

RISK: Health, Safety & Environment (1990-2002)

Volume 2
Number 4 *RISK: Issues in Health & Safety*


Article 2

September 1991

Table of Contents, Volume Two, Number Four, Fall 1991

RISK Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholars.unh.edu/risk>

 Part of the [Law Commons](#), [Life Sciences Commons](#), [Physical Sciences and Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Risk Editorial Board, *Table of Contents, Volume Two, Number Four, Fall 1991*, 2 RISK [ix] (1991).

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the University of New Hampshire – School of Law at University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in RISK: Health, Safety & Environment (1990-2002) by an authorized editor of University of New Hampshire Scholars' Repository. For more information, please contact ellen.phillips@law.unh.edu.

RISK

Issues in Health & Safety

VOLUME 2

1991

ISSUE 4

CONTENTS

ARTICLES

RISK PERCEPTION AND DRUG SAFETY EVALUATION 281

Ilan B. Vertinsky and Donald A. Wehrung

The authors present a risk communication framework based on a survey of empirical research concerning public risk perceptions. They also apply it to the area of pharmaceutical regulation to suggest more effective regulatory strategies.

THE COGNITIVE STATUS OF RISK: A RESPONSE TO THOMPSON 313

L. James Valverde A., Jr.

Discussing the role that probability theory should play in risk analysis and management, Dr. Valverde argues that Thompson's approach puts too much emphasis on the distinction between risk subjectivism and risk objectivism in addressing the question, "When are risks real?"

CAN AN ECONOMIC APPROACH SOLVE THE HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE PROBLEM? 341

Herbert Inhaber

Building on the work of Professors O'Hare and Kunreuther, Dr. Inhaber proposes and argues for a non-coercive siting strategy that he calls a "reverse Dutch auction."

DOCTORS AT RISK: A PROBLEM AS VIEWED BY DECISION ANALYSIS 357

*Leonard P. Caccamo, Kimbroe J. Carter, Barbara A. Erickson,
William R. Johnson and Edward Kessler*

The authors closely analyze a case in which a Peer Review Organization cited a physician for treatment with potential for significant adverse effect. They also critique the regulatory scheme under which peer review occurs and conclude that such regulation interferes with physicians' primary obligations, fails to encourage cost-effective behavior and may decrease the quality of medical care.

BOOK REVIEW

ANNE TRAMPOSH, AVOIDING THE CRACKS: A GUIDE TO THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION SYSTEM

Allan M. Wheatcraft 369

MISCELLANEOUS

CALL FOR PAPERS 340

INDEX TO VOLUME 2 371

Public participation

Those interested in public participation in risk management should see Cecil, Hans, and Wiggins, *Citizen Comprehension of Difficult Issues: Lessons from Civil Jury Trials*, 40 AM. U. L. REV. 727 (1991).