased root elongation (Fig 3A) and 8 enhanced the number of emerged LRs (Fig 3B) resulting in the SIMR phenotype of both plant 9 lines (Fig 3C); although the extent of growth alterations were different. In case of the NO 10 deficient line, selenite caused slighter LR induction, which means that NO promotes or is 11 12 even required for the effect of selenium on this process. In contrary, selenite inhibited PR elongation more intensively in the *nia1nia2* mutant than in the wild-type suggesting the 13 negative influence of NO on selenite-induced PR shortening. More interestingly, during Se-14 triggered SIMR, the approximately 1:1 ratio of LR primordia and emerged LRs shifted, since 15 16 the number of older LRs increased, while that of primordia diminished (Fig 3B). This is more pronounced in the *nia1nia2* mutant indicating that reduced NO content has negative influence 17 on the initiation of LR primordia during selenium-induced SIMR. Although, the appearance 18 of SIMR itself was not affected, the extent of the response proved to be influenced by NO 19 20 deficiency suggesting the regulatory role of NO in SIMR. The results also evidence that the developmental processes of SIMR (PR and LR growth) are differentially modulated by NO. 21

The next question to be answered, concerns the possible molecular mechanisms of 22 NO-mediated signalling during SIMR. The bioactivity of NO is manifested through specific, 23 chemical modifications of target proteins. These biologically relevant NO-dependent 24 posttranslational modifications (PTMs) influence protein activity or cellular function (Freschi, 25 2013). Among them, S-nitrosylation, the reversible formation of S-nitrosothiol (-SNO) from 26 27 the thiol (-SH) groups of certain cysteine residues is a well-known modification related to NO signalling. Besides, the NO-associated nitration of certain tyrosine amino acids known as 28 29 tyrosine nitration is also a specific, potentially reversible PTM, which triggers changes in protein activity and function. This process is catalysed by peroxynitrite yielding from the in 30 vivo reaction between NO and superoxide reflecting the tight crosstalk between NO and ROS 31 in cellular signalling. The specificity of these NO-dependent PTMs is based on the molecular 32 33 environment (particular amino acids) which surrounds the target amino acid (cysteine or

tyrosine) of the affected protein (Chaki et al., 2014). With the help of certain software tools 1 e.g. Group-based Prediction Systems (GPS-SNO 1.0, Xue et al., 2010; GPS-YNO2 1.0 Liu Z 2 et al., 2011), the occurrence of potential NO-dependent PTMs can be predicted in particular 3 proteins with a good probability (Chaki et al., 2014). Therefore, among the hypothesized 4 molecular elements of SIMR (Potters et al., 2009), we searched for potential candidates of 5 NO-dependent S-nitrosylation or tyrosine nitration. As shown in Table 2, NO-related PTMs 6 7 presumably influence proteins involved in three major molecular processes of SIMR: in cell cycle progression, microtubule organization and the development or modification of cell wall 8 9 structure (Potters et al., 2009). In case of nitration, larger number of possible sites of modification could be predicted compared to S-nitrosylation. The significance of this 10 11 potential regulation should be addressed in more details in the near future. For example, the comparison of nitration pattern in control and SIMR roots could provide interesting results. In 12 13 addition, the effect of the biochemical influence (inhibition or promotion) of nitration or Snitrosylation on the emergence of SIMR phenotype is also an important issue to be addressed. 14 15 Although, the tyrosine nitration of alpha-tubulin and the effect of this modification in plant cell division have already been evidenced (Blume et al., 2013), laccase or callose synthase, 16 which are implicated in heavy metal-induced cell wall alterations, can be interesting 17 candidates of NO-dependent modifications for future research. 18

19

20

5. Conclusions and Perspectives

In this review, literature data have been collected and direct experimental evidence has 21 22 been provided to support the hypothesis regarding the contribution of NO and related molecules to the emergence of excess element-induced root morphogenic responses. Thus, a 23 24 new role of NO in acclimation has been revealed emphasizing its importance in defence mechanisms against abiotic stresses. Hypothetically, at least three pathways of NO/RNS 25 action are conceivable in the complex signalling network of SIMR. Previously, Potters and 26 co-workers (2007; 2009) suspected the involvement of hormones and ROS in SIMR 27 signalling. NO has been proven to synergistically or antagonistically interact with several 28 29 phytohormones such as auxin, cytokinin and ethylene (reviewed by Freschi, 2013) modulating 30 root growth and development. Therefore, the phytohormone-associated involvement of NO in SIMR is an attractive hypothesis. The ROS-dependent participation of NO in SIMR is also 31 probable. This can be realized by the formation of peroxynitrite and consequently by the 32 tyrosine nitration of SIMR-related proteins like tubulin (Table 2). Thirdly, the NO-dependent 33

S-nitrosylation may also regulate SIMR via the modification of involved proteins (Table 2).
 This pathway might be independent from both phytohormones and ROS, but can be part of
 them as well.

Despite of the increasing number of results in plant NO biology in the last two 4 decades, there are still questions to be clarified. Regarding the excess element-triggered root 5 growth responses, an important issue to be addressed is to elucidate the possible role of SIMR 6 7 in stress tolerance. Moreover, signalling mechanisms linked to hormonal changes (such as cytokinin, ethylene, abscisic acid etc.) in SIMR roots have to be future research objective. As 8 9 to nitric oxide, the characterisation of the molecular mechanisms of its action during SIMR has to be a task of future research. Among them, processes that regulate morphogenesis and 10 11 are simultaneously affected by excess elements have to be in the focus. A system-based approach including morphophysiology of the root system, ionomics, transcriptomics of NO-12 13 dependent gene expressions, metabolomics of hormone-NO-ROS metabolism and proteomics of NO-dependent posttranslational modifications is proposed to be applied in order to clarify 14 15 the molecular mechanism of NO action during SIMR, which can bring us closer to the better understanding of its complex role during stress acclimation. 16

17

Acknowledgements: The Author thanks Prof László Erdei and Prof Attila Fehér for reading a
previous version of the manuscript and kindly providing helpful suggestions. Support for this
research was provided by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (grant no. OTKA
PD100504 to KZS). Author also acknowledges TÁMOP-4.2.2.B-15/1/KONV-2015-0006
project and the Hungary-Serbia IPA Cross-border Co-operation Programme (PLANTTRAIN,
HUSRB/1203/221/173) for supporting the experiments.

- 1 Literature cited
- 2
- Aquea F, Federici F, Moscoso C et al. 2012. A molecular framework for the inhibition of *Arabidopsis* root growth in response to boron toxicity. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 35: 719–
 734.
 Arduini I, Godbold DL, Onnis A. 1995. Influence of copper on root growth and morphology
- 7 of *Pinus pinea* L. and *Pinus pinaster* Ait. seedlings. *Tree Physiology* **15**: 411-415.
- Blume YB, Krasylenko YA, Demchuk OM, Yemets AI. 2013. Tubulin tyrosine nitration regulates 8 9 microtubule organization in plant cells. Frontiers in Plant Science 4: 530. 10 doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00530.
- 11 Castro RO, Trujillo MM, López Bucio J, Cervantes C, Dubrovsky JG. 2007. Effects of
- dichromate on growth and root system architecture of *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings. *Plant Science* 172: 684–691.
- Chaki M, Kovacs I, Spannagl M, Lindermayr C. 2014. Computational prediction of candidate
 proteins for S-nitrosylation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS ONE 9(10): e110232.
- 16 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0110232.
- Correa-Aragunde N, Graziano M, Lamattina L. 2004. Nitric oxide plays a central role in
 determining lateral root development in tomato. *Planta* 218: 900–905.
- Correa-Aragunde N, Graziano M, Chevalier C, Lamattina L. 2006. Nitric oxide
 modulates the expression of cell cycle regulatory genes during lateral root formation in
 tomato. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57: 581-588.
- Correa-Aragunde N, Cejudo FJ, Lamattina L. 2015. Nitric oxide is required for the auxin induced activation of NADPH-dependent thioredoxin reductase and protein denitrosylation
 during root growth responses in *Arabidopsis. Annals of Botany*, in press.
 doi:10.1093/aob/mcv116.
- 26 **De Smet S, Cuypers A, Vangronsveld, Remans T. 2015.** Gene networks involved in 27 hormonal control of root development in Arabidopsis thaliana: A framework for studying its
- disturbance by metal stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **16:** 19195-19224.
- Doncheva S, Amenós M, Poschenrieder C, Barceló J. 2005. Root cell patterning: a primary
 target for aluminium toxicity in maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56: 1213–1220.
- 31 Espunya MC, Díaz M, Moreno-Romero J, Martínez MC. 2006. Modification of intracellular
- 32 levels of glutathione-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase alters glutathione homeostasis and root
- development. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **29:** 1002–1011.

- 1 Feechan A, Kwon E, Yun B-W et al. 2005. A central role for S-nitrosothiols in plant disease
- 2 resistance. *PNAS* **102:** 8054–8059.
- 3 Feigl G, Kumar D, Lehotai N et al. 2013. Physiological and morphological responses of the root
- 4 system of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Cern.) and rapessed (Brassica napus L.) to copper
- 5 stress. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* **94:** 179-189.
- 6 Feigl G, Lehotai N, Molnár Á et al. 2015. Zinc induces distinct changes in the metabolism of
- 7 reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS and RNS) in the roots of two Brassica species with
- 8 different sensitivity to zinc stress. *Annals of Botany* **116:** 613-625.
- 9 Feng J, Wang C, Chen Q et al. 2013. S-nitrosylation of phosphotransfer proteins represses cytokinin
- signalling. Nature Communications 4: 1529. doi:10.1038/ncomms2541.
- 11 Fernández-Marcos M, Sanz L, Lewis DR, Muday GK, Lorenzo O. 2011. Nitric oxide causes root
- 12 apical meristem defects and growth inhibition while reducing PIN-FORMED 1 (PIN1)-dependent
- acropetal auxin transport. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108(45):** 18506–18511.
- 14 Flores T, Todd CD, Tovar-Mendez A et al. 2008. Arginase-negative mutants of Arabidopsis exhibit
- 15 increased nitric oxide signaling in root development. *Plant Physiology* **147:** 1936–1946.
- 16 Freschi L. 2013. Nitric oxide and phytohormone interactions: current status and perspectives.
- 17 Frontiers in Plant Science 4: 398. doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00398.
- 18 Frungillo L, Skelly MJ, Loake GJ, Spoel SH, Salgado I. 2014. S-nitrosothiols regulate nitric
- 19 oxide production and storage in plants through the nitrogen assimilation pathway. Nature
- 20 Communications 5: 5401. doi:10.1038/ncomms6401.
- 21 Gallie DR. 2013. L-Ascorbic acid: A multifunctional molecule supporting plant growth and
- 22 development. Scientifica **2013:** 795964. doi:10.1155/2013/795964.
- 23 Garcia MJ, Suarez V, Romera FJ, Alcantara E, Perez-Vicente R. 2011. A new model
- 24 involving ethylene, nitric oxide and Fe to explain the regulation of Fe-acquisition genes in
- 25 Strategy I plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **49:** 537–544.
- 26 Giehl RFH, Lima JE, von Wirén N. 2012. Localized iron supply triggers lateral root
- elongation in *Arabidopsis* by altering the AUX1-mediated auxin distribution. *The Plant Cell*28 24: 33–49.
- 29 Goodson CC, Parker DR, Amrhein C, Zhang Y. 2003. Soil selenium uptake and root
- system development in plant taxa differing in Se-accumulating capability. *New Phytologist*159: 391–401.
- Han S, Fang L, Ren X, Wang W, Jiang J. 2015. MPK6 controls H₂O₂-induced root
- elongation by mediating Ca^{2+} influx across the plasma membrane of root cells in *Arabidopsis*
- seedlings. *New Phytologist* **205**: 695–706.

- Hasnain S, Sabri AN. 1997. Growth stimulation of *Triticum aestivum* seedlings under Cr stresses by non-rhizospheric *Pseudomonas* strains. *Environmental Pollution* 97: 265-273.
- 3 He Y, Tang RH, Hao Y et al. 2004. Nitric oxide represses the *Arabidopsis* floral transition.
- 4 Science **305**: 1968-1971.
- 5 Hebelstrup KH, Shah JK, Igamberdiev AU. 2013. The role of nitric oxide and hemoglobin
- 6 in plant development and morphogenesis. *Physiologia Plantarum* **148:** 457–469.
- 7 Hsu YY, Chao YY, Kao CH. 2013. Cobalt chloride-induced lateral root formation in rice:
- 8 The role of heme oxygenase. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **170**: 1075-1081.
- 9 Hu YF, Zhou G, Na XF et al. 2013. Cadmium interferes with maintenance of auxin
- 10 homeostasis in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **170**: 965–975.
- 11 Illéš P, Schlicht M, Pavlovkin J, Lichtscheidl I, Baluška F, Ovečka M. 2006. Aluminium
- 12 toxicity in plants: internalization of aluminium into cells of the transition zone in Arabidopsis
- 13 root apices related to changes in plasma membrane potential, endosomal behaviour, and nitric
- 14 oxide production. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **57:** 4201–4213.
- 15 Kolbert Zs, Bartha B, Erdei L. 2008. Exogenous auxin-induced NO synthesis is nitrate
- reductase-associated in *Arabidopsis thaliana* root primordia. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 165:
 967-975.
- 18 Kolbert Zs, Ortega L, Erdei L. 2010. Involvement of nitrate reductase (NR) in osmotic stress-
- 19 induced NO generation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. roots. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **167:** 77-80.
- 20 Kolbert Zs, Pető A, Lehotai N, Feigl G, Erdei L. 2012. Long-term copper (Cu²⁺) exposure
- 21 impacts on auxin, nitric oxide (NO) metabolism and morphology of Arabidopsis thaliana L.
- 22 *Plant Growth Regulation* **68:** 151-159.
- 23 Kolbert Zs, Pető A, Lehotai N, Feigl G, Erdei L. 2015. Copper sensitivity of nialnia2noal-
- 24 2 mutant is associated with is low NO level. *Plant Growth Regulation* **77**: 255-263.
- 25 Kopyra M, Gwóźdź EA. 2003. Nitric oxide stimulates seed germination and counteracts the
- 26 inhibitory effect of heavy metals and salinity on root growth of Lupinus luteus. Plant Physiology and
- 27 *Biochemistry* **41:** 1011–1017.
- 28 Krishnamurthy A, Rathinasabapathi B. 2013. Auxin and its transport play a role in plant
- 29 tolerance to arsenite-induced oxidative stress in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant, Cell and
- 30 *Environment* **36:** 1838–1849.
- 31 Lehotai N, Kolbert Zs, Pető A et al. 2012. Selenite-induced hormonal and signalling
- mechanisms during root growth of *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. *Journal of Experimental Botany*63: 5677-5687.

- Lequeux H, Hermans C, Lutts S, Verbruggen N. 2010. Response to copper excess in
 Arabidopsis thaliana: Impact on the root system architecture, hormone distribution, lignin
 accumulation and mineral profile. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 48: 673-682.
- 4 Leung DWM. 2015. Regulatory role of nitric oxide in alterations of morphological features of plants
- 5 under abiotic stress. In: Khan MN et al. eds. *Nitric Oxide Action In Abiotic Stress Responses In Plants*.
- 6 Switzerland: Springer, 65-73.
- 7 Li P, Zhao C, Zhang Y et al. 2015. Calcium alleviates cadmium-induced inhibition on root
- 8 growth by maintaining auxin homeostasis in Arabidopsis seedlings. Protoplasma, in press.

9 doi:10.1007/s00709-015-0810-9.

- 10 Lira-Ruan V, Napsucialy Mendivil S, Dubrovsky JG. 2013. Heuristic aspect of the lateral root
- 11 initiation index: a case study of the role of nitric oxide in root branching. Applications in Plant
- 12 Sciences 1(10): 1300029. doi:10.3732/apps.1300029.
- 13 Liu W-Z, Kong D-D, Gu X-X et al. 2013. Cytokinins can act as suppressors of nitric oxide in
- 14 Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110: 1548–1553.
- 15 Liu Z, Cao J, Ma Q, Gao X, Ren J, Xue Y. 2011. GPS-YNO2: computational prediction of tyrosine
- 16 nitration sites in proteins. *Molecular BioSystems* **7:** 1197-1204.
- 17 Lozano-Juste J, León J. 2010. Enhanced abscisic acid-mediated responses in *nia1nia2noa1*-
- 18 2 triple mutant impaired in NIA/NR- and AtNOA1-dependent nitric oxide biosynthesis in
- 19 Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 152: 891–903.
- 20 Mahmood T, Islam KR, Muhammad S. 2007. Toxic effects of heavy metals on early growth and
- tolerance of cereal crops. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **39:** 451-462.
- 22 Malamy JE, Benfey PN. 1997. Organization and cell differentiation in lateral roots of Arabidopsis
- 23 *thaliana. Development* **124:** 33-44.
- 24 Manzano C, Pallero-Baena M, Casimiro I et al. 2014. The emerging role of ROS signalling
- during lateral root development. *Plant Physiology* **165**: 1105-1119.
- 26 Méndez-Bravo A, Raya-González J, Herrera-Estrella L, López-Bucio J. 2010. Nitric oxide is
- 27 involved in alkamide-induced lateral root development in Arabidopsis. Plant and Cell Physiology,
- **51(10):** 1612–1626.
- 29 Obroucheva NV, Bystrova EI, Ivanov VB, Antipova OV, Seregin IV. 1998. Root growth
- responses to lead in young maize seedlings. *Plant and Soil* **200**: 55-61.
- 31 Olmos E, Kiddle G, Pellny K, Kumar S, Foyer CH. 2006. Modulation of plant
- 32 morphology, root architecture, and cell structure by low vitamin C in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
- *Journal of Experimental Botany* **57:** 1645-1655.

- Panou-Filotheou H, Bosabalidis AM. 2004. Root structural aspects associated with copper
 toxicity in oregano (*Origanum vulgare* subsp. hirtum). *Plant Science* 166: 1497-1504.
- 3 Pasternak T, Rudas V, Potters G, Jansen MAK. 2005. Morphogenic effects of abiotic
- 4 stress: reorientation of growth in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings. Environmental and
- 5 *Experimental Botany* **53:** 299-314.
- 6 Pető A, Lehotai N, Lozano-Juste J et al. 2011. Involvement of nitric oxide and auxin in
 7 signal transduction of copper-induced morphological responses in *Arabidopsis* seedlings.
 8 Annals of Botany 108: 449-457.
- 9 Pető A, Lehotai N, Feigl G et al. 2013. Nitric oxide contributes to copper tolerance by
- 10 influencing ROS metabolism in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Reports* **32(12):** 1913-1923.
- Potters G, Pasternak TP, Guisez Y, Palme KJ, Jansen MAK. 2007. Stress-induced
 morphogenic responses: growing out of trouble? *Trends in Plant Science* 12: 98-105.
- 13 Potters G, Pasternak TP, Guisez Y, Jansen MAK. 2009. Different stresses, similar
- morphogenic responses: integrating a plethora of pathways. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 32:
 158-169.
- Sanz L, Fernández-Marcos M, Modrego A et al. 2014. Nitric oxide plays a role in stem cell
 niche homeostasis through its interaction with auxin. *Plant Physiology* 166: 1972–1984.
- 18 Sheldon AR, Menzies NW. 2005. The effect of copper toxicity on the growth and root
- 19 morphology of Rhodes grass (*Chloris gayana* Knuth.) in resin buffered solution culture. *Plant*
- 20 *and Soil* **278:** 341-349.
- Shen Q, Wang Y-T, Tian H, Guo F-Q. 2013. Nitric oxide mediates cytokinin functions in cell
 proliferation and meristem maintenance in *Arabidopsis*. *Molecular Plant* 6: 1214–1225.
- 23 Shi Y-F, Wang D-L, Wang C et al. 2015. Loss of GSNOR1 function leads to compromised
- auxin signaling and polar auxin transport. *Molecular Plant* 8: 1350-1365.
- 25 Singh D, Nath K, Sharma YK. 2007. Response of wheat seed germination and seedling growth
- under copper stress. *Journal of Environmental Biology* **28:** 409-414.
- 27 Singh HP, Kaur S, Batish DR, Sharma VP, Sharma N, Kohli RK. 2009. Nitric oxide alleviates
- arsenic toxicity by reducing oxidative damage in the roots of *Oryza sativa* (rice). *Nitric Oxide* 20:
 289–297.
- 30 Sofo A, Vitti A, Nuzzaci M et al. 2013. Correlation between hormonal homeostasis and
- 31 morphogenic responses in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings growing in a Cd/Cu/Zn multi-
- 32 pollution context. *Physiologia Plantarum* **149**: 487–498.

- Sun P, Tian Q-Y, Zhao M-G et al. 2007. Aluminium-induced ethylene production is
 associated with inhibition of root elongation in *Lotus japonicus* L. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 48(8): 1229–1235.
- Sun P, Tian Q-Y, Chen J, Zhang W-H. 2010. Aluminium-induced inhibition of root
 elongation in *Arabidopsis* is mediated by ethylene and auxin. *Journal of Experimental Botany*61: 347–356.
- 7 Terrile MC, Paris R, Calderon-Villalobos LI et al. 2012. Nitric oxide influences auxin signalling
 8 through S-nitrosylation of the *Arabidopsis* TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE 1 auxin receptor.
- 9 *The Plant Journal* **70:** 492-500.
- 10 Vachirapatama N, Jirakiattikul Y, Dicinoski G, Townsend AT, Haddad PR. 2011. Effect of
- 11 vanadium on plant growth and its accumulation in plant tissues. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science*
- 12 *and Technology* **33:** 255-261.
- 13 Vitti A, Nuzzaci M, Scopa A et al. 2013. Auxin and cytokinin metabolism and root
- 14 morphological modifications in Arabidopsis thaliana seedlings infected with cucumber
- mosaic virus (CMV) or exposed to cadmium. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 14:
 6889-6902.
- Wang R, Wang J, Zhao L, Yang S, Song Y. 2015. Impact of heavy metal stresses on the
 growth and auxin homeostasis of *Arabidopsis seedlings*. *Biometals* 28: 123–132.
- 19 Whiting SN, Leake JR, McGrath SP, Baker AJM. 2000. Positive responses to Zn and Cd
- by roots of the Zn and Cd hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens*. *New Phytologist* 145: 199210.
- Wilkinson JQ, Crawford NM. 1993. Identification and characterization of a chlorate
 resistant mutant of *Arabidopsis* with mutations in both *NIA1* and *NIA2* nitrate reductase
 structural genes. *Molecular and General Genetics* 239: 289-297.
- Xu J, Yin H, Li Y, Liu X. 2010a. Nitric oxide is associated with long-term zinc tolerance in
 Solanum nigrum. Plant Physiology 154: 1319-1334.
- Xu J, Wang W Yin H, Liu X, Sun H, Mi Q. 2010b. Exogenous nitric oxide improves
 antioxidative capacity and reduces auxin degradation in roots of *Medicago truncatula*seedlings under cadmium stress. *Plant and Soil* 326: 321–330.
- Xu S, Zhang B, Cao Z-Y, Ling T-F, Shen W-B. 2010. Heme oxygenase is involved in
 cobalt chloride-induced lateral root development in tomato. BioMetals 24: 9386.
 doi:10.1007/s10534-010-9386-1.

- 1 Xu W, Zhang S, Wang D-L, Liu J-Z. 2015. Drought tolerance of nitric oxide associated 1 mutant of
- 2 *Arabidopsis* is mostly due to its reduced transpiration as a result of smaller stature. *Acta Physiologiae*
- 3 *Plantarum* **37:** 134. doi: 10.1007/s11738-015-1892-x.
- 4 Xue Y, Liu Z, Gao X et al. 2010. GPS-SNO: Computational prediction of protein S-Nitrosylation
- 5 sites with a modified GPS algorithm. PLoS ONE 5(6): e11290. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011290.

6 Yu M, Lamattina L, Spoel SH, Loake GJ. 2014. Nitric oxide function in plant biology: a redox cue

- 7 in deconvolution. *New Phytologist* **202**: 1142-1156.
- 8 Yuan H-M, Xu H-H, Liu W-C, Li Y-T. 2013. Copper regulates primary root elongation
- 9 through PIN1-mediated auxin redistribution. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **54:** 766–778.
- 10 Yuan H-M, Huang X. 2016. Inhibition of root meristem growth by cadmium involves nitric oxide-
- 11 mediated repression of auxin accumulation and signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell and Environment
- 12 **39:** 120-135.
- 13 Zhao F-Y, Han M-M, Zhang S-Y et al. 2012. Hydrogen peroxide-mediated growth of the
- 14 root system occurs via auxin signaling modification and variations in the expression of cell-
- 15 cycle genes in rice seedlings exposed to cadmium stress. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology
- 16 **54(12):** 991–1006.
- 17

Table 1 Overview of root morphogenic responses induced by the excess of different elements. Only publications reporting decreased root
 elongation accompanied by concomitant increase in lateral/seminal root number are indicated.

4	Element	Concentration	Duration	Growth medium	Species	References
5	Essential mi	croelements				
6	Cu	30-50-100 µM CuSO ₄	7 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Pasternak et al., 2005
7	Cu	10 µM CuSO ₄	17 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Kolbert et al., 2012
8	Cu	10 µM CuSO ₄	7 days	solution	Brassica juncea, Brassica napus	Feigl et al., 2013
9	Cu	1 μM CuSO ₄	4 weeks	solution	Pinus pinaster	Arduini et al., 1995
10	Cu	5 or 25 mg L^{-1} CuSO ₄	14 days	solution	Triticum aestivum	Singh et al., 2007
11	Cu	50 µM CuSO ₄	8 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Lequeux et al., 2010
12	Cu	0.66 μM, 1.17 μM Cu	4 weeks	solution	Chloris gayana Knuth.	Sheldon and Menzies, 2005
13	Cu	13 μ M g ⁻¹ soil	2 months	soil	Origanum vulgare Panou-Fil	otheou and Bosabalidis, 2004
14	Cu	10 µM CuSO ₄	3 days	solution	Triticum aestivum	Mahmood et al., 2007
15	Cu	5 μM CuSO ₄	12 days	solution	Arabidopsis thaliana	Sofo et al., 2013
16						
17	Fe	200 µM Fe-EDTA	15 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Giehl et al., 2012
18						
19	Zn	10 µM ZnSO ₄	3 days	solution	Triticum aestivum	Mahmood et al., 2007
20	Zn	50 µM ZnSO ₄	7 days	solution	Brassica juncea, Brassica napus	Feigl et al., 2015
21	Zn	1000 mg kg ⁻¹ ZnO	42 days	soil in rhizobox	Thlaspi caerulescens	Whiting et al., 2000

1	Element	Concentration	Duration	Growth medium	Species	References
2	Zn	$400 \ \mu M \ ZnCl_2$	4 or 10 days	solution	Solanum nigrum	Xu J et al., 2010a
3	Zn	150 µM ZnSO ₄	12 days	solution	Arabidopsis thaliana	Sofo et al., 2013
4						
5	Ni	75 μM NiCl ₂	12 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Wang et al., 2015
6						
7	Ocassionally	essential elements				
8	Se	$25 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ Na}_2 \text{SeO}_3$	79 days	soil in rhizobox	Stanleya pinnata	Goodson et al., 2003
9	Se	$10 \ \mu M \ Na_2 SeO_3$	14 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Lehotai et al., 2012
10						
11	Co	50 µM CoCl ₂	4 days	solution	Lycopersicon esculentum	Xu S et al., 2010
12	Co	50 or 70 μ M CoCl ₂	12 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Wang et al., 2015
13	Co	10 or 20 μ M CoCl ₂	3 days	solution	Oryza sativa	Hsu et al., 2013
14						
15	Al	50 µM AlCl ₃	5 days	solution	Zea mays	Doncheva et al., 2005
16	Al	100 µM, 200 µM AlCl ₃	4 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Illéš et al., 2006
17						
18	Other essent	ial elements				
19	Cr	500 μ g ml ⁻¹ CrCl ₃	-	solution	Triticum aestivum	Hasnain and Sabri, 1997
20	Cr (VI)	$200 \; \mu M \; K_2 C r_2 O_7$	5 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Castro et al., 2007
21						

1	Element	Concentration	Duration	Growth medium	Species	References
2	Va	20-40-80 mg L ⁻¹ NH ₄ VO ₃	7 days	solution	Brassica campestris	Vachirapatama et al., 2011
3						
4	Non-essentia	al elements				
5	Pb	10 µM PbCl ₂	3 days	solution	Oryza sativa	Mahmood et al., 2007
6	Pb	10 ⁻³ M PbNO ₃	3 days	solution	Zea mays	Obroucheva et al., 1998
7	Pb	1200 µM PbNO3	12 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Wang et al., 2015
8						
9	Cd	50 µM Cd	48 hours	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Potters et al., 2007
10	Cd	50 µM CdSO ₄	5 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Hu et al., 2013
11	Cd	10 µM CdSO ₄	12 days	solution	Arabidopsis thaliana	Vitti et al., 2013;
12						Sofo et al., 2013
13	Cd	25, 50, 75, 100 µM CdCl ₂	5 days	agar	Arabidopsis thaliana	Li et al., 2015
14						
15	As	25 µM As(III)	3 days	agar	A. thaliana Krishnamurthy a	nd Rathinasabapathi, 2013
16						
17	Combination	n of elements				
18	Cd+Cu+Zn	10 µM CdSO ₄ +				
19		5 μ M CuSO ₄ +				
20		150 µM ZnSO ₄	12 days	solution	Arabidopsis thaliana	Sofo et al., 2013
21						

Table 2 S-nitrosylation and tyrosine nitration sites in examples of SIMR-related proteins predicted by GPS-SNO 1.0 (Xue et al., 2010) and GPS-YNO2 1.0 (Liu Z et al., 2011) software, respectively. The medium threshold condition and the batch prediction tool were applied. - no site was predicted, + one or two sites were predicted, ++ more than two sites were predicted

6	Accesion number	Protein name	S-nitrosylation	tyrosine nitration
7	<u>Cell cycle</u>			
8	At1g44110	cyclinA1-1	+	++
9	At1g77390	cyclinA1-2	++	++
10	At5g25380	cyclinA2-1	+	++
11	At5g11300	cyclinA2-2	++	++
12	At1g15570	cyclinA2-3	+	+
13	At1g80370	cyclinA2-4	+	+
14	At5g43080	cyclinA3-1	+	++
15	At1g47210	cyclinA3-2	+	++
16	At1g47220	cyclinA3-3	+	++
17	At1g47230	cyclinA3-4	+	++
18	At4g37490	cyclinB1-1	+	++
19	At5g06150	cyclinB1-2	+	++
20	At3g11520	cyclinB1-3	+	++
21	At2g2676	cyclinB1-4	+	++
22	At1g34460	cyclinB1-5	+	++
23	At2g17620	cyclinB2-1	++	-
24	At4g35620	cyclinB2-2	+	+
25	At1g20610	cyclinB2-3	+	-
26	At1g76310	cyclinB2-4	+	+
27	At1g20590	cyclinB2-5	+	+
28	At1g16330	cyclinB3-1	+	++
29	At5g48640	cyclinC1-1	-	+
30	At5g48630	cyclinC1-2	-	+
31	At1g70210	cyclinD1-1	-	++
32	At2g22490	cyclinD2-1	+	-
33	At4g34160	cyclinD3-1	+	+
34	At5g67260	cyclinD3-2	-	+

1	Accesion nun	nber Protein name	S-nitrosylation	tyrosine nitration
2	At3g50070	cyclinD3-3	++	-
3	At5g65420	cyclinD4-1	+	+
4	At5g10440	cyclinD4-2	-	+
5	At4g37630	cyclinD5-1	+	++
6	At4g03270	cyclinD6-1	+	+
7	At5g02110	cyclinD7-1	++	++
8	At5g27620	cyclinH1-1	-	++
9	At3g21870	cyclinU1-1	-	+
10	At2g45080	cyclinU2-1	+	++
11	At3g60550	cyclinU2-2	+	++
12	At3g63120	cyclinU3-1	-	-
13	At2g44740	cyclinU4-1	-	+
14	At5g07450	cyclinU4-2	-	++
15	At5g61650	cyclinU4-3	-	+
16	At1g35440	cyclinT1-1	-	+
17	At4g19560	cyclinT1-2	++	++
18	At1g27630	cyclinT1-3	++	+
19	At4g19600	cyclinT1-4	+	++
20	At5g45190	cyclinT1-5	+	++
21				
22	At3g48750	cyclin-dependent kinase A	A-1 -	+ +
23	At3g54180	cyclin-dependent kinase E	31-1 -	+ +
24	At2g38620	cyclin-dependent kinase E	31-2 +	+ +
25	At1g76540	cyclin-dependent kinase E	32-1 -	+ +
26	At1g20930	cyclin-dependent kinase E	32-2 -	+ +
27	At5g10270	cyclin-dependent kinase C	C-1 -	+
28	At5g64960	cyclin-dependent kinase C	C-2 +	+ +
29	At1g73690	cyclin-dependent kinase I	D -1 -	+
30	At1g66750	cyclin-dependent kinase I	D -2 -	+ +
31	At1g18040	cyclin-dependent kinase I	D-3 -	+
32	At5g63610	cyclin-dependent kinase E	E-1 -	+
33	At4g28980	cyclin-dependent kinase F	-1 -	+

1	Accesion nu	mber Protein name	S-nitrosylation	tyrosine nitration
2	At3g12280	Retinoblastoma-related 1	+	-
3				
4	At2g36010	Transcription factor E2FA	-	-
5	At5g22220	Transcription factor E2FB	-	+ +
6	At1g47870	Transcription factor E2FC	-	+ +
7	At5g14960	E2F Transcription factor-li	ike E2FD -	+ +
8	At3g48160	E2F Transcription factor-li	ike E2FE +	+
9	At3g01330	E2F Transcription factor-li	ike E2FF -	+ +
10				
11	At5g02470	Transcription factor-like p	rotein DPA -	+
12	At5g03415	Transcription factor-like p	rotein DPB +	+ +
13				
14	At1g02970	WEE1-like protein kinase	+	+ +
15				
16	<u>Microtubuli</u>			
17	At1g64740	α-tubulin-1 chain	+ +	+ +
18	At1g50010	α -tubulin-2 chain	+ +	+ +
19	At5g19770	α -tubulin-3 chain	++	+ +
20	At1g04820	α -tubulin-4 chain	+ +	+ +
21	At5g19780	α -tubulin-5 chain	+ +	+ +
22	At4g14960	α -tubulin-6 chain	+ +	+ +
23	At1g75780	β-tubulin-1 chain	++	+ +
24	At5g62690	β -tubulin-2 chain	++	+ +
25	At5g62700	β-tubulin-3 chain	+ +	+ +
26	At5g44340	β-tubulin-4 chain	+ +	+ +
27	At1g2001	β -tubulin-5 chain	++	+ +
28	At5g1225	β-tubulin-6 chain	++	+ +
29	At2g29550	β -tubulin-7 chain	+ +	+ +
30	At5g23860	β-tubulin-8 chain	+ +	+ +
31	At4g20890	β-tubulin-9 chain	+ +	+ +
32	At3g61650	γ-tubulin-1 chain	+ +	+ +
33	At5g05620	γ-tubulin-2 chain	++	+ +

1	Accesion nu	mber Protein name	S-nitrosylation	tyrosine nitration					
2	<u>Cell wall elongation</u>								
3	At1g69530	Expansin-A1	-	-					
4	At5g05290	Expansin-A2	-	-					
5	At2g37640	Expansin-A3	-	-					
6	At2g39700	Expansin-A4	-	-					
7	At3g29030	Expansin-A5	-	-					
8	At2g28950	Expansin-A6	-	-					
9	At1g12560	Expansin-A7	-	-					
10	At2g40610	Expansin-A8	-	++					
11	At5g02260	Expansin-A9	-	-					
12	At1g26770	Expansin-A10	-	-					
13	At1g20190	Expansin-A11	-	-					
14	At3g15370	Expansin-A12	-	+					
15	At3g03220	Expansin-A13	-	-					
16	At5g56320	Expansin-A14	-	-					
17	At2g03090	Expansin-A15	+	-					
18	At3g55500	Expansin-A16	-	-					
19	At4g01630	Putative expansin-A17	-	-					
20	At1g62980	Expansin-A18	-	-					
21	At4g38210	Expansin-A20	-	+					
22	At5g39260	Expansin-A21	-	-					
23	At5g39270	Expansin-A22	-	-					
24	At5g3928	Expansin-A23	-	-					
25	At5g39310	Expansin-A24	-	-					
26	At5g3930	Expansin-A25	-	+					
27	At5g39290	Putative expansin-A26	-	-					
28	At2g20750	Expansin-B1	++	-					
29	At1g65680	Putative expansin-B2	++	++					
30	At4g28250	Expansin-B3	++	-					
31	At2g45110	Expansin-B4	-	-					
32	At3g60570	Expansin-B5	++	-					

1	Accesion nu	mber Protein name	S-nitrosylation	tyrosine nitration
2	At4g32410	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 1 +	++
3	At4g39350	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 2 ++	++
4	At5g05170	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 3 ++	++
5	At5g44030	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 4 +	++
6	At5g09870	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 5 ++	++
7	At5g64740	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 6 ++	++
8	At5g17420	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 7 ++	++
9	At4g18780	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 8 ++	++
10	At2g21770	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 9 ++	++
11	At2g25540	Cellulose synthase A cata	alytic subunit 10 ++	++
12				
13	At1g05570	Callose synthase 1	+	++
14	At2g31960	Callose synthase 2	++	++
15	At5g13000	Callose synthase 3	-	++
16	At5g36870	Callose synthase 4	++	++
17	At2g13680	Callose synthase 5	+	++
18	At3g59100	Callose synthase 6	++	++
19	At1g06490	Callose synthase 7	++	++
20	At3g14570	Callose synthase 8	++	++
21	At3g07160	Callose synthase 9	-	++
22	At2g36850	Callose synthase 10	++	++
23	At4g04970	Callose synthase 11	+	++
24	At4g03550	Callose synthase 12	+	++
25				
26	At1g18140	Laccase-1	+	+
27	At2g29130	Laccase-2	+	++
28	At2g30210	Laccase-3	+	+
29	At2g38080	Laccase-4	+	++
30	At2g40370	Laccase-5	+	++
31	At2g46570	Laccase-6	+	+
32	At3g09220	Laccase-7	+	+
33	At5g01040	Laccase-8	+	++
34	At5g01050	Laccase-9	+	++

1					
2	Accesion nu	mber Protein name	S-nitrosylation	tyrosine nitr	ation
3	At5g01190	Laccase-10	+	++	
4	At5g03260	Laccase-11	+	++	
5	At5g05390	Laccase-12	+	++	
6	At5g07130	Laccase-13	+	++	
7	At5g09360	Laccase-14	+	+	
8	At5g48100	Laccase-15	++	++	
9	At5g58910	Laccase-16	+	++	
10	At5g60020	Laccase-17	+	++	
11					
12	At4g13080	Putative xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	in 1 -	++
13	At4g13090	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 2	+	++
14	At3g25050	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 3	-	+
15	At2g06850	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 4	+	+
16	At5g13870	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 5 ++	+
17	At5g65730	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 6 -	++
18	At4g37800	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 7 +	-
19	At1g11545	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 8 +	+
20	At4g03210	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 9	-	+
21	At2g14620	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 10 -	-
22	At3g48580	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 11 -	-
23	At5g57530	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 12 -	+
24	At5g57540	Putative xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	in 13 -	+
25	At4g25820	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 14	++	+
26	At4g14130	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 15	-	+
27	At3g23730	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 16 -	++
28	At1g65310	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 17 +	-
29	At4g30280	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 18 +	-
30	At4g30290	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 19 -	+
31	At5g48070	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 20	+	-
32	At2g18800	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 21 -	++
33	At5g57560	Xyloglucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 22	-	+
34	At4g25810	Probable xyloglucan end	otransglucosylase/hydrolase prote	ein 23 -	++

1							
2	Accesion nu	mber	Protein name	S-nitrosylation	ty	rosine	e nitration
3	At4g30270	Xylo	glucan endotransglu	cosylase/hydrolase protein 24	ł	-	+
4	At5g57550	Prob	able xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase p	orotein	25 -	-
5	At4g28850	Prob	able xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase p	orotein	26 -	++
6	At2g01850	Prob	able xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase p	orotein	27 -	+
7	At1g14720	Prob	able xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase p	orotein	28 -	++
8	At4g18990	Prob	able xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase p	orotein	29 -	+
9	At1g32170	Prob	able xyloglucan endo	otransglucosylase/hydrolase p	orotein	30 -	++
10	At3g44990	Xylo	glucan endotransglue	cosylase/hydrolase protein 31	l	+	
11	At2g36870	Prob	able yloglucan endot	ransglucosylase/hydrolase pr	otein 3	- 32	-
12	At1g10550	Prob	able yloglucan endot	ransglucosylase/hydrolase pr	otein 3	33 +	-+ -
13							
14							

- 1 Figures
- 2 Figure 1
- 3



Fig 1 Lead-induced SIMR in *Brassicaceae*. Primary root length (cm, A) and lateral root number (pieces/root, B) of wild-type *Arabidopsis thaliana* grown in agar medium supplemented with 0 or 25 μM PbNO₃ for two weeks. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences according to Duncan-test (n=20, P≤0.05). (C) Representative images showing SIMR-phenotype (short primary root and enhanced number of lateral roots) in the root system of 15-days-old *Brassica juncea* seedlings treated with 0 or 25 μM PbNO₃ in nutrient solution for one week. Bar=5 cm.

13

1 Figure 2



- 2
- 3

4 Fig 2 Lead induces nitric oxide formation in SIMR root system. Representative microscopic images showing 4-amino-5-methylamino-2',7'-difluorofluorescein diacetate (DAF-FM DA) 5 6 stained primary root tips (A and B) and lateral roots (C and D) of wild-type Arabidopsis (Col-0) treated with 0 (A and C) or 25 µM (B and D) PbNO₃ for two weeks. Bars=100 µm. Mean 7 and standard error values of NO-associated pixel intensities are also indicated. Statistically 8 significant differences were determined by using Microsoft Office software and Student's t-9 test (n=10, **P≤0.01). The staining procedure, the microscopic detection (Zeiss Axiovert 10 200M, 10x magnification) and the measurement of fluorescence intensity were carried out as 11 12 described by Kolbert et al. 2015.





3 Fig 3 The effect of NO-deficiency on the extent of SIMR. Primary root length (cm, A) and lateral root number (pieces/root, smaller and larger than stage VII) of the wild-type and the 4 NO-deficient nialnia2 mutant grown in nutrient agar medium supplemented with 0 (-Se) or 5 6 10 µM Na₂SeO₃ (+Se) for 10 days. The developmental stages of LRs were determined after 7 Malamy and Benfey (1997) under Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan-test (n = 20, 8 9 P≤0.05). (C) Representative photographs showing the whole root system of control (-Se) and Se-treated (+Se) wild-type and nia1nia2 plants. Bar=2 mm. (D-G) The middle part of the root 10 system (indicated by a square in C) was examined using 2.5x magnification. D=wild-type -Se; 11 12 E=wild-type +Se; F=*nia1nia2* -Se; G=*nia1nia2* +Se. Bar=1 mm.