1	MONITORING PHARMACEUTICALS AND PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS IN RESERVOIR
2	WATER USED FOR DRINKING WATER SUPPLY
3	Carolina Aristizabal-Ciro <sup>a</sup> *, Ana María Botero-Coy <sup>*b</sup> , Francisco J. López <sup>b</sup> , Gustavo A. Peñuela <sup>a</sup>
4	
5	<sup>a</sup> Grupo GDCON, Facultad de Ingeniería, Sede de Investigación Universitaria (SIU), Universidad de
6	Antioquia, Calle 70 No 52-21, Medellín, Colombia
7	<sup>b</sup> Research Institute for Pesticides and Water, University Jaume I, Avda. Sos Baynat, E-12071 Castellón,
8	Spain.
9	
10	* Authors for correspondence. Both authors contributed equally to the design, development, writing and
11	revision of the present article
12	
13	Carolina Aristizabal (carolina.aristizabalc@udea.edu.co, Tel: +57 4 2196571)
14	Grupo GDCON, Facultad de Ingeniería, Sede de Investigación Universitaria (SIU)
15	Universidad de Antioquia, Calle 70 No 52-21
16	Medellín, Colombia
17	
18	Ana María Botero-Coy (botero@uji.es, Tel: +34 964 387391)
19	Research Institute for Pesticides and Water
20	University Jaume I, Castellón, Spain
21	
22	
23	

## 24 Abstract

25 In this work, the presence of selected emerging contaminants has been investigated in two reservoirs, La 26 Fe (LF) and Riogrande (RG), which supply water to two drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) of 27 Medellin, one of the most populated cities of Colombia. An analytical method based on solid phase 28 extraction (SPE) of the sample followed by measurement by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem 29 mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was developed and validated for this purpose. Five monitoring 30 campaigns were performed in each reservoir, collecting samples from 7 sites (LF) and 10 sites (RG) at 31 three different depths of the water column. In addition, water samples entering in the DWTPs and treated 32 water samples from these plans were also analyzed for the selected compounds. Data from this work 33 showed that parabens, UV filters and the pharmaceutical ibuprofen were commonly present in most of the 34 reservoir samples. Thus, methyl paraben was detected in around 90% of the samples collected, while 35 ibuprofen was found in around 60% of the samples. Water samples feeding the DWTPs also contained 36 these two compounds, as well as benzophenone at low concentrations, which was in general agreement 37 with the results from the reservoir samples. After treatment in the DWTPs these three compounds were 38 still present in the samples although at low concentrations (<40ng/L), which evidenced that they were not 39 completely removed after the conventional treatment applied. The potential effects of the presence of 40 these compounds at the ppt levels in drinking water are still unknown. Further research is needed to 41 evaluate the effect of chronic exposure to these compounds via consumption of drinking water.

42

45

46

47

48

49

50

*Keywords:* emerging contaminants, personal care products, pharmaceuticals, UHPLC/MS/MS, drinking
 water, reservoir water

### 52 1. Introduction

53 Reservoirs are artificial lakes formed by the accumulation of water from rivers, creeks, streams and other 54 natural sources, which are subject to the influence of its tributaries and associated watersheds. Storage in 55 a reservoir increases the availability of water for various purposes, such as the generation of electric 56 power, irrigation of crops, industrial use and supply of drinking water for human consumption, among 57 others (Martinez-Zapata, 2011). Specifically, the quality of drinking water is conditioned by the water of 58 the reservoirs that feed the treatment plants producing drinking water. These systems are exposed to 59 numerous natural and anthropogenic factors (Uhlmann et al., 2011). The impact of human activities on 60 the aquatic environment has increased due to the growth in population and industrialization, which has 61 intensified discharges from domestic, industrial and agricultural wastewater, the main sources of 62 pollution.

Nowadays, there is a general concern on the presence of emerging contaminants in the water 63 64 environment. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) are of particular concern due to their 65 wide use and their occurrence in treated wastewater and surface waters (Gracia-Lor et al., 2012a, 2012b). 66 These compounds are not regulated yet in water legislation and can be harmful for the aquatic ecosystem, 67 and become a hazard for human public health (Barceló and Petrovic, 2007; Daughton, 2004; Farré et al., 68 2008). These compounds are present in urban wastewater, but are not efficiently removed in the 69 wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) that apply conventional treatments (Gracia-Lor et al., 2012b; Gros 70 et al., 2010; Jelic et al., 2011; Lacey et al., 2008). In addition to the inefficient removal in WWTPs, some 71 of them are also persistent and can be bioaccumulated in living organisms (Daughton and Ternes, 1999; 72 Yang et al., 2014; Zeng, 2015). Within the group of PPCPs, many different families of compounds can be 73 included, as those used in medicine, veterinary or agriculture, cosmetics, UV filters, fragrances, and even 74 additives in food (Farré et al., 2008; Martinez Bueno et al., 2016). Oppositely to most priority pollutants 75 included in the current legislation, which have high toxicity and/or persistence in the environment, PPCPs 76 do not commonly have these characteristics, but are continuously released in the aquatic ecosystems (via 77 urban wastewater), where non short-term detectable effects may occur (Muñoz et al., 2008), although 78 long-term effects are still unknown. The fact that many PPCPs are not efficiently removed in the WWTPs 79 means that these compounds can easily reach surface water (Dai et al., 2015; Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 80 2008; Liu et al., 2015; Matamoros et al., 2012) and even drinking water (Boyd et al., 2003; Carmona et 81 al., 2014; Kumar and Xagoraraki, 2010; Sodré et al., 2010; Vulliet et al., 2011).

82 Colombia has many aquatic ecosystems providing water for human and industrial consumption. 83 Specifically, Antioquia province is very rich in hydric resources, which are used for energy and drinking 84 water production. This is the case of the reservoirs La Fe (LF) and Riogrande (RG), which are the source 85 of water supply of the two drinking water treatments plants (DWTPs) of Medellin: La Ayurá (LA) and 86 Manantiales (MA). Although these reservoirs are located in low population areas, they can receive the impact of wastewater, both from urban and agricultural origin (Martinez and Peñuela, 2013). Therefore, it 87 88 becomes necessary to investigate the occurrence of emerging contaminants to have a better knowledge on 89 the presence of these compounds in the reservoirs, and eventually in drinking water.

90 The objective of this work was to investigate the presence of selected PPCPs in water samples from these 91 two reservoirs, and in samples entering in two DWTPs that use water from these reservoirs, as well as in 92 treated drinking water samples. To this aim, an analytical method based on the use of liquid 93 chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was developed and validated for the determination of ibuprofen (IBU), diclofenac (DIC), clofibric acid (ACF), carbamazepin (CBZ), 94 benzophenone (BZP), benzophenone-3 (BZP-3), methylparaben (MePB), ethylparaben (EtPB) and 95 96 buthylparaben (BuPB) in surface water. A solid phase extraction (SPE) was applied to water samples, 97 which allowed to obtain a pre-concentration factor of 200. Five monitoring campaigns were performed in 98 each reservoir, collecting waters from seven sites (LF reservoir) and 10 sites (RG reservoir) at three 99 different water column depths: sub-surface, photic zone limit, and bottom. In addition, samples from the 100 entrance and the exit of the DWTPs were also analysed for these compounds. Considering the general 101 lack of data on PPCPs in Colombian surface waters, particularly in the Medellin area, this paper was 102 conceived as a preliminary study to investigate the presence of some of the most consumed/used 103 compounds in the area under study. Further studies will be required, including more PPCPs and 104 metabolites/transformation products (TPs), to have a better knowledge of the issue treated, and to suggest 105 subsequent actions to protect the aquatic environment, if needed.

106

### 107 2. Experimental

### 108 2.1 Regents and chemicals

109 Reference standards of ibuprofen (99%), diclofenac (99%), clofibric acid (99.5%), and carbamazepin 110 (99.5%) were from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Germany); benzophenone (99.4%), methylparaben (99.5%), 111 ethylparaben (99.5%) and buthylparaben (99.5%) from Chemservice (West Chester, USA); and 112 benzophenone-3 (100%) from Accustandard (New Haven, USA). Isotope-labelled internal standards 113 (ILIS) of ibuprofen-d3 (IBU-d3) was from Toronto Research Chemicals TRC (Toronto-Ontario, Canada), 114 and ethylparaben-d<sub>4</sub> (EtPB-d4) from CDN Isotopes (Quebec, Canada). LC-MS grade methanol was from 115 Merck (New Jersey, USA) and acetonitrile from Honeywell, B. & J. Brand (USA). HPLC-grade water was obtained by purifying demineralized water in a Milli-Q Gradient A10 (Millipore, Bedford, MA, 116 117 USA). Formic acid 98-100% was from Merck (New Jersey, USA).

Individual stock solutions of 1000 mg/L of both, reference standards and ILIS, were prepared by dissolving 10 mg in 10ml MeOH. From these individual solutions, a mix solution of 100 mg/L containing the PPCPs was prepared by dilution in MeOH. Working mix solutions of 10, 1 and 0.1 mg/L PPCPs were prepared in MeOH from the 100 mg/L mix solution. A mix solution of ILIS of 2 mg/L IBU-d3 and 10 mg/L EtPB-d4 was also prepared in MEOH from a 100 mg/L solution.

123 Sample extraction was made by solid phase extraction (SPE) using Oasis HLB cartridges (60 mg) from

124 Waters Corp. (Milford, MA, USA).

125

## 126 2.2 Liquid chromatography

- 127 An Acquity UPLC system (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) was used, equipped with a quaternary
- solvent manager and a sample manager. Chromatographic separation was performed using an Acquity
- 129 UPLC BEH C18 column, 2.1 x 50 mm, 1.7  $\mu$ m, (Waters) at a flow rate of 0.3mL/min. The column was
- 130 kept at 40°C and the sample manager was maintained at 5°C. The mobile phase consisted of water with a
- 131 0.01% HCOOH/methanol gradient. The methanol percentage was changed linearly as follows: 0 min,
- 132 10%; 9 min, 90%; 9.5 min, 90%; 10.5 min, 100%; 10.6 min, 100%; 11.7 min, 10%. Analysis run time
- 133 was 12.7 min. The sample extract injection was 20  $\mu$ L.
- 134

## 135 2.3 Mass Spectrometry

136 An Acquity UPLC system was coupled to a TQD (triple quadrupole) mass spectrometer with an ortho-137 gonal Z-spray-electrospray (ESI) (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). Both the drying gas and the nebulising gas was nitrogen generated from pressurized air in a N<sub>2</sub> LC-MS nitrogen generator (Peak 138 Scientific, EE. UU). The cone gas and the desolvation gas flows were set at 80 and 800L/h, respectively. 139 For operation in MS/MS mode, the collision gas was Argon 99.995% at  $2x10^{-3}$  mbar in a T-Wave 140 141 collision cell. Capillary voltages of -2.8 kV (negative ionization mode) and 3.0 kV (positive ionization 142 mode) were applied. The interface temperature was set to 450 °C and the source temperature to 150 °C. 143 Dwell times of 0.1 s were selected. Masslynx 4.1 (Micromass, Manchester, UK) software was used to 144 process the quantitative data.

The studied compounds were measured in ESI positive and/or ESI negative mode during the same injection acquiring two MS/MS transitions per compound. The acquisition of two transitions, of which the most sensitive was used for quantification (Q) and the other was used for confirmation (q), ensured the appropriate identification of the analytes in the samples. Only one transition was available for IBU 205>161 (Q) due to the poor fragmentation of this compound under the conditions applied. The transitions selected, and the optimized conditions of cone voltage and collision energy are shown in **Table 1.** 

152

#### 153 *2.4 Sample procedure*

154 Water samples were firstly centrifuged (Boeco Centrifuge U-320R, Germany) for 10 min at 4500 rpm to 155 separate particulate matter (filtration was discarded to avoid potential losses in the filter). Then, SPE was 156 applied within 48h after samples collection. When this was not possible, the centrifuged samples were 157 stored in the freezer at  $-20^{\circ}$ C (Revco-Thermo Scientific). Conditioning of the SPE cartridges was made 158 with 3 mL MeOH and 3 mL ultrapure water. After conditioning, 200 mL of the water sample (adding 50 159  $\mu$ L of the mix ILIS solution (2 mg/L IBU-d3, 10 mg/L EtPB-d4)) were loaded into the SPE cartridge at a 160 flow of 1-2 mL/min. The cartridges were air dried, and analytes were eluted with 5 mL MeOH. The eluate 161 was evaporated under a gentle nitrogen stream and the residue was reconstituted 1 mL MeOH-H2O 162 (10:90, v/v). Finally, 20 µL of the extract was injected into the UHPLC-MS/MS system under conditions 163 indicated in Table 1.

- 164 Quantification was made with standards prepared in solvent, using relative responses analyte/ILIS, or
- absolute responses, depending on whether ILIS was used for correction or not. All compounds measured
- in negative mode were quantified using ILIS for matrix effects correction. Thus, parabens (MePB, EtPB

and BuPB) were quantified using EtPB- $d_4$ , while IBU was quantified using IBU- $d_3$ . After a previous

study on the best ILIS to be used for matrix effects correction, EtPB-d4 was selected for quantification of

169 DIC and ACF. The three compounds measured in positive mode (CBZ, BZP, BZP-3) were quantified by

external calibration using standards in solvent without ILIS due to the unavailability of appropriate ILISin our laboratory. In any case, our data did not show relevant matrix effects for these compounds in the

- samples tested.
- 173

# 174 2.5 Study Area and samples collection

175 The present study was carried out in two reservoirs (RG and LF) that are used for drinking water supply 176 to the city of Medellin after the water being treated in two DWTPs. Samples were taken from three 177 depths: the subsurface, photic zone limit and reservoir bottom in order to evaluate the presence of 178 pollutants along the overall water column. Ten sampling locations were selected in reservoir RG (Fig 1) 179 and seven locations in reservoir LF (Fig 2). Additionally, water samples were also collected in each 180 tributary at locations before the entry to the each reservoir (Figure 1, numbers 12, 15, 17 and 19; Figure 2, 181 numbers 8, 9, 10 and 11). The samples were collected using a Schindler bottle. An aliquot of 200 mL of 182 each sample was transferred to amber glass bottles that were previously cleaned and heated to 450 °C for 183 8 h to remove any presence of organic matter. Then, they were transported to the laboratory under cooled 184 conditions (4°C).

Influent and effluent samples from the two DWTPs (Manantiales (MA), fed with water from reservoir
RG; and La Ayurá (LA) fed with water from reservoir LF) consisted on 24-h composite samples. The
effluent samples (i.e. drinking water supplied to Medellin) were amended with sodium thiosulfate
immediately after collection to eliminate residual chlorine (Martinez and Peñuela, 2013).

189 The monitoring plan for reservoirs, tributary rivers and DWTPs is summarised in Table 1SI190 (Supplementary Information).

191

## 192 **3.** Results and discussion

193 The selection of the compounds under study was made based on the main human activities in the area and 194 on previous data reported in a preliminary study performed on the presence of PPCPs (Gracia-Lor et al., 195 2012a). The area around reservoir LF and their tributaries has experimented an increased urbanization 196 process and recreational events, including the touristic park Los Salados. Reservoir RG is more affected 197 by agricultural activities, and several farms (mainly cattle and pigs) are located in the nearby areas. 198 Despite the presumably presence of pesticides, because of the agricultural activities, we focused our study 199 on pharmaceuticals. Thus, four compounds were selected such as ibuprofen and diclofenac, which are 200 analgesic/anti-inflammatory drugs commonly consumed around the world (Mendez-Arriaga et al., 2010; 201 Scheurell et al., 2009) and specifically in Colombia; they are easily accessible for consumers and are included in the Colombian national strategy for Human Health. Carbamazepine is used for epilepsy
treatment and is frequently found in natural and waste waters (Bade et al., 2015; Hernández et al., 2015;
Sun et al., 2013); and clofibric acid, which is used to decrease cholesterol and triglicerids levels in blood
(Saravanan et al., 2011).

A preliminary study made by our own group in collaboration with the University Jaume I of Castellon (Gracia-Lor et al., 2012a) revealed the presence of several personal care products in waters from these two reservoirs, specifically benzophenones, commonly used in UV filters and other products of wide use. Benzophenone and benzophenone-3 were identified in several of the samples. Parabens, used as preservatives in cosmetics and even as additives in food, were also found in the water samples (methyl paraben, ethyl paraben, and buthyl paraben).

- Altogether, 9 PPCPs were selected for this study, 4 of them being drugs of wide consumption and/orfrequent detection in waters, and 5 being personal care products.
- 214

## 215 3.1 Analytical Methodology

- The method linearity was studied in the range 1–100  $\mu$ g/L. Calibration curves showed satisfactory correlation coefficients (greater than 0.99) and residuals were lower than 30%. The method accuracy was evaluated in surface water spiked (n=5) at three concentration levels (0.05, 0.25 and 0.45  $\mu$ g/L). The results were satisfactory in terms of precision, expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD) (lower than 20%) and accuracy, expressed as percentage recovery (between 70 and 120%) for the analysis of PPCPs under study (**Table 2**).
- Limits of detection (LOD) were calculated as a function of the standard deviation for a 99% confidence
  level (Brown and Berthouex, 2002; Martinez-Zapata, 2011), (n=7) in a sample spiked at 0.25µg/L. Limits
  of quantification (LOQ) were taken as 3.3 x LOD (Table 2).
- 225 Confirmation of positive findings was carried out by calculating the peak area ratios between the 226 quantification (Q) and confirmation (q) transition. The finding was considered as true positive when the 227 experimental ion-ratio was within the tolerance range (Gracia-Lor et al., 2012b), and the retention time in 228 the sample within  $\pm 2.5\%$  when compared with a reference standard (see Table 1 for Q/q ratios empirically 229 obtained for reference standards).
- A problem occurred in the determination of BZP, which seemed to be related to a contamination of the LC-MS/MS system used for analyses. For this reason, data were available only for samples collected until October 2012. From then on, although a peak clearly differentiated from the blank was observed for several of the samples (suggesting that the sample was positive for this compound), we could not accurately quantified this analyte.
- 235

#### 236 3.2 Reservoir samples

The validated method was applied to water samples collected from reservoirs and the drinking watertreatment plants. In every sequence of analysis (around 20 samples), a calibration curve was injected.

- 239 Quality control (QC) samples (i.e blank samples fortified at  $0.25 \ \mu g/L$  with the selected PPCPs) were also 240 analyzed in every sequence in order to test the robustness and applicability of the method to the samples 241 under study. Confirmation of positive findings was based on the agreement of Q/q ratios and 242 chromatographic retention times between samples and reference standards (see Analytical Methodology).
- 243

# 244 3.2.1 Reservoir Rio Grande (RG)

The highest percentage of positive samples corresponded to BZP, MePB and IBU, which were detected in many of the samples analysed (Table 3). In relation to DIC, it was only found in the samples from April (11% positives) and November (3% positives), always at levels below LOQ. BZP-3 was only detected in samples from April with an average concentration of 238 ng/L, and EtPB was scarcely found, with only 4% of positives in the monitoring of April (all < LOQ). BuPB, CFA and CBZ were not detected in any of the samples from this reservoir. In general, not a clear trend was observed in the concentrations of these compounds as a function of the sampling date.</li>

Concentrations of MePB, and mainly IBU, seemed to slightly increase along the depth of the water column in most of sampling stations (**Fig 1SI**), which would imply that these compounds are sorbed onto the sediments (IBU, Log  $K_{ow}$  3,97; MePB, Log  $K_{ow}$  4,5) (Fatta-Kassinos et al., 2013; Vergili, 2013). In addition, humic material tends to accumulate into the bottom, which might facilitate the complexation with the contaminants (Hincapie - Upegui., 2014) that would be associated to the sediments and/or to the bottom of the water column.

We did not observe a clear trend in the spatial distribution of MePB in the different stations monitored in this reservoir, although a notable increase in concentration in the bottom samples was found in stations 7 and 8 in October 2012 (**Fig 1SI**), a fact that could not be easily explainable. For IBU, the trend of the concentrations was more uniform in sub-surface, photic limit zone and bottom samples. In general, concentrations in station 1, which was near the tributary Rio Chico (number 12) were a bit higher, and then decreased along stations 2 and 3 (see map shown in Figure 1). However, the possible influence of the other tributaries was not so evident in those stations that might be more affected, i.e. stations 6, 4 and 10.

Additionally to samples from reservoir RG, water samples were also collected in each tributary at locations just before the entry to the reservoir (**Fig 1**) in order to evaluate whether they were the main source of pollution of the reservoir. Concentration data found in tributaries 12, 15, 17 and 19, in the two monitoring campaigns, are shown in **Table 4**.

In the tributaries, similarly to the reservoir samples, the predominant compounds were BZP, MePB and IBU, although BZP-3 was also found at notable concentrations in samples collected in April. The presence of IBU in tributary 12 (Rio Chico) in both monitoring campaigns may explain the presence of this compound in reservoir samples of station 1, located near the entry of this tributary. From sampling station 1 onwards, IBU concentrations slightly decreased along the distance (stations 2 and 3).

BZP and MePB were present in all tributaries, which might explain the presence of these compounds in
the reservoir. Similarly to the results found in the reservoir, BZP-3 was only found in the samples
collected in April 2012. Although no relevant differences were observed in general between the

tributaries in terms of analyte concentrations, the tributary15 was the only one where the three parabens
included in this study, BuPB, EtPB and MePB, were found, with the latter being present at relatively high
concentrations (242 ng/L).

280

#### 281 *3.2.2 Reservoir La Fe (LF)*

In general, the behaviour and concentrations found in this reservoir were similar to those found in
reservoir RG. The higher concentrations were commonly observed in the first monitoring, June 2012,
although no significant variations were observed along the different periods of the year.

The compounds most detected in this reservoir were again BZP, MePB and IBU, although other parabens
(BuPB, EtPB) were also found in several of the samples at detectable concentrations (mostly below 60 ng/L) (Table 5).

Additionally, the most important tributaries (numbers 8, 9, 10, 11; see map in Figure 2) were also monitored in October and December 2012, and February 2013 (**Table 6**). The PPCPs concentrations in these tributaries were lower than tributaries from the other reservoir (see Table 4), as only IBU and MePB were found at detectable concentrations (no data available for BZP). In all positives, concentrations were below 80 ng/L. This fact illustrates that, at least for the compounds selected in this study, the tributaries

seemed not be an important source of pollution in the reservoir.

No clear trends were observed in the spatial distribution of the compounds in this reservoir (Fig 2SI) and,
as stated above, the influence of the tributaries was not perceived.

The presence of PPCPs in urban wastewater has been widely reported in the scientific literature, at concentrations that are sometimes well above 1000 ng/L. High levels can be found even in effluent wastewater, as many of these compounds are not efficiently removed in WWTPs (Alidina et al., 2014; Anumol and Snyder, 2015; Carmona et al., 2014; Gracia-Lor et al., 2012b; Gros et al., 2010; Jelic et al., 2011; Lacey et al., 2008; Liu and Wong, 2013). This fact explains that a notable number of PPCPs are present in surface water, including the compounds studied in this work.

Specifically, MePB has been found in wide concentrations ranges, with average values near those
reported in our work for reservoir samples (around 100 ng/L) (Carmona et al., 2014; Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2008). Ibuprofen is one of the compounds more frequently detected in surface water
around the world (Fernández et al., 2010; Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2008; Lindholm-Lehto et al., 2016;
Liu et al., 2015; Liu and Wong, 2013; Matamoros et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2008; Tran et al., 2014; Wang

307 et al., 2010). Concentrations reported are commonly higher than those found in reservoirs RG and LF.

Thus, values up to 2,700 and 1,800 ng/L have been reported for IBU in Spain and Vietnam, respectively (Fernández et al., 2010; Tran et al., 2014), which are clearly higher than in our work ( $\leq 60$  ng/L).

- 310 Less data exist on BZP levels in surface water, and most studies are focused on BZP-3 (Kim and Choi,
- 311 2014). The presence of BZP has been reported in surface water from California, with maximum
- 312 concentrations of 5.1 ng/L (Alvarez et al., 2014). Although not detected in our study, BZP-3 is commonly
- found in surface water, at levels up to 125 ng/L (Kim and Choi, 2014).

- 314 In the present work, several compounds selected (DIC, CBZ, CFA, EtPB, BuPB) were not detected in the
- reservoir samples. However, their presence in surface water has been reported in several previous studies.
- **316** For example, CBZ has been found at low concentrations in Finland (1.2 ng/L) (Lindholm-Lehto et al.,
- 317 2016), and at much higher concentrations in Vietnam (5,110 ng/L) (Tran et al., 2014). DIC is commonly
- 318 reported in surface water, at concentrations from 10 ng/L, in Switzerland, to 700 or 800 ng/L, in China or
- 319 Spain (Fernández et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010). Parabens (Carmona et al., 2014; Esteban et al., 2014;
- 320 Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2008) and CFA are usually present at low levels in fresh waters (around or
- below 50 ng/L) (Gros et al., 2006; Hernando et al., 2006; Kasprzyk-Hordern et al., 2008; Öllers et al.,
- **322** 2001; Wang et al., 2010).
- Data from above show that the compounds selected in our study are commonly present in fresh water
   from many countries around the world. In general, the levels reported in our work for reservoir water and
   tributaries samples are lower than reported in the majority of studies performed in other countries.
   However, more data and extensive monitoring programs would be necessary to confirm this fact.
- 327

# 328 3.3. Drinking water treatment plant samples

Four monitoring campaigns were carried out in two DWTPs: Los Manatiales (MA) and La Ayurá (Table
1SI). The DWTP of MA receives water from the reservoir RG, and the catchment is located at station 4
(Fig 1), while DWTP of LA receives water from reservoir LF, with the catchment being located at station
3 (Fig 2).

The results obtained for analyses of influent water (water from the reservoir collected in the catchment station) and in effluent water (treated water already used for drinking water supply) are shown in **Table 7**. The compounds IBU, MePB and BZP were the most frequently detected, similarly to the reservoir and tributary samples. These were present in both influent and treated water of the two drinking water treatment plants. The concentrations found in the influents were in general consistent with those of the reservoir samples, specifically from stations 4 (RG) and 3 (LF), where the catchments are located. This supports the observation that these compounds are present in the reservoir water and enter in the DWTP.

- In addition to the three major contaminants, BZP-3, DIC and BuPB were occasionally detected in the influent of MA, although at concentrations < 30 ng/L. The first two compounds were also occasionally found in the RG reservoir samples in some monitoring campaigns, but BuPB was never found in the reservoir; a fact that needs further research for confirmation. A similar situation was observed in influent samples from LA, where in addition to the three major compounds, BZP-3 and DIC were occasionally detected although at low concentrations (< 30 ng/L), while they were not detected in the LF reservoir samples.
- The comparison of data from influent and effluent samples from DWTPs showed that PPCPs present in the catchment were not completely removed in the conventional treatment applied. For example, the removal efficiency for BZP, MePB and IBU was found to be between 30-60% (BZP), 20-60% (MePB), and 0-50% (IBU) in MA DWTP, while it was much lower in the LA DWTP (**Table 7**). These results are in agreement with previous data reported on drinking water treatment (de Jesus Gaffney et al., 2014;

Padhye et al., 2014). Both DWTPs apply conventional treatments, based on coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation and filtration, which would be expected to efficiently remove hydrophobic compounds of high molecular weight, less soluble in water, but not necessarily emerging contaminants that are more polar in nature. For this reason, polar emerging compounds, as the majority of PPCPs, when present in water at low concentrations, would remain in the aqueous phase and would not be removed along the coagulation-flocculation process, leading to low removal efficiencies in the treatment plant (Rodríguez-Paniagua, 2015).

359 In addition, both DWTPs also apply chlorination, a process that allow to eliminate the great majority of 360 organic compounds, although not all of them. It has been reported that the use of oxidizing agents is 361 appropriate for removal of emerging contaminants in drinking water treatment (Huber et al., 2005), 362 however, the kinetic of the process can be slow and other by-products (in some occasions of unknown 363 effects on human health) can be formed (Rodríguez-Paniagua, 2015). According to our data, it seems that 364 the low chlorine doses used in the DWTPs were not sufficient to completely remove some PPCPs. 365 Therefore, it would be necessary to improve the treatment in order to remove trace levels of PPCPs in 366 drinking water, for example, using higher doses of chlorine or implementing tertiary treatments, such as 367 advanced oxidation systems, that are more efficient to this aim.

In any case, the levels found in drinking water (effluent already treated and used for drinking water supply) were always below 50 ng/L, with the only exception of MePB in the sample collected in MA in September 2012 (80 ng/L) (Table 7). Although concentrations were below 0.1  $\mu$ g/L (the reference value used by default for pesticide residues in drinking water), no sufficient data exist to assess the potential harmful effects on human health. Therefore, more research is required in the near future on this issue.

373 Confirmation of positive findings was based on the agreement of Q/q ratios and chromatographic 374 retention times between samples and reference standards (see Analytical Methodology). Similarly to the 375 reservoir and tributary samples, QCs (drinking water samples fortified at 0.25 µg/L with the selected 376 PPCPs) were analyzed in every sequence in order to test the robustness and applicability of the method.

As illustrative example, Fig 3 shows the LC-MS/MS chromatograms for an effluent sample from
Manantiales DWTP, where BZP and MePB were found and their identity confirmed by Rt and ion/ratio
agreement with the reference standard.

380 Our findings are in the line of previous data reported on occurrence of PPCPs in drinking water around 381 the world. It is not rare to find some of these compounds in drinking water at the few ng/L level. 382 Specifically, the compounds selected in our work have been found in drinking waters, as summarized in a 383 recent review (Bialk-Bielinska et al., 2016), where maximal concentrations reported for diclofenac, 384 carbamazepine and ibuprofen are given. Thus, IBU was frequently reported in DW from USA, Japan, 385 China, Portugal and Spain, typically at concentrations between 10-30 ng/L. DIC was also reported in DW 386 from Japan and China at maximal concentrations of 16 and 10 ng/L, respectively; and also in Spain, at 387 average concentrations of 18 ng/L (Bialk-Bielinska et al., 2016; Carmona et al., 2014). However, it seems 388 that this compound was not present in finished water, after disinfection (Cai et al., 2014). Contrarily to 389 our work, where CBZ was not detected in DW, this compound was frequently found, at maximal 390 concentrations between 2 and 5.6 ng/L, in DW from Canada, Spain and USA, and between 14 and 35

ng/L in Portugal, Japan and China. CBZ was also found in finished water subjected to disinfection in all
the five sample analysed from Beijing, China, at concentrations between 0.4 and 1.2 ng/L (Cai et al.,
2014).

There are very few data on the presence of parabens in drinking water (Haman et al., 2015). In the United States, MePB was never detected in a study on drinking water sources and treated drinking water (Loraine and Pettigrove, 2006). However, in Spain MePB (17 and 40 ng/L) was quantified in tap water (Blanco et al., 2009; Casas Ferreira et al., 2011). These two studies did not observe any EtPB, PrPB or BuPB. Another recent Spanish study (Carmona et al., 2014) demonstrated the presence of MePB (12 ng/L), PrPB (9 ng/L) and BuPB (28 ng/L), but not EtPB. In our work, MePB was also found at concentrations between 21 and 80 ng/L, while EtPB was not detected, and BuPB was only once at 7 ng/L.

- Although the presence of the UV filters benzophenones in effluent wastewater and surface water has been
  reported, there are very little studies on drinking water. BZP-4 was found in DW from Galicia, Spain, at
  maximum concentrations of 62 ng/L (Rodil et al., 2012), close to the levels reported in our work (around
  404 q0 ng/L). Benzophenone was also reported in an early study made in the United States in drinking water
  at concentrations above 100 ng/L (Loraine and Pettigrove, 2006).
- 406 The regulations on PPCPs in drinking water are still insufficient around the world, and no maximum 407 levels have been established in most countries yet. Taking as a reference the maximum level allowed for 408 pesticides in drinking water according to the European Directive (European Union, 1998) (0.1  $\mu$ g/L), the 409 concentrations found for the PPCPs under study were lower than this value. However, it is not possible to 410 fully evaluate the potential hazard of PPCPs at these low levels for public health due to the lack of long-411 term exposition risk data for these compounds. However, the application of the precautionary principle 412 seems necessary, and measurements should be taken to efficiently remove PPCPs in the DWTPs.
- 413 The research presented in this paper can be considered as a preliminary study that reveals the occurrence 414 of selected PPCPs in reservoirs of Medellin, and their potential presence in drinking water produced from 415 these reservoirs. Subsequent actions will be needed in the near future, including ambitious monitoring 416 programs where the number of compounds should be notably increased. In addition, selected 417 metabolites/TPs should be also included in the monitoring, because most pharmaceuticals are excreted as 418 metabolites more than as the parent compound, and can also suffer degradation/transformation processes 419 in the aquatic environment. Therefore, the occurrence of TPs can be more frequent than that of the parent 420 compounds (Boix et al., 2016; Ibáñez et al., 2016).
- 421

#### 422 4. Conclusions

In this work, several PPCPs have been monitored in two important reservoirs near Medellin, as well as in the main tributaries of these reservoirs. Our data showed that some preservatives (parabens, mainly MePB), UV-filters, as BZPs and BZP-3, and the pharmaceutical IBU were present in most of the samples analysed. The presence of these compounds in the tributaries may explain their detection in the reservoir water samples, although other sources of pollution should not be discarded. As reservoir water is used for catchment of drinking water treatment plants, these compounds (mainly MePB, IBU and BZP) were also present in the influent of the DWTPs. Analysis of treated water showed that these compounds were not completely removed after the conventional treatments applied for drink water supply (coagulation, flocculation, sedimentation, filtration, and subsequent disinfection by chlorination). This reveals that conventional treatments, which had been designed to remove the great majority of organic matter, are not efficient enough to remove polar contaminants present at low concentrations in the influent water, as occurs for most of emerging contaminants.

435 The PPCPs concentrations found in drinking water were all below 80 ng/L (e.g. below 0.1  $\mu$ g/L, which is 436 the maximum concentration allowed for pesticides -a group of contaminants subjected to strict regulation 437 in drinking water). Insufficient information exists to assess the potential harmful effects of these low 438 levels on human health. It seems necessary the application of the precautionary principle until more data 439 are available to properly evaluate the risks for the population. More research is required in the near future 440 considering a higher number of PPCPs, including the most consumed by population, because synergic 441 effects have to be taken into account. The presence of metabolites and transformation products is another 442 issue of concern, as many pharmaceuticals are hardly excreted as parent compound; therefore, the 443 presence of some parent pharmaceuticals may only be the visible part of the iceberg of this complex and 444 of current concern issue.

445

### 446 5. Acknowledgements

447 This work has been developed under the financial support provided by Empresa Públicas de Medellin. 448 The authors wish to thank Marian Martinez from the GDCON research team for their help with the 449 analysis. Carolina Aristizábal is especially grateful with Carlos Lopez, professor of University of 450 Antioquia, for his technical advice in the development of this work. The advices and help of Professor 451 Felix Hernandez, from University Jaume I, is greatly appreciated.

452

#### 453 SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

In this section, two figures are included, showing the distribution of IBU and MePB in reservoir RG (Figure 1SI) and in reservoir LF (Figure 2SI) water collected at three depths for different sampling stations and monitoring dates. Also three tables, 1SI: Monitoring plan for reservoirs, tributary rivers and DWTPs, 2SI: Concentrations (ng/L) found for BZP, MePB and IBU in samples from reservoir RG, 3SI: Concentrations (ng/L) found for BZP, MePB and IBU in samples from reservoir LF, are included to support the information given in the manuscript.

460

461

462

## 464 **REFERENCES**

- Alidina, M., Hoppe-Jones, C., Yoon, M., Hamadeh, A.F., Li, D., Drewes, J.E., 2014. The occurrence of
  emerging trace organic chemicals in wastewater effluents in Saudi Arabia. Sci. Total Environ. 478,
  152–162.
- Alvarez, D. a., Maruya, K. a., Dodder, N.G., Lao, W., Furlong, E.T., Smalling, K.L., 2014. Occurrence of contaminants of emerging concern along the California coast (2009-10) using passive sampling devices. Mar Pollut Bull 81, 347–354.
- Anumol, T., Snyder, S. a., 2015. Rapid analysis of trace organic compounds in water by automated online
  solid-phase extraction coupled to liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Talanta 132,
  77–86.
- Bade, R., Rousis, N.I., Bijlsma, L., Gracia-Lor, E., Castiglioni, S., Sancho, J. V., Hernandez, F., 2015.
  Screening of pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs in wastewater and surface waters of Spain and Italy
  by high resolution mass spectrometry using UHPLC-QTOF MS and LC-LTQ-Orbitrap MS. Anal
  Bional. Chem. 407, 8979–8988.
- 478 Barceló, D., Petrovic, M., 2007. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) in the environment.
  479 Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 387, 1141–1142.
- Bialk-Bielinska, A., Kumirska, J., Borecka, M., Caban, M., Paszkiewicz, M., Pazdro, K., Stepnowski, P.,
  2016. Selected analytical challenges in the determination of pharmaceuticals in drinking/marine
  waters and soil/sediment samples. J Pharm Biomed Anal 121, 271–296.
- Blanco, E., Casais, M. del C., Mejuto, M. del C., Cela, R., 2009. Combination of off-line solid-phase
  extraction and on-column sample stacking for sensitive determination of parabens and phydroxybenzoic acid in waters by non-aqueous capillary electrophoresis. Anal Chim Acta 647, 104–111.
- 487 Boix, C., Ibáñez, M., Bagnati, R., Zuccato, E., Sancho, J. V., Hernández, F., Castiglioni, S., 2016. High
  488 resolution mass spectrometry to investigate omeprazole and venlafaxine metabolites in wastewater.
  489 J Hazard Mater 302, 332–340.
- Boyd, G.R., Reemtsma, H., Grimm, D.A., Mitra, S., 2003. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products
  (PPCPs) in surface and treated waters of Louisiana, USA and Ontario, Canada. Sci Total Env. 311, 135–149.
- Brown, L.C., Berthouex, P. Mac, 2002. Statistics for Environmental Engineers. Limit of detection.,
  Second Edi. ed. January 29, by CRC Press.
- 495 Cai, M.-Q., Wang, R., Feng, L., Zhang, L.-Q., 2014. Determination of selected pharmaceuticals in tap
  496 water and drinking water treatment plant by high-performance liquid chromatography-triple
  497 quadrupole mass spectrometer in Beijing, China. Env. Sci Pollut Res 22, 1854–1867.
- 498 Carmona, E., Vicente, A., Picó, Y., 2014. Occurrence of acidic pharmaceuticals and personal care
   499 products in Turia River Basin: From waste to drinking water. Sci Total Env. 484, 53–63.
- Casas Ferreira, A.M., Möder, M., Fernández Laespada, M.E., 2011. GC-MS determination of parabens,
   triclosan and methyl triclosan in water by in situ derivatisation and stir-bar sorptive extraction. Anal
   Bional. Chem. 399, 945–953.
- Dai, G., Wang, B., Huang, J., Dong, R., Deng, S., Yu, G., 2015. Occurrence and source apportionment of
   pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the Beiyun River of Beijing, China. Chemosphere
   119, 1033–1039.
- Daughton, C., Ternes, T., 1999. Special Report: Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the
   enviornment: Agents of subtle change? Env. Heal. Perspec 107, 907–938.
- Daughton, C.G., 2004. Non-regulated water contaminants: Emerging research. Env. Impact Asses 24,
   711–732.
- de Jesus Gaffney, V., Almeida, C.M.M., Rodrigues, A., Ferreira, E., Benoliel, M.J., Cardoso, V.V., 2014.
   Occurrence of pharmaceuticals in a water supply system and related human health risk assessment.

- 512 Water Res. 2, 1–10.
- 513 Esteban, S., Gorga, M., Petrovic, M., González-Alonso, S., Barceló, D., Valcárcel, Y., 2014. Analysis and
   514 occurrence of endocrine-disrupting compounds and estrogenic activity in the surface waters of
   515 Central Spain. Sci Total Env. 466–467, 939–951.
- European Union, 1998. Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended
   for human consumption. Off. J. Eur. Communities L330, 32–54.
- 518 Farré, M. la, Pérez, S., Kantiani, L., Barceló, D., 2008. Fate and toxicity of emerging pollutants, their
  519 metabolites and transformation products in the aquatic environment. TrAC 27, 991–1007.
- Fatta-Kassinos, D., Bester, K., Kümmerer, K., 2013. Mass Flows, Environmental Processes, Mitigation
   and Treatment Strategies "Xenobiotics in the Urban Water Cycle," Springer.
- Fernández, C., González-Doncel, M., Pro, J., Carbonell, G., Tarazona, J. V., 2010. Occurrence of
   pharmaceutically active compounds in surface waters of the henares-jarama-tajo river system
   (madrid, spain) and a potential risk characterization. Sci Total Env. 408, 543–551.
- Gracia-Lor, E., Martinez, M., Sancho, J. V., Peñuela, G., Hernandez, F., 2012a. Multi-class determination
   of personal care products and pharmaceuticals in environmental and wastewater samples by ultra high performance liquid-chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Talanta 99, 1011–1023.
- 528 Gracia-Lor, E., Sancho, J. V., Serrano, R., Hernandez, F., 2012b. Occurrence and removal of
   529 pharmaceuticals in wastewater treatment plants at the Spanish Mediterranean area of Valencia.
   530 Chemosphere 87, 453–462.
- Gros, M., Petrovic, M., Barcelo, D., 2006. Development of a multi-residue analytical methodology based
   on liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) for screening and trace level
   determination of pharmaceuticals in surface and wastewaters. Talanta 70, 678–690.
- Gros, M., Petrovic, M., Ginebreda, A., Barceló, D., 2010. Removal of pharmaceuticals during wastewater
   treatment and environmental risk assessment using hazard indexes. Environment Int. 36, 15–26.
- Haman, C., Dauchy, X., Rosin, C., Munoz, J.F., 2015. Occurrence, fate and behavior of parabens in aquatic environments: A review. Water Res 68, 1–11.
- Hernández, F., Ibáñez, M., Botero-Coy, A.-M., Bade, R., Bustos-López, M.C., Rincón, J., Moncayo, A.,
  Bijlsma, L., 2015. LC-QTOF MS screening of more than 1,000 licit and illicit drugs and their
  metabolites in wastewater and surface waters from the area of Bogotá, Colombia. Anal. Bioanal.
  Chem. 407, 6405–6416.
- Hernando, M.D., Heath, E., Petrovic, M., Barceló, D., 2006. Trace-level determination of pharmaceutical
  residues by LC-MS/MS in natural and treated waters. A pilot-survey study. Anal. Bioanal. Chem.
  385, 985–991.
- 545 Hincapie Upegui., D.A., 2014. Caracterización espacio-temporal y a nivel molecular de la materia
  546 orgánica disuelta en los embalses abastecedores de agua potable de los embalses Riogrande II y La
  547 Fe Antioquia, Colombia. Universidad de Antioquia.
- Huber, M.M., Korhonen, S., Ternes, T.A., Von Gunten, U., 2005. Oxidation of pharmaceuticals during
  water treatment with chlorine dioxide. Water Res. 39, 3607–3617.
- Ibáñez, M., Borova, V., Boix, C., Aalizadeh, R., Bade, R., Thomaidis, N.S., Hernández, F., 2016.
   UHPLC-QTOF MS screening of pharmaceuticals and their metabolites in treated wastewater
   samples from Athens. J Hazard Mater In press. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.03.078
- Jelic, A., Gros, M., Ginebreda, A., Cespedes-Sánchez, R., Ventura, F., Petrovic, M., Barceló, D., 2011.
   Occurrence, partition and removal of pharmaceuticals in sewage water and sludge during
   wastewater treatment. Water Res. 45, 1165–1176.
- Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., Dinsdale, R.M., Guwy, A.J., 2008. The occurrence of pharmaceuticals, personal care products, endocrine disruptors and illicit drugs in surface water in South Wales, UK. Water
   Res. 42, 3498–3518.
- 559 Kim, S., Choi, K., 2014. Occurrences, toxicities, and ecological risks of benzophenone-3, a common

- 560 component of organic sunscreen products: A mini-review. Env. Int 70, 143–157.
- Kumar, A., Xagoraraki, I., 2010. Pharmaceuticals, personal care products and endocrine-disrupting
   chemicals in U.S. surface and finished drinking waters: A proposed ranking system. Sci. Total
   Environ. 408, 5972–5989.
- Lacey, C., McMahon, G., Bones, J., Barron, L., Morrissey, A., Tobin, J.M., 2008. An LC–MS method for
   the determination of pharmaceutical compounds in wastewater treatment plant influent and effluent
   samples. Talanta 75, 1089–1097.
- Lindholm-Lehto, P.C., Ahkola, H.S.J., Knuutinen, J.S., Herve, S.H., 2016. Widespread occurrence and
   seasonal variation of pharmaceuticals in surface waters and municipal wastewater treatment plants
   in central Finland. Env. Sci Pollut Res 23, 7985–7997.
- Liu, J., Lu, G., Xie, Z., Zhang, Z., Li, S., Yan, Z., 2015. Occurrence, bioaccumulation and risk assessment
   of lipophilic pharmaceutically active compounds in the downstream rivers of sewage treatment
   plants. Sci Total Env. 511, 54–62.
- Liu, J.-L., Wong, M.-H., 2013. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs): A review on
   environmental contamination in China. Env. int 59, 208–224.
- 575 Loraine, G., Pettigrove, M., 2006. Seasonal Variations in Concentrations of Pharmaceuticals and Personal
  576 Care Products in Drinking Water and Reclaimed Wastewater in Southern California Seasonal
  577 Variations in Concentrations of Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in Drinking Water
  578 and. Env. Sci Technol 40, 687–695.
- 579 Martinez, M., Peñuela, G.A., 2013. Analysis of triclosan and 4n-nonylphenol in Colombian reservoir
   580 water by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Water Env. J 27, 387–395.
- Martinez Bueno, M.J., Herrera, S., Munaron, D., Boillot, C., Fenet, H., Chiron, S., Gómez, E., 2016.
   POCIS passive samplers as a monitoring tool for pharmaceutical residues and their transformation products in marine environment. Env. Sci Pollut Res 23, 5019–5029.
- Martinez-Zapata, M., 2011. Estudio de la presencia y distribución de los contaminantes emergentes
   Triclosán y Nonilfenol en los embalses Riogrande II, La Fe, las plantas de potabilización
   Manantiales, La Ayurá y su degradación a Nivel de laboratorio. Universidad de Antioquia.
- 587 Matamoros, V., Arias, C.A., Nguyen, L.X., Salvadó, V., Brix, H., 2012. Occurrence and behavior of
   588 emerging contaminants in surface water and a restored wetland. Chemosphere 88, 1083–1089.
- 589 Mendez-Arriaga, F., Esplugas, S., Giménez, J., 2010. Degradation of the emerging contaminant ibuprofen
   590 in water by photo-Fenton. Water Res. 44, 589–595.
- Muñoz, I., Gomez, J.M., Molina-Díaz, A., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Fernandez-Alba, A.R., García-Calvo, E.,
   2008. Ranking potential impacts of priority and emerging pollutants in urban wastewater through
   life cycle impact assessment. Chemosphere 74, 37–44.
- Öllers, S., Singer, H.P., Fässler, P., Müller, S.R., 2001. Simultaneous quantification of neutral and acidic
   pharmaceuticals and pesticides at the low-ng/l level in surface and waste water. J Chromatogr. A
   911, 225–234.
- Padhye, L.P., Yao, H., Kung'u, F.T., Huang, C.H., 2014. Year-long evaluation on the occurrence and fate
   ofpharmaceuticals, personal care products, andendocrine disrupting chemicals in an urban drinking
   water treatment plant. Water Res. 51, 266–276.
- Peng, X., Yu, Y., Tang, C., Tan, J., Huang, Q., Wang, Z., 2008. Occurrence of steroid estrogens,
  endocrine-disrupting phenols, and acid pharmaceutical residues in urban riverine water of the Pearl
  River Delta, South China. Sci Total Env. 397, 158–166.
- Rodil, R., Quintana, J.B., Concha-Graña, E., López-Mahía, P., Muniategui-Lorenzo, S., Prada-Rodríguez,
   D., 2012. Emerging pollutants in sewage, surface and drinking water in Galicia (NW Spain).
   Chemosphere 86, 1040–1049.
- Rodríguez-Paniagua, E., 2015. Removal of emerging contaminants in waters by means of physico chemical procedures. Universidad de Extremadura.

- Saravanan, M., Karthika, S., Malarvizhi, A., Ramesh, M., 2011. Ecotoxicological impacts of clofibric
   acid and diclofenac in common carp (Cyprinus carpio) fingerlings: Hematological, biochemical,
   ionoregulatory and enzymological responses. J Hazard Mater 195, 188–194.
- Scheurell, M., Franke, S., Shah, R.M., Hühnerfuss, H., 2009. Occurrence of diclofenac and its metabolites
   in surface water and effluent samples from Karachi, Pakistan. Chemosphere 77, 870–876.
- Sodré, F., Locatelli, M.A., Jardim, W.F., 2010. Occurrence of emerging contaminants in Brazilian
   drinking waters: A sewage-to-tap issue. Water Air Soil Poll 206, 57–67.
- Sun, S.P., Zeng, X., Lemley, A.T., 2013. Kinetics and mechanism of carbamazepine degradation by a
   modified Fenton-like reaction with ferric-nitrilotriacetate complexes. J Hazard Mater 252–253,
   155–165.
- Tran, N.H., Urase, T., Ta, T.T., 2014. A preliminary study on the occurrence of pharmaceutically active
   compounds in hospital wastewater and surface water in Hanoi, Vietnam. Clean Soil, Air, Water
   42, 267–275.
- Uhlmann, D., Paul, L., Hupfer, M., Fischer, R., 2011. "Lakes and Reservoirs" in Treatise on Water
   Science. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-53199-5.00034-8
- Vergili, I., 2013. Application of nanofiltration for the removal of carbamazepine, diclofenac and
  ibuprofen from drinking water sources. J Env. Manag. 127, 177–187.
- Vulliet, E., Cren-Olivé, C., Grenier-Loustalot, M.F., 2011. Occurrence of pharmaceuticals and hormones
   in drinking water treated from surface waters. Env. Chem Lett 9, 103–114.
- Wang, J., Leung, D., Chow, W., 2010. Applications of LC/ESI-MS/MS and UHPLC QqTOF MS for the
   determination of 148 pesticides in berries. J Agri . Food Chem 58, 5904–25.
- Yang, G., Fan, M., Zhang, G., 2014. Emerging contaminants in surface waters in China—a short review.
   Env. Res Lett 9, 74018.
- 631 Zeng, E., 2015. Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs): Analytical Techniques, Environmental Fate and
   632 Biological Effects.

634	Table 1. MS conditions for the LC-MS/MS	measurement of the compounds under study.
-----	---	---

Compound	ESI mode	Quantification transition (Q)	Cone Voltage (V)	Confirmation transition (q)	Collision Energy (ev)	<b>Q</b> / <b>q</b> <sub>1</sub> *
Benzophenone (BZP)	+	182.9>104.9	34	182.9>76.9	14	3.39 (3.5)
Benzophenone-3 (BZP-3)	+	228.9>150.9	42	228.9>104.9	18	1.18 (5.1)
Butylparaben (BuPB)	-	193.1>92.0	42	192.9 >136.1	24	2.15 (3.6)
Carbamazepine (CBZ)	+	237.1>194.1	25	237.1>165.2	20	42.6 (4.2)
Clofibric Acid (CFA)	-	215.2>129.0	20	213.7>127.0	15	5.03 (7.0)
Diclofenac (DIC)	-	294.1>250.0	20	295.8>252.0	10	1.33 (3.2)
Ethylparaben (EtPB)	-	165.1>92.0	36	164.8>136.7	20	1.78 (9.5)
Ibuprofen (IBU)	-	205.1>161.1	20	-	5	-
Methylparaben (MePB)	-	151.0>92.0	34	150.8>135.9	20	1.67 (4.3)
Ibuprofen-D3	-	208.1>164.0	20	-	10	-
Ethylparaben-D4	-	169.2>96.1	30	-	25	-

637 \* Average ion intensity ratios for calibration standards (7 calibration points), and relative standard
 638 deviation in brackets

			Re	servoir LF	,	R	eservoir RG		Ul	tra Pure wat	er
Compound	t <sub>R</sub>	LOQ (ng/L)	0.05 μg/L	0.25 μg/L	0.45 μg/L	0.05 μg/L	0.25 μg/L	0.45 μg/L	0.05 μg/L	0.25 μg/L	0.45 μg/L
BZP	7.47	2.8	96 (1)	76 (9)	75 (7)	88 (4)	71 (10	73 (8)	69 (6)	75 (17)	80(11)
BZP-3	8.24	2.3	115 (17)	78 (10)	90 (7)	97 (12)	85 (8)	88 (10)	90 (2)	78 (5)	76(2)
BuPB	7.54	7.8	97 (8)	79 (4)	85 (2)	84 (5)	88 (3)	82 (10)	103 (5)	95 (4)	106 (4)
CBZ	6.22	4.6	98 (9)	84 (4)	89 (1)	91 (8)	89 (2)	85 (8)	78 (26)	89 (5)	84 (2)
CFA	7.22	10.3	91 (14)	80 (7)	81 (2)	65 (9)	69 (5)	59 (8)	82 (8)	97 (8)	90 (5)
DIC	8.57	9.6	90 (8)	83 (5)	89 (3)	86 (7)	87 (2)	84 (10)	104 (9)	93 (6)	104 (4)
EtPB	5.64	7.6	87 (10)	71 (10)	79 (2)	82 (6)	82 (3)	78 (8)	99 (3)	95 (7)	106 84)
IBU	8.77	6.8	100 (15)	84 (11)	99 (6)	87 (17)	88 (6)	89 (10)	90 (19)	108 (16)	108 (7)
MePB	4.46	4.2	91 (10)	89 (4)	87 (2)	87 (9)	90 (3)	85 (10)	117 (7)	104 (9)	107 (3)

Table 2. Analytical characteristics of the method: average recovery (%) and precision (expressed as % RSD, in brackets) for three fortification levels (five replicates each). Limits of quantification of the method (LOQ)

		04	04/2012		09/2012		10/2012		2012	02/2013	
		%Positives	Conc ng/L <sup>(1)</sup>	%Positives	Conc ng/L	%Positives	Conc ng/L	%Positives	Conc ng/L	%Positives	Conc ng/L
	BZP	100	9-100 (62)	62	8-243 (110)	53	84-385 (253) <sup>(2)</sup>	n.a. <sup>(3)</sup>	n.a	n.a.	n.a
	BZP-3	96	30-502 (238)	0		0		0		0	
	<b>BuPB</b> 0			0		0		0		0	
	CBZ	0		0		0		0		0	
	CFA	0		0		0		0		0	
	DIC	11	<LOQ <sup>(4)</sup>	0		0		3	<loq< th=""><th>0</th><th></th></loq<>	0	
	EtPB	4	<loq< th=""><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th><th>0</th><th></th></loq<>	0		0		0		0	
	IBU	89	7-19 (11)	63	5-34 (10)	57	10-25(15)	100	7-62 (17)	100	7-58 (16)
664	MePB	<b>MePB</b> 100 0-122 (38) 87 5-46 (22)		97	5-425(63)	87	6-39 (32)	100	22-265 (81)		

665 (1) Range of concentrations and average (in brackets) for the positive samples666 (2) Data only available for 6 out of 10 sampling stations included in the monitor

(4)  $\leq$  LOQ: detected, concentration lower than the LOQ.

(2) Data only available for 6 out of 10 sampling stations included in the monitoring. The percentage of positives and average value correspond to the samples of those 6 sampling stations (Table 2SI for more detailed information)

(3) n.a: data not available

5/1

		A		November 2012						
	E12	E12 E15 E17 E19 QC <sup>(1)</sup> Rec (%)					E15	E17	E19	QC Rec (%)
BZP	13	48	54	25	105	n.a. <sup>(2)</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
BZP-3	98	66	162	-	81	-	-	-	-	90
BuPB	- (3)	<loq <sup="">(4)</loq>	-	-	94	-	-	-	-	78
CBZ	-	-	-	-	90	-	-	-	-	71
CFA	-	-	-	-	87	-	-	-	-	87
DIC	-	-	-	-	108	-	-	-	-	97
EtPB	-	29	-	<loq< th=""><th>98</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>91</th></loq<>	98	-	-	-	-	91
IBU	<loq< th=""><th><loq< th=""><th>94</th><th>9</th><th>-</th><th><loq< th=""><th>12</th><th>94</th></loq<></th></loq<></th></loq<>	<loq< th=""><th>94</th><th>9</th><th>-</th><th><loq< th=""><th>12</th><th>94</th></loq<></th></loq<>	94	9	-	<loq< th=""><th>12</th><th>94</th></loq<>	12	94		
MePB	<loq< th=""><th>242</th><th>10</th><th>17</th><th>99</th><th>29</th><th>23</th><th>14</th><th>58</th><th>95</th></loq<>	242	10	17	99	29	23	14	58	95

 QC: Quality control. Rec (%): % recovery
 n.a.: data not available
 -: not detected 

680

681	(4) <loq: concentration="" detected,="" loq<="" lower="" th="" than="" the=""></loq:>
682	

# 700 Table 5. Results of the monitoring in reservoir LF (data correspond to all samples collected at the different sites and at three depths)

		06/	/2012		2012	10/2	012	12/2	012	02/2	013
		%Positives	$\frac{2012}{\text{Conc ng/L}^{(1)}}$	%Positives	Conc ng/L	%Positives	Conc ng/L	%Positives	Conc ng/L	%Positives	Conc ng/L
	BZP	55	5-143(57)	80	9-102 (40)	20	16-54 (34)	n.a. <sup>(2)</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a
	BZP-3	0		0		0		0		0	
	BuPB	10	8-16(12)	5	11	0		5	<loq<sup>(3)</loq<sup>	10	18
	CBZ	0		0		0		0		0	
	CFA	0		0		0		0		0	
	DIC	0		0		0		0		0	
	EtPB	55	8-50 (30)	0		5	8	5	59	10	18
	IBU	100	7-39 (12)	95	7-15 (11)	85	8-16 (11)	90	7-10 (8)	100	7-25 (12)
	MePB	100	21-276 (84)	15	11 (11)	100	3-57 (16)	40	6-49 (19)	100	8-113 (29)
703 704 705 706 707 708 709 710 711 712		<ul> <li>(1) Range of cor</li> <li>(2) n.a: data not</li> <li>(3) &lt; LOQ: dete</li> </ul>	ncentrations and av available cted, concentratior	verage (in bracket	s) for the positive	samples (Table 3	3SI for more det	ailed informatio	n)		

			October 2	012		December 2012				February 2013					
E8         E9         E10         E11         QC <sup>(1)</sup> Rec %					E8	E9	E10	E11	QC Rec %	E8	E9	E10	E11	QC Rec %	
BZP	71	- (3)	67	-	78	n.a. <sup>(2)</sup>	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a.	n.a.	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a.
BZP-3	-	-	-	-	85	-	-	-	-	75	-	-	-	-	88
BuPB	-	-	-	-	114	-	-	-	-	88	-	-	-	-	79
CBZ	-	-	-	-	102	-	-	-	-	77	-	-	-	-	91
CFA	-	-	-	-	112	-	-	-	-	94	-	-	-	-	109
DIC	-	-	-	-	84	-	-	-	-	114	-	-	-	-	94
EtPB	-	-	-	-	87	-	-	-	-	81	-	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>79</td></loq<>	-	-	79
IBU	10	-	<loq <sup="">(4)</loq>	<loq< td=""><td>92</td><td><loq< td=""><td>-</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>94</td><td>20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>84</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	92	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td><loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>94</td><td>20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>84</td></loq<></td></loq<></td></loq<>	-	<loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>94</td><td>20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>84</td></loq<></td></loq<>	<loq< td=""><td>94</td><td>20</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>84</td></loq<>	94	20	-	-	8	84
MePB	5	6	6	-	104	10	14	17	13	112	24	57	25	34	110

Table 6. Concentrations (ng/L) of selected compounds in tributaries of reservoir LF

- 715 (1) QC: Quality control. Rec (%): % recovery
- 716 (2) n.a.: data not available
- 717
   (3)
   -: not detected

   718
   (4)
   <LOQ: detected</td>
  - (4) <LOQ: detected, concentration lower than the LOQ

	Manantiales (MA)												
		BZP	BZP3	BuPB	CBZ	CFA	DIC	EtPB	IBU	MePB			
Sontombor/12	Influent	101	30	12	_(1)	-	-	-	16	140			
September/12	Effluent	46	29	7	-	-	-	-	<loq<sup>(2)</loq<sup>	80			
QC (%Rec) <sup>(3)</sup>		114	80	80	91	97	103	99	115	106			
Oatabar/12	Influent	103	11	-	-	-	-	-	14	27			
October/12	Effluent	33	7	-	-	-	-	-	14	21			
QC (%Rec)		73	108	67	74	119	90	120	110	127			
November/17	Influent	n.a <sup>(4).</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	69			
November/12	Effluent	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	29			
QC (%Rec)		n.a.	n.a.	87	94	124	122	119	110	114			
Fobruary/13	Influent	n.a.	-	-	-	-	23	-	26	27			
rebruary/15	Effluent	n.a.	-	-	-	-	22	-	21	27			
QC (%Rec)		n.a.	n.a.	70	97	115	102	87	123	95			

	La Ayurá (LA)												
		BZP	BZP3	BuPB	CBZ	CFA	DIC	EtPB	IBU	MePB			
Sontombor/17	Influent	32	30	-	-	-	16	-	14	32			
September/12	Effluent	30	24	-	-	-	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>14</td><td>33</td></loq<>	-	14	33			
QC (%Rec)		114	80	80	91	97	103	99	115	106			
Oatabar/12	Influent	41	14	-	-	-	12	-	15	44			
October/12	Effluent	40	7	-	-	-	-	-	12	35			
QC (%Rec)		73	108	67	74	119	90	120	110	127			
December/17	Influent	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	34			
December/12	Effluent	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	34			
QC (%Rec)		n.a.	n.a.	87	94	124	122	119	110	114			
Fohmom/13	Influent	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	26			
rebruary/15	Effluent	n.a.	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	26			
QC (%Rec)	n.a.	n.a.	70	97	115	102	87	123	95				

729 730

(1) -: not detected
(2) <LOQ: detected, concentration lower than the LOQ</li>
(3) QC: Quality control. Rec: % recovery
(4) n.a.: data not available

# 738 Figure captions

- **Fig 1.** Sampling sites at the reservoir RG. Numbers 12, 15, 17 and 19 correspond to tributaries
- 740 Fig 2. Sampling sites at the reservoir LF. Numbers 8, 9, 10 and 11 correspond to tributaries

# 741 Fig 3. LC-MS/MS chromatograms for the efluent water sample of the DWTP of Manantiales, collected in

742 September 2012, showing positive findings of BZP (A) and MePB (B). Q/q ratios and deviations with743 respect to the reference standard are shown.

- //2