

[ORIGINAL RESEARCH](http://www.frontiersin.org/Microbiology/editorialboard) [published: 07 September 2016](http://www.frontiersin.org/Microbiology/editorialboard) [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01314](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01314)

Aboveground Whitefly [Infestation-Mediated Reshaping of](http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01314/abstract) the Root Microbiota

Hyun G. Kong1, [Byung K. Kim](http://loop.frontiersin.org/people/345799/overview)², Geun C. Song1, Soohyun Lee1 and [Choong-Min Ryu](http://loop.frontiersin.org/people/29020/overview)1*

¹ Molecular Phytobacteriology Laboratory, Super-Bacteria Research Center, Korea Research Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Daejeon, South Korea, ² Omics Pia Co. Ltd., Daejeon, South Korea

Plants respond to various types of herbivore and pathogen attack using well-developed defensive machinery designed for self-protection. Infestation from phloem-sucking insects such as whitefly and aphid on plant leaves was previously shown to influence both the saprophytic and pathogenic bacterial community in the plant rhizosphere. However, the modulation of the root microbial community by plants following *insect* infestation has been largely unexplored. Only limited studies of culture-dependent bacterial diversity caused by whitefly and aphid have been conducted. In this study, to obtain a complete picture of the belowground microbiome community, we performed high-speed and high-throughput next-generation sequencing. We sampled the rhizosphere soils of pepper seedlings at 0, 1, and 2 weeks after whitefly infestation versus the water control. We amplified a partial 16S ribosomal RNA gene (V1–V3 region) by polymerase chain reaction with specific primers. Our analysis revealed that whitefly infestation reshaped the overall microbiota structure compared to that of the control rhizosphere, even after 1 week of infestation. Examination of the relative abundance distributions of microbes demonstrated that whitefly infestation shifted the proteobacterial groups at week 2. Intriguingly, the population of Pseudomonadales of the class Gammaproteobacteria significantly increased after 2 weeks of whitefly infestation, and the fluorescent Pseudomonas spp. recruited to the rhizosphere were confirmed to exhibit insect-killing capacity. Additionally, three taxa, including Caulobacteraceae, Enterobacteriaceae, and Flavobacteriaceae, and three genera, including Achromobacter, Janthinobacterium, and Stenotrophomonas, were the most abundant bacterial groups in the whitefly infested plant rhizosphere. Our results indicate that whitefly infestation leads to the recruitment of specific groups of rhizosphere bacteria by the plant, which confer beneficial traits to the host plant. This study provides a new framework for investigating how aboveground insect feeding modulates the belowground microbiome.

Keywords: bacterial community, rhizosphere, pyrosequencing, whitefly infestation, pepper, PGPR, Pseudomonas, microbiota

INTRODUCTION

Insects and plants have been interacting and co-evolving over the past 0.4 billion years. Under natural conditions, insects have several beneficial effects on plants, including protection from herbivores and help with pollination, while the plants provide a habitat and food for the insects [\(Panda and Khush, 1995\)](#page-9-0). However, herbivore infestation can in some cases lead to the death of the plant. To protect themselves from insect infestation,

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Jyoti Shah, University of North Texas, USA

Reviewed by:

Harsh Bais, University of Delaware, USA Punya Nachappa, Indiana University – Purdue University Fort Wayne, USA

> *Correspondence: Choong-Min Ryu cmryu@kribb.re.kr

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Biotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 29 April 2016 Accepted: 09 August 2016 Published: 07 September 2016

Citation:

Kong HG, Kim BK, Song GC, Lee S and Ryu C-M (2016) Aboveground Whitefly Infestation-Mediated Reshaping of the Root Microbiota. Front. Microbiol. 7:1314. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2016.01314](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01314)

plants have developed genetic and chemical defense mechanisms such as indirect defense via insect-derived plant volatiles [\(Birkett](#page-8-0) [et al.,](#page-8-0) [2003\)](#page-8-0) and the production of toxic metabolites [\(Baldwin,](#page-8-1) [2001;](#page-8-1) [Howe and Jander,](#page-8-2) [2008\)](#page-8-2). At the same time, these organisms have established elaborate and varied relationships with microbes such as bacteria [\(Sugio et al.,](#page-9-1) [2015\)](#page-9-1). A growing body of studies on insect-plant-microbe interactions has broadened our knowledge of plant-derived modulation of microbe diversity to help plants survive under attack from insect pests [\(Pangesti et al.,](#page-9-2) [2013\)](#page-9-2).

Plant-insect-microbe interactions can be classified into two categories: microbial mediation of plant-insect interactions and insect mediation of plant-microbe interactions [\(Pineda](#page-9-3) [et al.,](#page-9-3) [2010,](#page-9-3) [2013;](#page-9-4) [Biere and Bennett,](#page-8-3) [2013;](#page-8-3) [Fu and Dong,](#page-8-4) [2013;](#page-8-4) [Pangesti et al.,](#page-9-2) [2013;](#page-9-2) [Lazebnik et al.,](#page-8-5) [2014;](#page-8-5) [Sugio](#page-9-1) [et al.,](#page-9-1) [2015\)](#page-9-1). Microbes influence plant-insect interactions by suppressing or enhancing infestation of the plant by herbivores. In this type of interaction, root colonization by the beneficial rhizobacterium Azospirillum brasilense provides insect resistance to corn plants and elicits the suppression of infestation by corn rootworm (Diabrotica speciosa) by increasing the emissions of $(E)-B$ -caryophyllene in corn roots [\(Santos et al.,](#page-9-5) [2014\)](#page-9-5). Similarly, the presence of the plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium (PGPR) Bacillus subtilis leads to retarded development of whitefly (Bemisia tabaci) in tomato plants [\(Valenzuela-Soto et al.,](#page-9-6) [2010\)](#page-9-6). By contrast, the root application of certain soil bacteria enhances herbivore infestation by modulating plant immune signaling [\(Groen et al.,](#page-8-6) [2013;](#page-8-6) [Lazebnik et al.,](#page-8-5) [2014\)](#page-8-5). Pre-inoculation of Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS417r on the tomato root system increases the survivability of the nymph stages of whitefly (B. tabaci) by reducing the efficiency of defense responses related to the jasmonic acid (JA)-pathway [\(Shavit et al.,](#page-9-7) [2013\)](#page-9-7). In addition, the prior infection of Pseudomonas syringae on Arabidopsis leaves reduces plant resistance to cabbage looper (Trichoplusia ni) by enhancing ethylene signaling, thereby antagonizing salicylic acid (SA) signaling, which confers plant immunity to the target insect [\(Groen et al.,](#page-8-6) [2013\)](#page-8-6). Herbivores also modulate microbial behavior and community structure through regulating plant physiology and defense systems [\(Gehring and Bennett,](#page-8-7) [2009;](#page-8-7) [Lakshmanan et al.,](#page-8-8) [2012;](#page-8-8) [Tack and Dicke,](#page-9-8) [2013\)](#page-9-8). The belowground herbivorous insect Agriotes lineatus L. negatively affects the composition of fungal communities in the ragwort (Jacobaea vulgaris) rhizosphere [\(Kostenko et al.,](#page-8-9) [2012\)](#page-8-9). More specifically, infestation by the belowground insect wireworm (Agriotes lineatus L.) leads to the accumulation of the major plant defense compounds pyrrolizidine alkaloids in ragwort plants and reduces the levels of the pathogenic fungus Fusarium oxysporum in roots [\(Bezemer et al.,](#page-8-10) [2013\)](#page-8-10). By contrast, feeding by western corn rootworm larvae (Diabrotica virgifera virgifera) increases the density of the bacterial and fungal communities in maize (Zea mays L.) roots. Of all the members of the bacterial community whose populations increase in the rhizosphere due to insect infestation, the greatest increase occurs in Acinetobacter calcoaceticus [\(Dematheis et al.,](#page-8-11) [2012\)](#page-8-11). Even though recent studies have broadened our knowledge of plant-insect-microbe interactions, the effects of aboveground insect infestation on

changes in commensal microbial communities were unknown until 2011.

In 2011, new information was obtained about how plants orchestrate resistance against the soil-borne pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum when whitefly (Bemisia tabaci Genn.) feeds on the leaf tissue of pepper [\(Yang et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011\)](#page-9-9). More intriguingly, whitefly infestation increases the populations of Gram-positive bacteria in the root zone known as the rhizosphere. These bacteria have beneficial effects on plants [\(Kloepper et al.,](#page-8-12) [2004\)](#page-8-12). Grampositive Bacillus spp. act as a biological trigger to elicit plant systemic defense against subsequent whitefly infestation under field conditions [\(Murphy et al.,](#page-9-10) [2000\)](#page-9-10). Similarly, aphids, which like whitefly are sap-sucking insects, alter the population densities of B. subtilis GB03, as well as the Gram-negative bacterium P. fluorescens Pf-5, in the pepper rhizosphere [\(Lee et al.,](#page-8-13) [2012\)](#page-8-13). However, studies of insect-mediated changes in the populations of root-associated bacteria are limited due to their use of culturedependent methodology. Analyses of variations in bacterial density due to whitefly or aphid infestation have traditionally been based on culture-dependent methods, but the diverse results obtained using molecular techniques suggest that reliance on culture-based approaches has led to an underestimation of bacterial diversity in the rhizosphere, which hampers estimation of the microbial diversity of plant rhizosphere microbiomes [\(Torsvik et al.,](#page-9-11) [2002\)](#page-9-11). To elucidate the functions of the altered bacterial populations, more sophisticated methods are needed to measure bacterial diversity.

Recently, the microbial diversity in the rhizosphere was investigated by a culture-independent method based on amplified rRNA sequences from environmental samples [\(Smalla et al.,](#page-9-12) [2001;](#page-9-12) [Kirk et al.,](#page-8-14) [2005;](#page-8-14) [Inceoglu et al.,](#page-8-15) [2013\)](#page-8-15). Pyrosequencing technologies are culture-independent methods based on the principle of sequencing by synthesis, enabling the systematic culture-independent investigation of the plant rhizosphere microbiome [\(Chaparro et al.,](#page-8-16) [2014;](#page-8-16) [Bulgarelli et al.,](#page-8-17) [2015;](#page-8-17) [van](#page-9-13) [der Voort et al.,](#page-9-13) [2016\)](#page-9-13). Such techniques can reveal the profiles of complex microbial taxonomic structures and specific bacterial communities in various plants such as rice, maize, oat, and wheat [\(Uroz et al.,](#page-9-14) [2010;](#page-9-14) [Knief et al.,](#page-8-18) [2012;](#page-8-18) [Turner et al.,](#page-9-15) [2013\)](#page-9-15). The rhizosphere soil, a narrow zone surrounding plant roots, contains dense populations of microbes [\(Hartmann et al.,](#page-8-19) [2008;](#page-8-19) [Mendes](#page-9-16) [et al.,](#page-9-16) [2011\)](#page-9-16). The rhizosphere provides nutrients to the microbial community and influences bacterial activity and diversity, while the bacterial community in the rhizosphere is influenced by plant species, root exudates, plant age, and fungal diseases [\(McSpadden](#page-9-17) [Gardener and Weller,](#page-9-17) [2001;](#page-9-17) [Kowalchuk et al.,](#page-8-20) [2002;](#page-8-20) [Haichar](#page-8-21) [et al.,](#page-8-21) [2008;](#page-8-21) [Mendes et al.,](#page-9-16) [2011;](#page-9-16) [Berendsen et al.,](#page-8-22) [2012;](#page-8-22) [Lundberg](#page-8-23) [et al.,](#page-8-23) [2012\)](#page-8-23). A recent study demonstrated that the Arabidopsis thaliana rhizosphere contained different bacterial communities from those of bulk soil, as revealed by pyrosequencing [\(Lundberg et al.,](#page-8-23) [2012;](#page-8-23) [Inceoglu et al.,](#page-8-15) [2013;](#page-8-15) [Bulgarelli et al.,](#page-8-17) [2015\)](#page-8-17). The populations of Comamonadaceae, Flavobacteriaceae, Rhizobiaceae, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria were enriched in the A. thaliana rhizosphere, which was influenced by plant genotype, plant growth, and soil type [\(Lundberg et al.,](#page-8-23) [2012;](#page-8-23) [Bulgarelli et al.,](#page-8-17) [2015\)](#page-8-17). Several studies based on culturedependent and -independent procedures show that great

bacterial diversity exists in the rhizosphere [\(Bulgarelli et al.,](#page-8-24) [2012,](#page-8-24) [2015;](#page-8-17) [Lundberg et al.,](#page-8-23) [2012;](#page-8-23) [Chaparro et al.,](#page-8-16) [2014\)](#page-8-16). However, the rhizosphere bacterial communities of insect-infested plants are poorly understood. In this preliminary study, we performed nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) using the 454-pyrosequencing platform to evaluate the structure of the rhizosphere microbiome in the pepper plant rhizosphere in response to leaf infestation with whitefly. Collectively, the results of this study broaden our understanding of the role of the microbiome in insect–plant relations and the induction of systemic resistance, as well as the ecological value of the microbiome under natural conditions. The goal of this study was to provide new evidence that whitefly, a sucking insect that affects pepper, increases the populations of specific bacterial groups in the plant rhizosphere. Furthermore, we evaluated whether enriched Pseudomonas spp. have direct effects on insect herbivores (**[Figure 1](#page-2-0)**). Investigating the effects of whitefly infestation on bacterial communities in the rhizosphere is important for understanding insect-plant-microbe interactions and their role in conferring beneficial traits to the host plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Growth Conditions

Pepper (Capsicum annuum L. cv. Bukwang) was used as a model system in this study as described previously [\(Yang et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011\)](#page-9-9). C. annuum seeds were surface-sterilized with 6% sodium hypochlorite, washed four times with sterile distilled water (SWD), and germinated at 25–28◦C for 3 days on 1/2 Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with 0.6% (w/v) agar and 1.5% (w/v) sucrose. The seedlings were transplanted to natural soil collected from a pepper field located in Cheongwon-gun, Chungcheongbuk-do, South Korea (conducted in Cheongwongun, Chungcheongbuk-do, South Korea, 36° 35' 32.27" North, 127° 30' 34.75" East) in the KRIBB greenhouse facility, Daejeon, South Korea and grown at $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 2 weeks under controlled conditions in a growth chamber (12 h/12 h day/night cycle, c. 7000 L \times light intensity). Each pepper plant was placed into an acrylic plastic cylinder (diameter $= 15$ cm, height $= 50$ cm, and thickness $= 3$ mm), and the top of the cylinder was covered with a nylon stocking as described previously [\(Yang et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011;](#page-9-9) [Kim](#page-8-25) [et al.,](#page-8-25) [2016\)](#page-8-25).

Whitefly Treatment

Whitefly (B. tabaci) were grown and maintained in the KRIBB greenhouse facility of Daejeon, South Korea in 2008–2010 as described previously [\(Yang et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011;](#page-9-9) [Park and Ryu,](#page-9-18) [2014\)](#page-9-18). To investigate the effects of whitefly on the belowground bacterial microbiota in pepper plants, 2-week-old pepper plants were exposed to whitefly for 1 or 2 weeks (Whitefly at Week 1, WW1 and Whitefly at Week 2, WW2). The plants were exposed to an average of 18 ± 3.3 adult-stage whiteflies per pepper leaf (**[Figure 1](#page-2-0)**). Control plants were grown without whitefly infestation at weeks 0, 1, and 2 (Control at Week 0, CW0, Control at Week 1, CW1, and Control at Week 2, CW2).

Sampling and Amplification of the 16S rRNA Gene

To investigate the influence of whitefly infestation on belowground bacterial communities, 1 g of soil was sampled from the rhizospheres of whitefly-infested and control pepper plants at 1 and 2 weeks after treatment with whitefly, respectively (**[Figure 1](#page-2-0)**). Plants grown in a growth chamber were removed from acrylic plastic cylinders. The roots from each sample were gently shaken to remove loosely attached soil, and tightly associated soil was separated from the roots by vigorous shaking in SDW for 30 min. The separated soil solution was centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 10 min to collect rhizosphere soil containing microbiomes. The rhizosphere soil samples were stored at −80◦C until use for microbial community analysis. Soil bacterial genomic DNA was extracted using a PowerSoil DNA kit (Mo Bio Laboratories, Solana Beach, CA, USA). Amplification of 16S rRNA and DNA sequencing were performed by OmicsPia, Co.

Ltd (Daejeon, South Korea) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The 16S rRNA genes were amplified with universal primers (27F-GAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG and 518R-WTTACCGCGGCTGCTGG), which were used to amplify the V1–V3 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA genes. To enable the separation of samples, specific barcode sequences were fused to the 5' ends of the universal primers.

The 16S rRNA genes were amplified in a 50 μ L (total volume) reaction mixture containing 1 μ L of 100 ng/ μ L template DNA, 5 µL of 10X Ex Taq buffer, each deoxynucleoside triphosphate at a concentration of 2.5 μ M, each primer of 20 nM, and 1.25 units of EX-Taq DNA polymerase (Takara Suzo, Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed with a PCR thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Germany) under the following conditions: an initial denaturation step of 95◦C for 5 min; 30 cycles consisting of denaturation at 95◦C for 30 s, annealing at 55◦C for 30 s, and extension at 72◦C for 30 s; and a final extension step at 72◦C for 7 min. The amplified PCR products were sequenced using a GS-FLX Titanium Pyrosequencer (454 Life Sciences, Branford, CT, USA) at OmicsPia, Co. Ltd.

Pyrosequencing Analysis of Using the Mothur Pipeline

Amplicon reads of the partial 16S ribosomal RNA genes (V1– V3 regions) generated by the 454 GS FLX Titanium platform were initially trimmed for quality using the Pyrotrimmer program v1.1 [\(Oh et al.,](#page-9-19) [2012\)](#page-9-19). Bacterial 16S rRNA sequence data from the microbiota in the rhizosphere of pepper plants were processed through the mothur pipeline [\(Schloss](#page-9-20) [et al.,](#page-9-20) [2009\)](#page-9-20). Reads were sorted into each sample based on their unique barcodes and were error-corrected using the PyroNoise algorithm. Chimeric sequences were filtered out using the UCHIME algorithm after the nearest alignment space termination based on the SILVA database [\(DeSantis et al.,](#page-8-26) [2006;](#page-8-26) [Edgar et al.,](#page-8-27) [2011\)](#page-8-27). High-quality controlled reads were taxonomically assigned using RDP classifier with a 0.8 confidence threshold. The reads were also used to determine diversity indices and unique sequences and to evaluate the abundance of observed operational taxonomic units (OTUs), which were clustered at 3% dissimilarity in each sample [\(Wang et al.,](#page-9-21) [2007\)](#page-9-21). Using these OTUs, construction of distance matrix and clustering were conducted using the mothur pipeline. Alpha diversity was estimated using various diversity and richness indices , such as the Shannon index, and Inverse Simpson index, abundance-based coverage estimators (ACEs), and Chao1 (a non-parametric richness estimator), which were calculated using mothur analyses [\(Schloss et al.,](#page-9-20) [2009\)](#page-9-20). For beta diversity analysis, principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) was conducted using the Bray–Curtis metric. The Bray–Curtis algorithm was used to calculate the distance between samples [\(Beals,](#page-8-28) [1984\)](#page-8-28). PCoA was conducted using the Bray–Curtis metric. RDP LibCompare was used to estimate the probability of differences in the abundance of some observed phylogenetic taxa between samples. The pyrosequencing experiment at CW1 (Control at Week 1), CW2 (Control at Week 2), WW1 (Whitefly at

Week 1), and WW2 (Whitefly at Week 2) was repeated at least twice.

Quantification of Rhizosphere Fluorescent Pseudomonads

The population of bacteria on the roots was measured at 0, 1, and 2 weeks after whitefly exposure as described previously [\(Yang et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011\)](#page-9-9). In brief, whitefly-infested pepper roots were incubated in 30 mL of SWD for 30 min in a shaking incubator at 30◦C. The population of root-colonizing Pseudomonas spp. was determined by plating on King's B-agar medium (KB; 10 g proteose peptone No. 3, 1.5 g K_2HPO_4 , 1.5 g $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 10 mL glycerol, 20 g agar, and 1 L distilled water) [\(King et al.,](#page-8-29) [1954\)](#page-8-29). The pseudomonad population was calculated based on the number of fluorescent colonies under UV light irradiation (UVP, Inc., Upland, CA, USA) at 365 nm. The experiment was conducted using a completely randomized design with 10 replications. Twenty fluorescent colonies per treatment were randomly selected for further evaluation of insecticidal activity. The experiment was repeated at least twice with 10 biological replications.

Galleria mellonella Killing Assay

Insecticidal activity analysis was performed with Galleria mellonella as described previously [\(Chung et al.,](#page-8-30) [2016\)](#page-8-30). Ten randomly chosen G. mellonella caterpillars were used for each selected bacterium in an experiment. Prior to inoculation, 20 of pre-selected pseudomonads per treatment as described above were adjusted to an optical density OD_{600} of 1.0 with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). A 2 µl bacterial suspension was injected into the body cavity of each G. mellonella caterpillar using 10-µL Hamilton syringe (25-gauge, Hamilton, Co., Reno, NV, USA). After Injection, G. mellonella caterpillars were incubated in a growth chamber at 30◦C to assess the number of dead caterpillars at 24 h after inoculation (**[Figure 1](#page-2-0)**). The experiment was repeated at least twice with 20 biological replications.

Statistical Analysis

Analysis of pyrosequencing data was performed with the R program [\(R Core Team,](#page-9-22) [2014\)](#page-9-22) with the additional multcomp packages [\(Hothorn et al.,](#page-8-31) [2008\)](#page-8-31). Statistical analyses of experimental datasets were performed using commercial statistical software (JMP v5.0, SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Significant effects of treatment were determined based on the magnitude of the *F*-value ($P = 0.05$). When a significant F-test was obtained, separation of means was accomplished using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) at $P = 0.05$.

RESULTS

Plant Rhizosphere Bacterial Community Is Affected by Whitefly

To profile the belowground bacterial community, we amplified 16S rRNA genes in the rhizosphere using 12 pepper plants,

including five control plants and seven plants whose leaves were infested with whitefly. A total of 341,009 reads were sorted by the Protrimmer program. After a de-replication step, 284,945 unique reads were obtained. After removing chimeric and chloroplastic sequences, 196,554 sequences were obtained for all samples.

After the reads were clustered into OTUs, those with sequence similarity >97% were discarded from the analysis, resulting in 23,596 OTUs (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**). A total of OTUs were obtained for the whitefly infested pepper rhizosphere, and microbial diversity analysis was performed based on species diversity and evenness index. The bacterial diversity of samples was estimated by the Shannon and Inverse Simpson metrics. Bacterial richness in whitefly-infested samples at week 2 (WW2) appeared to be significantly lower that of the control plant samples (CW0 and CW1, respectively; one-way ANOVA, $P < 0.05$). Chao1 and ACE metric, which are used for richness analysis, revealed similar patterns in the control and whitefly infested pepper rhizosphere (one-way ANOVA, $P < 0.08$; **[Table 2](#page-4-1)**).

Among the total OTUs, 41 were exclusively detected in the control and 47 were exclusively detected in the whitefly infested plant rhizosphere. A total of 124 OTUs were shared with two other groups (**[Figure 2A](#page-5-0)**). Furthermore, as observed in the Venn diagrams (**[Figure 2B](#page-5-0)**), the samples at 2 weeks after whitefly infestation contained the highest number of endemic OTUs (41 OTUs).

Structure of the Bacterial Community

We detected differentially abundant bacterial communities in the control versus whitefly infested plant rhizosphere. Bacterial community structure analysis at the class level showed that, in all samples, alpha-, beta-, and gammaproteobacteria were the major bacterial communities. However, the relative abundance of gammaproteobacteria was highest at 2 weeks after whitefly infestation (WW2; 76 \pm 11%), whereas the abundance of alpha- (7 \pm 4%) and betaproteobacteria (11 \pm 4%) decreased (**[Figure 3A](#page-5-1)**). At the order level, the abundance of the Pseudomonadales population (72 \pm 12%) was higher at 2 weeks after whitefly infestation (WW2). By contrast, the populations of Xanthomonadales (13%), Burkholderiales (25%), and Sphingomonadales (5%) were larger in the control at the beginning of analysis (CW1; **[Figure 3B](#page-5-1)**).

TABLE 2 | Summary of the relationships between major taxa and genera.

	Taxa (significance value > 0.01)	Genus level
C W1 > W W1	Caulobacteraceae (Brevundimonas, Asticcacaulis, and Phenylobacterium)	Massilia
C W2 > W W2	Cytophagaceae (Cytophaga, Flectobacillus, and Dyadobacter)	
	Oxalobacteraceae (Massilia, Undibacterium, Naxibacter, and Herbaspirillum)	
	Xanthomonadaceae (Rhodanobacter, Stenotrophomonas, Thermomonas, and Rudaea)	
	Paenibacillaceae (Paenibacillus and Cohnella)	
C W1 < W W1	Microbacteriaceae (Microbacterium and Leifsonia)	Ralstonia
	Mycobacteriaceae (Mycobacterium)	Sphingobium
	Flavobacteriaceae (Chryseobacterium)	Variovorax
C W2 < W W2	Caulobacteraceae (Brevundimonas) Enterobacteriaceae (Escherichia/Shigella) Flavobacteriaceae (Elizabethkingia)	Achromobacter Janthinobacterium Stenotrophomonas

The bacterial taxa and genus represent the different major populations at the different treatments. CW1 = Control at Week 1, CW2 = Control at Week 2, WW1 $=$ Whitefly at Week 1, WW2 $=$ Whitefly at Week 2.

Principal coordinates analysis based on the Bray–Curtis dissimilarity index revealed clear differences between the two groups at the genus level. The first two axes as PCoA explained 64.7 and 15.8% of the variation, respectively. In the whitefly infested samples, we observed closer clustering, and the distances between sampling times of the two groups were variable (**[Figure 4](#page-6-0)**). The abundances of Brevundimonas, Asticcacaulis, and Phenylobacterium of the family Caulobacteraceae were higher among abundant OTUs in the control at 1 week after infestation (CW1), whereas the abundances of Microbacteriaceae (genus Microbacterium and Leifsonia), Mycobacteriaceae (genus Mycobacterium), and Flavobacteriaceae (genus Chryseobacterium) were higher in whitefly infested plants at 1 week after infestation (WW1). The abundance of Rhodanobacter, Stenotrophomonas, Thermomonas, and Rudaea of the family Xanthomonadaceae increased among abundant OTUs in the control at 2 weeks after infestation (CW2), whereas the abundances of Escherichia/Shigella of the family

TABLE 1 | Total number of reads, observed operational taxonomic units (OTUs), Good's coverage, diversity index (Shannon's and Inverse-Simpson index), and richness (Chao1 and ACE) for each sample measured based on a 3% dissimilarity cutoff.

Richness represents the number of observed unique operational taxonomic units (OTUs), which was estimated by the estimator Chao1 and the abundance-based coverage estimator (ACE). Diversity is indicated by the Shannon and Inverse Simpson indexes. Evenness is measured as the ratio of Shannon index to the number of observed OTUs. All data were expressed as the mean \pm SEM. Statistical significance was calculated using a one-way ANOVA. Different letters such as a–d indicate significant difference based on LSD ($P = 0.05$).

Enterobacteriaceae and Elizabethkingia from Flavobacteriaceae were higher in whitefly infested plants at 2 weeks after infestation (WW2; **[Figure 4](#page-6-0)**).

Analysis of Fluorescent Pseudomonad Abundance and Insecticidal Effect

Assessment of the effects of whitefly infestation on the plant rhizosphere, specifically Pseudomonas spp. diversity against insect infestation, using a culture-based method on King's B medium showed that whitefly-infested plants had significantly $(P < 0.05, n = 10)$ higher fluorescent pseudomonad populations at 2 weeks than 1 week and the control plants, whereas the control plants at 1 and 2 weeks were similar (**[Figure 5A](#page-6-1)**). To investigate the effects of Pseudomonas spp. on insect killing, we randomly selected 20 fluorescent colonies to assess insecticidal activity for each time period. To determine whether such differences in pathogenicity to selected fluorescence Pseudomonas spp. occurred between the whitefly-infested samples and the control, we inoculated G. mellonella caterpillars with these Pseudomonas spp. As shown in **[Figure 5B](#page-6-1)**, G. mellonella mortality was significantly higher in caterpillars inoculated with Pseudomonas spp. isolates from pepper root after whitefly application than those of the control ($P < 0.05$; **[Figure 5B](#page-6-1)**).

composition of the bacterial community in the rhizospheres of three control treatments (CW: control) and two whitefly-infested treatments (WW: Whitefly treatments). Numbers indicate exposure time to whitefly (CW0: time zero; CW1 and WW1: 1 week; CW2 and WW2: 2 weeks). The distribution of the different bacterial phyla is based on data obtained by 454 sequencing. Distribution of classes with relative abundance $(>0.3\%$ dissimilarity; A) and orders (B) in control and whitefly infestation samples.

DISCUSSION

The recent analysis of plant-associated microbiomes represents a new horizon in botanical and agricultural research [\(Mendes](#page-9-23) [et al.,](#page-9-23) [2013\)](#page-9-23). Previous studies examining the role of microbes in insect-plant-microbe tritrophic interactions were limited, as few utilized culture-independent 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing technology, also referred to as NGS. In the current study, we demonstrated that whitefly (B. tabaci Genn.) infestation of pepper plants modulates the rhizosphere bacterial community, leading to the enrichment of Pseudomonadales of the class Gammaproteobacteria, as determined using a NGS platform, 454 pyrosequencing, and a culture-based method. The results of pyrosequencing indicate that the bacterial diversity and evenness in the plant rhizosphere were influenced by whitefly infestation rather than by the sampling times of the plant rhizosphere (**[Table 1](#page-4-0)**; **[Figure 3](#page-5-1)**). However, in a study of Arabidopsis, the bacterial diversity and evenness in the microbiomes in the rhizosphere were found to be unrelated to plant developmental time point [\(Lundberg et al.,](#page-8-23) [2012;](#page-8-23) [Chaparro et al.,](#page-8-16) [2014\)](#page-8-16). This finding indicates that the rhizosphere sampling time does not have much of an effect on bacterial diversity. However, in the current study, the bacterial communities in the rhizospheres

of whitefly infested plants exhibited slight differences in OTUs, diversity, and evenness at 2 weeks of whitefly infestation (WW2) compared to 1 week (WW1; **[Table 1](#page-4-0)**). These results indicate that specific bacterial populations were recruited to the rhizosphere due to whitefly infestation.

An intersection of OTUs in each sample, when grouped by treatment or sampling time, was observed for 28.9% of the OTUs, which shared 97% sequence similarity and were shared between the whitefly infested plant and control plant rhizospheres (**[Figure 2](#page-5-0)**). The shared OTUs represent essential microbial communities in the plant rhizosphere, whereas the endemic OTUs in WW2 might be helpful for the whitefly infested plants. PCoA also indicated that each sample was clustered according to whitefly infestation and sampling time (**[Figure 4](#page-6-0)**). The results indicate that specific bacterial populations were affected in the changing bacterial community. A previous study indicated that the level of a specific bacterial population, i.e., Gram-positive bacteria, increased in the whitefly infested pepper rhizosphere compared to the control [\(Yang et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011\)](#page-9-9). However, the current study demonstrates that whitefly feeding on pepper leaves led to a significant increase in Gram-negative bacteria (**[Figure 3](#page-5-1)**). These different results might be attributed to the different techniques used: in the previous study, bacterial colonies on artificial media were measured, while, in the present study, we detected the number of OTUs based on the presence of 16S rRNA in the rhizosphere. Taken together, our results more comprehensively reflect the bacterial community.

G. mellonella caterpillars ($n = 10$) were incubated in a growth chamber at 30◦C after Injection to assess the number of dead caterpillars. Values are mean \pm SEM at *P < 0.05 according to the LSD test.

Based on comprehensive analysis of the essential or endemic OTUs, we estimated the relative abundances of members of the bacterial community. Our results show that the population of Pseudomonadales of the class Gammaproteobacteria significantly increased after 2 weeks of whitefly infestation, as revealed through both culture-dependent and -independent methods (**[Figure 3](#page-5-1)**). We propose three possible scenarios to explain these results: (1) plants secrete root exudates specifically to attract Pseudomonas spp. following whitefly infestation. This idea is supported by our current and previous finding that the variation in rhizosphere microbes between WW1 and WW2 may be influenced by the altered secretion of root exudates and the expression of plant signaling genes (**[Figure 5A](#page-6-1)**).

Previously, we found that whitefly infestation induces four transporter genes, including the genes encoding ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter, peptide transporter, zinc transporter, and phosphate transporter, as well as two auxin-responsive genes, which can increase the root biomass and help recruit microbes in whitefly infested plants [\(Yang et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011;](#page-9-9) [Park and Ryu,](#page-9-18) [2014\)](#page-9-18). In addition, recent genome sequencing of Pseudomonas spp. of diverse origins revealed that they contain insecticidal gene clusters such as Fit, TccC, and Mcf [\(Kupferschmied et al.,](#page-8-32) [2013;](#page-8-32) [Bruto et al.,](#page-8-33) [2014;](#page-8-33) [Flury et al.,](#page-8-34) [2016\)](#page-8-34). A study of natural variation across Pseudomonas spp. and field application of specific strains of Pseudomonas spp. demonstrated their insectkilling capacity. The Pseudomonas spp. are also distributed in both the phyllosphere and rhizosphere, indicating that plants indirectly protect themselves against subsequent whitefly infestation. (2) A recent study demonstrated that plant phenolic compounds such as anthocyanin and salicylic acid (SA) are major secreted products of plants when aphids attack their leaves [\(Park and Ryu,](#page-9-18) [2014;](#page-9-18) [Song et al.,](#page-9-24) [2015\)](#page-9-24). Previously 6 µg/mL SA secretion by whitefly infestation was shown to be effective against soil microbiota [\(Song et al.,](#page-9-24) [2015\)](#page-9-24). Interestingly, most Pseudomonas spp. are resistant to SA, while other Gram-negative bacteria are sensitive, leading to the elimination of the SAsensitive bacterial population [\(Ramos,](#page-9-25) [2004\)](#page-9-25). (3) Finally, the accumulated SA in the rhizosphere leads to an increase in the remaining SA-resistant population, such as Pseudomonas spp. In addition, researchers have long investigated the beneficial effects of Pseudomonadales, typically including Pseudomonas spp. The Pseudomonas spp. include a large number of species that provide benefits for plants, such as plant growth promotion and biocontrol [\(Raaijmakers et al.,](#page-9-26) [1995;](#page-9-26) [McSpadden Gardener](#page-9-17) [and Weller,](#page-9-17) [2001\)](#page-9-17). Similarly, the populations of Burkholderiales of the class Betaproteobacteria and Rhodospirillales of the class Alphaproteobacteria significantly increased at 1 week of infestation (**[Figure 3B](#page-5-1)**). Overall, these results indicate that rhizosphere microbiota react rapidly to whitefly infestation, leading to the dominance of different bacterial taxa over time. The reason that whitefly mediated changes in plant physiology lead to changes in the rhizosphere microbiome is still largely unknown. One possible explanation is that the recruitment of Pseudomonas spp. helps protect plants against possible subsequent attack from soil-borne insect pests. Many species of insect pests complete their life cycles from the larval stage in the soil to aboveground infestation.

A more detailed classification of the bacterial community at the genus level revealed that the populations of Achromobacter, Janthinobacterium, and Stenotrophomonas were altered with whitefly infestation, suggesting that whitefly infested plants specifically select microbes (**[Table 2](#page-4-1)**). Achromobacter promotes the growth of oilseed-rape (Brassica napus), wheat (Triticum aestivum), and Brassica juncea by improving the absorption of nitrogen, producing indole acetic acid (IAA), and functioning in phosphate solubilization [\(Bertrand et al.,](#page-8-35) [2000;](#page-8-35) [Jha and Kumar,](#page-8-36) [2009;](#page-8-36) [Ma et al.,](#page-8-37) [2009\)](#page-8-37). These findings are also in agreement with the previous observation [\(Park and Ryu,](#page-9-18) [2014\)](#page-9-18) that plant auxinrelated genes are upregulated at 1 week after whitefly infestation [\(Park and Ryu,](#page-9-18) [2014\)](#page-9-18). Moreover, Stenotrophomonas strains can produce IAA in vitro, which influences root development [\(Suckstorff and Berg,](#page-9-27) [2003\)](#page-9-27), and indole-dependent priming increases the levels of plant stress hormones such as jasmonate– isoleucine conjugate and abscisic acid [\(Erb et al.,](#page-8-38) [2015\)](#page-8-38). Under whitefly infestation, these hormones may elicit systemic resistance against bacterial pathogens and abiotic stress [\(Yang](#page-9-9) [et al.,](#page-9-9) [2011;](#page-9-9) [Park et al.,](#page-9-28) [2015;](#page-9-28) [Song et al.,](#page-9-24) [2015\)](#page-9-24). Therefore, our results indicate that whitefly infestation enriches the population sizes of specific bacteria, including IAA-producing bacteria, which play an important role in plant growth both directly and indirectly, by priming plants for defense responses.

CONCLUSION

This is the first report demonstrating the transition of belowground microbial communities elicited by aboveground insect herbivores. Many studies using various ecological systems demonstrate that insect infestation aboveground systemically affects plant defense mechanisms. The effects of insect infestation on plant rhizosphere microbes have only recently begun to be understood. Moreover, the interactions of insect-plantmicrobes remain poorly understood. Revealing the composition of the microbiome community in the whitefly infested plant rhizosphere and unraveling the underlying mechanisms will increase our understanding of the effects of insects and plants on the rhizosphere environment. Out of all communities of the microbiome, members of the Gammaproteobacterial group, including Pseudomonas spp. containing the insecticidal capacity, are the major enriched communities that respond to whitefly feeding. Moreover, the NGS technique and culturebase procedure employed in this study shed light on the novel insect-plant-microbe tritrophic interaction, thus representing a promising development. A more detailed study of the role of the recruited Pseudomonas spp. and other enriched bacterial genera in the rhizosphere of pepper plants infested by phloem-sucking insects should be performed in the near future. In addition, the ecological meaning behind the current results must also be determined to apply this information to pest management programs.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

HGK: performed both in vitro screening of pseudomonad and insecticidal activity, analyzed the microbiome data, and wrote the paper. BKK: performed the bioinformatics analysis. GCS and SL: DNA isolation from plant rhizosphere and performed the experiments. CMR: Supervise the design of experiments and the processes. HGK, BKK, GCS, SL, and CMR read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was financially supported by the Woo Jang-Choon program (PJ010939012016) of the Rural Development Administration, the Industrial Source Technology Development Program of the Ministry of Knowledge Economy (10044909) of Korea, and the KRIBB initiative program, Republic of Korea.

REFERENCES

- Baldwin, I. T. (2001). An ecologically motivated analysis of plant–herbivore interactions in native tobacco. Plant Physiol. 127, 1449–1458. doi: 10.1104/pp.010762
- Beals, E. W. (1984). Bray–Curtis ordination: an effective strategy for analysis of multivariate ecological data. Adv. Ecol. Res. 14, 1–55. doi: 10.1016/S0065- 2504(08)60168-3
- Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M. J., and Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. Trends Plant Sci. 17, 478–486. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001
- Bertrand, H., Plassard, C., Pinochet, X., Touraine, B., Normand, P., and Cleyet-Marel, J. C. (2000). Stimulation of the ionic transport system in *Brassica napus* by a plant growth promoting rhizobacterium (Achromobacter sp.). Can. J. Microbiol. 46, 229–236. doi: 10.1139/w99-137
- Bezemer, T. M., van der Putten, W. H., Martens, H., van de Voorde, T. F. J., Mulder, P. P. J., and Kostenko, O. (2013). Above- and below-ground herbivory effects on below-ground plant-fungus interactions and plant-soil feedback responses. J. Ecol. 101, 325–333. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12045
- Biere, A., and Bennett, A. E. (2013). Three-way interactions between plants, microbes and insects. Funct. Ecol. 27, 567–573. doi: 10.1007/s00425-016- 2543-1
- Birkett, M. A., Chamberlain, K., Guerrieri, E., Pickett, J. A., Wadhams, L. J., and Yasuda, T. (2003). Volatiles from whitefly-infested plants elicit a host-locating response in the parasitoid, Encarsia Formosa. J. Chem. Ecol. 29, 1589–1600. doi: 10.1023/A:1024218729423
- Bruto, M., Prigent-Combaret, C., Muller, D., and Moenne-Loccoz, Y. (2014). Analysis of genes contributing to plant-beneficial functions in plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria and related Proteobacteria. Sci. Rep. 4, 6261. doi: 10.1038/srep06261
- Bulgarelli, D., Garrido-Oter, R., Münch, P. C., Weiman, A., Dröge, J., Pan, Y., et al. (2015). Structure and function of the bacterial root microbiota in wild and domesticated barley. Cell Host Microbe 17, 392–403. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.011
- Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., Ver Loren van Themaat, E., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F., et al. (2012). Revealing structure and assembly cues for Arabidopsis root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. Nature 488, 91–95. doi: 10.1038/nature11336
- Chaparro, J. M., Badri, D. V., and Vivanco, J. M. (2014). Rhizosphere microbiome assemblage is affected by plant development. ISME J. 8, 790–803. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.196
- Chung, J. H., Bhat, A., Kim, C.-J., Yong, D. G., and Ryu, C.-M. (2016). Combination therapy with polymyxin B and netropsin against clinical isolates of multidrugresistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Sci. Rep. 6, 28168. doi: 10.1038/srep28168
- Dematheis, F., Zimmerling, U., Flocco, C., Kurtz, B., Vidal, S., Kropf, S., et al. (2012). Multitrophic interaction in the rhizosphere of maize: root feeding of western corn rootworm larvae alters the microbial community composition. PLoS ONE 7:e37288. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0037288
- DeSantis, T. Z., Hugenholtz, P., Keller, K., Brodie, E. L., Larsen, N., Piceno, Y. M., et al. (2006). NAST: a multiple sequence alignment server for comparative analysis of 16S rRNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 34, 394–399. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl244
- Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C., and Knight, R. (2011). UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. Bioinformatics 27, 2194–2200. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr381
- Erb, M., Veyrat, N., Robert, C. A. M., Xu, H., Frey, M., Ton, J., et al. (2015). Indole is an essential herbicore-induced volatile priming signal in maize. Nat. Commun. 6, 6273. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7273
- Flury, P., Aellen, N., Ruffner, B., Péchy-Tarr, M., Fataar, S., Metla, Z., et al. (2016). Insect pathogenicity in plant-beneficial pseudomonads: phylogenetic distribution and comparative genomics. ISME J. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2016.5 [Epub ahead of print].
- Fu, Z. Q., and Dong, X. (2013). Systemic acquired resistance: turning local infection into global defense. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 64, 839–863. doi: 10.1146/annurevarplant-042811-105606
- Gehring, C., and Bennett, A. (2009). Mycorrhizal fungal–plant–insect interactions: the importance of a community approach. Environ. Entomol. 38, 93–102. doi: 10.1603/022.038.0111
- Groen, S. C., Whiteman, N. K., Bahrami, A. K., Wilczek, A. M., Cui, J., Russell, J. A., et al. (2013). Pathogen-triggered ethylene signaling mediates systemicinduced susceptibility to herbivory in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 25, 4755–4766. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.113415
- Haichar, F. E., Marol, C., Berge, O., Rangel-Castro, J. I., Prosser, J. I., Balesdent, J., et al. (2008). Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial community structure. ISME J. 2, 1221–1230. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.80
- Hartmann, A., Rothballer, M., and Schmid, M. (2008). Lorenz Hiltner, a pioneer in rhizosphere microbial ecology and soil bacteriology research. Plant Soil 312, 7–14. doi: 10.1007/s11104-007-9514-z
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., and Heiberger, R. M. (2008). Multcomp: Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models. R Package Version 1.0-0. Available at: [http://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/multcomp/vignettes/genera](http://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/multcomp/vignettes/generalsiminf.pdf) [lsiminf.pdf](http://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/multcomp/vignettes/generalsiminf.pdf)
- Howe, G. A., and Jander, G. (2008). Plant immunity to insect herbivores. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 59, 41–66. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092825
- Inceoglu, O., Van Overbeek, L. S., Salles, J. F., and Van Elsas, J. D. (2013). The normal operating range of bacterial communities in soil used for potato cropping. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79, 1160–1170. doi: 10.1128/AEM.028 11-12
- Jha, P., and Kumar, A. (2009). Characterization of novel plant growth promoting endophytic bacterium Achromobacter xylosoxidans from wheat plants. Microb. Ecol. 58, 179–188. doi: 10.1007/s00248-009-9485-0
- Kim, B., Song, G. C., and Ryu, C.-M. (2016). Root exudation by aphid leaf infestation recruits root-associated Paenibacillus spp. to lead plant insect susceptibility. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 26, 549–557. doi: 10.4014/jmb.1511.11058
- King, E. O., Ward, M. K., and Raney, D. E. (1954). Two simple media for demonstration of pyocyanin and fluorescin. J. Lab. Clin. Med. 44, 301–307.
- Kirk, J. L., Klironomos, J. N., Lee, H., and Trevors, J. T. (2005). The effects of perennial ryegrass and alfalfa on microbial abundance and diversity in petroleum contaminated soil. Environ. Pollut. 133, 455–465. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2004.06.002
- Kloepper, J. W., Ryu, C.-M., and Zhang, S. (2004). Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by Bacillus spp. Phytopathology 94, 1259–1266. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO.2004.94.11.1259
- Knief, C., Delmotte, N., Chaffron, S., Stark, M., Innerebner, G., Wassmann, R., et al. (2012). Metaproteogenomic analysis of microbial communities in the phyllosphere and rhizosphere of rice. ISME J. 6, 1378–1390. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.192
- Kostenko, O., van de Voorde, T. F. J., Mulder, P. P. J., van der Putten, W. H., and Bezemer, T. M. (2012). Legacy effects of aboveground-belowground interactions. Ecol. Lett. 15, 813–821. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012. 01801 x
- Kowalchuk, G. A., Buma, D. S., de Boer, W., Klinkhamer, P. G. L., and van Veen, J. A. (2002). Effects of above-ground plant species composition and diversity on the diversity of soil-borne microorganisms. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 81, 509–520. doi: 10.1023/A:1020565523615
- Kupferschmied, P., Maurhofer, M., and Keel, C. (2013). Promise for plant pest control: root-associated pseudomonads with insecticidal activities. Front. Plant Sci. 4:287. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00287
- Lakshmanan, V., Kitto, S. L., Caplan, J. L., Hsueh, Y. H., Kearns, D. B., Wu, Y. S., et al. (2012). Microbe-associated molecular patterns-triggered root responses mediate beneficial rhizobacterial recruitment in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 160, 1642–1661. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.200386
- Lazebnik, J., Frago, E., Dicke, M., and van Loon, J. J. A. (2014). Phytohormone mediation of interactions between herbivores and plant pathogens. J. Chem. Ecol. 40, 730–741. doi: 10.1007/s10886-014-0480-7
- Lee, B., Lee, S., and Ryu, C.-M. (2012). Foliar aphid feeding recruits rhizosphere bacteria and primes plant immunity against pathogenic and non-pathogenic bacteria in pepper. Ann. Bot. 110, 281–290. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcs055
- Lundberg, D. S., Lebeis, S. L., Paredes, S. H., Yourstone, S., Gehring, J., Malfatti, S., et al. (2012). Defining the core Arabidopsis thaliana root microbiome. Nature 488, 86–90. doi: 10.1038/nature11237
- Ma, Y., Rajkumar, M., and Freitas, H. (2009). Inoculation of plant growth promoting bacterium Achromobacter xylosoxidans strain Ax10 for the improvement of copper phytoextraction by Brassica juncea. J. Environ. Manage. 90, 831–837. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.01.014
- McSpadden Gardener, B. B., and Weller, D. M. (2001). Changes in populations of rhizosphere bacteria associated with take all of wheat. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 4414–4425. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.10.4414-4425.2001
- Mendes, R., Garbeva, P. V., and Raaijmakers, J. M. (2013). The rhizosphere microbiome: significance of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 37, 634–663. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12028
- Mendes, R., Kruijt, M., de Bruijn, I., Dekkers, E., van der Voort, M., Schneider, J. H. M., et al. (2011). Deciphering the rhizosphere microbiome for diseasesuppressive bacteria. Science 332, 1097–1100. doi: 10.1126/science.1203980
- Murphy, J. F., Zehnder, G. W., Schuster, D. J., Sikora, E. J., Polston, J. E., and Kloepper, J. W. (2000). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacterial mediated protection in tomato against tomato mottle virus. Plant Dis. 84, 779–784. doi: 10.1094/PDIS.2000.84.7.779
- Oh, J., Kim, B. K., Cho, W. S., Hong, S. G., and Kim, K. M. (2012). PyroTrimmer: a software with GUI for pre-processing 454 amplicon sequences. J. Microbiol. 50, 766–769. doi: 10.1007/s12275-012-2494-6
- Panda, N., and Khush, G. S. (eds) (1995). Host Plant Resistance to Insects. Oxon: IRRI-CAB Int.
- Pangesti, N., Pineda, A., Pieterse, C. M. J., Dicke, M., and van Loon, J. J. A. (2013). Two-way plant-mediated interactions between root-associated microbes and insects: from ecology to mechanisms. Front. Plant Sci. 4:414. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00414
- Park, Y. S., Bae, D. W., and Ryu, C.-M. (2015). Aboveground whitefly infestation modulates transcriptional levels of anthocyanin biosynthesis and jasmonic acid signaling-related genes and augments the cope with drought stress of maize. PLoS ONE 10:e0143879. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143879
- Park, Y.-S., and Ryu, C.-M. (2014). Understanding cross-communication between aboveground and belowground tissues via transcriptome analysis of a sucking insect whitefly-infested pepper plants. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 443, 272–277. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.11.105
- Pineda, A., Dicke, M., Pieterse, C. M. J., and Pozo, M. J. (2013). Beneficial microbes in a changing environment: are they always helping plants to deal with insects? Funct. Ecol. 27, 574–586. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12050
- Pineda, A., Zheng, S. J., van Loon, J. J. A., Pieterse, C. M. J., and Dicke, M. (2010). Helping plants to deal with insects: the role of beneficial soil-borne microbes. Trends Plant Sci. 15, 507–514. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2010.05.007
- Raaijmakers, J. M., Leeman, M., van Oorschot, M. M. P., van der Sluis, I., Schippers, B., and Bakker, P. A. H. M. (1995). Dose-response relationships in biological control of Fusarium wilt of radish by Pseudomonas spp. Phytopathology 85, 1075–1081. doi: 10.1094/Phyto-85-1075
- Ramos, J.-L. (2004). Pseudomonas: Biosynthesis of Macromolecules and Molecular Metabolism. London: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.
- R Core Team (2014). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: [http://www.R-pr](http://www.R-project.org/) [oject.org/](http://www.R-project.org/)
- Santos, F., Peñaflor, M. F. G., Paré, P. W., Sanches, P. A., Kamiya, A. C., Tonelli, M., et al. (2014). A novel interaction between plant-beneficial rhizobacteria and roots: colonization induces corn resistance against the root herbivore Diabrotica speciosa. PLoS ONE 9:e113280. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113280
- Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., et al. (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platformindependent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75, 7537–7541. doi: 10.1128/aem.01541-09
- Shavit, R., Ofek-Lalzar, M., Burdman, S., and Morin, S. (2013). Inoculation of tomato plants with rhizobacteria enhances the performance of the

phloem-feeding insect Bemisia tabaci. Front. Plant Sci. 4:306. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00306

- Smalla, K., Wieland, G., Buchner, A., Zock, A., Parzy, J., Kaiser, S., et al. (2001). Bulk and rhizosphere soil bacterial communities studied by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis: plant-dependent enrichment and seasonal shifts revealed. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67, 4742–4751. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.10.4742-4751.2001
- Song, G. C., Lee, S., Hong, J., Choi, H. K., Hong, G. H., Bae, D. W., et al. (2015). Aboveground insect infestation attenuates belowground Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation. New Phytol. 207, 148–158. doi: 10.1111/nph.13324
- Suckstorff, I., and Berg, G. (2003). Evidence for dose-dependent effects on plant growth by Stenotrophomonas strains from different origins. J. Appl. Microbiol. 95, 656–663. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.02021.x
- Sugio, A., Dubreuil, G., Giron, D., and Simon, J.-C. (2015). Plant–insect interactions under bacterial influence: ecological implications and underlying mechanisms. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 467–478. doi: 10.1093/jxb/eru435
- Tack, A. J. M., and Dicke, M. (2013). Plant pathogens structure arthropod communities across multiple spatial and temporal scales. Funct. Ecol. 27, 633–645. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12087
- Torsvik, V., Øvreås, L., and Thingstad, T. F. (2002). Prokaryotic diversity – magnitude, dynamics, and controlling factors. Science 296, 1064–1066. doi: 10.1126/science.1071698
- Turner, T. R., Ramakrishnan, K., Walshaw, J., Heavens, D., Alston, M., Swarbreck, D., et al. (2013). Comparative metatranscriptomics reveals kingdom level changes in the rhizosphere microbiome of plants. ISME J. 7, 2248–2258. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.119
- Uroz, S., Buee, M., Murat, C., Frey-Klett, P., and Martin, F. (2010). Pyrosequencing reveals a contrasted bacterial diversity between oak rhizosphere and surrounding soil. Environ. Microbiol. Rep. 2, 281–288. doi: 10.1111/j.1758- 2229.2009.00117.x
- Valenzuela-Soto, J. H., Estrada-Hernández, M. G., Ibarra-Laclette, E., and Délano-Frier, J. P. (2010). Inoculation of tomato plants (Solanum lycopersicum) with growth-promoting Bacillus subtilis retards whitefly Bemisia tabaci development. Planta 231, 397–410. doi: 10.1007/s00425-009-1061-9
- van der Voort, M., Kempenaar, M., van Driel, M., Raaijmakers, J. M., and Mendes, R. (2016). Impact of soil heat on reassembly of bacterial communities in the rhizosphere microbiome and plant disease suppression. Ecol. Lett. 19, 375–382. doi: 10.1111/ele.12567
- Wang, Q., Garrity, G. M., Tiedje, J. M., and Cole, J. R. (2007). Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 5261–5267. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00062- 07
- Yang, J. W., Yi, H. S., Kim, H., Lee, B., Lee, S., Ghim, S.-Y., et al. (2011). Whitefly infestation of pepper plants elicits defence responses against bacterial pathogens in leaves and roots and changes the below-ground microflora. J. Ecol. 99, 46–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01756.x

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Kong, Kim, Song, Lee and Ryu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.