Insectes Sociaux



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Camponotus fellah queens are singly mated

Danielle P. Mersch^{1,2} · Christine La Mendola² · Laurent Keller²

Received: 18 November 2016 / Revised: 17 January 2017 / Accepted: 20 January 2017 © International Union for the Study of Social Insects (IUSSI) 2017

Abstract The ant Camponotus fellah has been used in several behavioral and life history studies. An important factor that affects the genetic structure and division of labour within a colony is whether queens are singly or multiply mated. To determine whether queens are singly mated in C. fellah, as is the case in some other Camponotus species, we developed nine polymorphic microsatellite markers and sequenced 16 workers each from 20 colonies at six loci. Data in all colonies were compatible with queen monoandry. All the workers of one of the colonies had identical genotypes suggesting that they were clonally produced or that the queen was inbred. We, therefore, genotyped the mother queen as well as 31 more workers of the same colony at the same six loci plus the three remaining loci. These data revealed that the queen was homozygous at eight of the nine loci and that she mated with a male having a shared allele at all but one of the loci. Thus, the queen was apparently not only inbred but also probably mated with a brother.

Keywords Camponotus fellah · Microsatellites · Monoandry · Ant · Genetic structure

 □ Danielle P. Mersch dmersch@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk

Published online: 10 February 2017

- ☐ Laurent Keller laurent.keller@unil.ch
- MRC-Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB1 0QH, UK
- Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Introduction

The genetic structure of ant colonies varies widely between species and can impact colony immunity, reproductive output and division of labor (Crozier and Page 1985; Julian and Cahan 1999; Heinze and Keller 2000; Julian and Fewell 2004; Rheindt et al. 2005; Oldroyd and Fewell 2007; Smith et al. 2008). Several studies have shown that in colonies where queens are multiply mated workers from different patrilines vary in their tendency to specialize in different tasks, thereby potentially affecting the efficiency of division of labor and colony homeostasis (Robinson 1992; Rheindt et al. 2005; Schwander et al. 2005; Wiernasz et al. 2008; Waddington et al. 2010). Increased withincolony genetic diversity due to multiple patrilines and/ or matrilines may also improve resistance to parasites and pathogens (Hughes and Boomsma 2004; Reber et al. 2008; Schmidt et al. 2011) thereby enhancing the lifetime and reproductive output of a colony. Thus, knowledge of the genetic makeup of colonies provides insights into what factors might shape colony function.

Studies in several species of the genus *Camponotus* (*C. herculeanus*, Gertsch et al. 1995; *C.* ligniperdus, Gertsch et al. 1995, Gadau et al. 1998; *C. floridanus*, Gadau et al. 1996; *C. nawai*, Satoh et al. 1997; *C. consobrinus*, Fraser et al. 2000; C. ocreatus, Goodisman and Hahn 2004 and *C. festinatus*, Goodisman and Hahn 2005) revealed that queens are generally singly mated with occasional instances of polyandry. A species of the genus *Camponotus* that has not yet been investigated is *C. fellah*. This species has been extensively used to study nestmate recognition (Boulay et al. 2000a, b; Katzav-Gozansky et al. 2004; Katzav-Gozansky et al. 2008), more recently to unravel the impact of social isolation (Boulay et al. 1999; Koto et al. 2015) and to decipher the organizational principles of division



of labor (Mersch et al. 2013; Greenwald et al. 2015; Kafsi et al. 2016). The colonies exhibit pronounced division of labor with workers organized in distinct social groups that specialize in different tasks (Mersch et al. 2013). The propensity of a worker to join a social group, and preferentially execute some tasks might thus be dependent on a worker's patriline. We developed and tested a set of nine microsatellite loci to determine whether *C. fellah* queens are singly mated, or whether workers come from different fathers.

Materials and methods

Collection of ants

Adult workers were collected from 20 lab-reared colonies (A–T) by opening the nest and then collecting workers randomly both near the brood and outside the nest. Each lab colony was established from a single queen collected during a mating flight in 2007 on the campus of the Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, which is within the natural range of the species (Ionescu-Hirsch 2009).

Genetic analysis

PCR primers were developed for *C. fellah* microsatellite loci from a partial genomic library that was derived from 10 µg of genomic DNA extracted with the BioSprint 96 robot (QIAGEN #900852) from one worker, and sent to Ecogenics (http://www.ecogenics.ch/) for 454 sequencing

and primer design. To determine which of the designed primers were polymorphic we tested nine primers on two workers from each of eight colonies (A–H). Six out of the nine microsatellites had five or more alleles in the workers tested (Table 1). We used these six microsatellites to assess queen-mating frequency in 20 colonies using 16 workers per colony. However, because there was a very high level of homozygosity in colony S, we increased the sample size to 47 workers and also genotyped the queen using one of her legs. In this colony we also genotyped all individuals at the three remaining microsatellites (7275, 7207, 7300).

We extracted DNA from each individual using the Bio-Sprint 96 DNA Blood Kit for tissue sample from OIA-GEN and eluted the DNA in a final volume of 200 µl. We performed PCR amplifications for each ant sample in a total volume of 20 µl. To reduce the amount of work and sequencing, we multiplexed primers 1284, 6826 and 5134 in one reaction, and primers 3186, 3675, 4380 in the other. Each reaction consisted of 4 µl of genomic DNA, 4 µl of 10×PCR buffer (QIAGEN), 1.92 μl of 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.32 µl of 25 mM dNTPs, 1 µl of each forward and reverse primer (10 µM), 0.3 µl of 5 U/µl Tag polymerase (OIA-GEN), 3.2 µl of 5×enhancers (Qsolution from QIAGEN), and 0.26 µl H₂O. The PCR consisted of an initial denaturing step of 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 35 cycles that each consisted of 30 s of denaturation at 95 °C, 30 s of annealing at 55 °C, and 60 s elongation at 72 °C. A final elongation step was performed at 72 °C for 10 min. We analyzed PCR products with the ABIprism3100 DNA sequencer and the GeneMapper Software (Applied Biosystems).

Table 1 Characteristics of the nine microsatellite loci from Camponotus fellah colonies

| Locus | Primer sequence (5′–3′) (F: forward; R: reverse) | T _a (°C) | Repeat type | Size of cloned amplicon (bp) | No. of alleles | Amplicon size range |
|-------|--|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1284 | F: GTCGGAATGTTGCACCTACG R: CAGACCGCGAGAGGAGATAC | 53.8 | (AG) | 226 | 5 | 230–237 |
| 3186 | F: AATTGAACGTTTCGTCCGCC R: AGTCTTTACGCCCTCCTACG | 51.8 | (AC) | 190 | 8 | 194–206 |
| 3675 | F: TTGTAGAGCGACGACGAGAG R: TGAGAGGTGAGAGCACGAAG | 53.8 | (CGT) | 203 | 8 | 221–252 |
| 4380 | F: TGGCTACAGTTGTTGTGCAG R: TTTGTCGCGGCTCGTAAATC | 54.8 | (AC) | 115 | 10 | 126–148 |
| 5134 | F: TACAATCGAGTGGACGGAG R: CAGATGAAAGGCGAACGGTG | 53.8 | (CGA) | 247 | 9 | 246–299 |
| 6826 | F: GTACGCGGTCAGAATTACGC R: ACCTGATTTCTGGTTGGCAC | 53.8 | (AG) | 176 | 14 | 178–207 |
| 7207 | F: AGGCATTCGATACTGTCGGC R: TACGACAAGGATGCTACCGC | 53.8 | (TGC) | 163 | 2 | 184–187 |
| 7275 | F: CGCATCGGGAGCATAAACAG R: AAAGCTGGCATGCAGTTACG | 53.8 | (CA) | 102 | 5 | 118–123 |
| 7300 | F: AATTTGCGGAGAATCCGTGG R: CATCGGCAAAGTCGTCCTTC | 51.8 | (CT) | 185 | 3 | 201–205 |

All forward primers are preceded with an 18-bp M13-tag: 5'-TGTAAAACGACGCCAGT-3'



Data analysis

We estimated linkage disequilibrium between each pair of loci using the Genepop software (Raymond and Rousset 1995; Rousset 2008). For each colony, we determined the number of worker patrilines. This was simplified by the fact that all colonies were lab raised with all workers being the offspring of a single queen. Further, in ants, males are haploid because of the haplodiploid sex determination system of Hymenoptera. Thus, at each locus, a worker inherits one allele from her father and one of the two alleles of the queen. If two males share the same alleles at all genotyped loci, it is impossible to distinguish their offspring. The theoretical probability of such non-detection errors was estimated as:

$\prod \sum q_i^2$

with q_i as the frequency of the i allele (Boomsma and Ratnieks 1996). The sum is over all alleles at a locus, and the multiplication is over all loci.

We discarded from our patriline analyses 8 out of the 351 workers genotyped. Two samples from colony B were contaminated during the PCR and six workers from four different colonies (colony B: 2 workers, colony G: 2 workers, colony L: 1 worker, colony R: 1 worker) had genotypes incompatible with the genotype inferred for their queen. Because colonies were all lab raised and headed by a single queen, we suspect that these workers were intruders that had escaped from other colonies. Aggression toward nonnestmates is often attenuated in the lab for two reasons. First, colonies, which are kept in proximity to each other, habituate to olfactory volatiles of other colonies, which reduces aggression (Katzav-Gozansky et al. 2008). Second, lab colonies are typically fed with the same diet, which can reduce inter-colony differences in hydrocarbon profiles (Richard et al. 2004, Ichinose et al. 2009).

Results

The six microsatellite loci were very polymorphic with an average of 7.11 alleles per locus (range: 2–14, Table 1), as estimated from all genotyped workers (n=365). There was no sign of gametic linkage among the loci (p>0.05 for all pair-wise comparisons of loci after sequential Bonferroni corrections), and all loci were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (p>0.4). Overall, the probability of not detecting a second male was extremely low (4.89×10^{-4}).

Our patriline analysis showed that for all colonies and all loci, except one (locus 3675 in colony I), worker genotypes were consistent with workers originating from a queen mated to a single male (Table 2). In colony I,

Table 2 Summary of all worker genotypes and inferred male and queen genotypes

| Colony | Locus | Worker genotypes (# workers) | Inferred male | Inferred queen |
|--------|-----------------------|--|---------------|----------------------------|
| A | 3675 | 224::230 (7) 221::224 (9) | 224 | 221::230 |
| | 1284 | 230::236 (8) 231::236 (8) | 236 | 230::231 |
| | 4380 | 128::128 (6) 128::132 (10) | 128 | 128::132 |
| | 5134 | 261::261 (9) 246::261 (7) | 261 | 246::261 |
| | 6826 | 188::188 (7) 188::190 (9) | 188 | 188::190 |
| | 3186 | 197::197 (11) 197::203 (5) | 197 | 197::203 |
| B^a | 3675 | 221::221 (7) 221::228 (5) 221::224 (2) ^b | 221 | 221::228 |
| | 1284 | 232::236 (10) 236::236 (4) | 236 | 232::236 |
| | 4380 | 132::146 (6) 132::132 (7) 128::132 (1) ^b 128::132 (1) ^b | 132 | 132::146 |
| | 5134 | 246::261 (12) 246::246 (1) ^b 246::272 (1) ^b | 246 or 261 | 246::246 or 261::261 |
| | 6826 | 178::188 (8) 178::184 (4) 180::184 (2) ^b | 178 | 184::188 |
| | 3186 | 201::203 (5) 199::201 (7) 203::205(2) ^b | 201 | 199::203 |
| C | 3675 | 221::224 (16) | 221 or 224 | 221::221 or 224::224 |
| | 1284 | 230::230 (8) 230::236 (8) | 230 | 230::236 |
| | 4380 | 130::144 (8) 144::148 (8) | 144 | 130::148 |
| | 5134 | 246::270 (9) 249::270 (5) | 270 | 246::249 |
| | 6826 ^c (1) | 186::190 (7) 186::196 (8) | 186 | 190::196 |
| | 3186 | 197::203 (9) 203::203 (7) | 203 | 197::203 |



| Table 2 | (continued |) | | | Table 2 | (continued | 1) | | |
|---------|-----------------------|---|---------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Colony | Locus | Worker genotypes (# workers) | Inferred male | Inferred queen | Colony | Locus | Worker genotypes (# workers) | Inferred male | Inferred queen |
| D | 3675 °(2) | 240::240 (5) | 240 | 224::240 | Н | 3675 ^c (1) | 221::221 (15) | 221 | 221::221 |
| | 1284 ^c (1) | 224::240 (9) 232::237 (10) | 237 | 232::237 | | 1284 | 231::236 (10) 231::231 (6) | 231 | 231::236 |
| | 4380 ^c (1) | 237::237 (5) 130::146 (9) | 130 | 142::146 | | 4380 | 128::130 (6) 130::132 (10) | 130 | 128::132 |
| | 5134 ^c (1) | 130::142 (6) 249::299 (5) | 249 | 249::299 | | 5134 | 246::261 (8) 246::246 (8) | 246 | 246::261 |
| | | 249::249 (10) | | | | 6826 | 186::186 (16) | 186 | 186::186 |
| | 6826 | 184::194 (12) 194::203 (4) | 194 | 184::203 | | 3186 ^c (1) | 203::203 (11) 203::205 (4) | 203 | 203::205 |
| | 3186 ^c (1) | 196::203 (7) 196::196 (8) | 196 | 196::203 | I | 3675 ^d | 240::240 (8) 224::224 (8) | d | d |
| E | 3675 | 228::252 (11) 224::228 (5) | 228 | 224::252 | | 1284 °(2) | 230::236 (12) 232::236 (2) | 236 | 230::232 |
| | 1284 | 230::232 (12) 232::236 (4) | 232 | 230::236 | J | 4380 | 128::135 (16) | 128 or 135 | 128::128 or 135::135 |
| | 4380 | 130::130 (4) 130::146 (12) | 130 | 130::146 | | 5134 ^c (1) | 246::246 (6) 246::267 (9) | 246 | 246::267 |
| | 5134 | 246::246 (10) 246::249 (6) | 246 | 246::249 | | 6826 | 192::192 (7) 192::199 (9) | 192 | 192::199 |
| | 6826 | 188::196 (7) 196::196 (9) | 196 | 188::196 | | 3186 ^c (1) | 201::203 (9) 203::206 (6) | 203 | 201::206 |
| | 3186 | 197::205 (5) 197::197 (11) | 197 | 194::205 | | 3675 | 221::224 (16) | 221 or 224 | 221::221 or 224::224 |
| F | 3675 | 221::249 (9) 224::249 (7) | 249 | 221::224 | | 1284 | 230::231 (10) 231::236 (6) | 231 | 230::236 |
| | 1284 | 231::231 (4) 231::236 (12) | 231 | 231::236 | | 4380 | 130::133 (9) 133::148 (7) | 133 | 130::148 |
| | 4380 | 130::130 (10) | 130 | 126::130 | | 5134 | 246::246 (16) | 246 | 246::246 |
| | 5134 | 126::130 (6) 246::249 (10) | 246 | 249::261 | | 6826 | 190::198 (7) 186::198 (9) | 198 | 186::190 |
| | 6826 ^c (1) | 246::261 (6) 194::198 (7) | 198 | 184::194 | | 3186 | 197::203 (16) | 197 or 203 | 197::197 or 203::203 |
| | 3186 | 184::198 (8) 203::205 (7) | 203 | 203::205 | | 3675 °(2) | 221::221 (5) 221::236 (9) | 221 | 221::236 |
| G | 3675 | 203::203 (9) 221::228 (14) | 221 or 228 | 221::221 or | | 1284 | 231::236 (16) | 231 or 236 | 231::231 236::236 |
| | 1284 | 221::224 (2) ^b 231::236 (8) | 236 | 228::228 231::232 | | 4380 °(2) | 144::144 (9) 130::144 (5) | 144 | 130::144 |
| | | 232::236 (6) 230::230 (2) ^b | | | | 5134 | 246::261 (16) | 246 or 261 | 246::246 or 261::261 |
| | 4380 | 128::128 (6) 128::130 (9) | 128 | 128::130 | | 6826 | 184::201 (8) 184::192 (8) | 184 | 192::201 |
| | 5134 | 130::130 (1) ^b 246::272 (10) 246::249 (6) | 246 | 249::272 | | 3186 °(2) | 203::205 (14) | 203 or 205 | 203::203 or 205::205 |
| | 6826 | 186::198 (8) 186::207 (6) 188::188 (1) ^b 188::199 (1) ^b | 186 | 198::207 | | | | | |
| | 3186 | 201::203 (10) 203::203 (4) 197::201 (1) ^b 201::205 (1) ^b | 203 | 201::203 | | | | | |



| Table 2 | (continued) | | | | Table 2 (continued) | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|---|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------|--|
| Colony | Locus | Worker genotypes (# workers) | Inferred male | Inferred queen | Colony | Locus | Worker genotypes (# workers) | Inferred male | Inferred queen |
| L | 3675 ^c (1) | 221::224 (10) | 221 | 221::224 | P | 3675 | 221::221 (16) | 221 | 221::221 |
| | 1284 | 221::221 (5) 236::236 (9) | 236 | 232::236 | | 1284 | 231::236 (7) 231::232 (9) ^f | 231 | 232::236 |
| | | 232::236 (6) 230::236 (1) ^b | | | | 4380 | 128::128 (16) | 128 | 128::128 |
| | 4380 ^c (5) | 128::132 (4) 128::128 (6) | 128 | 128::132 | | 5134 | 261::275 (16) | 261 or 275 | 261::261 or 275::275 |
| | | 132::133 (1) ^b | | | | 6826 | 180::196 (10) 196::207 (6) | 196 | 180::207 |
| | 5134 | 246::261 (16) | 246 or 261 | 246::246 or 261::261 | | 3186 | 197::203 (8) 203::203 (8) | 203 | 197::203 |
| | 6826 | 184::184 (8) 184::188 (7) | 184 | 184::188 | Q | 3675 | 221::221 (16) | 221 | 221::221 |
| | 3186 ^c (1) | 186::194 (1) ^b 203::203 (6) | 203 | 199::203 | | 1284 | 232::232 (6) 231::232 (10) ^f | 232 | 231::232 |
| | 3160 (1) | 199::203 (8) 197::199 (1) ^b | 203 | 199203 | | 4380 | 128::130 (4) 130::130 (12) | 130 | 128::130 |
| M | 3675 | 221::221 (7) 221::224 (8) | 221 | 221::224 | R | 5134 | 249::249 (8) 249::272 (8) | 249 | 249::272 |
| | | 221:: (1) | | | | 6826 | 184::203 (16) | 184 or 203 | 184::184 or |
| | 1284 ^c (1) | 231::236 (8) 231::231 (7) | 231 | 231::236 | | 3186 | 199::201 (8) | 199 | 203::203 199::201 |
| | 4380 | 130::130 (7) 130::146 (9) | 130 | 130::146 | | 3675 | 199::199 (8) 224::224 (15) 221::224 (1) ^b | 224 | 224::224 |
| | 5134 | 246::275 (10) 246::246 (6) | 246 | 246::275 | | 1284 | 230::236 (9) | 230 | 231::236 |
| | 6826 | 194::196 (12) 196::207 (4) | 196 | 194::207 | | 4380 ^c (1) | 230::231 (7) 128::128 (7) | 128 | 128::146 |
| | 3186 | 197::201 (16) | 197 or 201 | 197::197 or 201::201 | | 4360 (1) | 128::128 (7) 128::146 (7) 126::128 (1) ^b | 128 | 128140 |
| N | 3675 ^c (1) | 221::221 (9) 221::224 (6) | 221 | 221::224 | | 5134 | 246::246 (8) 246::263 (7) | 246 | 246::263 |
| | 1284 | 236::237 (6) 231::236 (9) 231:: (1) | 236 | 231::237 | | 6826 | 246::275 (1) ^b 188::196 (9) 184::196 (6) | 196 | 184::188 |
| | 4380 | 128::132 (10) 128:.146 (6) | 128 | 132::146 | | 3186 | 188::207 (1) ^b 197::203 (15) | 197 or 203 | 197::197 or |
| | 5134 ^c (1) | 246::246 (15) | 246 | 246::246 | | | 197::197 (1) ^b | | 203::203 |
| | 6826 | 186::194 (16) | 186 or 194 | 186::186 or | S^e | 3675 °(3) | 221::221 (44) | 221 | 221::221 ^e |
| | | | | 194::194 | | 1284 | 236::236 (47) | 236 | 236::236 ^e |
| | 3186 ^c (1) | 197::203 (5) 199::203 (10) | 203 | 197::199 | | 4380 5134 | 130::130 (47) 246::246 (47) | 130 246 | 130::130 ^e 246::246 ^e |
| O | 3675 ^c (1) | 221::221 (15) | 221 | 221::221 | | 6826 | 184::188 (47) | 184 | 188::188 ^e |
| O | 1284 °(1) | 232::232 (9) | 232 | 231::232 | | 3186 | 203::203 (47) | 203 | 203::203 ^e |
| | 1201 (1) | 231::232 (6) ^f | 232 | 231232 | | 7275 | 118::120 (23) | 120 | 118::120 ^e |
| | 4380 ^c (1) | 130::142 (6) 128::130 (9) | 130 | 128::142 | | 7207 | 120::120 (24) 184::184 (47) | 184 | 184::184 ^e |
| | 5134 ^c (1) | 246::270 (6) 246:.246 (9) | 246 | 246::270 | | 7300 | 203::203 (47) | 203 | 203::203 ^e |
| | 6826 ^c (1) | 178::194 (9) 178::188 (6) | 178 | 188::194 | | | | | |
| | 3186 ^c (1) | 201::203 (3) 203::203 (12) | 203 | 201::203 | | | | | |



Table 2 (continued)

| Colony | Locus | Worker genotypes (# workers) | Inferred male | Inferred queen |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| T | 3675 ^c (1) | 221::224 (16) | 221 or 224 | 221::221 or 224::224 |
| | 1284 | 236::236 (10) 230::236 (6) | 236 | 230::236 |
| | 4380 | 128::132 (14) 128::128 (2) | 128 | 128::132 |
| | 5134 | 246::263 (16) | 246 or 263 | 246::246 or 263::263 |
| | 6826 | 180::207 (7) 180::203 (9) | 180 | 203::207 |
| | 3186 | 203::203 (11) 197::203 (5) | 203 | 197::203 |

^aTwo samples were discarded because they had been contaminated

eight workers were homozygous for allele 224 at locus 3675 and the remaining worker homozygous for allele 240 (Table 2). Given that workers of this colony had normal genotypes at other loci, this pattern is most likely explained by the queen having a 224/240 genotype, and the male having a null allele at this locus.

In colony S, all 16 workers had identical genotypes. They were homozygous at five of the six loci while at locus 6826 they were all heterozygotes. This pattern could be explained by workers being clonally produced or by the mother being homozygous at the six loci and mated with a male having an identical allele at five of the six loci. To discriminate between these two hypotheses we genotyped all workers at three additional loci, analyzed another 31 workers from the same colony at the nine loci and also genotyped the queen. The 31 additional workers had identical genotypes as the initial 16 workers at the six first loci. For two of the additional loci all 47 workers had again identical homozygous genotypes, but for the third additional locus (locus 7275) 24 workers were homozygotes and 23 were heterozygotes. The queen was homozygote for all loci except locus 7275 for which she was heterozygote. Because workers were heterozygote at locus 6826 where the queen was homozygote, and varied in their genotypes for locus 7275, they were apparently sexually produced. The most parsimonious explanation for the genotypic composition of this colony is that the queen was inbred and that she mated with a brother that shared the same allele at all but locus 6826.

Discussion

The genotypic data from a total of 343 workers from 20 colonies suggest that *C. fellah* queens mate with a single male, and thus all workers produced by the queen are full sisters. This, together with previous studies in *C. hercule-anus* (Gertsch et al. 1995), *C.* ligniperdus (Gertsch et al. 1995; Gadau et al. 1998), *C. floridanus* (Gadau et al. 1996), *C. nawai* (Satoh et al. 1997), *C. consobrinus* (Fraser et al. 2000), C. ocreatus (Goodisman and Hahn 2004) and *C. festinatus* (Goodisman and Hahn 2005) indicate that queens are mostly singly mated in the genus *Camponotus*.

Estimates of patrilines can be inaccurate due to non-detection errors and small sample size (Pedersen and Boomsma 1999). Given the microsatellites were very polymorphic, the probability of non-detection of a second father was very low (<0.1%). Our sample size of 16 workers per colony enabled us to detect a second patriline with a high probability (>95%) if the second male contributed to about 20% of the workers (Boomsma and Ratnieks, 1996). In colony S we genotyped 47 workers enabling us to detect a second patriline with a probability of more than 90% even if the second male fathered only 5% of the workers (Boomsma and Ratnieks, 1996). However, also in this colony we only detected one patriline.

In one of the 20 colonies analyzed, worker and queen homozygosity was very high with only one heterozygote locus in workers and another one in the queen. Given the high polymorphism of the microsatellites, the most likely explanation for this high homozygosity is that the queen was inbred and that she mated with a brother. In ants, there is usually a low level of inbreeding due to the high synchronization of mating flight among colonies of the same populations (Tabot 1945; McCluskey 1965; Boomsma and Leusink 1981). Queens and males from C. fellah colonies typically leave their nest in the evenings of the first warm and dry spring days where individuals of many nests join in a mating flight (Hefetz A, personal communication). However, instances of inbreeding due to sib-matings have been reported in two Camponotus species (C. ocreatus, Goodisman and Hahn 2004 and C. yamaokai, Satoh et al. 1997) as well as several species of other genera (e.g., Cardiocondyla batesii, Schrempf et al. 2005; Cardiocondyla nigra, Schrempf 2014; Cardiocondyla shuckardi, Heinze et al. 2014; and Formica exsecta, Sundström et al. 2003; Vitikainen et al. 2015). Interestingly, the inbred queen that we found had apparently mated with a brother. A similar pattern has been uncovered in Formica exsecta where more inbred queens are also more likely to have mated with a



^bWorker genotype is incompatible with the queen genotype and thus the worker(s) likely comes from another nest

^c(X) number of samples that did not amplify

^dAll workers are homozygous for this locus, but there are two alleles in the colony, thus we could not infer the queen and male genotype

^eWe genotyped 47 workers and the queen for all nine primers

^fGenotype profile is ambiguous, possibly homozygous

brother (Vitikainen et al. 2015). An association between the level of inbreeding of queens and their likelihood of mating with siblings could occur if there is a genetic basis influencing dispersal propensity. Females that are genetically less inclined to disperse may be more likely to mate with males of their nest and, therefore, become more inbred. Alternatively, the propensity to disperse may be directly influenced by the level of inbreeding (Vitikainen et al. 2015). Because more inbred queens may be smaller and less fit than outbred queens (Coltman et al. 1999; Keller and Waller 2002; McQuillan et al. 2012), they might disperse less (Wolf and Seppa 2016), thereby increasing their likelihood to mate with a sibling.

Mating has several costs that may select monoandry over polyandry (Daly 1978; Keller and Reeve 1995). For example, when mating with several males, females increase their risk of contracting sexually transmitted diseases (Knell and Webberley 2004; Peng et al. 2016), and also spent more time outside the nest exposed to predators (Lafaille et al. 2010; Alem et al. 2011). In addition, multiple mating results in sperm competition (Keller and Reeve 1995; den Boer et al. 2010) and associated conflict of interest between the sexes, which can reduce female lifespan and reproductive output (Chapman et al. 1995; Crudgington and Siva-Jothy 2000; Lessells 2005; Alonza and Pizzari 2013). Consequently, if mating with a single male can provide sufficient sperm to cover for the lifetime reproduction of the colony, and the benefits obtained from polyandry fail to compensate the endured mating costs, then queens might benefit more from being monoandrous.

Acknowledgements We thank Abraham Hefetz for collecting queens after a mating flight. We thank Mingkwan Nipitwattanaphon for help with the sample preparation for the initial primer design, and for advice on PCRs. We thank Consolée Aletti for sequencing, Raphaël Boulay, Michael Breed, and an anonymous reviewer for valuable comments, and Ben Sutcliffe for proofreading. This work was funded by several grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation, the Human Frontiers Science Program, and an ERC advanced grant.

References

- Alem S, Koselj K, Siemers BM, Greenfield MD (2011) Bat predation and the evolution of leks in acoustic moths. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 65:2105–2116. doi:10.1007/s00265-011-1219-x
- Alonzo SH, Pizzari T (2013) Selection on female remating interval is influenced by male sperm competition strategies and ejaculate characteristics. Philos T Roy Soc B 368: 20120044. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0044
- Boulay R, Hefetz A, Soroker V, Lenoir A (2000a) Camponotus fellah colony integration: worker individuality necessitates frequent hydrocarbon exchanges. Anim Behav 59:1127–1133
- Boulay R, Quagebeur M, Godzinska E, Lenoir A (1999) Social isolation in ants: evidence of its impact on survivorship and behavior in *Camponotus fellah* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Sociobiology 33:111–124

- Boulay R, Soroker V, Godzinska EJ, Hefetz A, Lenoir A (2000b) Octopamine reverses the isolation-induced increase in trophallaxis in the carpenter ant *Camponotus fellah*. J Exp Biol 203:513–520
- Boomsma JJ, Leusink A (1981) Weather conditions during nuptial flights of four European ant species. Oecologia 50:236–241
- Boomsma JJ, Ratnieks FLW (1996) Paternity in eusocial Hymenoptera. Philos T Roy Soc B 351:947–975.
- Chapman T, Liddle LF, Kalb JM, Wolfner MF, Partridge L (1995) Cost of mating in Drosophila melanogaster females is mediated by male accessory gland products. Nature 373:241–244
- Coltman DW, Pilkington JG, Smith JA, Pemberton JM (1999) Parasite-mediated selection against inbred Soay sheep in a free-living, island population. Evolution 53:1259–1267
- Crozier RH, Page RE (1985) On being the right size: male contributions and multiple mating in social Hymenoptera. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 18:105-115
- Crudgington HS, Siva-Jothy MT (2000) Genital damage, kicking and early death. Nature 407:855–856
- Daly M (1978) The cost of mating. Am Nat 112:771-774
- den Boer SPA, Baer B, Boomsma JJ (2010) Seminal fluid mediates ejaculate competition in social insects. Science 327:1506– 1509. doi:10.1126/science.1184709
- Fraser VS, Kaufmann B, Oldroyd BP, Crozier RH (2000) Genetic influence on caste in the ant *Camponotus consobrinus*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 47:188–194
- Gadau J, Gertsch PJ, Heinze J, Pamilo P, Hölldobler B (1998) Oligogyny by unrelated queens in the carpenter ant, *Camponotus Ligniperdus*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 44:23–33. doi:10.1007/s002650050511
- Gadau J, Heinze J, Hölldobler B, Schmid M (1996) Population and colony structure of the carpenter ant *Camponotus floridanus*. Mol Ecol 5:785–792
- Gertsch P, Pamilo P, Varvio SL (1995) Microsatellites reveal high genetic diversity within colonies of *Camponotus* ants. Mol Ecol 4:257–260
- Goodisman MAD, Hahn DA (2004) Colony genetic structure of the ant *Camponotus ocreatus* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Sociobiology 44:21–33
- Goodisman MAD, Hahn DA (2005) Breeding system, colony structure, and genetic differentiation in the *Camponotus festinatus* species complex of Carpenter ants. Evolution 59:2185–2199
- Greenwal E, Segre E, Feinerman O (2015) Ant trophollactic networks: simultaneous measurements of interaction patterns and food dissemination. Sci Rep 5:12496. doi:10.1038/srep12496
- Heinze J, Keller L (2000) Alternative reproductive strategies: a queen perspective in ants. Trends Ecol Evol 15:508–512
- Heinze J, Schrempf A, Wanke T, Rakotondrazafy H, Rakotondranaivo T, Fisher BL (2014) Polygyny, inbreeding and wingless males in the Malagasy ant *Cardiocondyla shuckardi* Forel (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Sociobiology 61:300–306
- Hughes WHO, Boomsma JJ (2004) Genetic diversity and disease resistance in leaf-cutting ant societies. Evolution 58:1251-1260
- Ichinose K, Boulay R, Cerdá X, Lenoir A (2009) Influence of queen and diet on nestmate recognition and cuticular hydrocarbon differentiation in a fission-dispersing ant, *Aphaenogaster senilis*. Zool Sci 26:681–685
- Ionescu-Hirsch A (2009) An annotated list of *Camponotus* of Israel, with a key and descriptions of new species. Isr J Entomol 39:57–98
- Julian GE, Cahan S (1999) Undertaking specialization in the desert leaf-cutter ant Acromyrmex versicolor. Anim Behav 58:437–442
- Julian GE, Fewell JH (2004) Genetic variation and task specialization in the desert leaf-cutter ant, *Acromyrmex versicolor*. Anim Behav 68:1–8



- Kafsi M, Braunschweig R, Mersch D, Grossglauser M, Keller L, Thiran P (2016) Uncovering latent behaviors in ant colonies. Proceedings of the 2016 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining. doi:10.1137/1.9781611974348.51
- Katzav-Gozansky T, Boulay R, Ionescu-Hirsh A, Hefetz A (2008) Nest volatiles as modulators of nestmate recognition in the ant Camponotus fellah. J Insect Physiol 54:378–385
- Katzav-Gozansky T, Boulay R, Van der Meer R, Hefetz A (2004) In-nest environment modulates nestmate recognition in the ant Camponotus fellah. Naturwissenschaften 91:186–190
- Keller L, Reeve HK (1995) Why do females mate with multiple males? The sexually selected sperm hypothesis. Adv Stud Behav 24:291–315
- Keller LF, Waller DM (2002) Inbreeding effects in wild populations. Trends Ecol Evol 17:230–241
- Knell RJ, Webberley KM (2004) Sexually transmitted diseases of insects: distribution, evolution, ecology and host behavior. Biol Rev 79:557–581
- Koto A, Mersch D, Hollis B, Keller L (2015) Social isolation causes mortality by disrupting energy homeostasis in ants. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 69:583–591
- Kureck IM, Jongepier E, Nicolai B, Foitzik S (2012) No inbreeding depression but increased sexual investment in highly inbred ant colonies. Mol Ecol 21:5613–5623. doi:10.1111/mec.12060
- Lafaille M, BimBard G, Greenfield MD (2010) Risk trading in mating behavior: forgoing anti-predator responses reduces the likelihood of missing terminal mating opportunities. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 64:1485–1494. doi:10.1007/s00265-010-0963-7
- Lessells CM (2005) Why are males bad for females? Models for the evolution of damaging male mating behavior. Am Nat 165:S46–S63
- McCluskey ES (1965) Circadian rhythms in male ants of five diverse species. Science 150:1037–1039
- McQuillan R, Eklund N, Pirastu N, Kuningas M, McEvoy BP, Esko T, Corre T, Davies G, Kaakinen M, Lyytikäinen L-P, Kristiansson K, Havulinna AS, Gögele M et al. (2012) Evidence of inbreeding depression on human height. Plos Genet 8: e1002655. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002655
- Mersch DP, Crespi A, Keller L (2013) Tracking individuals shows spatial fidelity is a key regulator of ant social organization. Science 340:1090–1093
- Oldroyd BP, Fewell JH (2007) Genetic diversity promotes homeostasis in insect colonies. Trend Ecol Evol 22:408–413
- Peng Y, Grassl J, Millar AH, Baer B (2016) Seminal fluid of honeybees contains multiple mechanisms to combat infections of the sexually transmitted pathogen Nosema apis. Proc R Soc B 283:20151785
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J Hered 86:248–249
- Reber A, Castella G, Christe P, Chapuisat M (2008) Experimentally increased group diversity improves disease resistance in an ant species. Ecol Lett 11:682–689. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01177.x

- Rheindt FE, Strehl CP, Gadau J (2005) A genetic component in the determination of worker polymorphism in the Florida harvester ant Pogonomyrmex badius. Insect Soc 52:163–168. doi:10.1007/s00040-004-0787-4
- Richard FJ, Hefetz A, Christides J-P, Errard C (2004) Food influence on colonial recognition and chemical signature between nestmates in the fungus-growing ant *Acromyrmex subterraneus subterraneus*. Chemoecology 14:9–16
- Robinson GE (1992) Regulation of division of labor in insect societies. Annu Rev Entomol 37:637–665
- Rousset F (2008) Genepop'007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. Mol Ecol Resour 8:103–106
- Satoh T, Masuko K, Matsumoto T (1997) Colony genetic structure in the mono- and polygynous sibling species of the ants Camponotus *nawai* and *Camponotus yamaokai*: DNA fingerprint analysis. Ecol Res 12:71–76
- Schmidt, AM, Linksvayer TA, Boomsma JJ, Pedersen JS (2011) No benefit in diversity? The effect of genetic variation on survival and disease resistance in a polygynous social insect. Ecol Entomol 36:751–759.
- Schrempf, A (2014) Inbreeding, multiple mating and foreign sexuals in the ant Cardiocondyla *nigra* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). Myrmecological News 20:1–5.
- Schrempf A, Reber C, Tinaut A, Heinze J (2005) Inbreeding and local mate competition in the ant Cardiocondyla *batesii*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 57:502–510. doi:10.1007/s00265-004-0869-3
- Schwander T, Rosset H, Chapuisat M (2005) Division of labour and worker size polymorphism in ant colonies: the impact of social and genetic factors. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 59:215–221. doi:10.1007/s00265-005-0027-6
- Smith CR, Toth AL, Suarez AV, Robinson GE (2008) Genetic and genomic analyses of the division of labour in insect societies. Nat Rev Genet 9:735–748. doi:10.1038/nrg2429
- Sundström L, Keller L, Chapuisat M (2003) Inbreeding and sexbiased gene flow in the ant Formica exsecta. Evolution 57:1552– 1561. doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003.tb00363.x
- Suni SS, Gordon DM (2010) Fine-scale genetic structure and dispersal distance in the harvester ant *Pogonomyrmex barbatus*. Heredity 104:168–173. doi:10.1038/hdy.2009.124
- Talbot M (1945) A comparison of flights of four species of ants. Am Midl Nat 34:504-510
- Vitikainen EIK, Haag-Liautard C, Sundström L (2015) Natal dispersal, mating patterns, and inbreeding in the ant *Formica exsecta*. Am Nat 186:716–727. doi:10.1086/683799
- Waddington SJ, Santorelli LA, Ryan FR, Hughes WOH (2010) Genetic polyethism in leaf-cutting ants. Behav Ecol 21:1165–1169
- Wiernasz DC, Hines J, Parker DG, Cole BJ (2008) Mating for variety increases foraging activity in the harvester ant, Pogonomyrmex occidentalis. Mol Ecol 17:1137–1144
- Wolf JI, Seppa P (2016) Dispersal and mating in a size-dimorphic ant. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 70:1267–1276. doi:10.1007/s00265-016-2135-x

