Plasma observations during the Mars atmospheric "plume" event of March-April 2012

D. J. Andrews,¹ S. Barabash,² N. J. T. Edberg,¹ D. A. Gurnett,³ B. E. S.

Hall,⁴ M. Holmström,² M. Lester,⁴ D. D. Morgan,³ H. J. Opgenoorth,¹ R.

Ramstad,² B. Sanchez-Cano,⁴ M. Way,^{5,6} and O. Witasse.⁷

Corresponding author: David J. Andrews, Swedish Institute of Space Physics (Uppsala), Box 537, Uppsala 75121, Sweden. david.andrews@irfu.se

¹Swedish Institute of Space Physics

(Uppsala), Uppsala, Sweden

²Swedish Institute of Space Physics

(Kiruna), Kiruna, Sweden

³Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of Leicester, Leicester, United

Kingdom

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/2015JA022023

C 2016 American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.

Abstract.

We present initial analysis and conclusions from plasma observations made during the reported "Mars plume event" of March - April 2012. During this period, multiple independent amateur observers detected a localized, highaltitude "plume" over the Martian dawn terminator [Sanchez-Lavega et al., Nature, 2015, doi:10.1038/nature14162], the cause of which remains to be explained. The estimated brightness of the plume exceeds that expected for auroral emissions, and its projected altitude greatly exceeds that at which clouds are expected to form. We report on in-situ measurements of ionospheric plasma density and solar wind parameters throughout this interval made by Mars Express, obtained over the same surface region, but at the opposing terminator. Measurements in the ionosphere at the corresponding location frequently show a disturbed structure, though this is not atypical for such regions with intense crustal magnetic fields. We tentatively conclude that the

⁵NASA Goddard Institute for Space

Studies, 2880 Broadway, New York, New

York, USA

⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, SE-751 20,

Uppsala, Sweden

Ì

⁷ESA ESTEC, Noordwijjk, Netherlands

formation and/or transport of this plume to the altitudes where it was observed could be due in part to the result of a large interplanetary coronal mass ejection (ICME) encountering the Martian system. Interestingly, we note that the only similar plume detection in May 1997 may also have been associated with a large ICME impact at Mars.

Key points:

- 1 Data from ASPERA-3 and MARSIS were obtained during the unusual atmospheric plume event
- 2 Plume observations likely follow the impact of large interplanetary coronal mass ejections

3 - Ionospheric plasma structures associated with the plume are not conclusively observed.

Accepted

1. Introduction

Mars, including specifically its surface, atmosphere, and induced magnetosphere, has been the subject of continuous in-situ study for nearly two decades. Recent reports of remote observations of an extremely high-altitude 'plume' were therefore something of a surprise [Sánchez-Lavega et al., 2015, hereafter SL15]. Over the interval of 12 March - 17 April 2012 following apparent opposition, observations made of Mars in the optical band by amateur astronomers from several distinct geographical locations showed the presence of a detached, bright feature above the Martian surface. The feature was centered near 43° South latitude, 197° West longitude. While projection effects make the determination of a 'true' altitude almost impossible, conservative estimates indicate that the feature was present at altitudes up to ~ 280 km, and extended over $\sim 11^{\circ}$ of latitude. Its longitudinal extent was inferred to be $\sim 11^{\circ}$, and in several cases it was clearly observed to be rotating with the planet. Importantly, in each instance the plume was found at the same location over the planet's surface within the uncertainties of the observations, and was only visible as that region traversed the dawn terminator. Possible observations of the plume while it lay over the sun-lit disc of the planet were likely prevented by the bright surface below, and it was not observed as it crossed the dusk limb (the dusk terminator not being visible from Earth at this time). Therefore, any diurnal variation of the plume is not constrained by the available observations [SL15].

SL15 explored several possible physical explanations for the observed plume, specifically the local condensation of water or CO_2 ice, atmospherically suspended dust, and auroral emissions. Detailed observations of clouds at Mars have been extensively reported in

the literature [see e.g. Montmessin et al., 2007; Määttänen et al., 2010; González-Galindo et al., 2011; Määttänen et al., 2013] The events of March-April 2012 appear to be of a very different class as the observed altitude of the plume is significantly higher than those at which CO₂ and/or H₂O are expected to be able to condense within the Martian atmosphere [SL15]. Measurements by Mars Climate Sounder on Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter have shown that water ice clouds may be present at higher altitudes than previously expected, i.e. up to ~50 km [Heavens et al., 2010] and have been shown to vary seasonally, diurnally, and in response to orographic forcing. However, these observations are still made well below the altitudes we concern ourselves with in this paper.

Meanwhile, dust plays an important role in the dynamics of the Martian atmosphere, both at low and middle altitudes [e.g. $McCleese \ et \ al.$, 2010]. The effects of global dust storms have been shown to be measurable up to ionospheric altitudes [e.g. Lillis et al., 2008; England and Lillis, 2012; Liemohn et al., 2012]. Furthermore, the increased thermospheric mass densities and correspondingly increased photoelectron fluxes at a given altitude may persist even after the lower-altitude dust storm has subsided [Xu et al., 2014]. Distinct layers of dust may be present in the Martian atmosphere up to altitudes of ~70 km, perhaps as the result of vertical transport due to thermal updrafts generated in regions of topographical variations [e.g. Guzewich et al., 2013; Heavens et al., 2015]. However, lofted dusty material has not hitherto been reported at the altitudes corresponding to the feature observed by SL15, and Kleinböhl et al. [2015] have recently shown a lack of a long-lived dust layer in the middle atmosphere. A clear body of evidence therefore exists for complex coupling between the behavior of dust and aerosols throughout the

Martian atmosphere from the surface to the thermosphere, though the precise details of much of this coupling remain to be understood.

SL15 also briefly explored the possibility that the observed features were the manifestation in the optical band of a localized auroral emission. The surface location of these observations is consistent with that reported previously for Martian aurora [*Bertaux et al.*, 2005; *Gérard et al.*, 2015], being over a region of intense and highly structured crustal magnetic fields [*Acuña et al.*, 1999]. However, if the plume was in fact an auroral emission, its brightness would vastly exceed the spectral observations made by the UV spectrometer on Mars Express (MEX), by at least 3 orders of magnitude, making it significantly brighter than any auroral emission observed at Earth or indeed any other planet.

A further possibility, not discussed by SL15, is the formation of this layer by ablation of material from a meteor [e.g. Molina-Cuberos et al., 2003]. Ionized material from such impacts has a long lifetime at ionospheric altitudes, and has recently been observed by NASA's MAVEN mission following the close approach of comet Siding-Spring [Schneider et al., 2015]. The influx of material from Siding Spring was clearly associated with the formation of a layer of ionized magnesium in the Martian atmosphere, with peak densities at altitudes of ~ 120 km.

In summary, our current understanding of the Martian atmosphere does not include processes that can act to form the observed high altitude plume reported by *SL15*. In this paper we concentrate on coincident in-situ and remote plasma observations made during this period by MEX. The main layer of the Martian ionosphere is formed through photoionization of CO_2 , and has its peak in density at altitudes of ~135 km at the subsolar point, rising to ~180 km at the terminator [e.g. *Morgan et al.*, 2008]. The high

projected altitude of the plume would therefore place it well above the main peak of the ionosphere, in the region where draped heliospheric fields typically dominate the magnetic field configuration. During the interval the plume was observed, the second of three measurement campaigns organized in part by the Mars Upper Atmosphere Network (MUAN) was underway, spanning the March - April period (see details given by Opgenoorth et al. [2013]). The coincidence in time is not surprising, since the MUAN campaign was conducted following apparent opposition, when solar wind measurements made by dedicated spacecraft at Earth could be most reliably extrapolated to the orbit of Mars, yielding the best-possible measurements of the upstream solar wind at Mars. During this interval, MEX made several passages over the surface region of Mars where this plume was observed in observations made from Earth. However, the phasing of the MEX orbit was such that this surface region was crossed at the opposite terminator: the plume was observed from Earth over the dawn terminator, while the MEX data studied here were obtained at dusk. This local time offset prevents our ability to study the plume directly, as we have no information about the persistence of the plume over a full rotation of Mars. The observations presented here nevertheless provide relevant information about the state of the ionosphere in this region, and any diurnal variation present. Additionally, we also report on the state of the solar wind during this interval, and find at least a tentative correlation between the plume observations and the preceding impact of solar wind shocks at Mars, associated with interplanetary coronal mass ejections (ICMEs).

The response of the Mars ionosphere and induced magnetosphere to ICME events has long been studied [e.g. *Crider et al.*, 2005; *Edberg et al.*, 2010; *Opgenoorth et al.*, 2013; *Morgan et al.*, 2014; *Jakosky et al.*, 2015]. The general consensus is the enhanced dy-

namic pressure associated with these events leads to short-term increases in the rate of atmospheric escape, along with a compression of the plasma boundaries that separate the ionosphere from the upstream solar wind. The precise mechanisms by which momentum is transferred from the solar wind to the escaping planetary ions remain the subject of detailed study, as does their relative importance. Similarly, solar flares and the associated increase in ionizing UV light has been shown to enhance the Martian ionosphere [e.g. Mendillo et al., 2006; Mahajan and Mayr, 1990], as has the precipitation of shock-accelerated solar energetic particles (SEPs) into the atmosphere [e.g. Lillis et al., 2012; Uluşen et al., 2012; Němec et al., 2014]. It must be noted, however, that these three sources of short-term variations in the ionosphere and induced magnetosphere, while themselves often having a common root cause on the solar surface, often are incident at Mars at markedly different times, as the flare naturally travels at the speed of light, solar energetic particles some significant fraction thereof, but following heliospheric magnetic field lines, and the bulk of the ICME \sim 1-4 days later, depending on the shape and propagation speed of the ejecta. The presence of a flare, SEP flux increase, or an ICME is not a priori a reliable predictor of the others, either concurrently or shortly afterwards. Distributed multi-point measurements and/or advanced modeling schemes are required to fully understand the causal relationships between these observations [e.g. Falkenberg et al., 2011].

2. Instrumentation and Models

The periapsis altitude of MEX during the period in which the plume was observed was ~335 km, i.e. somewhat above the uppermost altitudes at which the phenomenon was observed. Extended series of measurements were taken both with the Analyzer for ©2016 American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved. Space Plasmas and Energetic Atoms (ASPERA-3) plasma instrument suite [*Barabash* et al., 2006] and the Mars Advanced Radar for Sub-Surface and Ionospheric Sounding (MARSIS) [*Picardi et al.*, 2004; *Gurnett et al.*, 2005], which we discuss in detail in this paper.

ASPERA-3 comprises a suite of sensors dedicated to the measurement of ions, electrons and energetic neutral atoms. In this paper we use data from the Ion Mass Analyzer (IMA) sensor, which determines the energy and mass per charge of incident ions, and has a field of view of $\pm 45 \times 360^{\circ}$ (elevation \times azimuth), using electrostatic deflectors to cover the elevation angle. The instrument is capable of separately resolving H⁺, He⁺⁺, O⁺, O⁺₂ and CO^+_2 in the energy range 0.01-36 keV per charge. A full scan of mass, energy, azimuth and elevation is completed every 192 s. From this, the bulk moments of the plasma may be numerically computed yielding density, velocity and temperature (under ideal conditions). In practice, part of the instrument's field of view can be obscured by the spacecraft bus and solar arrays, and spacecraft potential variations can limit its ability to measure cold ionospheric plasma flows.

We note that ASPERA-3 is not a dedicated solar wind monitor and owing to the orbit of MEX cannot continuously sample the solar wind. The fraction of each \sim 7 h orbit for which MEX is in the undisturbed solar wind varies significantly, but is typically not more than \sim 75%, and often significantly less than this (occasionally falling to near zero, when apoapsis is located in the Martian induced magnetotail). During the period specifically studied here, MEX spends \sim 4 h per orbit in the solar wind. We therefore supplement these discontinuous in-situ solar wind measurements with higher-precision and continuous measurements made by dedicated spacecraft at Earth orbit, specifically the Advanced

Composition Explorer (ACE). These measurements are extrapolated to Mars orbit using the 1-D MHD Michigan Solar Wind Model (MSWIM). Full details of this approach, along with an evaluation of its effectiveness are given by *Zieger and Hansen* [2008]. Briefly, plasma moments measured by ACE are transformed into an inertial frame, and used as time-dependent boundary conditions in simulating the solar wind stream as it evolves to Mars's orbital location. During the period of the Martian plume observations, this propagation is expected to be most reliable, as both the radial distance and the angular separation between Earth and Mars have their smallest values.

MARSIS comprises a 40 m tip-to-tip dipole and associated electronics required to send and receive radio pulses. The instrument is operated at periapsis, at altitudes typically below ~1200 km. One of the key aspects of the MUAN campaign run during the period studied here is the generally enhanced volume of data taken with MARSIS in Active Ionospheric Sounding (AIS) mode. When operated in AIS mode, the instrument transmits a short pulse of ~100 μs duration at a given frequency f, before "listening" for reflections of the pulse from the Martian ionosphere below at the same frequency. The time delay between the transmission of the pulse and any detected reflection gives the distance to the reflection site. The process is repeated at 160 logarithmically spaced pulse frequencies from ~0.1 to 5.5 MHz, forming a so-called "ionogram", with time delay and frequency as its two axes. The variation in the curve of the time delay to the ionospheric reflection with frequency can be numerically inverted to yield a profile of ionospheric plasma density with altitude, from the spacecraft down to the ionospheric peak density (below which, all pulses instead propagate through the ionosphere and reflect from the surface of the planet). Full details of this inversion process as applied to MARSIS data are given by *Morgan et al.*

[2013]. Finally, we also note that the relatively high-power of the transmitted pulse is sufficient to disturb the plasma around the antenna in a complex fashion, giving rise to distortions in the data at the local plasma frequency, as well as "pseudo-echoes" at the local electron gyroperiod in regions where the magnetic field is strong, typically above \sim 20 nT [Gurnett et al., 2005].

In the following section, we briefly report on the content of these plasma observations, the state of the solar wind, and the tentative conclusions we draw from comparisons with the timings of the reported optical observations.

3. 2012 March - April Observations with MEX

Figure 1 summarizes the various plasma observations made during the interval identified by *SL15*, along with the periapsis locations of MEX, and the timing of individual plume observations. Throughout this period, the azimuthal separation between Mars and Earth varied from ~ 5° (Mars leading) to ~ 30° (Earth leading), with radial alignment occurring on 5 March 2012. Throughout all panels in Figure 1, we highlight in light blue those MEX orbits for which we will later show individual measurements made with MARSIS. Panels a to c of Figure 1 show respectively measured solar wind density n_{sw} , speed v_{sw} and dynamic pressure P_{dyn} , obtained from ASPERA-3 ion measurements while MEX was in the solar wind (black circles). From ~12:00 (UTC) on 9 to ~00:00 on 18 March the quality of these ASPERA-3 data are significantly reduced, almost certainly due to the impact of at least one very large interplanetary coronal mass ejection (ICME) on the Martian induced magnetosphere. The associated penetrating radiation and enhanced particle fluxes overloaded an internal buffer in the instrument, and large volumes of data were irretrievably lost. Furthermore, extreme rarefactions in solar wind density in the

wake of the ICME pose further instrumental problems for the measurements of these bulk parameters. This interval in which the instrument performance is degraded is marked by the horizontal blue bars. However, we are able to supplement these measurements through the use of the results of the MSWIM propagation, as shown by the red traces in panels a-c of Figure 1. These ACE-derived estimates of the solar wind at Mars corroborate the impact on Mars of a major ICME with peak velocities exceeding ~ 700 km s⁻¹ on ~ 9 March. In addition, one or possibly two subsequent smaller ICMEs, launched on 9 March, are predicted to impact Mars beteen 12 and 14 March. Large density depletions are found in the wakes of this chain of ICMEs, with densities falling below values that can be meaningfully interpreted either in ASPERA measurements or MHD simulation results. Overall, the ICME that was launched from the Sun on 7 March 2012 and impacted Earth on 9 March was a significant event, and likely one of the most intense to hit Mars during the ascending phase of solar cycle 24.

Outside of this disturbed interval, the agreement between the ASPERA-3 measured solar wind parameters and those propagated from Earth using MSWIM is in general reasonable, particularly in terms of expected velocities. A further significant shock appears to arrive on April 13 with a large rise in solar wind density, followed by a doubling of the solar wind velocity. This perturbation is more characteristic of a corotating stream interaction region (SIR), and is well accounted for in the MSWIM estimates, albeit with a small delay of \sim 1 day. Such SIR fronts, which have a distinct "sawtooth" density profile are found at the interfaces between slow and fast solar wind streams, and can often persist throughout several solar rotations.

Panel d of Figure 1 shows the timings of the ground-based telescope observations made from Earth in which the Mars plume was detected. The longitude of the sub-Earth point on Mars at the time of each observation is plotted, commonly referred to as the "central meridian longitude" (CML) [Sanchez-Lavega, private communication]. Precise calculation of this quantity requires reliable information regarding the timing of each observation, which is available for almost all the observations noted by SL15. Small, medium and larger red 'X' symbols signify "tentative", "clear", and "excellent" quality positive detections of the plume. Equivalently sized black '+' symbols represent corresponding quality nondetections, i.e. successful observations of Mars which did not show a plume, but had the required resolution to be able to resolve one were it present at the terminator. We ascribe more weight to those observations in which the plume was first noted, on 20 and 21 March, in contrast to those which were retrospectively found in re-examined data. We also add weight to the observations where the image quality was particularly clear, or multiple detections were made on a single night, or an image sequence was obtained showing the motion of the plume over the limb. Conclusive statements regarding the presence or absence of the plume can be made only intermittently with the available observations. We note that the clearest and most frequent plume sightings (large red ' \times ' symbols indicating positive detections) all occur within the first event, around 20 March, while the event may begin as early as March 13 following the first clear detection. A localized feature rotating over Mars' surface will only be visible in a narrow range of CML. For the initial observations in March, positive detections only occur with CML less than $\sim 160^{\circ}$, while the non detections are all made at larger values. Hence, the interspersed non-detections of the plume are likely not indicative of its absence, and instead may only

be the result of unfavorable viewing geometry. Little can be safely concluded regarding the plume activity, or lack thereof in the interval March 23 to April 10, before it is once again observed for a period of \sim 7 days until April 17. In our assessment, only clear non-detections in the same CML range where the plume was initially seen can yield firm constraints on its duration. Since these are lacking from the available observations, the extent in time for which the plume was present in the Martian atmosphere cannot be properly constrained.

In the final three panels of Figure 1 we show parameters regarding the orbit and operation of MEX during this interval. Again, we note that the local time (LT) of periapsis of MEX during these observations varied steadily between 17:20 - 17:50 h LT throughout this period, and therefore provide a dusk counterpart to the optical observations at the dawn terminator. Panel e of Figure 1 shows the latitude of periapsis of MEX, slowly decreasing through the southern hemisphere with time. Red markers highlight those periapses at longitudes close to the plume nominal center, specifically 175° - 220° West (or 140° 185° East, for commonality with other MEX publications). Panel f of Figure 1 shows the minimum surface distance between the spacecraft and the plume nominal center on each orbit. The closest approach to the average plume surface location is within 20 km, occurring on orbit 10498 on 28 March. This likely places MEX (at dusk) directly above the region where the plume was seen to be active (at dawn) at this time, given the extended horizontal size of the plume. Finally, in panel g of Figure 1 the grey bars show the number of MARSIS AIS soundings performed at periapsis of each orbit, with those shown in red indicating the number of soundings made over the plume region. Specifically we define "over the plume region" as being latitude $-43.1\pm10.8^{\circ}$ and longitude $197.1\pm22.2^{\circ}$

(West), where we note that we have taken the 'extreme' range given by *SL15*, and further doubled the longitudinal extent. Line of sight projection effects can introduce significant ambiguities in both spatial location and altitude, and modestly increasing the longitudinal extent of the region of interest, making it of approximately equal spatial extent in both the zonal and meridional directions seems reasonable to us.

Summarizing the data shown in Figure 1, we see that the clearest plume detections on 20 and 21 March follow the impact of a major ICME, along with one or two smaller trailing ICMEs and the disturbed solar wind in their wakes. The impact of at least the first large ICME, and associated energetic particles is confirmed in in-situ measurements from ASPERA-3. A smaller solar wind enhancement is also present in the second cluster of plume observations around April 14, though this likely to be a SIR rather than an ICME. The apparent positive plume detection occurring on 9 April 2012 does not show any immediately preceding solar wind enhancement according to in-situ measurements made with ASPERA-3 (Figure 1, panels a-c). The lack of recorded non-detections in the period March 24 to April 9 is unfortunate, as it prevents us making firm statements about the duration of the major plume event following the large March 9 ICME. We conclude that it is at least possible that the second series of plume observations is simply a direct continuation of the first.

The lack of a magnetometer onboard MEX prevents measurements of solar wind convection electric field direction, which exerts significant influence over the configuration of the Martian ionosphere and induced magnetosphere [e.g. *Dubinin et al.*, 2006; *Brain*, 2006]. While estimating the orientation of the upstream magnetic field from the MSWIM propagations is possible, significant deviations can be expected due to evolution of the

solar wind, particularly in response to the ICMEs embedded within it. We therefore do not show these data, but instead only briefly comment that there is very weak evidence to suggest that more of the individual plume observations are associated with a "toward" configuration of the Parker spiral than the opposite "away" configuration.

Figure 2 shows the trajectory of MEX projected onto the surface of Mars, where the surface is shown color-coded according to the crustal magnetic field intensity $|B_{Crustal}|$ using the model of *Lillis et al.* [2010] evaluated at an altitude of 150 km. Colored trajectories indicate those orbits highlighted in Figure 1, from which data are later shown, according to the label on the right. Other orbits which pass through the plume region of interest bounded by the black and white dashed line during March and April 2012 are shown grey. In each case, only the periapsis segments are shown, corresponding to the periods when MARSIS is operating in AIS mode.

In Figure 3 we show ionograms obtained with MARSIS/AIS at several instances both before, during, and after individual plume observations were made by *SL15*. Each of the 9 panels shows an individual ionogram, obtained at the orbit and time indicated in the upper left of the panel. The projected locations of these ionograms are shown by the appropriately colored circles on the mapped trajectories in Figure 2. Each ionogram shows the color-coded signal intensity measured on the antenna versus delay time (y-axis) and transmitted frequency (x-axis, with equivalent plasma density also indicated). Characteristic features are labelled in Figure 3a and b. These are namely the vertical plasma lines occurring at integer multiples of the local electron plasma frequency $f_{pe} = \sqrt{n_e e^2/\epsilon_0 m_e 4\pi^2}$ surrounding the spacecraft, horizontal cyclotron lines occurring at multiples at the electron gyroperiod $\tau_{ce} = qB/2\pi m_e$, the ionospheric reflection trace extending to larger delays

at higher frequencies as the peak density is approached. Finally the surface reflection of radio waves is visible at the highest frequencies, which pass completely through the ionosphere. Interpretation of these ionograms is not without its subtleties, and we refer the reader in particular to related papers by *Gurnett et al.* [2005]; *Duru et al.* [2006]; *Morgan et al.* [2013] for further details.

Firstly, we report those MARSIS/AIS observations shown in Figure 3a-c, made during intervals when the plume was not visible according to the amateur observations from Earth. Figure 3a shows the ionogram obtained on 2012 March 07 over the dusk terminator, closest to the site where the plume would later be detected from March 13 onwards at the dawn terminator. The characteristics of this ionogram are essentially unremarkable a very restricted reflection at ~ 1 MHz is observed at ~ 2.4 ms delays, likely ionospheric in origin. The solar zenith angle (SZA, 0° at the sub-solar point, 90° at the terminator at the surface) of this observation puts the spacecraft behind the geometric terminator. However, the vertically extended ionosphere remains sun-lit to an SZA of $\sim 110^{\circ}$, and hence the presence of ionospheric plasma sub-spacecraft in this location is not unusual. Figure 3b and 3c meanwhile show observations obtained on March 28, following the first series of confirmed plume detections ending on March 23. Figure 3b shows the ionogram obtained closest to the planetographic location of the plume nominal center, which again shows a fairly unremarkable ionospheric trace indicating a stratified ionosphere, along with cyclotron lines that are more closely spaced, indicating a more intense magnetic field at the spacecraft than shown in Figure 3a. We also note that the observation shown in Figure 3b is the closest obtained to the plume nominal center throughout the period studied. Figure 3c was obtained $\sim 10^{\circ}$ further south of Figure 3b on the same orbit, closer

to the terminator, and shows a reflection at higher peak frequency (and therefore density). The ionospheric trace is also "thicker", extending over a larger range of delay bins within the instrument, possibly indicating a more disturbed ionosphere, with more horizontal irregularities giving rise to multiple reflection sites. Furthermore, the ionospheric trace now overlaps with that of the ground, an effect which is only possible when the ionospheric reflection is at least in part being received from an off-nadir direction, i.e. at oblique incidence [Duru et al., 2010]. This provides further evidence for a large degree of structuring of the ionosphere at this location.

We note that it is in principal possible to invert these reflections, accounting for the dispersion of the radio waves during their passage to and from the reflection point at each frequency and yielding a profile of electron density versus altitude above the surface [see e.g. *Morgan et al.*, 2013]. However, we do not perform this operation on these data, for several reasons. Firstly, owing to the location of these soundings near the terminator, the horizontal structuring of the ionosphere makes it highly likely that distortions will be present in the final results of such an inversion. Principally, this will lead to underestimations of the true altitude of particular features, but also can cause further distortions, smearing out any real extra layers that may be present. Secondly, the lower density of the ionosphere at this location as compared e.g. to the sub-solar ionosphere, means that the interpolation from the spacecraft to the lowest frequency ionospheric reflection represents a large fraction of the total trace.

Moving now to Figure 3d-f, we show a sequence of 3 successive orbits 10469-10471 (all taking place on March 20). The central ionogram Figure 3e shows the observation made closest to the plume center, a matter of hours before it would then be observed as the

same region of Mars surface traverse the dusk limb. Figure 3d and 3f then show the observation made at the same latitude and SZA on the preceding and following orbits, but at spacecraft longitudes rotated $\sim 100^{\circ}$ eastward and westward of the plume center, respectively. The trace observed closest to the plume in Figure 3e displays a much thicker reflection, than those before (3d) and after (3f), again indicating small-scale structuring of the ionosphere in this location. The ionospheric reflection in Figure 3d comprises multiple individual traces, indicating oblique reflections from more distant points in the ionosphere, away from the nadir direction, while that in Figure 3f is fainter, but otherwise rather unremarkable. The identical illumination conditions of the ionosphere during these three ionograms is at odds with their varied presentation. However, we cannot confidently ascribe any of this variation to the presence of the plume in Figure 3e, or the lack thereof in Figure 3d and 3f. All of the variations seen in these three ionograms could easily be ascribed instead to the different crustal field conditions present between these locations. Stronger crustal fields are clearly detected in Figure 3e, owing to the closer-spacing of the cyclotron lines relative to Figure 3d and 3f. Specifically, the modeled crustal field strength at 150 km altitude at the location of the ionogram shown in Figure 3e is ~ 100 nT, its orientation is radially outward from the planet's surface [Lillis et al., 2010]. In contrast, modeled crustal fields at the locations of Figure 3d and 3f are much weaker ~ 10 nT or less, and therefore negligible compared with typical draped magnetic field intensities. The ionosphere in regions of intense near-radial crustal fields is well known to be elevated with respect to other regions [e.g. Gurnett et al., 2005], and often displays such a "thick" reflection trace.

©2016 American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.

Finally, Figure 3g-i show observations made on March 13, 22 and April 13, respectively, all made when the plume was reported to be active by *SL15*, on the closest approach to the plume location on each orbit. Clear, and varied, ionospheric reflections are present in each case. Multiple reflections are present in both Figure 3g and i, while h shows a single trace. A broader range is seen in the peak frequency of the ionosphere, and therefore its density in these three examples than the 6 discussed previously. A third example of a thicker reflection can be seen in Figure 3i, the only plot we show from the second run of plume observations, made during April 2012. No surface reflection is evident in Figure 3g, likely indicating the presence of a plasma layer at altitudes below the nominal ionospheric peak density, in which collisional absorption of the sounding pulse occurs before the surface is reached. Such effects have been studied previously by *Morgan et al.* [2010] and *Witasse et al.* [2001], and have been related to the precipitation of high energy particles into the atmosphere, causing low-altitude ionization.

In Figure 4 we plot spectra obtained by the ion and electron spectrometers of ASPERA-3 during orbit 10551 on 13 April 2012. The duration of the passage of MEX through the plume location depicted in Figure 2 is marked by the vertical dashed black lines, and the closest approach to the plume center occurred at 03:10, coincident with the ionogram shown in Figure 3i. Very shortly after this, accelerated planetary ions were observed at unusually high energies, up to \sim 7 keV, indicated in the spectra by the white arrow. While the mass-resolving capabilities of IMA are not sufficient at these high energies to resolve the species, these are most likely O⁺ or O₂⁺. No associated signature is present in the electron spectra obtained at the same time. Their high energy indicates a substantial acceleration of these ions has taken place, presumably from much lower

energies characteristic of thermal ions in the Martian ionosphere. Indeed, ionospheric heavy ions are simultaneously observed in the same time period as the energetic ions, indicating a mixed population. Consideration of the look-direction of the IMA sensor during these observations suggests that these accelerated ions are traveling anti-sunward. Taking the distance to the sub-solar bow shock point as an upper limit for the length scale over which the acceleration process could have acted, this would suggest a minimum accelerating (uniform, steady) electric field of $\sim 1 \text{ mV/m}$, directed anti-sunward. Such an electric field would be required to accelerate a singly-charged planetary ion from rest to the observed energy.

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary

We have presented observations of the Martian ionosphere and induced magnetosphere obtained during the period March - April 2012, during which an anomalously high-altitude atmospheric 'plume' was reported by *SL15*. In situ solar wind measurements were regularly obtained by MEX during this period, and several large ICMEs were observed to impact the Martian system, the largest of which arrived on ~9 March, with a modest density enhancement and speeds exceeding ~700 km s⁻¹. Further confirmation of the arrival of the associated shocks, and following rarefaction regions was obtained using the MSWIM data-driven MHD simulation. The first confirmed observations of the plume were then made on 13 March, with the clearest examples occurring later on 20 and 21 March, following this ICME impact and the wake-like structures in the solar wind that followed. The 3-4 days that elapsed between the closest solar wind shock arrival and these clear observations do not support necessarily a direct connection between these phenom-

ena. However, the reported nondetections of the plume are essentially all consistent with observational restrictions arising from the CML at the time of observation, with the plume not being reliably observed during this March event for CMLs greater than $\sim 160^{\circ}$. Higher cadence and more continual observations would therefore have been required to reliably constrain the duration for which the plume was active, by ensuring that a broader range of CML was surveyed. Making firm statements about both the start and end time of this plume event is not possible with the available optical observations. While the majority of the plume observations made in April follow the impact of a reasonably strong SIR, the distinct possibility remains that the second set of observations may be a continuation of the first event.

We remind the reader that the MEX ionospheric observations presented here were obtained at local times close to the dusk terminator in each case, and therefore are almost exactly opposite to the dawn terminator region in which the plume was visible. The lack of a clear signature in MARSIS/AIS soundings associated with the plume could therefore be construed as being consistent with at least some level of diurnal variation in the plume, either in altitude, horizontal extent, or its formation and dissipation on diurnal timescales. In this context, we note that ionospheric density structures regularly seen by MARSIS in regions of intense crustal fields have been postulated to undergo systematic diurnal variation, forming and growing throughout their passage through the sunlit ionosphere, before dissipating on the nightside due to rapid ion-electron recombination [*Duru et al.*, 2006; *Andrews et al.*, 2014; *Diéval et al.*, 2015]. However, despite the common altitude range of these phenomena, the rarity of observations of high-altitude atmospheric plumes

contrasted with the very regular ionospheric oblique echo detections does not immediately suggest a causal relationship between these phenomena.

In summary, the events reported by *SL15* are clearly interesting, and remain without explanation. Both the plume's location in a region of intense crustal magnetic fields, and it's potentially interesting timing following a period of relatively extreme solar wind disturbances, and the ionospheric altitudes at which it was detected, collectively suggest that a direct connection is perhaps possible. However, the available data during this event, and the wide separation in local time between observations made at Mars by MEX and the reported plume locations clearly limit the strength of the conclusions we may draw. The ionospheric plasma density observations made by MARSIS over the plume region are best described as 'typical' for that region of the Martian ionosphere, i.e. containing both elevated densities compared to other longitudes, localized density enhancements producing oblique echoes, and possible irregularities giving a dispersed reflection.

As was noted in the introduction, these MEX data were obtained during the second of three observation campaigns organized by the MUAN group, in each case at and following the apparent opposition of Mars, as this period provides the most reliable opportunity to extrapolate solar wind measurements made at Earth to Mars orbit. No similar plume detections were reported during the other two campaigns, which took place during the spring of 2010 and 2014. However, during neither of these intervals was a similarly extreme solar wind encountered as during the March 2012, as will be discussed later.

4.2. Hubble Observations in May 1997

In addition to the amateur ground-based observations reported by SL15, they also conducted a search of Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations of Mars, and noted

a qualitatively similar plume-like feature in an observation made on 17 May 1997, from 17:27-17:41 UTC. No relevant in-situ plasma measurements were available at Mars during this event (MGS would arrive later the same year, with only a very limited ability to resolve such disturbances in the solar wind). However, our tentative conclusions that the formation of these plumes may in some way be related to the passage of strong solar wind disturbances is somewhat strengthened, as we note that a significant ICME was launched from the Sun on 12 May 1997 and impacted Earth on 15 May 1997.

Observed from Earth, this was a classic example of a so-called "halo" ICME, and was widely studied and modeled by several groups [Arge et al., 2004; Odstrcil et al., 2004, 2005; Wu et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2008; Cohen et al., 2010]. It was estimated as having an angular diameter of ~ 50°, with the direction of propagation located within 1° of the Sun-Earth line [Odstrcil et al., 2004]. At this time, the azimuthal separation between Earth and Mars was ~30°, as depicted in Figure 5 where the orbits of Earth and Mars are shown by the green and red lines, respectively, in the ecliptic J2000 coordinate system. Colored circles indicate the position of each planet at the time the ICME was launched on 12 May 1997, while the thicker lines indicate their respective orbital motion to 17 May 1997. The propagation direction of the ICME is shown by the black solid line, only slightly displaced from the Sun-Earth line, and the expected azimuthal extent of the ICME is indicated by the gray shaded region. The progression of the ICME front is approximately indicated by the dotted arcs and the adjacent day numbers, based on the results of [Odstrcil et al., 2005].

On the basis of these studies we conclude that it is likely that Mars would have also experienced a significant solar wind disturbance due to the impact of the flank of this

ICME, which we anticipate to have occurred within a few hours of midnight (00 UTC) on 17 May 1997, based on the ~500 km s⁻¹ velocity of the ICME front measured as it reached Earth. This would place the impact a matter of hours before the HST observations of the same day. The MSWIM solar wind propagation was also inspected for this period, which yielded a somewhat earlier arrival time for the shock than that expected from the studies of the halo ICME at Earth by *Odstrcil et al.* [2005] and others. This earlier predicted arrival time from MSWIM is consistent with the limitations of the propagation method itself, which will generally yield an earlier arrival time for an ICME-like structure for the relative positioning of Mars and Earth shown in Figure 5. Specifically, MSWIM predicted the arrival of the shock at around 12 h UTC on 16 May, i.e. still in advance of the HST observation and approximately a half-day earlier than depicted in Figure 5.

Measurements of the angular extent of the ICME front cannot be further constrained with available data, but we note that a shift of the propagation direction of the ICME, or increase of its azimuthal extent by only a few degrees would likely increase the magnitude of the disturbance expected at Mars. We note that while this particular ICME propagated into a relatively undisturbed preceding solar wind, the potentially complex evolution of the magnetic fields during its early expansion has been studied in detail [*Cohen et al.*, 2010], which may be relevant to its parameters once it emerges into the heliosphere which are not captured by the simple 'cone' approximation depicted in Figure 5. The bulk parameters of the ICME may also vary significantly along its azimuthal extent. In conclusion, while this further tentative association of a Mars atmospheric plume with a preceding ICME impact proves nothing outright, it does lend further weight to a possible direct connection between these two phenomena.

C 2016 American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.

4.3. Comparison with other observing intervals

The obvious question remains - if these plumes are in some way the result of the impact of large ICMEs upon the Martian system, why have they not been observed more frequently? In Figure 6 we compare a catalogue of ICMEs observed at Earth, the viewing geometry of Mars, and the progression of the solar cycle throughout this century. The grey trace in panel a of Figure 6 shows the angle ϵ between Mars and Earth in the heliosphere, with $\epsilon = 0^{\circ}$ when the planets are radially aligned. The black lines highlight those periods for which $\epsilon < 30^{\circ}$, i.e. a condition similar to or better than the configuation during the HST observations discussed above. Panel b then show the angular diameter θ of Mars as viewed from Earth and the phase angle α (the Sun–Mars–Earth angle, shown grey and referenced to the right axis). Blue shaded regions throughout the figure then indicate periods with quantitively similar viewing conditions to those afforded during the plume observations reported by *SL15*. Specifically, these are periods with both $\theta > 0.003^{\circ}$ and $\alpha < 30^{\circ}$ and increasing with time, corresponding to visibility of the dawn terminator from Earth.

In panel c of Figure 6 we plot the average velocity of ICMEs in the list published by [Cane and Richardson, 2003; Richardson and Cane, 2010](Obtained via http://www.srl.caltech.edu/ACE/ASC/DATA/level3/icmetable2.htm), detected at Earth by various spacecraft. Each recorded CME is shown by a vertical line, colored black for those intervals when Mars and Earth are closely aligned with $\epsilon < 30^{\circ}$. Finally, panel d of Figure 6 shows the monthly averaged sun spot number. For reference, the colored and labelled lines in this panel show the intervals spanned by the MEX, MGS and MAVEN missions. In each panel, the red dashed lines indicates the first and last

detections of the plume reported by SL15, while the red dotted line indicates the timing of the plume observation made using HST.

The rate of occurrence of fast ICMEs varies with the solar cycle. While that which arrived at Mars in March 2012 was one of the most significant events to occur during the MEX mission, is was also far from unique in its intensity. For example, events with similar speeds occurred frequently during the first years of the MEX mission, 2004-2005, along with a single similar event during 2006. The vast majority of these recorded events at Earth are not expected to impact Mars, as tentatively indicated by their grey colors in panel c of Figure 6. However, those observed at Earth around apparent opposition are significantly more likely to impact Mars, i.e. periods for which ϵ is small and Mars appears larger in the sky as shown in panels a and b of Figure 6. Outside of these intervals, even very azimuthally extended ICMEs seen at Earth will likely not impact Mars. We show this particular catalogue of Earth-impacting ICMEs here because it is derived from continuous, dedicated solar wind measurements with a consistent data set over more than a decade, something unfortunately not possible with current solar wind measurements made intermittently at Mars.

The ICME that struck Mars immediately before the plume observations in 2012 was the strongest to have impacted Mars under similar viewing conditions from Earth, apart from the extreme ICME associated with the 2003 "Halloween storm" at Earth. The effects of the Halloween storm event upon the Martian plasma environment were studied by *Crider et al.* [2005], with the extreme compression of the induced magnetosphere clearly visible in measurements made by MGS. However, MGS lacked much of the plasma instrumentation available on MEX, and in particular had no ability to determine ion plasma density and

composition, nor the state of the sub-spacecraft ionosphere. While Mars was somewhat larger in the sky at this stage in 2003, the phase angle was significantly larger than for the event in 2012 ($\sim 35^{\circ}$ versus $\sim 5^{\circ}$ at onset), which may significantly alter the visibility from Earth of any features at high altitudes beyond the terminator. No plume was observed associated with this extreme event, either by orbiting spacecraft or in the set of ~ 3500 amateur optical images surveyed by SL15 obtained during the observing seasons of 2001 - 2014. It must be noted, however, that both the quality and quantity of such amateur observations are much improved in more recent observations. The lack of an observed plume for this event may simply reflect this fact. We also note that the Earth-impacting Halo ICME we suggest may be related to the HST observed plume event in 1997 is, at least in terms of its average velocity, not an extreme event in comparison to other ICMEs present in this catalogue. This may also suggest that the average velocity may not be a controlling factor in the formation of a plume. Additionally, both this and the plume of 2012 were detected during northern summer conditions on Mars, while the more extreme ICME of 2003 impacted Mars during northern winter, and thus the typical plasma conditions over the intense southern hemisphere crustal fields may well be significantly different, leading to a potentially different response.

Similarly, an ICME of moderate intensity may have been expected at Mars on ~21-22 April 2014, with average velocity of ~500 km s⁻¹, yet no plume was reported. For the majority of the MEX mission at Mars, the intervals with similar viewing conditions to those of March - April 2012 have been marked by the absence of ICMEs entirely (e.g., 2008), or by only relative weak events (2005/6, 2010, 2014). *SL15* do report "occasional" observations of near-terminator clouds seen at the limb, at altitudes that are more com-

parable to those seen by dedicated in-orbit observations by spacecraft. However, specific times of such observations are not given, and there may be no relationship between these lower-altitude clouds and the extreme altitude occurrences studied here.

The potential significance of these atmospheric plumes remains to be quantified. Any process acting to loft large amounts of material to altitudes where it is more able to escape the atmosphere, in response to extreme solar wind driving could potentially be a major contributor to the evolution of the planet's atmosphere. The typically elevated plasma densities seen in these regions of crustal fields, at all altitudes studied may be further influenced by the passage of a ICME. For example, the ionospheric upwellings studied by Gurnett et al. [2005] and Duru et al. [2006], while having been shown to be stable features of the ionosphere [Andrews et al., 2014], may be enhanced during extreme events due to increased ionospheric Joule heating. However, quite how such heating and elevation of the ionosphere may lead to such a significant vertical transport of relatively massive dust or ice particles from much lower altitudes remains to be investigated. Similarly, electrostatic forces may become significant in this region, but whether they can ever reach sufficient strength to strongly influence the dynamics of water ice grains remains to be studied. While micro-meter sized grains posited by SL15 as one possible explanation for the optical observations will become negatively charged in the ionosphere at these altitudes, electrostatic fields many orders of magnitude larger than those typically expected in the Martian ionosphere would still be required to balance these grains against gravity. Strong electric fields may be present in localised regions of the Martian ionosphere, as a result of steep gradients in the ionospheric conductivity around regions of intense crustal fields. These fields may be particularly strong during and following the impact of a fast

ICME, as ionospheric plasma flows may be significantly enhanced in response to the disturbance, and consequently act to loft a localized dust-loaded region of the atmosphere to the observed high altitudes.

Finally, we note that NASA'S MAVEN mission is now sampling this range of altitudes in-situ, with a comprehensive suite of science instruments, and should hopefully be able to make more conclusive statements about this phenomenon should it occur again. In particular, valuable information can be gained from in-situ measurements of magnetic field gradients, bulk parameters of the thermal plasma, and even potentially dust particle impacts recorded by the Langmuir probe antennas [Andersson et al., 2015]. MEX remains in excellent health, and data from the instruments studied here continues to be taken. Recently, the catalogue of apoapsis images obtained with the Visual Monitoring Camera onboard MEX was publicly released, and efforts are on-going to search this new data set for similar plume observations.

5. Conclusions

We now briefly recap only those relatively firm conclusions drawn from our analyses of this interesting event.

1. Multiple, independent observations of the Mars atmospheric plume were made by SL15 at the dawn terminator, along with several nondetections. However, the lack of continuous observations of Mars prevents conclusive statements of the timing of the start, end and duration of this plume.

2. The ground-based observations are consistent with a) the continuous presence of a plume with its visibility controlled purely by geometric factors (only a single observation

made in the second series in April is inconsistent with this conclusion), and b) a timevariable plume intermittently appearing and disappearing.

3. No signatures are seen in the MARSIS ionospheric sounding observations over the plume location as it traverses the dusk terminator which can be firmly associated with the presence of a plume (anomalous, or unusual features in these ionograms can be understood as being purely due to the presence of strong crustal fields at this location, as extensively studied previously).

4. Observations of highly accelerated planetary ions in ASPERA-3 data at the same location on several orbits are themselves unusual, and require further investigation and explanation.

5. For all the observations (except one) reported by SL15, including the plume observed by HST in May 1997, a significant ICME can be shown to have impacted Mars in the preceding days.

6. All observations reported by SL15, with the exception of the 1997 event, were shown to have occurred over a region of intense crustal magnetic fields, although the nature of the observations does not allow us to be more precise about the exact magnetic topology (closed arcades or open cusps).

7. With the notable exception of the large ICME that was shown to impact Mars during the 2003 observation season, the lack of other plume detections since 2000 could be the result of the general absence of other ICME impacts during these periods of favorable viewing geometry.

8. If, with the caveats above, these plumes were in fact driven by space-weather disturbances at Mars, this would be a truly unique discovery, without physical explanation, and

potentially of great significance in the debate regarding the loss to space of the Martian atmosphere.

9. A significant argument now exists for future monitoring of the Martian atmosphere during extreme space weather events, using available remote Earth-based observations along side in-situ optical and plasma measurements.

Acknowledgments. Work at IRF was supported by grants from the Swedish National Space Board (DNR 162/14) and the Swedish Research Council (DNR 621-2014-5526) Work at Iowa was supported by NASA through contract 1224107 from the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. Work at Leicester was supported by STFC grants ST/K001000/1 and ST/K502121/1. MJW: the results reported herein benefitted from participation in NASA's Nexus for Exoplanet System Science (NExSS) research coordination network sponsored by NASA's Science Mission Directorate. We thank A. Sanchez-Lavega for the provision of summaries of raw data. We thank K.C. Hansen and B. Zieger for providing solar wind propagations from their Michigan Solar Wind Model (http://mswim.engin.umich.edu/). Finally, we thank both referees for their helpful comments.

References

Acuña, M., J. Connerney, R. Lin, D. Mitchell, C. Carlson, J. McFadden, K. Anderson,
H. Rème, C. Mazelle, D. Vignes, et al. (1999), Global distribution of crustal magnetization discovered by the Mars Global Surveyor MAG/ER experiment, *Science*, 284 (5415), 790–793.

Andersson, L., T. D. Weber, D. Malaspina, F. Crary, R. E. Ergun, G. T. Delory, C. M.
Fowler, M. W. Morooka, T. McEnulty, A. I. Eriksson, D. J. Andrews, M. Horanyi,
A. Collette, R. Yelle, and B. M. Jakosky (2015), Dust observations at orbital altitudes
surrounding mars, *Science*, 350(6261), doi:10.1126/science.aad0398.

- Andrews, D. J., M. André, H. J. Opgenoorth, N. J. T. Edberg, C. Diéval, F. Duru, D. A. Gurnett, D. Morgan, and O. Witasse (2014), Oblique reflections in the Mars Express
 MARSIS data set: Stable density structures in the Martian ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 119, doi:10.1002/2013JA019697.
- Arge, C. N., J. G. Luhmann, D. Odstrcil, C. J. Schrijver, and Y. Li (2004),
 Stream structure and coronal sources of the solar wind during the May 12th, 1997
 CME, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 66, 1295–1309, doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2004.03.018.
- Barabash, S., R. Lundin, H. Andersson, K. Brinkfeldt, A. Grigoriev, H. Gunell, M. Holmström, M. Yamauchi, K. Asamura, P. Bochsler, P. Wurz, R. Cerulli-Irelli, A. Mura, A. Milillo, M. Maggi, S. Orsini, A. J. Coates, D. R. Linder, D. O. Kataria, C. C. Curtis, K. C. Hsieh, B. R. Sandel, R. A. Frahm, J. R. Sharber, J. D. Winningham, M. Grande, E. Kallio, H. Koskinen, P. Riihelä, W. Schmidt, T. Säles, J. U. Kozyra, N. Krupp, J. Woch, S. Livi, J. G. Luhmann, S. McKenna-Lawlor, E. C. Roelof, D. J. Williams, J.-A. Sauvaud, A. Fedorov, and J.-J. Thocaven (2006), The Analyzer of Space Plasmas and Energetic Atoms (ASPERA-3) for the Mars Express Mission, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 126, 113–164, doi:10.1007/s11214-006-9124-8.
 - Bertaux, J.-L., F. Leblanc, O. Witasse, E. Quemerais, J. Lilensten, S. A. Stern, B. Sandel, and O. Korablev (2005), Discovery of an aurora on mars, *Nature*, 435(7043), 790–794.

Brain, D. A. (2006), Mars Global Surveyor Measurements of the Martian Solar Wind Interaction, Space Sci. Rev., 126, 77–112, doi:10.1007/s11214-006-9122-x.

- Cane, H. V., and I. G. Richardson (2003), Interplanetary coronal mass ejections in the near-Earth solar wind during 1996-2002, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 108, 1156, doi:10.1029/2002JA009817.
- Cohen, O., G. D. R. Attrill, N. A. Schwadron, N. U. Crooker, M. J. Owens, C. Downs, and T. I. Gombosi (2010), Numerical simulation of the 12 May 1997 CME Event: The role of magnetic reconnection, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 115, A10104, doi:10.1029/2010JA015464.
 - Crider, D. H., J. Espley, D. A. Brain, D. L. Mitchell, J. E. P. Connerney, and M. H. Acuña
 (2005), Mars Global Surveyor observations of the Halloween 2003 solar superstorm's encounter with Mars, J. Geophys. Res., 110, A09S21, doi:10.1029/2004JA010881.
- Diéval, C., D. J. Andrews, D. D. Morgan, D. A. Brain, and D. A. Gurnett (2015),
 Marsis remote sounding of localized density structures in the dayside martian ionosphere: A study of controlling parameters, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 120, doi: 10.1002/2015JA021486.
 - Dubinin, E., M. Fränz, J. Woch, E. Roussos, S. Barabash, R. Lundin, J. D. Winningham,
 R. A. Frahm, and M. Acuña (2006), Plasma Morphology at Mars. Aspera-3 Observations, Space Sci. Rev., 126, 209–238, doi:10.1007/s11214-006-9039-4.

Duru, F., D. A. Gurnett, T. F. Averkamp, D. L. Kirchner, R. L. Huff, A. M. Persoon,
J. J. Plaut, and G. Picardi (2006), Magnetically controlled structures in the ionosphere of Mars, J. Geophys. Res., 111, A12204, doi:10.1029/2006JA011975.

- Duru, F., D. D. Morgan, and D. A. Gurnett (2010), Overlapping ionospheric and surface echoes observed by the Mars Express radar sounder near the Martian terminator, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L23102, doi:10.1029/2010GL045859.
- Edberg, N. J. T., H. Nilsson, A. O. Williams, M. Lester, S. E. Milan, S. W. H. Cowley, M. Fränz, S. Barabash, and Y. Futaana (2010), Pumping out the atmosphere of Mars through solar wind pressure pulses, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 370, L03,107, doi: 10.1029/2009GL041814.
- England, S. L., and R. J. Lillis (2012), On the nature of the variability of the Martian thermospheric mass density: Results from electron reflectometry with Mars Global Surveyor, J. Geophys. Res., 117, E02008, doi:10.1029/2011JE003998.
- Falkenberg, T. V., S. Vennerstrom, D. A. Brain, G. Delory, and A. Taktakishvili (2011), Multipoint observations of coronal mass ejection and solar energetic particle events on Mars and Earth during November 2001, J. Geophys. Res., 116, A06,104, doi: 10.1029/2010JA016279.
- Gérard, J.-C., L. Soret, L. Libert, R. Lundin, A. Stiepen, A. Radioti, and J.-L. Bertaux (2015), Concurrent observations of ultraviolet aurora and energetic electron precipitation with Mars Express, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 120, 6749–6765, doi:10.1002/2015JA021150.
- González-Galindo, F., A. Määttänen, F. Forget, and A. Spiga (2011), The martian mesosphere as revealed by CO ₂ cloud observations and General Circulation Modeling, *Icarus*, 216, 10–22, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2011.08.006.

Gurnett, D. A., D. L. Kirchner, R. L. Huff, D. D. Morgan, A. M. Persoon, T. F. Averkamp,F. Duru, E. Nielsen, A. Safaeinili, J. J. Plaut, and G. Picardi (2005), Radar Soundings

of the Ionosphere of Mars, *Science*, 310, 1929–1933, doi:10.1126/science.1121868.

Guzewich, S. D., E. R. Talaat, A. D. Toigo, D. W. Waugh, and T. H. McConnochie (2013),
High-altitude dust layers on Mars: Observations with the Thermal Emission Spectrometer, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 118, 1177–1194, doi:10.1002/jgre.20076.

Heavens, N. G., J. L. Benson, D. M. Kass, A. Kleinböhl, W. A. Abdou, D. J. McCleese,
M. I. Richardson, J. T. Schofield, J. H. Shirley, and P. M. Wolkenberg (2010), Water
ice clouds over the Martian tropics during northern summer, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, , 37,
L18202, doi:10.1029/2010GL044610.

- Heavens, N. G., B. A. Cantor, P. O. Hayne, D. M. Kass, A. Kleinböhl, D. J. McCleese,
 S. Piqueux, J. T. Schofield, and J. H. Shirley (2015), Extreme detached dust layers
 near Martian volcanoes: Evidence for dust transport by mesoscale circulations forced
 by high topography, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 3730–3738, doi:10.1002/2015GL064004.
- Jakosky, B. M., J. M. Grebowsky, J. G. Luhmann, J. Connerney, F. Eparvier, R. Ergun, J. Halekas, D. Larson, P. Mahaffy, J. McFadden, D. F. Mitchell, N. Schneider, R. Zurek, S. Bougher, D. Brain, Y. J. Ma, C. Mazelle, L. Andersson, D. Andrews, D. Baird, D. Baker, J. M. Bell, M. Benna, M. Chaffin, P. Chamberlin, Y.-Y. Chaufray, J. Clarke, G. Collinson, M. Combi, F. Crary, T. Cravens, M. Crismani, S. Curry, D. Curtis, J. Deighan, G. Delory, R. Dewey, G. DiBraccio, C. Dong, Y. Dong, P. Dunn, M. Elrod, S. England, A. Eriksson, J. Espley, S. Evans, X. Fang, M. Fillingim, K. Fortier, C. M. Fowler, J. Fox, H. Gröller, S. Guzewich, T. Hara, Y. Harada, G. Holsclaw, S. K. Jain, R. Jolitz, F. Leblanc, C. O. Lee, Y. Lee, F. Lefevre, R. Lillis, R. Livi, D. Lo, M. Mayyasi, W. McClintock, T. McEnulty, R. Modolo, F. Montmessin, M. Morooka, A. Nagy, K. Olsen, W. Peterson, A. Rahmati, S. Ruhunusiri, C. T. Russell, S. Sakai, J.-

A. Sauvaud, K. Seki, M. Steckiewicz, M. Stevens, A. I. F. Stewart, A. Stiepen, S. Stone,

V. Tenishev, E. Thiemann, R. Tolson, D. Toublanc, M. Vogt, T. Weber, P. Withers, T. Woods, and R. Yelle (2015), MAVEN observations of the response of Mars to an

interplanetary coronal mass ejection, *Science*, 350, 0210, doi:10.1126/science.aad0210.

- Kleinböhl, A., J. T. Schofield, D. M. Kass, W. A. Abdou, and D. J. McCleese (2015), No widespread dust in the middle atmosphere of Mars from Mars Climate Sounder observations, *Icarus*, 261, 118–121, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2015.08.010.
- Liemohn, M. W., A. Dupre, S. W. Bougher, M. Trantham, D. L. Mitchell, and M. D. Smith (2012), Time-history influence of global dust storms on the upper atmosphere at Mars, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L11201, doi:10.1029/2012GL051994.
- Lillis, R. J., S. W. Bougher, D. L. Mitchell, D. A. Brain, R. P. Lin, and M. H. Acuña (2008), Continuous monitoring of nightside upper thermospheric mass densities in the martian southern hemisphere over 4 martian years using electron reflectometry, *Icarus*, 194, 562–574, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2007.09.031.
- Lillis, R. J., M. E. Purucker, J. S. Halekas, K. L. Louzada, S. T. Stewart-Mukhopadhyay,
 M. Manga, and H. V. Frey (2010), Study of impact demagnetization at Mars using
 Monte Carlo modeling and multiple altitude data, J. Geophys. Res., 115, E07007, doi: 10.1029/2009JE003556.
- Lillis, R. J., D. A. Brain, G. T. Delory, D. L. Mitchell, J. G. Luhmann, and R. P. Lin (2012), Evidence for superthermal secondary electrons produced by SEP ionization in the Martian atmosphere, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 117, E03004, doi: 10.1029/2011JE003932.

Määttänen, A., F. Montmessin, B. Gondet, F. Scholten, H. Hoffmann, F. González-Galindo, A. Spiga, F. Forget, E. Hauber, G. Neukum, J.-P. Bibring, and J.-L. Bertaux (2010), Mapping the mesospheric CO ₂ clouds on Mars: MEx/OMEGA and MEx/HRSC observations and challenges for atmospheric models, *Icarus*, 209, 452–469, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2010.05.017.

- Määttänen, A., C. Listowski, F. Montmessin, L. Maltagliati, A. Reberac, L. Joly, and J.-L. Bertaux (2013), A complete climatology of the aerosol vertical distribution on Mars from MEx/SPICAM UV solar occultations, *Icarus*, 223, 892–941, doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2012.12.001.
- Mahajan, K. K., and H. G. Mayr (1990), Mars ionopause during solar minimum A lesson from Venus, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 8265–8269, doi:10.1029/JA095iA06p08265.
- McCleese, D. J., N. G. Heavens, J. T. Schofield, W. A. Abdou, J. L. Bandfield, S. B. Calcutt, P. G. J. Irwin, D. M. Kass, A. Kleinböhl, S. R. Lewis, D. A. Paige, P. L. Read, M. I. Richardson, J. H. Shirley, F. W. Taylor, N. Teanby, and R. W. Zurek (2010), Structure and dynamics of the Martian lower and middle atmosphere as observed by the Mars Climate Sounder: Seasonal variations in zonal mean temperature, dust, and water ice aerosols, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 115, E12016, doi: 10.1029/2010JE003677.
- Mendillo, M., P. Withers, D. Hinson, H. Rishbeth, and B. Reinisch (2006), Effects of Solar Flares on the Ionosphere of Mars, *Science*, 311, 1135–1138, doi:10.1126/science.1122099.
 Molina-Cuberos, G. J., O. Witasse, J.-P. Lebreton, R. Rodrigo, and J. J. López-Moreno (2003), Meteoric ions in the atmosphere of Mars, *Planet. Space. Sci.*, 51, 239–249, doi:10.1016/S0032-0633(02)00197-6.

Montmessin, F., B. Gondet, J.-P. Bibring, Y. Langevin, P. Drossart, F. Forget, and T. Fouchet (2007), Hyperspectral imaging of convective CO₂ ice clouds in the equatorial mesosphere of Mars, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 112, E11S90, doi:10.1029/2007JE002944.

- Morgan, D., O. Witasse, E. Nielsen, D. Gurnett, F. Duru, and D. Kirchner (2013), The processing of electron density profiles from the Mars Express MARSIS topside sounder, *Radio Science*, 48(3), 197–207, doi:10.1002/rds.20023.
- Morgan, D. D., D. A. Gurnett, D. L. Kirchner, J. L. Fox, E. Nielsen, and J. J. Plaut (2008), Variation of the Martian ionospheric electron density from Mars Express radar soundings, J. Geophys. Res., 113, A09303, doi:10.1029/2008JA013313.
- Morgan, D. D., D. A. Gurnett, D. L. Kirchner, J. David Winningham, R. A. Frahm, D. A. Brain, D. L. Mitchell, J. G. Luhmann, E. Nielsen, J. R. Espley, M. H. Acuña, and J. J. Plaut (2010), Radar absorption due to a corotating interaction region encounter with Mars detected by MARSIS, *Icarus*, 206, 95–103, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2009.03.008.
- Morgan, D. D., C. Diéval, , D. A. Gurnett, F. Duru, E. M. Dubinin, M. Fränz, D. J. Andrews, H. J. Opgenoorth, D. Uluşen, I. Mitrofanov, and J. J. Plaut (2014), Effects of a strong ICME on the Martian ionosphere as detected by Mars Express and Mars Odyssey, J. Geophys. Res., (119), doi:10.1002/2013JA019522.
- Němec, F., D. D. Morgan, C. Diéval, D. A. Gurnett, and Y. Futaana (2014), Enhanced ionization of the martian nightside ionosphere during solar energetic particle events, *Geophysical Research Letters*, pp. n/a–n/a, doi:10.1002/2013GL058895.
- Odstrcil, D., P. Riley, and X. P. Zhao (2004), Numerical simulation of the 12 May 1997 interplanetary CME event, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 109, A02116,

doi:10.1029/2003JA010135.

Odstrcil, D., V. J. Pizzo, and C. N. Arge (2005), Propagation of the 12 May 1997 interplanetary coronal mass ejection in evolving solar wind structures, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 110, A02106, doi:10.1029/2004JA010745.

Opgenoorth, H. J., D. J. Andrews, M. Fränz, M. Lester, N. J. T. Edberg, D. D. Morgan, F. Duru, O. Witasse, and A. O. Williams (2013), Mars ionospheric response to solar wind variability, J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics, 118, doi:10.1002/jgra.50537.

- Picardi, G., D. Biccari, R. Seu, J. Plaut, W. T. K. Johnson, R. L. Jordan, A. Safaeinili,
 D. A. Gurnett, R. Huff, R. Orosei, O. Bombaci, D. Calabrese, and E. Zampolini (2004),
 MARSIS: Mars Advanced Radar for Subsurface and Ionosphere Sounding, in *Mars Express: the Scientific Payload, ESA Special Publication*, vol. 1240, edited by A. Wilson & A. Chicarro, pp. 51–69.
- Richardson, I. G., and H. V. Cane (2010), Near-Earth Interplanetary Coronal Mass Ejections During Solar Cycle 23 (1996 - 2009): Catalog and Summary of Properties, *Sol. Phys.*, 264, 189–237, doi:10.1007/s11207-010-9568-6.
- Sánchez-Lavega, A., A. García Muñoz, E. García-Melendo, S. Pérez-Hoyos, J. M.
 Gómez-Forrellad, C. Pellier, M. Delcroix, M. A. López-Valverde, F. González-Galindo, W. Jaeschke, D. Parker, J. Phillips, and D. Peach (2015), An extremely high-altitude plume seen at Mars' morning terminator, *Nature*, 518, 525–528, doi: 10.1038/nature14162.

Schneider, N. M., J. I. Deighan, A. I. F. Stewart, W. E. McClintock, S. K. Jain, M. S.Chaffin, A. Stiepen, M. Crismani, J. M. C. Plane, J. D. Carrillo-Sánchez, J. S. Evans,M. H. Stevens, R. V. Yelle, J. T. Clarke, G. M. Holsclaw, F. Montmessin, and B. M.

Jakosky (2015), MAVEN IUVS observations of the aftermath of the Comet Siding Spring meteor shower on Mars, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 4755–4761, doi:10.1002/2015GL063863.

- Uluşen, D., D. A. Brain, J. G. Luhmann, and D. L. Mitchell (2012), Investigation of Mars' ionospheric response to solar energetic particle events, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 117, A12306, doi:10.1029/2012JA017671.
- Witasse, O., J.-F. Nouvel, J.-P. Lebreton, and W. Kofman (2001), HF radio wave attenuation due to a meteoric layer in the atmosphere of Mars, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 3039–3042, doi:10.1029/2001GL013164.
- Wu, C.-C., C. D. Fry, S. T. Wu, M. Dryer, and K. Liou (2007), Three-dimensional global simulation of interplanetary coronal mass ejection propagation from the Sun to the heliosphere: Solar event of 12 May 1997, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 112, A09104, doi:10.1029/2006JA012211.
- Xu, S., M. W. Liemohn, D. L. Mitchell, and M. D. Smith (2014), Mars photoelectron energy and pitch angle dependence on intense lower atmospheric dust storms, *Journal* of Geophysical Research (Planets), 119, 1689–1706, doi:10.1002/2013JE004594.
- Zhou, Y.-F., X.-S. Feng, and S. Wu T. (2008), Numerical Simulation of the 12 May 1997
 CME Event, *Chinese Physics Letters*, 25, 790–793, doi:10.1088/0256-307X/25/2/119.
- Zieger, B., and K. C. Hansen (2008), Statistical validation of a solar wind propagation model from 1 to 10 AU, Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), 113, A08107, doi:10.1029/2008JA013046.



Figure 1. Summary plot of plasma data obtained during the two plume events. a) Solar wind proton density n_{sw} as measured by ASPERA-3/IMA when outside the Martian bow shock (black circles), and simulated values from MSWIM(red line). The horizontal blue line indicates the interval in which IMA measurements are degraded by disturbed solar wind. b, c) As (a), but showing solar wind bulk velocity v_{sw} and dynamic pressure P_{dyn} . d) Timeline of the optical observations of the plume, showing the central meridian longitude (CML) of each observation. Positive detections of the plume are plotted as red '×' symbols, with size from small to large indicating the assessed quality of the observation. None-detections of the plume are shown as similarly coded black '+' symbols. e) MEX latitude at periapsis, highlighted red when periapsis occurs at longitudes close to the plume location. f) Approximate closest surface distance of each orbit to the plume nominal center, only recorded when AIS is operating. g) Number of MARSIS/AIS soundings performed per orbit of MEX (grey bars). Red smaller bars indicate the number of soundings performed over the plume location. The alternate black and white blocks on the upper edge of the figure show the orbits of MEX (numbered every 20). Light blue vertical bars indicate those orbits from which we report MEX data in subsequent figures.



Figure 2. Projected ground tracks of MEX over the plume location (black and white dashed box). Grey lines indicate all those orbits entering the region of interest during the interval shown in Figure 1. Colored and labelled lines indicate those orbits discussed in the paper. The surface of the planet is colored according to the strength of the crustal magnetic field according to the model of *Lillis et al.* [2010] at 150 km altitude



Figure 3. A selection of 9 ionograms obtained with MARSIS during the interval shown in Figure 1. Received signal on the antenna is color-coded versus delay time and sounding frequency (equivalent densities are also indicated on the x-axes). The orbit number, description, and UTC time are indicated in the upper left of each sub-panel, along with the position of MEX in the upper right. For presentation purposes, these plots are not shown in time-order.



Figure 4. ASPERA-3 IMA (upper panel) and ELS (lower panel) data obtained on MEX orbit 10551, 13 April 2012, coincident with the second series of individual plume observations. Count rates for ions and electrons are shown color-coded, summed over all anodes and scan directions for each sensor, respectively. Vertical dashed lines bound the interval for which MEX was above the plume location, i.e. within the highlighted box shown in Figure 2. The white arrow indicates the accelerated ion feature discussed in the text.

Acce



Figure 5. Position of Mars (red) and Earth (Green) between 12 and 17 May 1997, in ecliptic J2000 coordinates. This colored lines depict the orbit of each planet, while the thicker portions indicate their motion during this interval, from their starting positions indicated by the colored circles. The solid black line marks the propagation direction of the ICME launched on 12 May, and the dashed lines its expected azimuthal boundaries.



Figure 6. Comparison of timings and rates of Earth-impacting ICMEs and Mars viewing geometry. a) Angular separation ϵ between Mars and Earth (grey line). Black segments indicate those intervals with $\epsilon < 30^{\circ}$. b) Angular diameter θ of Mars as seen from Earth (black line, left axis), and Sun - Mars - Earth phase angle α (grey line, right axis). c) Average velocity measured in ICMEs observed at Earth, as given by *Cane and Richardson* [2003] and [*Richardson and Cane*, 2010] (grey lines). Individual ICMEs are highlighted black for those events occurring during periods with $\epsilon < 30^{\circ}$. d) Monthly-averaged sun spot number SN, recorded by the Royal Observatory of Belgium. Overplotted horizontal colored bars indicate the durations of scientific measurements made by the labelled missions at Mars. Vertical red dashed lines bound the period for which the viewing conditions of Mars were identical, or better, than in this interval. The vertical red dotted line marks the timing of the 1997 plume observation made by Hubble, as discussed by *SL15*.