THE GIBULITY IN [AGING NEUROSCIENCE](http://www.frontiersin.org/Aging_Neuroscience)

[REVIEW ARTICLE](http://www.frontiersin.org/Aging_Neuroscience/editorialboard) [published: 12 September 2012](http://www.frontiersin.org/Aging_Neuroscience/editorialboard) [doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2012.00012](http://www.frontiersin.org/Aging_Neuroscience/editorialboard) provided by Frontier[s - Publishe](http://www.frontiersin.org/Aging_Neuroscience/about)r Connector

[Characterizing cognitive aging of spatial and contextual](http://www.frontiersin.org/Aging_Neuroscience/10.3389/fnagi.2012.00012/abstract) memory in animal models

*[Thomas C. Foster](http://www.frontiersin.org/Community/WhosWhoActivity.aspx?sname=ThomasFoster&UID=4548) ¹ *, [R. A. DeFazio2](http://www.frontiersin.org/Community/WhosWhoActivity.aspx?sname=RichardDeFazio&UID=51645) and [Jennifer L. Bizon1](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/JenniferBizon/34668)*

¹ Department of Neuroscience, Evelyn F. and William L. McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA ² Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

Edited by:

John Morrison, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, USA

Reviewed by:

Heather A. Bimonte-Nelson, Arizona State University, USA William Sonntag, University of Oklahoma, USA

**Correspondence:*

Thomas C. Foster, Department of Neuroscience, McKnight Brain Institute, University of Florida, PO Box 100244, Gainesville, FL 32610-0244, USA. e-mail: foster@ufl.edu

INTRODUCTION

AGE-DEPENDENT DECLINE IN MEMORY

Even in the absence of brain disorders, humans exhibit a weakening of specific cognitive processes with advancing age, including executive function, and processing speed. The most notable decline and the earliest manifestation of cognitive senescence is impaired memory. Nevertheless, not all phases or forms of memory are uniformly susceptible to aging [\(Albert et al., 1987;](#page-11-0) [Albert](#page-11-1), [2002;](#page-11-1) [Nilsson, 2003](#page-15-0); [Hedden and Gabrieli](#page-13-0), [2004\)](#page-13-0). Aging is not associated with a serious decrement in the rate at which the elderly acquire skills, learn procedures, or form simple associations [\(Vakil and Agmon-Ashkenazi](#page-16-0), [1997;](#page-16-0) [Naveh-Benjamin,](#page-15-1) [2000](#page-15-1); [Peretti et al., 2002](#page-15-2)). Furthermore, aging does not have a negative impact on the long-term retention/performance of previously acquired skills and procedures [\(Mitchell et al., 1990;](#page-14-0) [Rodrigue et al., 2005;](#page-16-1) [Smith et al.](#page-16-2), [2005](#page-16-2)). Older adults experience difficulty with episodic memory (i.e., memory for recent experiences or episodes), which encompasses a specific temporal and spatial context. For example, both older and younger individuals exhibit an increase in reading speed of previously present sentences, indicating a similar level of learning of the procedural aspects of performance [\(Moscovitch et al., 1986](#page-15-3)). Furthermore, recognition memory was similar several hours after training, indicating that all age groups acquired the presented information. Thus, procedural memory, short term memory, and remote or semantic memories remain relatively intact. However, increased forgetting of the presented material was observed in oldest individuals when tested 2 weeks following the initial learning [\(Moscovitch et al.](#page-15-3), [1986\)](#page-15-3). In fact, memory for contextual information (temporal order, spatial location, or source) exhibited greater impairment relative to deficits for the specific items or events to be remembered [\(Spencer and Raz,](#page-16-3) [1995](#page-16-3); [Old and Naveh-Benjamin, 2008](#page-15-4)). As such, diagnostic tests

Episodic memory, especially memory for contextual or spatial information, is particularly vulnerable to age-related decline in humans and animal models of aging. The continuing improvement of virtual environment technology for testing humans signifies that widely used procedures employed in the animal literature for examining spatial memory could be developed for examining age-related cognitive decline in humans. The current review examines cross species considerations for implementing these tasks and translating findings across different levels of analysis. The specificity of brain systems as well as gaps in linking human and animal laboratory models is discussed.

Keywords: spatial, contextual, memory, aging, hippocampus

that specifically examine spatial memory or contextual memory (e.g., contextual fear conditioning) should be highly sensitive to aging.

SPATIAL MEMORY

Spatial memory encompasses knowledge of location within an environmental context and cognitive deficits are prominent when older adults are tested for spatial memory. Indeed, spatial learning [\(Weber et al.](#page-16-4)[,](#page-15-6) [1978](#page-16-4)[;](#page-15-6) [Ohta et al.](#page-15-5)[,](#page-15-6) [1981;](#page-15-5) [Kirasic, 1991](#page-14-1)[;](#page-15-6) Newman and Kaszniak, [2000\)](#page-15-6) and memory [\(Park et al., 1982,](#page-15-7) [1983;](#page-15-8) [Evans et al.,](#page-12-0) [1984](#page-12-0); [Zelinski and Light](#page-17-0), [1988;](#page-17-0) [Denney et al.](#page-12-1), [1992;](#page-12-1) [Uttl and Graf,](#page-16-5) [1993](#page-16-5); [de Jager et al., 2002;](#page-12-2) [West et al., 2002\)](#page-16-6) are particularly vulnerable to age-related decline in humans. Impaired spatial ability can have a great impact on the quality of life with advancing age, since the capacity to acquire and recall the spatial features of a novel location is critical for adaptation to the environment and the ability to live independently.

Animal models of cognitive senescence confirm that spatial memory is enormously sensitive to age. Deficits are specific to spatial memory, and like humans, little, or no age-related impairment is observed in the acquisition and retention of motor or procedural skills for primates [\(Walton et al.](#page-16-7), [2008\)](#page-16-7) and rodents [\(Churchill et al.](#page-12-3), [2003;](#page-12-3) [Cassel et al.](#page-12-4), [2007\)](#page-12-4). For non-human primates, spatial memory appears to be one of the earliest cognitive functions to decline with age [\(Bachevalier et al., 1991](#page-11-2); [Rapp et al.,](#page-15-9) [1997](#page-15-9)). Likewise, for rodents impaired spatial memory emerges earl[y,](#page-11-3) [in](#page-11-3) [middle-age](#page-11-3) [\(Davis et al.](#page-12-5)[,](#page-11-3) [1993](#page-12-5)[;](#page-11-3) [Lindner](#page-14-2)[,](#page-11-3) [1997](#page-14-2)[;](#page-11-3) Blalock et al., [2003](#page-11-3); [Foster et al., 2003;](#page-12-6) [Driscoll et al., 2006;](#page-12-7) [Francia et al.,](#page-13-1) [2006](#page-13-1); [Adams et al., 2008;](#page-11-4) [Granholm et al.](#page-13-2), [2008;](#page-13-2) [Bizon et al.,](#page-11-5) [2009](#page-11-5)). The early onset and distinctive nature of cognitive decline, specific to spatial memory, suggests that specific neural systems involved in spatial navigation are exceptionally susceptible to aging.

THE HIPPOCAMPUS AND SPATIAL MEMORY

The anatomical substrates for episodic and spatial memory are similar in animal models and humans. Relevant brain regions include the hippocampus and adjacent cortical areas in the temporal lobe [\(Nadel and Moscovitch, 1997;](#page-15-10) [Nadel et al.](#page-15-11), [2000](#page-15-11)). Historically, the momentum for the idea that the hippocampus mediates memory function comes from work examining patients following surgical resection of the hippocampus to alleviate epilepsy [\(Scoville and Milner, 1957\)](#page-16-8). The role of the hippocampus in memory consolidation was recognized in the early landmark research on the patient H.M. A role for the hippocampus in spatial memory initially arose from work describing the spatial specific discharge activity of neurons in the hippocampus [\(O'Keefe and Dostrovsky, 1971\)](#page-15-12), and the idea that the hippocampus is critical for spatial memory was actively promoted by [O'Keefe and Nadel](#page-15-13) [\(1978](#page-15-13)). Consistently, research has concluded that damage to the hippocampus results in impaired spatial memory in rodents [\(Schenk and Morris](#page-16-9), [1985;](#page-16-9) [Jarrard](#page-13-3), [1993;](#page-13-3) Devan et al.[,](#page-14-3) [1996](#page-12-8)[\), non-human primates](#page-14-3) [\(Murray et al.](#page-15-14)[,](#page-14-3) [1989](#page-15-14)[;](#page-14-3) Lavenex et al., [2006;](#page-14-3) [Banta et al., 2009](#page-11-6)), and humans [\(Goldstein et al.,](#page-13-4) [1989](#page-13-4); [Bohbot et al.](#page-12-9)[,](#page-12-10) [1998;](#page-12-9) [Holdstock et al.](#page-13-5)[,](#page-12-10) [2000](#page-13-5)[;](#page-12-10) Feigenbaum and Morris, [2004;](#page-12-10) [Parslow et al., 2005\)](#page-15-15). Indeed, the progressive decline in memory during aging is associated with a decrease in hippocampal volume in humans [\(Mungas et al., 2005;](#page-15-16) [Kramer et al.,](#page-14-4) [2007](#page-14-4); [Mueller et al., 2007](#page-15-17); [Head et al.](#page-13-6), [2008;](#page-13-6) [Stoub et al., 2008;](#page-16-10) [Head and Isom](#page-13-7)[,](#page-16-11) [2010;](#page-13-7) [Reuter-Lorenz and Park](#page-15-18)[,](#page-16-11) [2010](#page-15-18)[;](#page-16-11) Sexton et al., [2010\)](#page-16-11), non-human primates [\(Picq et al.](#page-15-19), [2012\)](#page-15-19), and rodents [\(Driscoll et al., 2006\)](#page-12-7).

TASKS FOR HIPPOCAMPAL-DEPENDENT SPATIAL MEMORY

A variety of mazes are commonly used for examining spatial memory in aging animals. For non-human primates, tasks that involve responding to computer screens require extensive training. In contrast, spatial information is acquired quickly in threedimensional environments [\(Wang et al., 2007;](#page-16-12) [Zhang et al., 2008;](#page-17-1) [Haley et al., 2009](#page-13-8)). A number of spatial mazes have been developed to examine the rate of learning and duration of retention for hippocampal-dependent spatial working and reference memory in rodents, including stone maze, radial maze, Morris water maze, Barnes maze, and T-maze [\(Barnes](#page-11-7), [1979;](#page-11-7) [Morris et al.,](#page-15-20) [1982](#page-15-20); [Beatty et al., 1985;](#page-11-8) [Ingram](#page-13-9), [1988;](#page-13-9) [Bimonte et al., 2003](#page-11-9)). For this review we will focus on the acquisition and retention of novel spatial information, which remains constant across training trials, including spatial reference memory. Research on spatial working memory in well-known environments, involving the manipulation or updating of spatial information in a trial dependent manner (i.e. working memory on the radial arm maze) is addressed in more detail in the companion paper by Bizon et al., in this special issue [\(Bizon et al., 2012\)](#page-11-10).

Tests used to examine spatial memory in humans can be categorized according to small and large scale environments. Depending on the scale of the environment, performance accuracy [will depend on activity in different neural systems \(](#page-12-11)Ekstrom et al., [2003;](#page-12-11) [Hartley and Burgess, 2005\)](#page-13-10). Small scale spatial tasks usually involve localizing objects on a two-dimensional field and performance is associated with activity in the parietal lobes [\(Kosslyn and Thompson, 2003](#page-14-5)). Memory deficits in small scale

environments are not as robust as in large scale environments and older individuals may show little or no impairment when distinctive spatial cues are used [\(Cherry and Park](#page-12-12), [1993](#page-12-12)) or short retention intervals are employed [\(Olson et al., 2004\)](#page-15-21). A consistent age-related deficit is observed for spatial memory tested in large-scale environments [\(Weber et al., 1978](#page-16-4); [Simon et al.](#page-16-13), [1992;](#page-16-13) [Uttl and Graf, 1993](#page-16-5); [Wilkniss et al., 1997;](#page-16-14) [Newman and Kaszniak,](#page-15-6) [2000](#page-15-6)). Early studies, using slide shows to simulate a walk through large-scale environments, demonstrated robust spatial memory imp[airments in older individuals](#page-13-11) [\(Ohta et al.](#page-15-5)[,](#page-13-11) [1981](#page-15-5)[;](#page-13-11) Hunt and Roll, [1987](#page-13-11); [Kirasic, 1989;](#page-14-6) [Kirasic and Bernicki, 1990](#page-14-7); [Kirasic et al.,](#page-14-8) [1992](#page-14-8); [Lipman and Caplan](#page-14-9), [1992\)](#page-14-9). More recently, computer-based virtual environments have been employed to show age-related deficits specific for processing the relationship between multiple env[ironmental](#page-15-24) [cues](#page-15-24) [\(Moffat et al.](#page-15-22)[,](#page-15-24) [2001](#page-15-22)[,](#page-15-24) [2007;](#page-15-23) Moffat and Res[nick,](#page-13-13) [2002;](#page-15-24) [Iaria et al.](#page-13-12)[,](#page-13-13) [2009;](#page-13-12) [Head and Isom](#page-13-7)[,](#page-13-13) [2010](#page-13-7)[;](#page-13-13) Jansen et al., [2010](#page-13-13); [Plancher et al.](#page-15-25), [2010](#page-15-25)). The computer generated environments permit control of cue location and task complexity and the use of computer controls can compensate for physical disability. Virtual environments are more ecologically valid than small scale tests and can be programmed to mimic spatial tasks used to characterize age-related impairments in animal models.

EXAMPLE OF RODENT WATER MAZE PROTOCOLS

The Morris water maze is the gold standard for examining altered spatial memory in relation to hippocampal damage or associated with aging in rodents, and versions of the maze have been adapted for examining aging in dogs [\(Salvin et al., 2011](#page-16-15)) and humans [\(Newman and Kaszniak, 2000;](#page-15-6) [Moffat and Resnick](#page-15-24), [2002;](#page-15-24) [Driscoll et al.](#page-12-13), [2003;](#page-12-13) [Fitting et al., 2007](#page-12-14); [Antonova et al.](#page-11-11), [2009\)](#page-11-11). There is no set guideline as to what materials and pool sizes should be used [\(Guidi and Foster](#page-13-14), [2012](#page-13-14)). Depending on the animal color, paint, or dye may be added to the water to provide the visual contrast need for computer tracking programs. Moreover, the animal model (rat or mouse) should be considered when deciding on pool size and escape platform size. In general, pool sizes range from approximately 1.7–1.8 m for rats and 1–1.5 m for the mice and platform diameters are ∼12–15 cm for rats and \sim 10–11 cm for mice. Too large a pool or too small a platform will result in slower learning and possible exhaustion due to extensive swimming.

A cue discrimination version of the task is employed in order to specify that behavioral differences are not due to age-related sensory-motor or motivational deficits. For the cued discrimination task, a floor to ceiling curtain surrounds the pool in order to limit spatial cues (**[Figure 1B](#page-2-0)**). The escape platform is highlighted by attaching a flag to the platform and the platform is raised above the level of the water in the pool. In the cued task, the platform is placed in different positions for each trial and the subject is trained to locate the visible platform. The platform should be located away from the wall to prevent the animal from finding the platform by circling next to the wall (i.e., thigmotaxis), and discouraging animals on the platform from leaping out of the pool. Animals that cannot locate the visible platform are removed from further consideration. In addition, training on the cue discrimination task ensures that animals learn the procedural aspects of the task, including how to swim and the fact that the pool wall is

not a route of escape [\(Vorhees and Williams](#page-16-16), [2006](#page-16-16)). Animals that complete the cue discrimination task can see, swim, are motivated to escape from the pool, and have the basic skills and knowledge needed to perform the task. These animals are ready for testing of acquisition, retention, and flexible use of spatial information.

For the spatial version of the task, the curtains are removed and mice or rats are trained to find an escape platform in a pool of water using spatial cues in the room (**[Figure 1](#page-2-0)**). The procedure by which rodents are tested in the spatial water maze varies greatly and consists of multiple versions. In general, the platform is hidden below the water level and remains in the same spatial position throughout training. With each trial, the rodent is gently placed in the pool at a randomized starting position. The number of training trials can vary between one or more each day for several days (**[Figure 3](#page-5-0)**) or training is conducted in a single day and trials are arranged in training blocks, containing several training trials per block (**[Figure 1C](#page-2-0)**). Learning is observed as a decrease in the path length during training as the animals traverse the environment to escape from the pool. In addition, probe trials are employed

immediately after training to test the extent of learning and at various delays following training in order to examine retention. For probe trials, the escape platform is removed and the animal's swim pattern is recorded (**[Figure 1D](#page-2-0)**). Animals that learn and remember the spatial location of the platform focus their search on the location that previously held the platform.

VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENTS FOR TESTING HUMANS

Virtual environments, including virtual versions of the water maze (**[Figure 2](#page-3-0)**), have been used to examine hippocampal function in amnesic humans. In young adults, the behavior is similar to that observed for young rats. The path length to find the hidden target decreases with training. In addition, probe trials indicate that search behavior is focused on the location of the target (**[Figure 2E](#page-3-0)**). Research confirms that damage to the hipp[ocampus results in impaired spatial navigation \(](#page-16-17)Skelton et al.[,](#page-11-14) [2000](#page-16-17)[;](#page-11-14) [Astur et al., 2002](#page-11-12)[;](#page-11-14) [Bohbot et al.](#page-11-13)[,](#page-11-14) [2004](#page-11-13)[;](#page-11-14) Bartsch et al., [2010](#page-11-14); [Goodrich-Hunsaker et al., 2010\)](#page-13-15). Recent studies have examined spatial learning and memory during aging

in virtual reality environments and mazes [\(Iaria et al., 2009;](#page-13-12) [Head and Isom](#page-13-7), [2010;](#page-13-7) [Plancher et al., 2010](#page-15-25)). Analogous to aged rats and mice, elderly individuals exhibit deficits involving increased path length during acquisition and impaired performance o[n probe trials](#page-15-24) [\(Moffat et al.](#page-15-22)[,](#page-15-24) [2001](#page-15-22)[,](#page-15-24) [2007;](#page-15-23) Moffat and Resnick, [2002](#page-15-24); [Driscoll et al.](#page-12-13), [2003\)](#page-12-13). Importantly, while all age groups exhibit acquisition on a virtual environment maze, middle-aged (40–60 years), and aged subjects (*>*60 years) were slower to learn and exhibited increased spatial memory errors [\(Thomas et al.](#page-16-19)[,](#page-15-24) [1999](#page-16-19)[;](#page-15-24) [Moffat et al.](#page-15-22)[,](#page-15-24) [2001](#page-15-22)[;](#page-15-24) Moffat and Resnick, [2002;](#page-15-24) [Driscoll et al., 2005](#page-12-15); [Jansen et al., 2010](#page-13-13)), indicating that the tasks are sensitive to the early emergence of cognitive decline. Finally, performance in virtual environments correlates with other hippocampus-sensitive tasks including measures of epis[odic](#page-12-15) [memory](#page-12-15) [and](#page-12-15) [spatial](#page-12-15) [ability](#page-12-15) [\(Moffat et al.](#page-15-22)[,](#page-12-15) [2001](#page-15-22)[;](#page-12-15) Driscoll et al., [2005\)](#page-12-15).

The results for studies that employ virtual environments confirm that aging is associated with impaired contextual memory; including recall of spatial information and that tasks involving spatial navigation through virtual environments are sensitive for the early detection of cognitive deficits. The continuing improvement of virtual environment technology for testing

humans signifies that widely used procedures employed in the animal literature could be developed for examining age-related cognitive decline in humans. The next section examines aspects of testing procedures and methods that are important in cross species testing.

CROSS SPECIES PROCEDURE CONSIDERATIONS

Several reviews have discussed the proper procedures for employing spatial s[wim mazes for rodents](#page-16-16) [\(Brandeis et al., 1989](#page-12-16)[;](#page-16-16) Vorhees and Williams, [2006](#page-16-16)) and the factors that must be considered when examining aged animals [\(Foster, 1999;](#page-12-17) [van der Staay, 2002\)](#page-16-20). The work highlights attributes of the task and of aging that could confound behavioral measures of spatial learning and memory, including sensory-motor deficits, fatigue, and stress.

SENSORY-MOTOR FUNCTION

It is important to keep in mind that virtual environments do not involve true translocation through space. Perceptions are different and virtual environments can cause disorientation and nause[a in some subjects](#page-13-17) [\(Regan and Price](#page-15-26)[,](#page-13-17) [1994](#page-15-26)[;](#page-13-17) Howarth and Finch, [1999](#page-13-17); [Moffat et al., 2001](#page-15-22)). Furthermore, motor activity associated with moving through a virtual environment (i.e., a

joystick) is different than walking or swimming. Voluntary motor activity provides important information that influences spatial cognition. For example, learning and memory are increased when subjects actively, rather than passively explore the environment [\(Sauzeon et al.](#page-16-21), [2011](#page-16-21)). There may be an interaction between walking on a treadmill and the virtual experience of walking on stress responsiveness [\(Plante et al., 2003](#page-15-27)). Finally, the addition of a motor component to the virtual environment, e.g., treadmill walking, improved spatial learning in older adults; however, the motor component did not eliminate deficits in spatial memory [\(Lovden et al.](#page-14-10), [2005\)](#page-14-10), suggesting the possible dissociation of impairments in learning and memory processes.

For rodent studies, deprivation of food or water or exposure to aversive stimuli is employed to motivate acquisition. However, there is concern that stress or the level of motivation associated with food deprivation or shock may not be equivalent across age groups [\(Gage et al.](#page-13-18), [1984\)](#page-13-18). Rodents are excellent swimmers and they are inherently motivated to escape water. However, motivation is decreased as water temperatures approach body temperature [\(Wever](#page-16-22), [1932\)](#page-16-22) and cold temperatures (i.e., below room temperature) can be stressful, particularly for aged animals [\(Lindner and Gribkoff, 1991](#page-14-11); [Mabry et al., 1996](#page-14-12)). Stress can be reduced by drying and warming the animals between trial blocks and habituation procedures, including cue discrimination training.

For hippocampal-dependent spatial behavior, learning is based on the relationship of cues outside the pool. Therefore, animals must be able to detect extramaze cues. The nature of extramaze cues employed in water maze and exactly what constitutes a sufficient number of cues is not entirely clear. Relative to humans, rodents have poor visual acuity; however, using only one or two highly salient cues may promote cue-response learning over flexible spatial learning [\(Gerlai et al.](#page-13-19), [2002\)](#page-13-19). In humans the salience of spatial cues, including color and familiarity facilitate spatial learning [\(Ruddle et al.](#page-16-23), [1997](#page-16-23); [Barkas et al.](#page-11-15), [2010](#page-11-15)). For rodents, simplification of cues (large shapes affixed to a curtain or wall surrounding the maze) tends to improve place learning relative to complex patterns [\(Burwell et al.](#page-12-18), [2004\)](#page-12-18). Indeed, there is evidence that differences in the spatial environments, with respect to the complexity of visual cues, can differentially engage extrahippocampal structures. Perirhinal and postrhinal cortices confer the primary sensory association information from neocortex to hippocampus directly and via entorhinal cortex. Lesion studies of perirhinal and postrhinal cortices are somewhat contradictory regarding the contribution of these areas to spatial water maze performance [\(Aggleton et al.](#page-11-16), [2000](#page-11-16)). While intact performance has [been](#page-12-18) [reported](#page-12-18) [in](#page-12-18) [some](#page-12-18) [cases](#page-12-18) [\(Bussey et al.](#page-12-19)[,](#page-12-18) [1999](#page-12-19)[;](#page-12-18) Burwell et al., [2004](#page-12-18)), others find such lesions can markedly impair spatial learning and subsequent probe trial retention [\(Kaut and Bunsey,](#page-14-13) [2001](#page-14-13); [Liu and Bilkey](#page-14-14), [2001\)](#page-14-14). One factor that may account for these differences concerns the complexity of the maze environment. Lesions appear to spare performance in instances in which extramaze cues are discrete and simple, suggesting that under these conditions, information from polymodal associational regions may not enhance or prove beneficial for subjects to learn and remember a spatial location. However, in cases in which the water

maze environment is more complex, such higher order cortical regions may be more heavily recruited and more essential to successful learning of the platform location. As such, spatial learning performed within the framework of a complex spatial environment with more varied cues (e.g., an open room containing laboratory furniture and other objects) could slow acquisition of a spatial location.

PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE

In addition to identifying animals with sensory-motor deficits, training on the cue task can reduce stress associated with training [\(Mabry et al., 1996\)](#page-14-12) and ensure that animals learn the procedural skills needed to perform the task [\(Vorhees and Williams,](#page-16-16) [2006](#page-16-16)). Consequently, animals may perform better on the spatial version of the task when they are first trained on the cue task. When animals are initially trained on the spatial version of the task, performance differences may result from impaired acquisition of the procedural aspects of the task [\(Gerlai, 2001\)](#page-13-20). Indeed, when spatial training is examined prior to visual platform training [\(Rapp et al., 1987\)](#page-15-28), no age difference is observed for distance on subsequent visible platform training, suggesting that elderly individuals acquired the procedural skills needed to match young individuals while performing the spatial task. A correspondence between performance on the spatial task and cue discrimination task may indicate more global impairments and has been used as an indication of the extent of pathology in mouse models of neurodegenerative disease [\(Arendash and King](#page-11-17), [2002;](#page-11-17) [Leighty et al.,](#page-14-15) [2004](#page-14-15)).

Virtual environments do not normally induce a significant stress response in humans [\(Bullinger et al.](#page-12-20), [2005;](#page-12-20) [Driscoll et al.,](#page-12-15) [2005](#page-12-15)). However, previous experience with computers and practice in navigating virtual environments are important variables that must be controlled. Compared to younger subjects, older individuals usually have less experience with computers and joystick controls[, which can influence performance on virtual mazes \(](#page-15-22)Moffat et al., [2001;](#page-15-22) [Carelli et al., 2011](#page-12-21)). This differential experience likely contributes to a marked age-related impairment in performance for the first training trial [\(Moffat et al.](#page-15-22), [2001;](#page-15-22) [Driscoll et al.,](#page-12-15) [2005](#page-12-15)). These differences may diminish on subsequent trials as older individuals become familiar with the controls. Indeed, when spatial training is examined first, no age difference is observed for dist[ance on subsequent visible platform training \(](#page-15-24)Moffat and Resnick, [2002;](#page-15-24) [Driscoll et al., 2003\)](#page-12-13), suggesting that, during performance of the spatial task, elderly individuals acquired the procedural skills needed to match younger individuals. Importantly, when experience with computers and joystick controls is taken into consideration, age effects on spatial memory for a virtual envi[ronment](#page-12-13) [continue](#page-12-13) [to](#page-12-13) [be](#page-12-13) [apparent](#page-12-13) [\(Moffat et al., 2001](#page-15-22)[;](#page-12-13) Driscoll et al., [2003;](#page-12-13) [Jansen et al.](#page-13-13), [2010\)](#page-13-13).

SEX DIFFERENCES

Sexually dimorphic use of spatial strategies have been noted in rodents [\(Galea et al.](#page-13-21), [1996](#page-13-21); [Frick et al.](#page-13-22), [2000](#page-13-22); [Veng et al., 2003;](#page-16-24) [Kanit et al., 2005;](#page-14-16) [Benice et al., 2006](#page-11-18)) and humans [\(Astur et al.,](#page-11-19) [1998](#page-11-19); [Driscoll et al.](#page-12-15), [2005;](#page-12-15) [Rizk-Jackson et al.](#page-15-29), [2006](#page-15-29)). Sex differences may be magnified during aging due to differences in activation of the hippocampus, gene transcription, hormonal

influences, or susceptibility to oxidative stress and inflammation [\(McEwen et al.](#page-14-17), [1997;](#page-14-17) [Gron et al.](#page-13-23), [2000](#page-13-23); [Berchtold et al., 2008;](#page-11-20) [Talboom et al.](#page-16-25), [2008\)](#page-16-25). In particular, there is considerable interest in the role of sex steroids and their receptors in regulating hippocampal function and the etiology of age-related cognitive decline [\(Conrad and Bimonte-Nelson](#page-12-22), [2010;](#page-12-22) [Daniel and Bohacek](#page-12-23), [2010](#page-12-23); [Foster](#page-12-24), [2012b\)](#page-12-24).

MASSED AND DISTRIBUTED TRAINING

Like humans, aging rodents exhibit a progressive decline in cognitive function and wide-ranging heterogeneity in the extent of memory impairment. A role for the hippocampus in the rapid acquisition of episodic memories and slower associative learning has been suggested [\(Meeter et al., 2005](#page-14-18)) and these functions may exhibit differential aging [\(Foster](#page-12-25), [2012a](#page-12-25)). Training schedules can be designed to focus on these processes determining the sensitivity of the Morris escape task for detection of the emergence of acquisition and retention deficits in middle-age rodents [\(Foster, 2012a\)](#page-12-25). For example, the hippocampus is involved in the rapid acquisition, consolidation, and recall of spatial information [\(Lee and Kesner, 2004;](#page-14-19) [Nakazawa et al., 2004\)](#page-15-30), processes that are interdependent, but potentially dissociable. Repeated acquisition training is designed to specifically examine rapid flexible spatial learning. For this task, the goal position is changed across sessions such that the animal must rapidly learn novel escape locations. Repeated acquisition training is sensitive to the emergence of cognitive decline and, for most rat species, can detect deficits by 18 months of age [\(Foster](#page-12-25), [2012a](#page-12-25)).

In addition to impaired rapid acquisition of trial specific spatial information, delay-dependent effects associated with impaired retention are a consistent finding during aging [\(Foster](#page-12-17), [1999](#page-12-17)). The sensitivity of behavioral tasks for detecting memory defic[its can be enhanced by using a single training session \(](#page-14-20)Lal et al., [1973;](#page-14-20) [Vasquez et al., 1983\)](#page-16-26). The retention of information following massed training is not as strong as memory acquired following distributed training [\(Dash et al.](#page-12-26), [2002](#page-12-26); [Spreng et al.,](#page-16-27) [2002](#page-16-27); [Commins et al.](#page-12-27), [2003](#page-12-27)). Furthermore, the rapid acquisition of spatial memories is highly sensitive to hippocampal function [\(Martin and Clark](#page-14-21), [2007](#page-14-21)). When training is massed into a single session, aged animals may exhibit slower learning during training (**[Figure 1C](#page-2-0)**). It is important to distinguish between the use of a hippocampal-dependent allocentric or spatial strategy from an egocentric or cue-response strategy that depends on extrahippocampal regions. The acquisition of a spatial search strategy following a single training session can be confirmed via probe trial, by calculating the portion of time spent searching in the quadrant that originally held the escape platform (**[Figure 1D](#page-2-0)**). In contrast, animals may use a cue-response strategy, swimming at a specified distance from the pool wall in order to locate the platform. Once it is clear that an animal has acquired a spatial search strategy, a subsequent probe trial may be delivered at more distant time points in order to evaluate retention. Middle-age animals exhibit memory deficits examined 24 h after acquisition and the probability of memory impairment increases with advancing age [\(Aitken and Meaney, 1989](#page-11-21); [Foster et al., 1991;](#page-12-28) [Mabry et al.,](#page-14-12) [1996](#page-14-12); [Foster, 1999](#page-12-17); [Norris and Foster](#page-15-31), [1999;](#page-15-31) [Blalock et al.](#page-11-3), [2003;](#page-11-3) [Foster et al., 2003](#page-12-6); [Driscoll et al., 2006;](#page-12-7) [Foster and Kumar](#page-12-29), [2007](#page-12-29)).

When training is distributed across several days, an initial agerelated difference may be prominent for the first trial on each day, suggesting forgetting across days of training [\(Gage et al.,](#page-13-18) [1984](#page-13-18); [Rapp et al., 1987;](#page-15-28) [Aitken and Meaney, 1989](#page-11-21); [Foster](#page-12-25), [2012a](#page-12-25)). However, many older animals can acquire a spatial reference memory with continued training. In addition, several labs have characterized a subgroup of aged rats that continue to exhibit impaired acquisition of a spatial reference memory following multiple days of training (**[Figure 3](#page-5-0)**). For impaired animals, the deficits are reliable, particularly when training consists of only one to t[wo trials per day](#page-13-26) [\(Gallagher et al.](#page-13-24)[,](#page-13-26) [1993](#page-13-24)[;](#page-13-26) [Ivy et al., 1994;](#page-13-25) Frick et al., [1995](#page-13-26); [Yamazaki et al.](#page-16-28), [1995;](#page-16-28) [Mabry et al., 1996](#page-14-12); [Lindner](#page-14-2), [1997](#page-14-2)[;](#page-16-30) [Rowe et al.](#page-16-29)[,](#page-16-30) [1998](#page-16-29)[;](#page-16-30) [Colombo and Gallagher](#page-12-30)[,](#page-16-30) [2002](#page-12-30)[;](#page-16-30) Schulz et al., [2002;](#page-16-30) [Collier et al.](#page-12-31), [2004](#page-12-31); [Bizon et al.](#page-11-5), [2009](#page-11-5); [Bergado et al.,](#page-11-22) [2011](#page-11-22)). Interestingly, middle-age animals that exhibited impairments on the repeated acquisition version of the task, could still acquire a spatial reference memory with training distributed across several days [\(Miyagawa et al.](#page-15-32), [1998](#page-15-32); [Nyffeler et al., 2010\)](#page-15-33). The discrepancy between impaired rapid flexible spatial learning and intact trial-independent knowledge is consistent with differential aging of diverse memory systems [\(Salmaso, 1993;](#page-16-31) [Burke and Mackay](#page-12-32), [1997](#page-12-32); [Spaan et al.](#page-16-32), [2003\)](#page-16-32) or mechanisms [\(Foster, 2012a\)](#page-12-25), and suggests that impairment of memory for trial-independent information represents a later phase of decline associated with greater cognitive dysfunction. In this regard, it is important to point out that reliable deficits in the ability to incrementally acquire trial-independent spatial knowledge becomes prominent in a subset of animals as they reach ∼90%

FIGURE 3 | Differences in acquisition of a spatial reference memory during training distributed across several days. The graph illustrates the distance to find the hidden platform during training trials each day for middle-aged (gray circles), and young (open circles) animals. Older animals may exhibit an initial saw-tooth pattern of performance across days (dashed lines) suggesting increased forgetting. However, this group is able to acquire a spatial reference memory over the course of training and is, therefore, classified as aged unimpaired (filled circles). In contrast, a subgroup of aged animals may exhibit profound learning deficits such that they are unable to acquire a spatial reference memory with repeated training to the same location (filled triangles).

of their average life span [\(LaSarge and Nicolle, 2009\)](#page-14-22) and may be indicative of a progressive aspect of age-related cognitive decli[ne](#page-16-29) [\(Gallagher and Burwell, 1989;](#page-13-27) [Zyzak et al.](#page-17-2)[,](#page-16-29) [1995](#page-17-2)[;](#page-16-29) Rowe et al., [1998](#page-16-29); [Frick et al., 2000](#page-13-22); [Schulz et al., 2002;](#page-16-30) [Collier et al.,](#page-12-31) [2004](#page-12-31); [LaSarge et al.](#page-14-23), [2007;](#page-14-23) [Matzel et al., 2008](#page-14-24); [Bergado et al.,](#page-11-22) [2011](#page-11-22)).

Mouse models of aging have advantages for examining molecular mechanisms of learning, memory, and aging due to the ability to induce genetic modifications. Previous studies on agerelated changes in spatial memory on the water maze have generally employed training distributed across several days. However, it is becoming apparent that massed training schedules make use of distinct molecular memory mechanisms that are sensitive [to aging](#page-13-29) [\(Foster et al.](#page-13-28)[,](#page-13-29) [2001](#page-13-28)[;](#page-13-29) [Malleret et al.](#page-14-25)[,](#page-13-29) [2001;](#page-14-25) Genoux et al., [2002](#page-13-29)) and distributed training procedures can mask memory deficits in mice with mutations of genes linked to memory. Therefore, researchers have developed versions of the water maze for mice, that focus on rapid acquisition in order to characterize more subtle changes associated with aging, age-related disease, the function of hippocampal subregions, and molecular mechanisms of memory [\(Kogan et al., 1997;](#page-14-26) [Jones et al.,](#page-13-30) [2001](#page-13-30); [Genoux et al., 2002;](#page-13-29) [Magnusson et al., 2003](#page-14-27); [Vaillend et al.,](#page-16-33) [2004](#page-16-33); [Nakashiba et al., 2008](#page-15-34); [Gulinello et al., 2009](#page-13-31); [Malleret et al.,](#page-14-28) [2010](#page-14-28)).

For studies using virtual environments, including those that mimic the water maze, age-related deficits are apparent following a single training session. Moreover, a single training session is sensitive to the emergence of impaired cognition, such that a decrement in spatial learning and memory can be observed in middle-aged humans [\(Thomas et al., 1999;](#page-16-19) [Driscoll et al.](#page-12-15), [2005](#page-12-15); [Jansen et al., 2010](#page-13-13)). The use of single training sessions also has the advantage of limiting subject dropout that could occur when multiple days of testing are employed.

Similar to animal studies, for aged human subjects, the initial age-related difference in acquiring spatial information on a virtual maze is diminished with continued training [\(Jansen et al.,](#page-13-13) [2010](#page-13-13)). The initial learning and asymptotic performance phases may depend on the use of allocentric and egocentric strategies, respect[ively, and involve different brain systems \(](#page-13-10)Hartley and Burgess, [2005](#page-13-10); [Bohbot et al., 2007;](#page-12-33) [Etchamendy and Bohbot](#page-12-34), [2007](#page-12-34); [Etchamendy et al., 2011\)](#page-12-35). The results indicate that a single training session is more likely to engage hippocampal systems and is sensitive to the early detection of age-related deficits in the acquisition of spatial information in humans and animal models.

VERBAL INSTRUCTION AND REPORTS

The use of similar tasks that do not rely on language may permit examination of similar experimental factors across species. However, for humans some verbal instruction is typically provided, usually to elucidate the goal of the task. Such instruction could influence strategy selection and learning rates. On the other hand, post-test debriefing can also be employed to better understand the cognitive processes engaged during task performance. Interestingly, impaired verbal recall of environmental cues may diffe[rentiate normal aging from Alzheimer's disease \(](#page-12-36)Cushman et al., [2008;](#page-12-36) [Widmann et al.](#page-16-34), [2012\)](#page-16-34).

TRANSLATING FINDINGS ACROSS DIFFERENT LEVELS OF ANALYSIS: PHYSIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS

Rodents offer several benefits as models for investigation of the mechanisms and potential treatment of age-related cognitive decline. Similar to humans, information that requires hippocampal processing is particularly vulnerable to age. Importantly, rodents are not subject to neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, suggesting that cognitive decline is due to aging [of physiological processes rather than cell death \(](#page-15-35)Rapp and Amaral, [1992](#page-15-35); [Baxter and Gallagher, 1996;](#page-11-23) [Foster, 2006](#page-12-37)). Finally, as noted above, like humans, rodents exhibit wide-ranging heterogeneity in the extent of memory decline. This variability can be used to better define the progression of cognitive senescence and investigate age-related changes in biological mechanisms critical to rapid acquisition, consolidation, or recall of spatial information [\(Foster, 2012a\)](#page-12-25).

Considerable research has identified biological markers of agerelated spatial memory impairments in rodents [\(Foster, 1999,](#page-12-17) [2006](#page-12-37); [Rosenzweig and Barnes](#page-16-35)[,](#page-12-38) [2003](#page-16-35)[;](#page-12-38) [Wilson et al., 2006](#page-16-36)[;](#page-12-38) Burke and Barnes, [2011](#page-12-38); [Small et al.](#page-16-37), [2011\)](#page-16-37). For example, older animals exhibit reduced excitability of hippocampal neurons, a decrease in hippocampal synaptic transmission, and impaired NMDA receptor dependent synaptic plasticity processes that are thought to underlie memory [\(Foster](#page-12-39), [2007](#page-12-39)). In addition, the stability of spatial specific discharge activity of hippocampal cells declines during aging, possibly due to impaired NMDA receptor [dependent](#page-14-29) [synaptic](#page-14-29) [plasticity](#page-14-29) [\(Barnes et al.](#page-11-24)[,](#page-14-29) [1997](#page-11-24)[;](#page-14-29) Kentros et al., [1998](#page-14-29); [Guzowski et al.](#page-13-32), [1999](#page-13-32)). Due to the invasive nature of research to examine these mechanisms for memory function, studies that directly examine physiological changes that cause memory decline cannot be performed in humans. However, several studies have employed analogous spatial tasks across species and examined hippocampal activity in relation to spatial memory. For example, treatment with NMDA receptor antagonists disru[pts performance on the water maze in rodents \(](#page-11-25)Bannerman et al., [1995](#page-11-25)), spatial memory in monkeys [\(Wang et al., 2007](#page-16-12)), and virtual water maze performance in humans [\(Rowland et al.,](#page-16-38) [2005](#page-16-38)). The impairment mimics the decline in rapid acquisition and impaired intermediate term spatial memory observed during aging [\(Foster, 2012a\)](#page-12-25).

Studies that employ local injections of drugs to reversibly inactivate the hippocampus indicate that blockade of hippocampal activ[ity impairs the formation and recall of spatial memory \(](#page-12-40)Clark et al., [2005;](#page-12-40) [Broadbent et al.](#page-12-41), [2006,](#page-12-41) [2010](#page-12-42); [Loureiro et al., 2012\)](#page-14-30). In elderly humans, impaired retrieval of spatial contextual information is associated with decreased hippocampal activity during the enco[ding phase](#page-14-31) [\(Moffat et al., 2006](#page-15-36)[;](#page-14-31) [Antonova et al.](#page-11-11)[,](#page-14-31) [2009;](#page-11-11) Kukolja et al., [2009\)](#page-14-31). The shift in activity could represent an impaired ability to activate the hippocampus or differences in ongoing cognitive processes. Selection of an allocentric or egocentric (i.e., spatial vs. cued) response strategy is associated with increased activ[ity in the hippocampus and caudate, respectively \(](#page-11-13)Bohbot et al., [2004;](#page-11-13) [Etchamendy and Bohbot](#page-12-34), [2007;](#page-12-34) [Banner et al., 2011](#page-11-26)). In contrast to younger subjects, during the initial exposure to a novel environment, older humans and rodents tend to decrease use of a hippocampal-mediated allocentric response strategy in favor of egocentric (i.e., cued) strategies involving the caudate

nucleus [\(Barnes et al., 1980](#page-11-27); [Rodgers et al., 2010](#page-15-37); [Banner et al.,](#page-11-26) [2011](#page-11-26); [Kumar et al.](#page-14-32), [2012\)](#page-14-32).

Hippocampal activity is modulated by voluntary movements [\(Oddie and Bland](#page-15-38), [1998](#page-15-38)). In rodents, passive transport through an environment is more likely to engage caudate-dependent egocentric learning [\(Abraham et al., 1993\)](#page-11-28) and reduce the spatial selective responsive activity of hippocampal cells [\(Foster et al.,](#page-12-43) [1989](#page-12-43); [Abraham et al., 1993;](#page-11-28) [Ono and Nishijo, 1999\)](#page-15-39). Likewise, active exploration of the virtual environment is associated with increased hippocampal activity and better spatial learning in humans [\(Sauzeon et al.](#page-16-21), [2011\)](#page-16-21). The relationship between hippocampal activity and spatial memory indicates that the increase in hippocampal activity during voluntary exploration contributes to memory differences associated with active and passive learning [\(Voss et al., 2011\)](#page-16-39).

Exercise is a relatively non-invasive treatment which has been suggested to rejuvenate the hippocampus of rodents, by increasing cell excitability and restoring synaptic plasticity [\(Kumar et al.,](#page-14-32) [2012](#page-14-32)). Despite evidence that exercise revitalizes hippocampal physiology, the effects of exercise on spatial memory are debated [\(Colcombe and Kramer, 2003](#page-12-44)). In humans, a 6-month exercise intervention initiated in middle-age increased hippocampal activity [during encoding of a virtual environment \(](#page-13-33)Holzschneider et al., [2012](#page-13-33)). However, spatial learning was not different between exercise and non-exercise groups. The results suggest that more research will be needed in order to determine how exercise influences hippocampal aging.

CONTEXTUAL FEAR CONDITIONING

Conditioned fear employs classical conditioning to associate a *context* and a *cue* with a brief, distressful stimulus [the unconditioned stimulus (US)]. In animal studies, the context is established using an isolation chamber with static visual, olfactory, auditory and tactile elements. In human studies, the context can be established using carefully prepared rooms or a virtual reality apparatus. The cue is a transient stimulus: a tone, light, odor, or neutral image activated prior to the aversive stimulus. The cue is referred to as the conditioned stimulus (CS). In animal studies, the US is usually a brief foot shock or eye puff, while in human studies aversive imagery, electrical shock, or an eye puff have been employed. Fear can be assessed behaviorally [i.e., freezing behavior in rodents [\(Blanchard and Blanchard](#page-11-29), [1969\)](#page-11-29)] or physiologically [e.g., skin conductance response (SCR), heart rate, e.g., [\(Alvarez et al.](#page-11-30), [2008](#page-11-30))]. In humans, fear has also been assessed via direct interview or brief standardized psychological tools [State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, STAI-S, or Subjective Units of Distress scale, SUD, e.g., [\(Fredrikson et al.](#page-13-34), [1995](#page-13-34))]. **[Table 1](#page-7-0)** lists the basic elements of fear conditioning for rodent and human studies.

It is important to note that the stable elements of the environment contribute to the context, while a transient element (such as a brief tone preceding the US) serves as the cue. The temporal contiguity of the cue relative to the US is a crucial experimental factor. In *delay conditioning*, the US is delivered at the end of the CS. For example, a 30 s tone (CS) is played and the aversive electrical shock (US) is delivered *during* the last second of the CS (**[Figure 4](#page-8-0)**). *Trace conditioning* refers to the introduction of a brief temporal interval between the end of the CS and the onset of the US. In this case, an interval of 0.5–10 s separates the end of the CS and the onset of the US (**[Figure 4](#page-8-0)**).

THE NEUROANATOMY OF CONTEXTUAL FEAR CONDITIONING RODENT STUDIES

Traditionally, the hippocampus is selectively required for contextual fear conditioning, while the amygdala plays a role in both contextual and delayed cued fear conditioning [\(Selden et al.](#page-16-40), [1991;](#page-16-40) [Kim and Fanselow](#page-14-33), [1992;](#page-14-33) [Phillips and LeDoux, 1992\)](#page-15-40). When trace conditioning is employed, cued learning and memory is

aversive unconditioned stimulus. Delay conditioning is independent of the hippocampus, while trace conditioning requires hippocampal activity.

also dependent on the hippocampus due to the memory requirement of "[storing" the CS until the US occurs \(e.g.,](#page-14-34) McEchron and Disterhoft, [1999](#page-14-34)). Here we will emphasize contextual fear, given the focus of this section on the spatial memory component of the context.

The behavioral procedures required for contextual fear conditioning vary widely across laboratories, contributing to apparently contradictory results related to the role of the hippocampus. For example, Maren et al. demonstrated that lesions of the dorsal hippocampus 1 week before conditioning failed to interfere with eithe[r the acquisition or expression of contextual fear \(](#page-14-35)Maren et al., [1997\)](#page-14-35). In direct contradiction to the traditional valuation of conditioned fear, this group also reported that hippocampal damage 1 week prior to training resulted in deficits in the cued CS using the delayed conditioning paradigm. In this study, three tone-shock pairings (10 s, 2 kHz tone; 2 s, 1 mA shock) were employed. To assess CS-US fear expression, the CS was played during the entire 8 min test period to equate the durations of the context and cue retention tests.

As noted above in the previous sections on spatial learning, the sensitivity of behavioral tasks in detecting hippocampaldependent memory deficits is enhanced by using a single training event. When a single conditioning trial is employed (i.e., one shock in context), acquisition of contextual fear is indeed impaired by pre-trial dorsal hippocampal lesions [\(Wiltgen et al.,](#page-16-41) [2006](#page-16-41)). Taken together these studies demonstrate that the role of hippocampus in the acquisition of contextual fear depends critically on experimental details, specifically increasing the number of training sessions can overcome functional deficits of the hippocampus. There are also possible interactions between the context and CS, employed in the combined paradigm used in the [Maren et al.](#page-14-35) [\(1997](#page-14-35)) study. The [Wiltgen et al.](#page-16-41) [\(2006\)](#page-16-41) study used a pure contextual conditioning paradigm (no CS-US pairing). The essential point is that pre-training hippocampal damage does not necessarily impair fear conditioning. The adult mammalian

brain exhibits a remarkable capacity to adapt to functional deficits and brain injury. As such, in healthy adult rodents, other brain regions can compensate for hippocampal deficits depending on the experimental parameters.

The ventral hippocampus also plays a role in contextual fear conditioning. In rats, lesions of the ventral hippocampus generated contextual fear deficits equivalent to complete hippocampal lesions, even when a strong conditioning paradigm is employed (10 tone-shock pairings in context) [\(Richmond et al.,](#page-15-41) [1999](#page-15-41)). Consistent with previous studies using multiple shocks, dorsal hippocampal lesions failed to interfere with contextual fear conditioning. Using the delayed conditioning paradigm, amygdala-dependent cued responses were not affected by these hippocampal manipulations.

One reason the ventral hippocampus is thought to be important for contextual fear conditioning, is due to extensive synaptic connections with the amygdala [\(Maren and Fanselow](#page-14-36), [1995](#page-14-36)). Indeed, the amygdala is critical for the acquisition of both contextual and cued fear conditioning. However, the contribution of the amygdala is region specific. The amygdala consists of several nuclei including the lateral (LA), basolateral (BL), accessory or basomedial (AB or BM), central (CE), medial (ME), and cortical. The roles of these nuclei in fear conditioning have been recently reviewed in detail [\(Pape and Pare](#page-15-42), [2010;](#page-15-42) [Johansen et al.](#page-13-35), [2011](#page-13-35)). Lesions of the basolateral amygdaloid complex (BLA) (which includes the LA, BL, and AB nuclei) severely impair both contextual and cued fear conditioning; however, as is the case with the hippocampus, strong overtraining can restore acquisition of fear, e.g., [\(Zimmerman et al.](#page-17-3), [2007\)](#page-17-3). The same study demonstrated the lesions of the CE of the amygdala result in severe deficits in conditioned fear that appear resistant to overtraining. Furthermore, a functional CE was necessary for successful overtraining in the BLA lesioned animals [\(Zimmerman et al.](#page-17-3), [2007](#page-17-3)). In conclusion, both the lateral and central nuclei of the amygdala are important for fear conditioning.

HUMAN STUDIES

Functional imaging studies of brain activity during the acquisition and expression of contextual fear reveal a similar dependence on functional hippocampal and amygdala regions. Virtual reality is a powerful tool for generating reproducible and novel contexts and can be combined with functional imaging of the brain. Using passive observation of two virtual reality environments (an airport and a house), foot shocks were delivered during observation of one context (CTX+) and not the other (CTX−), Alvarez et al. used fMRI to demonstrate functional connectivity between hippocampus and amygdala during the acquisition of the association between the CTX+ and the shock [\(Alvarez et al., 2008](#page-11-30)). Unfortunately, modern brain imaging techniques lack the resolution necessary to address which nuclei and sub-nuclei of the amygdala are relevant.

A major limitation in human studies has been the lack of retention of contextual fear. Consistent with other tests of spatial memory (see previous sections), a new generation of studies using full immersion, three-dimensional virtual reality environments appears to improve retention of contextual fear in humans [\(Huff et al., 2010](#page-13-36), [2011\)](#page-13-37).

IMPACT OF AGING ON CONTEXTUAL FEAR CONDITIONING RODENT MODELS

A recent review concluded that contextual fear conditioning is not as sensitive to aging as other hippocampal-dependent tasks [\(Kennard and Woodruff-Pak](#page-14-37), [2011](#page-14-37)). A number of studies have reported no age-related deficit in the ability of aged rats to acquire and remember contextual fear when examined immediate[ly](#page-12-45) [\(Kudo et al.](#page-14-38)[,](#page-12-45) [2005\)](#page-14-38)[, 24 h](#page-12-45) [\(Houston et al., 1999;](#page-13-38) Doyere et al., [2000;](#page-12-45) [Bergado et al.](#page-11-22), [2011\)](#page-11-22), 72 h [\(Barrientos et al.](#page-11-31), [2006](#page-11-31)), or 10–20 days [\(Oler and Markus, 1998;](#page-15-43) [Houston et al., 1999](#page-13-38)) after the initial training. Similarly, little or no age difference is obse[rved](#page-13-39) [for](#page-13-39) [acquisition](#page-13-39) [of](#page-13-39) [contextual](#page-13-39) [fear](#page-13-39) [in](#page-13-39) [mice](#page-13-39) [\(](#page-13-39)Gould and Feiro[,](#page-16-42) [2005](#page-13-39)[;](#page-16-42) [Kaczorowski and Disterhoft, 2009](#page-14-39)[;](#page-16-42) Woodruff-Pak et al., [2011](#page-16-42)). In cases where age-impaired retention has been reported, training usually involves low intensity shock and few training sessions. For example, age differences were observed 2 h after a single 2 s, 0.75 mA shock in rats [\(Kudo et al., 2005;](#page-14-38) [Wati et al.](#page-16-43)[,](#page-13-40) [2006](#page-16-43)[\) and 24 h after a single 2 s, 0.2 mA \(](#page-13-40)Fukushima et al., [2008\)](#page-13-40) or 1 mA [\(Peleg et al., 2010](#page-15-44)) shock in mice. In contrast, when a single 2 s shock of higher intensity (1.5 mA) was employed, no age difference was observed up to 72 h post training [\(Barrientos et al.](#page-11-31), [2006](#page-11-31)). One group consistently finds age-related deficits 48 h after conditioning using two consecutive training blocks (2 s, 0.5 mA shock/episode) [\(Gemma et al.](#page-13-41), [2004](#page-13-41), [2005;](#page-13-42) [Mesches et al.](#page-14-40), [2004\)](#page-14-40); however, age-related differences were not observed 10 days after four training episodes of similar intensity (2 s, 0.4 mA shock/episode) [\(Oler and Markus](#page-15-43), [1998](#page-15-43)) and similar retention is observed up to 20 days post training following multiple training episodes [\(Houston et al., 1999](#page-13-38)). In contrast, using a strong 10 trial paradigm (1 s, 1 mA shock/episode), Moyer and Brown found impaired trace conditioning and contextual fear in aged Sprague-Dawley rats [\(Moyer and Brown, 2006\)](#page-15-45). The results suggest that the level of arousal induced by the shock intensity or the number of shocks is an important component in determining age differences in retention of fear memories [\(McGaugh, 2006](#page-14-41)). It is unclear if the deficits in contextual fear conditioning are due to senescence of the hippocampus or an age-related decrease in activity in brain regions that process arousal and modulate hippocampal function (i.e., the amygdala). Strain differences in sensory decline and age-related neuron loss in relevant brain regions could also play a role in the diversity of results using contextual fear conditioning. Careful attention to these parameters could resolve the apparently contradictory findings.

HUMAN STUDIES

Contextual fear has not been studied in aged humans. However, when older and younger adults are presented with pictures of fearful faces, both groups activated the same areas, the amygdala bilaterally and the right hippocampus, during successful encoding. Further, activity in the right amygdala and hippocampus was increased in the younger group suggesting that the interaction [of](#page-12-46) [these](#page-12-46) [two](#page-12-46) [regions](#page-12-46) [may](#page-12-46) [influence](#page-12-46) [fear](#page-12-46) [responses](#page-12-46) [\(](#page-12-46)Fischer et al., [2010\)](#page-12-46). In fact, several studies have confirmed an age-related decrease in amygdala activation during the processing of emotional or arou[sing stimuli](#page-14-42) [\(Gunning-Dixon et al.](#page-13-43)[,](#page-14-42) [2003](#page-13-43)[;](#page-14-42) Kaszniak and Menchola, [2012](#page-14-42); [Moriguchi et al.](#page-15-46), [2011](#page-15-46)). Because the amygdala is crucial for the acquisition of both cued and contextual fear

conditioning, reduced amygdala activation in the aged population might confound detection of hippocampal-dependent deficits in contextual fear.

EXAMPLE RODENT PROTOCOL

Contextual fear conditioning usually consists of a context and cue as conditioned stimuli to be associated with a strong foot shock as the US. The experiments are conducted within an isolation chamber to control and stabilize environmental variables, including visual, tactile, olfactory and auditory stimuli of the experimental apparatus. Within the isolation chamber, the conditioning chamber consists of modifiable walls and floor to permit changing of contextual elements. For conditioning, the floor consists of a set of parallel rods connected to a foot shock controller for the delivery of shocks of controlled intensity and duration. To change the context, the floor can be replaced with a square grid or a flat surface. The modifiable walls usually include lighting elements as well as a speaker for generating tones to establish both context and cue elements. To change contexts, these elements can be removed, repositioned, or replaced. An additional parameter to establish context is an olfactory stimulus. This is usually provided by placing an odorant (e.g., vanilla or ethanol) in a catch pan under the grid floor.

Fear can be assessed in numerous ways, but all are based on the manifestation of fear as freezing behavior. When a mouse or rat is fearful, they remain motionless for several seconds. The two most common methods for detecting fear include video analysis of behavior (e.g., Coulbourn Freeze Frame) or monitoring movement using infrared lights and detectors or a motion-sensitive floor element (e.g., Med Associates). It is also possible to use telemetry to monitor physiological properties such as skin conductance, heart rate and/or blood pressure.

A typical experiment consists of a 3 day protocol, with two contexts A and B. Context A consists of the foot shock floor, a vanilla odorant, a constant 75 dB 2 kHz hiss (auditory context), chamber light on, and a black wall of the conditioning chamber. Context B consists of a square grid floor, ethanol as an odorant, no hiss, chamber light off, and a white wall of the conditioning chamber.

Day 1: Fear acquisition

Each animal is transported via its home cage to the experimental room 1 h before experimentation. The animal is placed in the conditioning chamber in context A and behavior is monitored. After 60 s, a pure tone 2.9 kHz is played for 30 s. During the last second of the tone, a 1 s, 1 mA foot shock is delivered. This 90 s training paradigm is repeated for a total of three shocks. One minute after the final shock, the animal is returned to its home cage and housing location.

Day 2: Context

To test if the animal acquired fear of the context, the animal is transported via its home cage to the experimental room 1 h before experimentation, exactly as on Day 1. The animal is placed in the conditioning chamber in context A and behavior is monitored for 3 min. No foot shock or cue is delivered. The animal is returned to its home cage and housing location.

Day 3: Cue

Context B is assembled prior to transporting the animal. To test the acquisition of the association of the auditory cue with the foot shock, the animal is transferred to an alternate transport cage (e.g., an opaque box) and acclimated to the experimental room for 1 h prior to experiment. If possible this should be a different location in the room from context A. The lighting of the room can be varied to reinforce the change in context. The animal is placed in Context B for 3 min. After 1 min, the cue (2.9 kHz pure tone) is played. No foot shock is delivered. At the end of acquisition the animal is returned to its home cage and housing location.

ANALYSIS

Analysis of freezing behavior is usually expressed as the percentage of time spent freezing. Freezing is quantified by setting a minimum duration the animal is still, e.g., 1 s binning, plus a threshold for freezing defined by each animal's behavior. One major advantage of conditioned fear is that freezing behavior is usually very clearly distinguished from normal behavior. Commercial and custom software packages are widely available for standardizing this analysis. Acquisition of contextual fear is assessed by comparing the amount of time spent freezing in context A on day 2 with baseline freezing prior to conditioning on day 1. Cued fear is analyzed by comparing the amount of time spent freezing during the baseline period of day 3 with the time spent freezing during the tone (both in context B).

INTERPRETATION

Traditionally, context and cue are simply associated with hippocampal and amygdala function. For example, a failure to acquire context despite acquisition of the cue (day 2 vs. day 3) could indicate hippocampal dysfunction. More recently, this clear distinction has been questioned and experimental details can give rise to acquisition of context and/or cue in the absence of hippocampal or amygdala function (reviewed in [Maren](#page-14-43), [2008](#page-14-43)). For example, stronger foot shocks or additional acquisition trials can override deficits, presumably by recruiting additional brain regions. The possibility that additional brain regions may contribute or even compensate for age-related impairments in hippocampal and amygdala function reduces the utility of this task for examination of systems level changes due to aging. Optimization of experimental design by using the minimum necessary shock intensity and number, as well as careful attention to age-related sensory deficits, has the potential to overcome these limitations.

GAPS IN LINKING HUMAN AND ANIMAL LABORATORY MODELS: FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Treatments to alleviate age-related deficits in memory function should be thoroughly tested in animal models. In this regard, interventions should be tested in animal models that reproduce the severity or temporal progression observed for humans. For example, if a treatment is designed to ameliorate cognitive decline, the animal model should demonstrate impairments prior to treatment. If a treatment is designed to prevent cognitive decline, the treatment should be delivered to the animal model

prior to the onset of cognitive decline and followed for a period in which impairments normally develop.

In order to prevent/treat age-related cognitive decline, it will be important to develop tasks for the early detection of impaired spatial memory in humans and animal models. In addition, it will be important to track changes in cognitive function over the course of aging and in response to treatments. Work in rodents indicates significant carry-over effects when animals are tested on the water maze at younger and again at older ages [\(Vallee et al.,](#page-16-44) [1999](#page-16-44); [van Groen et al., 2002](#page-16-45); [Vicens et al., 2002](#page-16-46); [Hansalik et al.,](#page-13-44) [2006](#page-13-44)). Despite carry-over effects, reliable differences in performance can be observed, beginning in middle-age when animals are trained on a repeated acquisition version of the water maze. The specificity and reliability of repeated acquisition training indicates that this version of the task may be employed for examining the effects of treatments on the ability to rapidly acquire spatial information. However, the reliability as well as carry-over effects associated with repeated testing in humans remains to be determined.

In addition, it will be important to develop measures and procedures that can differentiate normal aging from age-related diseases (e.g., Alzheimer's disease). Virtual reality procedures have been employed in an attempt to differentiate normal aging from Alzheimer's disease (AD). Subjects with AD exhibited enhanced impairment of recognition memory [\(Zakzanis et al.](#page-17-4), [2009\)](#page-17-4) and verbal recall of virtual reality environments [\(Cushman et al.](#page-12-36), [2008;](#page-12-36) [Widmann et al.](#page-16-34), [2012\)](#page-16-34). Including a delay can increase the age sensitivity for a number of behavioral tests [\(Foster, 1999](#page-12-17)). Middleaged humans exhibit a decrement in performance for delayed recall of episodic memory [\(Albert, 1997](#page-11-32)). Delayed recognition span tests have also been employed to show that middle-aged monkeys exhibit impairments specifically for retention of spatial infor[mation](#page-14-44) [\(Herndon et al.](#page-13-45)[,](#page-14-44) [1997](#page-13-45)[;](#page-14-44) [Moss et al.](#page-15-47)[,](#page-14-44) [1997](#page-15-47)[;](#page-14-44) Lacreuse et al., [2002\)](#page-14-44). In rats, no age-related deficit is observed for fear conditioning to a tone when the CS and US overlap in time; however, introduction of a delay interval between the CS and US (i.e., trace conditioning; **[Figure 4](#page-8-0)**) revealed age-related decrements in the acquisition of freezing behavior [\(Moyer and Brown](#page-15-45), [2006](#page-15-45)). Delay-dependent memory deficits have been well-characterized on the water maze. An age-related impairment in spatial memory is observed 24 h after a single training session [\(Foster et al.,](#page-12-28) [1991](#page-12-28), [2001](#page-13-28), [2003](#page-12-6)[;](#page-12-29) [Foster](#page-12-17)[,](#page-12-29) [1999](#page-12-17)[;](#page-12-29) [Norris and Foster](#page-15-31)[,](#page-12-29) [1999](#page-15-31)[;](#page-12-29) Foster and Kumar, [2007](#page-12-29)) or on the first trial each day during training acr[oss days](#page-11-21) [\(Gage et al.](#page-13-18)[,](#page-11-21) [1984;](#page-13-18) [Rapp et al., 1987](#page-15-28)[;](#page-11-21) Aitken and Meaney, [1989](#page-11-21); [Foster, 2012a](#page-12-25)) (**[Figure 3](#page-5-0)**). Another version of the water maze, delayed matching-to-sample, involves changing the platform location each day and performance is examined over two trials. Normally, animals exhibit a decrease in the latency or distance to find the platform on the second trial. This decrease or savings is lost with advancing age as the inter-trial interval is increased. Thus, young rats exhibit retention for up to 6h; middle-aged animals begin to exhibit retention deficits as the delay is increased to 2 h and aged animals exhibit deficits for delays greater than ∼1h[\(Means and Kennard, 1991;](#page-14-45) [Bizon et al.,](#page-11-5) [2009](#page-11-5)). While two trial versions of the spatial maze have not been examined during normal aging in humans, on a human analogs of the water maze, memory declines with increasing memory load and delay interval in younger humans [\(Fitting et al.,](#page-12-14) [2007](#page-12-14)).

In conclusion, hippocampal-dependent spatial learning and memory are particularly vulnerable to age-related decline in humans and animals models of aging. Virtual environment technology permits the use of procedures employed in animal models in order to translate findings across different levels of analysis. Furthermore, the tasks can be designed to focus on different aspects of learning and memory in order to increase the sensitivity of the tasks to individual differences in the emergence of cognitive decline and point to mechanisms for declining memory function. It is important to control for age-differences in sensory-motor function, previous experience, and the level of arousal evoked by the task. Continued development will be required to insure that behavioral tasks can track changes in cognitive function over the course of aging and in response to treatments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support by National Institutes of Health Grants AG014979, AG037984, AG036800, and the Evelyn F. McKnight Brain Research Grant.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, L., Potegal, M., and Miller, S. (1993). Evidence for caudate nucleus involvement in an egocentric spatial task: return from passive transport. *Physiol. Psychol.* 11, 11–17.
- Adams, M. M., Shi, L., Linville, M. C., Forbes, M. E., Long, A. B., Bennett, C., Newton, I. G., Carter, C. S., Sonntag, W. E., Riddle, D. R., and Brunso-Bechtold, J. K. (2008). Caloric restriction and age affect synaptic proteins in hippocampal CA3 and spatial learning ability. *Exp. Neurol.* 211, 141–149.
- Aggleton, J. P., Vann, S. D., Oswald, C. J., and Good, M. (2000). Identifying cortical inputs to the rat hippocampus that subserve allocentric spatial processes: a simple problem with a complex answer. *Hippocampus* 10, 466–474.
- Aitken, D. H., and Meaney, M. J. (1989). Temporally graded, age-related impairments in spatial memory in the rat. *Neurobiol. Aging* 10, 273–276.
- Albert, M. S. (1997). The ageing brain: normal and abnormal memory. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 352, 1703–1709.
- Albert, M. S. (2002). Memory decline: the boundary between aging and age-related disease. *Ann. Neurol.* 51, 282–284.
- Albert, M., Duffy, F. H., and Naeser, M. (1987). Nonlinear changes in cognition with age and their neuropsychologic correlates. *Can. J. Psychol.* 41, 141–157.
- Alvarez, R. P., Biggs, A., Chen, G., Pine, D. S., and Grillon, C. (2008). Contextual fear conditioning in humans: cortical-hippocampal and amygdala contributions. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 6211–6219.
- Antonova, E., Parslow, D., Brammer, M., Dawson, G. R., Jackson, S. H., and Morris, R. G. (2009). Age-related neural activity during allocentric spatial memory. *Memory* 17, 125–143.
- Arendash, G. W., and King, D. L. (2002). Intra- and intertask relationships in a behavioral test battery given to Tg2576 transgenic mice and controls. *Physiol. Behav.* 75, 643–652.
- Astur, R. S., Ortiz, M. L., and Sutherland, R. J. (1998). A characterization of performance by men and women in a virtual Morris water task: a large and reliable sex difference. *Behav. Brain Res.* 93, 185–190.
- Astur, R. S., Taylor, L. B., Mamelak, A. N., Philpott, L., and Sutherland, R. J. (2002). Humans with hippocampus damage display severe spatial memory impairments in a virtual Morris water task. *Behav. Brain Res.* 132, 77–84.
- Bachevalier, J., Landis, L. S., Walker, L. C., Brickson, M., Mishkin, M., Price, D. L., and Cork, L. C. (1991). Aged monkeys exhibit behavioral deficits indicative of widespread cerebral dysfunction. *Neurobiol. Aging* 12, 99–111.
- Banner, H., Bhat, V., Etchamendy, N., Joober, R., and Bohbot, V. D. (2011). The brain-derived neurotrophic factor Val66Met polymorphism is associated with reduced functional magnetic resonance imaging activity in the hippocampus and increased use of caudate nucleusdependent strategies in a human virtual navigation task. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 33, 968–977.
- Bannerman, D. M., Good, M. A., Butcher, S. P., Ramsay, M., and Morris, R. G. (1995). Distinct components of spatial learning revealed by prior training and NMDA receptor blockade. *Nature* 378, 182–186.
- Banta Lavenex, P., and Lavenex, P. (2009). Spatial memory and the monkey hippocampus: not all space is created equal. *Hippocampus* 19, 8–19.
- Barkas, L. J., Henderson, J. L., Hamilton, D. A., Redhead, E. S., and Gray, W. P. (2010). Selective temporal resections and spatial

memory impairment: cue dependent lateralization effects. *Behav. Brain Res.* 208, 535–544.

- Barnes, C. A. (1979). Memory deficits associated with senescence: a neurophysiological and behavioral study in the rat. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* 93, 74–104.
- Barnes, C. A., Nadel, L., and Honig, W. K. (1980). Spatial memory deficit in senescent rats. *Can. J. Psychol.* 34, 29–39.
- Barnes, C. A., Suster, M. S., Shen, J., and McNaughton, B. L. (1997). Multistability of cognitive maps in the hippocampus of old rats. *Nature* 388, 272–275.
- Barrientos, R. M., Higgins, E. A., Biedenkapp, J. C., Sprunger, D. B., Wright-Hardesty, K. J., Watkins, L. R., Rudy, J. W., and Maier, S. F. (2006). Peripheral infection and aging interact to impair hippocampal memory consolidation. *Neurobiol. Aging* 27, 723–732.
- Bartsch, T., Schonfeld, R., Muller, F. J., Alfke, K., Leplow, B., Aldenhoff, J., Deuschl, G., and Koch, J. M. (2010). Focal lesions of human hippocampal CA1 neurons in transient global amnesia impair place memory. *Science* 328, 1412–1415.
- Baxter, M. G., and Gallagher, M. (1996). Neurobiological substrates of behavioral decline: models and data analytic strategies for individual differences in aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* 17, 491–495.
- Beatty, W. W., Bierley, R. A., and Boyd, J. G. (1985). Preservation of accurate spatial memory in aged rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 6, 219–225.
- Benice, T. S., Rizk, A., Kohama, S., Pfankuch, T., and Raber, J. (2006). Sex-differences in age-related cognitive decline in C57BL/6J mice associated with increased brain microtubule-associated protein 2 and synaptophysin immunoreactivity. *Neuroscience* 137, 413–423.
- Berchtold, N. C., Cribbs, D. H., Coleman, P. D., Rogers, J., Head,

E., Kim, R., Beach, T., Miller, C., Troncoso, J., Trojanowski, J. Q., Zielke, H. R., and Cotman, C. W. (2008). Gene expression changes in the course of normal brain aging are sexually dimorphic. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 15605–15610.

- Bergado, J. A., Almaguer, W., Rojas, Y., Capdevila, V., and Frey, J. U. (2011). Spatial and emotional memory in aged rats: a behavioralstatistical analysis. *Neuroscience* 172, 256–269.
- Bimonte, H. A., Nelson, M. E., and Granholm, A. C. (2003). Agerelated deficits as working memory load increases: relationships with growth factors. *Neurobiol. Aging* 24, 37–48.
- Bizon, J. L., Foster, T. C., Alexander, G. E., and Glisky, E. L. (2012). Characterizing Cognitive Aging of Working Memory and Executive Function in Animal Models. *Front. Ag. Neurosci.* 4:19. doi: 10.3389/ fnagi.2012.00019
- Bizon, J. L., LaSarge, C. L., Montgomery, K. S., McDermott, A. N., Setlow, B., and Griffith, W. H. (2009). Spatial reference and working memory across the lifespan of male Fischer 344 rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 30, 646–655.
- Blalock, E. M., Chen, K. C., Sharrow, K., Herman, J. P., Porter, N. M., Foster, T. C., and Landfield, P. W. (2003). Gene microarrays in hippocampal aging: statistical profiling identifies novel processes correlated with cognitive impairment. *J. Neurosci.* 23, 3807–3819.
- Blanchard, R. J., and Blanchard, D. C. (1969). Crouching as an index of fear. *J. Comp. Physiol. Psychol.* 67, 370–375.
- Bohbot, V. D., Iaria, G., and Petrides, M. (2004). Hippocampal function and spatial memory: evidence from functional neuroimaging in healthy participants and performance of patients with medial temporal lobe resections. *Neuropsychology* 18, 418–425.
- Bohbot, V. D., Kalina, M., Stepankova, K., Spackova, N., Petrides, M., and Nadel, L. (1998). Spatial memory deficits in patients with lesions to the right hippocampus and to the right parahippocampal cortex. *Neuropsychologia* 36, 1217–1238.
- Bohbot, V. D., Lerch, J., Thorndycraft, B., Iaria, G., and Zijdenbos, A. P. (2007). Gray matter differences correlate with spontaneous strategies in a human virtual navigation task. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 10078–10083.
- Brandeis, R., Brandys, Y., and Yehuda, S. (1989). The use of the Morris water maze in the study of memory and learning. *Int. J. Neurosci.* 48, 29–69.
- Broadbent, N. J., Squire, L. R., and Clark, R. E. (2006). Reversible hippocampal lesions disrupt water maze performance during both recent and remote memory tests. *Learn. Mem.* 13, 187–191.
- Broadbent, N. J., Squire, L. R., and Clark, R. E. (2010). Sustained dorsal hippocampal activity is not obligatory for either the maintenance or retrieval of long-term spatial memory. *Hippocampus* 20, 1366–1375.
- Bullinger, A. H., Hemmeter, U. M., Stefani, O., Angehrn, I., Mueller-Spahn, F., Bekiaris, E., Wiederhold, B. K., Sulzenbacher, H., and Mager, R. (2005). Stimulation of cortisol during mental task performance in a provocative virtual environment. *Appl. Psychophysiol. Biofeedback* 30, 205–216.
- Burke, D. M., and Mackay, D. G. (1997). Memory, language, and ageing. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 352, 1845–1856.
- Burke, S. N., and Barnes, C. A. (2011). Senescent synapses and hippocampal circuit dynamics. *Trends Neurosci.* 33, 153–161.
- Burwell, R. D., Saddoris, M. P., Bucci, D. J., and Wiig, K. A. (2004). Corticohippocampal contributions to spatial and contextual learning. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 3826–3836.
- Bussey, T. J., Muir, J. L., and Aggleton, J. P. (1999). Functionally dissociating aspects of event memory: the effects of combined perirhinal and postrhinal cortex lesions on object and place memory in the rat. *J. Neurosci.* 19, 495–502.
- Carelli, L., Rusconi, M. L., Scarabelli, C., Stampatori, C., Mattioli, F., and Riva, G. (2011). The transfer from survey (map-like) to route representations into Virtual Reality Mazes: effect of age and cerebral lesion. *J. Neuroeng. Rehabil.* 8, 6.
- Cassel, J. C., Lazaris, A., Birthelmer, A., and Jackisch, R. (2007). Spatial reference- (not working- or

procedural-) memory performance of aged rats in the water maze predicts the magnitude of sulpirideinduced facilitation of acetylcholine release by striatal slices. *Neurobiol. Aging* 28, 1270–1285.

- Cherry, K. E., and Park, D. C. (1993). Individual difference and contextual variables influence spatial memory in younger and older adults. *Psychol. Aging* 8, 517–526.
- Churchill, J. D., Stanis, J. J., Press, C., Kushelev, M., and Greenough, W. T. (2003). Is procedural memory relatively spared from age effects? *Neurobiol. Aging* 24, 883–892.
- Clark, R. E., Broadbent, N. J., and Squire, L. R. (2005). Impaired remote spatial memory after hippocampal lesions despite extensive training beginning early in life. *Hippocampus* 15, 340–346.
- Colcombe, S., and Kramer, A. F. (2003). Fitness effects on the cognitive function of older adults: a meta-analytic study. *Psychol. Sci.* 14, 125–130.
- Collier, T. J., Greene, J. G., Felten, D. L., Stevens, S. Y., and Collier, K. S. (2004). Reduced cortical noradrenergic neurotransmission is associated with increased neophobia and impaired spatial memory in aged rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 25, 209–221.
- Colombo, P. J., and Gallagher, M. (2002). Individual differences in spatial memory among aged rats are related to hippocampal PKCgamma immunoreactivity. *Hippocampus* 12, 285–289.
- Commins, S., Cunningham, L., Harvey, D., and Walsh, D. (2003). Massed but not spaced training impairs spatial memory. *Behav. Brain Res.* 139, 215–223.
- Conrad, C. D., and Bimonte-Nelson, H. A. (2010). Impact of the hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal/gonadal axes on trajectory of age-related cognitive decline. *Prog. Brain Res.* 182, 31–76.
- Cushman, L. A., Stein, K., and Duffy, C. J. (2008). Detecting navigational deficits in cognitive aging and Alzheimer disease using virtual reality. *Neurology* 71, 888–895.
- Daniel, J. M., and Bohacek, J. (2010). The critical period hypothesis of estrogen effects on cognition: insights from basic research. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1800, 1068–1076.
- Dash, P. K., Mach, S. A., Blum, S., and Moore, A. N. (2002). Intrahippocampal wortmannin infusion enhances long-term spatial and contextual memories. *Learn. Mem.* 9, 167–177.
- Davis, S., Markowska, A. L., Wenk, G. L., and Barnes, C. A. (1993).

Acetyl-L-carnitine: behavioral, electrophysiological, and neurochemical effects. *Neurobiol. Aging* 14, 107–115.

- Denney, N. W., Dew, J. R., and Kihlstrom, J. F. (1992). An adult developmental study of the encoding of spatial location. *Exp. Aging Res.* 18, 25–32.
- Devan, B. D., Goad, E. H., and Petri, H. L. (1996). Dissociation of hippocampal and striatal contributions to spatial navigation in the water maze. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 66, 305–323.
- de Jager, C. A., Milwain, E., and Budge, M. (2002). Early detection of isolated memory deficits in the elderly: the need for more sensitive neuropsychological tests. *Psychol. Med.* 32, 483–491.
- Doyere, V., Gisquet-Verrier, P., de Marsanich, B., and Ammassari-Teule, M. (2000). Age-related modifications of contextual information processing in rats: role of emotional reactivity, arousal and testing procedure. *Behav. Brain Res.* 114, 153–165.
- Driscoll, I., Hamilton, D. A., Petropoulos, H., Yeo, R. A., Brooks, W. M., Baumgartner, R. N., and Sutherland, R. J. (2003). The aging hippocampus: cognitive, biochemical and structural findings. *Cereb. Cortex* 13, 1344–1351.
- Driscoll, I., Hamilton, D. A., Yeo, R. A., Brooks, W. M., and Sutherland, R. J. (2005). Virtual navigation in humans: the impact of age, sex, and hormones on place learning. *Horm. Behav.* 47, 326–335.
- Driscoll, I., Howard, S. R., Stone, J. C., Monfils, M. H., Tomanek, B., Brooks, W. M., and Sutherland, R. J. (2006). The aging hippocampus: a multi-level analysis in the rat. *Neuroscience* 139, 1173–1185.
- Ekstrom, A. D., Kahana, M. J., Caplan, J. B., Fields, T. A., Isham, E. A., Newman, E. L., and Fried, I. (2003). Cellular networks underlying human spatial navigation. *Nature* 425, 184–188.
- Etchamendy, N., and Bohbot, V. D. (2007). Spontaneous navigational strategies and performance in the virtual town. *Hippocampus* 17, 595–599.
- Etchamendy, N., Konishi, K., Pike, G. B., Marighetto, A., and Bohbot, V. D. (2011). Evidence for a virtual human analog of a rodent relational memory task: a study of aging and fMRI in young adults. *Hippocampus* 22, 869–880.
- Evans, G. W., Brennan, P. L., Skorpanich, M. A., and Held, D. (1984). Cognitive mapping and

elderly adults: verbal and location memory for urban landmarks. *J. Gerontol.* 39, 452–457.

- Feigenbaum, J. D., and Morris, R. G. (2004). Allocentric versus egocentric spatial memory after unilateral temporal lobectomy in humans. *Neuropsychology* 18, 462–472.
- Fischer, H., Nyberg, L., and Backman, L. (2010). Age-related differences in brain regions supporting successful encoding of emotional faces. *Cortex* 46, 490–497.
- Fitting, S., Allen, G. L., and Wedell, D. H. (2007). "Remembering places in space: a human analog study of the Morris water maze," in *Proceedings of the 2006 International Conference on Spatial Cognition V: Reasoning, Action, Interaction* (Bremen, Germany: Springer-Verlag).
- Foster, T. C. (1999). Involvement of hippocampal synaptic plasticity in age-related memory decline. *Brain Res. Rev.* 30, 236–249.
- Foster, T. C. (2006). Biological markers of age-related memory deficits: treatment of senescent physiology. *CNS Drugs* 20, 153–166.
- Foster, T. C. (2007). Calcium homeostasis and modulation of synaptic plasticity in the aged brain. *Aging Cell* 6, 319–325.
- Foster, T. C. (2012a). Dissecting agerelated cognitive decline in rodent models: *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptors and voltage-dependent $Ca²⁺$ channels in senescent synaptic plasticity. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 96, 283–303.
- Foster, T. C. (2012b). Role of estrogen receptor alpha and beta expression and signaling on cognitive function during aging. *Hippocampus* 22, 656–669.
- Foster, T. C., and Kumar, A. (2007). Susceptibility to induction of long-term depression is associated with impaired memory in aged Fischer 344 rats. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 87, 522–535.
- Foster, T. C., Barnes, C. A., Rao, G., and McNaughton, B. L. (1991). Increase in perforant path quantal size in aged F-344 rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 12, 441–448.
- Foster, T. C., Castro, C. A., and McNaughton, B. L. (1989). Spatial selectivity of rat hippocampal neurons: dependence on preparedness for movement. *Science* 244, 1580–1582.
- Foster, T. C., Sharrow, K. M., Kumar, A., and Masse, J. (2003). Interaction of age and chronic estradiol replacement on memory and markers of brain aging. *Neurobiol. Aging* 24, 839–852.
- Foster, T. C., Sharrow, K. M., Masse, J. R., Norris, C. M., and Kumar, A. (2001). Calcineurin links Ca^{2+} dysregulation with brain aging. *J. Neurosci.* 21, 4066–4073.
- Francia, N., Cirulli, F., Chiarotti, F., Antonelli, A., Aloe, L., and Alleva, E. (2006). Spatial memory deficits in middle-aged mice correlate with lower exploratory activity and a subordinate status: role of hippocampal neurotrophins. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 23, 711–728.
- Fredrikson, M., Wik, G., Fischer, H., and Andersson, J. (1995). Affective and attentive neural networks in humans: a PET study of Pavlovian
conditioning. Neuroreport 7, conditioning. *Neuroreport* 7, 97–101.
- Frick, K. M., Baxter, M. G., Markowska, A. L., Olton, D. S., and Price, D. L. (1995). Age-related spatial reference and working memory deficits assessed in the water maze. *Neurobiol. Aging* 16, 149–160.
- Frick, K. M., Burlingame, L. A., Arters, J. A., and Berger-Sweeney, J. (2000). Reference memory, anxiety and estrous cyclicity in C57BL/6NIA mice are affected by age and sex. *Neuroscience* 95, 293–307.
- Fukushima, H., Maeda, R., Suzuki, R., Suzuki, A., Nomoto, M., Toyoda, H., Wu, L. J., Xu, H., Zhao, M. G., Ueda, K., Kitamoto, A., Mamiya, N., Yoshida, T., Homma, S., Masushige, S., Zhuo, M., and Kida, S. (2008). Upregulation of calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IV improves memory formation and rescues memory loss with aging. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 9910–9919.
- Gage, F. H., Dunnett, S. B., and Bjorklund, A. (1984). Spatial learning and motor deficits in aged rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 5, 43–48.
- Galea, L. A., Kavaliers, M., and Ossenkopp, K. P. (1996). Sexually dimorphic spatial learning in meadow voles *Microtus pennsylvanicus* and deer mice *Peromyscus maniculatus*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 199, 195–200.
- Gallagher, M., Burwell, R., and Burchinal, M. (1993). Severity of spatial learning impairment in aging: development of a learning index for performance in the Morris water maze. *Behav. Neurosci.* 107, 618–626.
- Gallagher, M., and Burwell, R. D. (1989). Relationship of age-related decline across several behavioral domains. *Neurobiol. Aging* 10, 691–708.
- Gemma, C., Fister, M., Hudson, C., and Bickford, P. C. (2005). Improvement of memory for context by inhibition

of caspase-1 in aged rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 22, 1751–1756.

- Gemma, C., Stellwagen, H., Fister, M., Coultrap, S. J., Mesches, M. H., Browning, M. D., and Bickford, P. C. (2004). Rosiglitazone improves contextual fear conditioning in aged rats. *Neuroreport* 15, 2255–2259.
- Genoux, D., Haditsch, U., Knobloch, M., Michalon, A., Storm, D., and Mansuy, I. M. (2002). Protein phosphatase 1 is a molecular constraint on learning and memory. *Nature* 418, 970–975.
- Gerlai, R. (2001). Behavioral tests of hippocampal function: simple paradigms complex problems. *Behav. Brain Res.* 125, 269–277.
- Gerlai, R. T., McNamara, A., Williams, S., and Phillips, H. S. (2002). Hippocampal dysfunction and behavioral deficit in the water maze in mice: an unresolved issue? *Brain Res. Bull.* 57, 3–9.
- Goldstein, L. H., Canavan, A. G., and Polkey, C. E. (1989). Cognitive mapping after unilateral temporal lobectomy. *Neuropsychologia* 27, 167–177.
- Goodrich-Hunsaker, N. J., Livingstone, S. A., Skelton, R. W., and Hopkins, R. O. (2010). Spatial deficits in a virtual water maze in amnesic participants with hippocampal damage. *Hippocampus* 20, 481–491.
- Gould, T. J., and Feiro, O. R. (2005). Age-related deficits in the retention of memories for cued fear conditioning are reversed by galantamine treatment. *Behav. Brain Res.* 165, 160–171.
- Granholm, A. C., Bimonte-Nelson, H. A., Moore, A. B., Nelson, M. E., Freeman, L. R., and Sambamurti, K. (2008). Effects of a saturated fat and high cholesterol diet on memory and hippocampal morphology in the middle-aged rat. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 14, 133–145.
- Gron, G., Wunderlich, A. P., Spitzer, M., Tomczak, R., and Riepe, M. W. (2000). Brain activation during human navigation: gender-different neural networks as substrate of performance. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 404–408.
- Guidi, M., and Foster, T. C. (2012). Behavioral model for assessing cognitive decline. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 829, 145–153.
- Gulinello, M., Gertner, M., Mendoza, G., Schoenfeld, B. P., Oddo, S., LaFerla, F., Choi, C. H., McBride, S. M., and Faber, D. S. (2009). Validation of a 2-day water maze protocol in mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 196, 220–227.
- Gunning-Dixon, F. M., Gur, R. C., Perkins, A. C., Schroeder, L.,

Turner, T., Turetsky, B. I., Chan, R. M., Loughead, J. W., Alsop, D. C., Maldjian, J., and Gur, R. E. (2003). Age-related differences in brain activation during emotional face processing. *Neurobiol. Aging* 24, 285–295.

- Guzowski, J. F., McNaughton, B. L., Barnes, C. A., and Worley, P. F. (1999). Environment-specific expression of the immediate-early gene Arc in hippocampal neuronal ensembles. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 1120–1124.
- Haley, G. E., Landauer, N., Renner, L., Weiss, A., Hooper, K., Urbanski, H. F., Kohama, S. G., Neuringer, M., and Raber, J. (2009). Circadian activity associated with spatial learning and memory in aging rhesus monkeys. *Exp. Neurol.* 217, 55–62.
- Hansalik, M., Skalicky, M., and Viidik, A. (2006). Impairment of water maze behaviour with ageing is counteracted by maze learning earlier in life but not by physical exercise, food restriction or housing conditions. *Exp. Gerontol.* 41, 169–174.
- Hartley, T., and Burgess, N. (2005). Complementary memory systems:
competition, cooperation and cooperation and compensation. *Trends Neurosci.* 28, 169–170.
- Head, D., and Isom, M. (2010). Age effects on wayfinding and route learning skills. *Behav. Brain Res.* 209, 49–58.
- Head, D., Rodrigue, K. M., Kennedy, K. M., and Raz, N. (2008). Neuroanatomical and cognitive mediators of age-related differences in episodic memory. *Neuropsychology* 22, 491–507.
- Hedden, T., and Gabrieli, J. D. (2004). Insights into the ageing mind: a view from cognitive neuroscience. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 5, 87–96.
- Herndon, J. G., Moss, M. B., Rosene, D. L., and Killiany, R. J. (1997). Patterns of cognitive decline in aged rhesus monkeys. *Behav. Brain Res.* 87, 25–34.
- Holdstock, J. S., Mayes, A. R., Cezayirli, E., Isaac, C. L., Aggleton, J. P., and Roberts, N. (2000). A comparison of egocentric and allocentric spatial memory in a patient with selective hippocampal damage. *Neuropsychologia* 38, 410–425.
- Holzschneider, K., Wolbers, T., Roder, B., and Hotting, K. (2012). Cardiovascular fitness modulates brain activation associated with spatial learning. *Neuroimage* 59, 3003–3014.
- Houston, F. P., Stevenson, G. D., McNaughton, B. L., and Barnes, C.

A. (1999). Effects of age on the generalization and incubation of memory in the F344 rat. *Learn. Mem.* 6, 111–119.

- Howarth, P. A., and Finch, M. (1999). The nauseogenicity of two methods of navigating within a virtual environment. *Appl. Ergon.* 30, 39–45.
- Huff, N. C., Hernandez, J. A., Fecteau, M. E., Zielinski, D. J., Brady, R., and Labar, K. S. (2011). Revealing context-specific conditioned fear memories with full immersion virtual reality. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 5:75. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2011.00075
- Huff, N. C., Zeilinski, D. J., Fecteau, M. E., Brady, R., and LaBar, K. S. (2010). Human fear conditioning conducted in full immersion 3-dimensional virtual reality. *J. Vis. Exp.* doi: 10.3791/1993. [Epub ahead of print].
- Hunt, M. E., and Roll, M. K. (1987). Simulation in familiarizing older people with an unknown building. *Gerontologist* 27, 169–175.
- Iaria, G., Palermo, L., Committeri, G., and Barton, J. J. (2009). Age differences in the formation and use of cognitive maps. *Behav. Brain Res.* 196, 187–191.
- Ingram, D. K. (1988). Complex maze learning in rodents as a model of age-related memory impairment. *Neurobiol. Aging* 9, 475–485.
- Ivy, G. O., Rick, J. T., Murphy, M. P., Head, E., Reid, C., and Milgram, N. W. (1994). Effects of L-deprenyl on manifestations of aging in the rat and dog. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 717, 45–59.
- Jacobs, W. J., Laurance, H. E., and Thomas, K. G. F. (1997). Place learning in virtual space I: acquisition, overshadowing, and transfer. *Learn. Motiv.* 28, 521–541.
- Jansen, P., Schmelter, A., and Heil, M. (2010). Spatial knowledge acquisition in younger and elderly adults: a study in a virtual environment. *Exp. Psychol.* 57, 54–60.
- Jarrard, L. E. (1993). On the role of the hippocampus in learning and memory in the rat. *Behav. Neural. Biol.* 60, 9–26.
- Johansen, J. P., Cain, C. K., Ostroff, L. E., and LeDoux, J. E. (2011). Molecular mechanisms of fear learning and memory. *Cell* 147, 509–524.
- Jones, M. W., Errington, M. L., French, P. J., Fine, A., Bliss, T. V., Garel, S., Charnay, P., Bozon, B., Laroche, S., and Davis, S. (2001). A requirement for the immediate early gene Zif268 in the expression of late LTP and long-term memories. *Nat. Neurosci.* 4, 289–296.
- Kaczorowski, C. C., and Disterhoft, J. F. (2009). Memory deficits are associated with impaired ability to modulate neuronal excitability in middle-aged mice. *Learn. Mem.* 16, 362–366.
- Kanit, L., Koylu, E. O., Erdogan, O., and Pogun, S. (2005). Effects of laterality and sex on cognitive strategy in a water maze place learning task and modification by nicotine and nitric oxide synthase inhibition in rats. *Brain Res. Bull.* 66, 189–202.
- Kaszniak, A. W., and Menchola, M. (2012). Behavioral neuroscience of emotion in aging. *Curr. Top. Behav. Neurosci.* 10, 51–66.
- Kaut, K. P., and Bunsey, M. D. (2001). The effects of lesions to the rat hippocampus or rhinal cortex on olfactory and spatial memory: retrograde and anterograde findings. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 1, 270–286.
- Kennard, J. A., and Woodruff-Pak, D. S. (2011). Age sensitivity of behavioral tests and brain substrates of normal aging in mice. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 3:9. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2011.00009
- Kentros, C., Hargreaves, E., Hawkins, R. D., Kandel, E. R., Shapiro, M., and Muller, R. V. (1998). Abolition of long-term stability of new hippocampal place cell maps by NMDA receptor blockade. *Science* 280, 2121–2126.
- Kim, J. J., and Fanselow, M. S. (1992). Modality-specific retrograde amnesia of fear. *Science* 256, 675–677.
- Kirasic, K. C. (1989). The effects of age and environmental familiarity on adults' spatial problem-solving performance: evidence of a hometown advantage. *Exp. Aging Res.* 15, 181–187.
- Kirasic, K. C. (1991). Spatial cognition and behavior in young and elderly adults: implications for learning new environments. *Psychol. Aging* 6, 10–18.
- Kirasic, K. C., Allen, G. L., and Haggerty, D. (1992). Age-related differences in adults' macrospatial cognitive processes. *Exp. Aging Res.* 18, 33–39.
- Kirasic, K. C., and Bernicki, M. R. (1990). Acquisition of spatial knowledge under conditions of temporospatial discontinuity in young and elderly adults. *Psychol. Res.* 52, 76–79.
- Kogan, J. H., Frankland, P. W., Blendy, J. A., Coblentz, J., Marowitz, Z., Schutz, G., and Silva, A. J. (1997). Spaced training induces normal long-term memory in CREB mutant mice. *Curr. Biol.* 7, 1–11.
- Kosslyn, S. M., and Thompson, W. L. (2003). When is early visual

cortex activated during visual mental imagery? *Psychol. Bull.* 129, 723–746.

- Kramer, J. H., Mungas, D., Reed, B. R., Wetzel, M. E., Burnett, M. M., Miller, B. L., Weiner, M. W., and Chui, H. C. (2007). Longitudinal MRI and cognitive change in healthy elderly. *Neuropsychology* 21, 412–418.
- Kudo, K., Wati, H., Qiao, C., Arita, J., and Kanba, S. (2005). Age-related disturbance of memory and CREB phosphorylation in CA1 area of hippocampus of rats. *Brain Res.* 1054, 30–37.
- Kukolja, J., Thiel, C. M., Wilms, M., Mirzazade, S., and Fink, G. R. (2009). Ageing-related changes of neural activity associated with spatial contextual memory. *Neurobiol. Aging* 30, 630–645.
- Kumar, A., Rani, A., Tchigranova, O., Lee, W. H., and Foster, T. C. (2012). Influence of late-life exposure to environmental enrichment or exercise on hippocampal function and CA1 senescent physiology. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 828.e1–828.e17.
- Lacreuse, A., Wilson, M. E., and Herndon, J. G. (2002). Estradiol, but not raloxifene, improves aspects of spatial working memory in aged ovariectomized rhesus monkeys. *Neurobiol. Aging* 23, 589–600.
- Lal, H., Pogacar, S., Daly, P. R., and Puri, S. K. (1973). Behavioral and neuropathological manifestations of nutritionally induced central nervous system "aging" in the rat. *Prog. Brain Res.* 40, 128–140.
- LaSarge, C. L., Montgomery, K. S., Tucker, C., Slaton, G. S., Griffith, W. H., Setlow, B., and Bizon, J. L. (2007). Deficits across multiple cognitive domains in a subset of aged Fischer 344 rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 28, 928–936.
- LaSarge, C. L., and Nicolle, M. M. (2009). "Comparison of different cognitive rat models of human aging," in *Animal Models of Human Cognitive Aging*, eds J. L. Bizon and A. G. Woods, (New York, NY: Humana Press), 73–102.
- Lavenex, P. B., Amaral, D. G., and Lavenex, P. (2006). Hippocampal lesion prevents spatial relational learning in adult macaque monkeys. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 4546–4558.
- Lee, I., and Kesner, R. P. (2004). Differential contributions of dorsal hippocampal subregions to memory acquisition and retrieval in contextual fear-conditioning. *Hippocampus* 14, 301–310.
- Leighty, R. E., Nilsson, L. N., Potter, H., Costa, D. A., Low, M. A., Bales,

K. R., Paul, S. M., and Arendash, G. W. (2004). Use of multimetric statistical analysis to characterize and discriminate between the performance of four Alzheimer's transgenic mouse lines differing in Abeta deposition. *Behav. Brain Res.* 153, 107–121.

- Lindner, M. D. (1997). Reliability, distribution, and validity of age-related cognitive deficits in the Morris water maze. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 68, 203–220.
- Lindner, M. D., and Gribkoff, V. K. (1991). Relationship between performance in the Morris water task, visual acuity, and thermoregulatory function in aged F-344 rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 45, 45–55.
- Lipman, P. D., and Caplan, L. J. (1992). Adult age differences in memory for routes: effects of instruction and spatial diagram. *Psychol. Aging* 7, 435–442.
- Liu, P., and Bilkey, D. K. (2001). The effect of excitotoxic lesions centered on the hippocampus or perirhinal cortex in object recognition and spatial memory tasks. *Behav. Neurosci.* 115, 94–111.
- Loureiro, M., Lecourtier, L., Engeln, M., Lopez, J., Cosquer, B., Geiger, K., Kelche, C., Cassel, J. C., and Pereira de Vasconcelos, A. (2012). The ventral hippocampus is necessary for expressing a spatial memory. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 217, 93–106.
- Lovden, M., Schellenbach, M., Grossman-Hutter, B., Kruger, A., and Lindenberger, U. (2005). Environmental topography and postural control demands shape aging-associated decrements in spatial navigation performance. *Psychol. Aging* 20, 683–694.
- Mabry, T. R., McCarty, R., Gold, P. E., and Foster, T. C. (1996). Age and stress history effects on spatial performance in a swim task in Fischer-344 rats. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 66, $1 - 10$.
- Magnusson, K. R., Scruggs, B., Aniya, J., Wright, K. C., Ontl, T., Xing, Y., and Bai, L. (2003). Age-related deficits in mice performing working memory tasks in a water maze. *Behav. Neurosci.* 117, 485–495.
- Malleret, G., Alarcon, J. M., Martel, G., Takizawa, S., Vronskaya, S., Yin, D., Chen, I. Z., Kandel, E. R., and Shumyatsky, G. P. (2010). Bidirectional regulation of hippocampal long-term
synaptic plasticity and its synaptic plasticity and its influence on opposing forms of memory. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 3813–3825.
- Malleret, G., Haditsch, U., Genoux, D., Jones, M. W., Bliss, T. V., Vanhoose, A. M., Weitlauf, C., Kandel, E. R., Winder, D. G., and Mansuy, I. M. (2001). Inducible and reversible enhancement of learning, memory, and long-term potentiation by genetic inhibition of calcineurin. *Cell* 104, 675–686.
- Maren, S. (2008). Pavlovian fear conditioning as a behavioral assay for hippocampus and amygdala function: cautions and caveats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 28, 1661–1666.
- Maren, S., and Fanselow, M. S. (1995). Synaptic plasticity in the basolateral amygdala induced by hippocampal formation stimulation *in vivo*. *J. Neurosci.* 15, 7548–7564.
- Maren, S., Aharonov, G., and Fanselow, M. S. (1997). Neurotoxic lesions of the dorsal hippocampus and Pavlovian fear conditioning in rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 88, 261–274.
- Martin, S. J., and Clark, R. E. (2007). The rodent hippocampus and spatial memory: from synapses to systems. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 64, 401–431.
- Matzel, L. D., Grossman, H., Light, K., Townsend, D., and Kolata, S. (2008). Age-related declines in general cognitive abilities of Balb/C mice are associated with disparities in working memory, body weight, and general activity. *Learn. Mem.* 15, 733–746.
- McEchron, M. D., and Disterhoft, J. F. (1999). Hippocampal encoding of non-spatial trace conditioning. *Hippocampus* 9, 385–396.
- McEwen, B. S., Alves, S. E., Bulloch, K., and Weiland, N. G. (1997). Ovarian steroids and the brain: implications for cognition and aging. *Neurology* 48, S8–S15.
- McGaugh, J. L. (2006). Make mild moments memorable: add a little arousal. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 10, 345–347.
- Means, L. W., and Kennard, K. J. (1991). Working memory and the aged rat: deficient two-choice win-stay water-escape acquisition and retention. *Physiol. Behav.* 49, 301–307.
- Meeter, M., Myers, C. E., and Gluck, M. A. (2005). Integrating incremental learning and episodic memory models of the hippocampal region. *Psychol. Rev.* 112, 560–585.
- Mesches, M. H., Gemma, C., Veng, L. M., Allgeier, C., Young, D. A., Browning, M. D., and Bickford, P. C. (2004). Sulindac improves memory and increases NMDA receptor subunits in aged Fischer 344 rats. *Neurobiol. Aging* 25, 315–324.
- Mitchell, D. B., Brown, A. S., and Murphy, D. R. (1990). Dissociations

between procedural and episodic memory: effects of time and aging. *Psychol. Aging* 5, 264–276.

- Miyagawa, H., Hasegawa, M., Fukuta, T., Amano, M., Yamada, K., and Nabeshima, T. (1998). Dissociation of impairment between spatial memory, and motor function and emotional behavior in aged rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 91, 73–81.
- Moffat, S. D., and Resnick, S. M. (2002). Effects of age on virtual environment place navigation and allocentric cognitive mapping. *Behav. Neurosci.* 116, 851–859.
- Moffat, S. D., Elkins, W., and Resnick, S. M. (2006). Age differences in the neural systems supporting human allocentric spatial navigation. *Neurobiol. Aging* 27, 965–972.
- Moffat, S. D., Kennedy, K. M., Rodrigue, K. M., and Raz, N. (2007). Extrahippocampal contributions to age differences in human spatial navigation. *Cereb. Cortex* 17, 1274–1282.
- Moffat, S. D., Zonderman, A. B., and Resnick, S. M. (2001). Age differences in spatial memory in a virtual environment navigation task. *Neurobiol. Aging* 22, 787–796.
- Moriguchi, Y., Negreira, A., Weierich, M., Dautoff, R., Dickerson, B. C., Wright, C. I., and Barrett, L. F. (2011). Differential hemodynamic response in affective circuitry with aging: an FMRI study of novelty, valence, and arousal. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 23, 1027–1041.
- Morris, R. G., Garrud, P., Rawlins, J. N., and O'Keefe, J. (1982). Place navigation impaired in rats with hippocampal lesions. *Nature* 297, 681–683.
- Moscovitch, M., Winocur, G., and McLachlan, D. (1986). Memory as assessed by recognition and reading time in normal and memoryimpaired people with Alzheimer's disease and other neurological disorders. *J. Exp. Psychol. Gen.* 115, 331–347.
- Moss, M. B., Killiany, R. J., Lai, Z. C., Rosene, D. L., and Herndon, J. G. (1997). Recognition memory span in rhesus monkeys of advanced age. *Neurobiol. Aging* 18, 13–19.
- Moyer, J. R. Jr., and Brown, T. H. (2006). Impaired trace and contextual fear conditioning in aged rats. *Behav. Neurosci.* 120, 612–624.
- Mueller, S. G., Stables, L., Du, A. T., Schuff, N., Truran, D., Cashdollar, N., and Weiner, M. W. (2007). Measurement of hippocampal subfields and age-related changes with high resolution MRI at 4T. *Neurobiol. Aging* 28, 719–726.
- Mungas, D., Harvey, D., Reed, B. R., Jagust, W. J., DeCarli, C., Beckett, L., Mack, W. J., Kramer, J. H., Weiner, M. W., Schuff, N., and Chui, H. C. (2005). Longitudinal volumetric MRI change and rate of cognitive decline. *Neurology* 65, 565–571.
- Murray, E. A., Davidson, M., Gaffan, D., Olton, D. S., and Suomi, S. (1989). Effects of fornix transection and cingulate cortical ablation on spatial memory in rhesus monkeys. *Exp. Brain Res.* 74, 173–186.
- Nadel, L., and Moscovitch, M. (1997). Memory consolidation, retrograde amnesia and the hippocampal complex. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 7, 217–227.
- Nadel, L., Samsonovich, A., Ryan, L., and Moscovitch, M. (2000). Multiple trace theory of human memory: computational, neuroimaging, and neuropsychological results. *Hippocampus* 10, 352–368.
- Nakashiba, T., Young, J. Z., McHugh, T. J., Buhl, D. L., and Tonegawa, S. (2008). Transgenic inhibition of synaptic transmission reveals role of CA3 output in hippocampal learning. *Science* 319, 1260–1264.
- Nakazawa, K., McHugh, T. J., Wilson, M. A., and Tonegawa, S. (2004). NMDA receptors, place cells and hippocampal spatial memory. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 5, 361–372.
- Naveh-Benjamin, M. (2000). Adult age differences in memory performance: tests of an associative deficit hypothesis. *J. Exp. Psychol. Learn. Mem. Cogn.* 26, 1170–1187.
- Newman, M. C., and Kaszniak, A. W. (2000). Spatial memory and aging: performance on a human analog of the Morris water maze. *Aging Neuropsychol. Cogn.* 7, 86–93.
- Nilsson, L. G. (2003). Memory function in normal aging. *Acta Neurol. Scand. Suppl.* 179, 7–13.
- Norris, C. M., and Foster, T. C. (1999). MK-801 improves retention in aged rats: implications for altered neural plasticity in age-related memory deficits. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 71, 194–206.
- Nyffeler, M., Yee, B. K., Feldon, J., and Knuesel, I. (2010). Abnormal differentiation of newborn granule cells in age-related working memory impairments. *Neurobiol. Aging* 31, 1956–1974.
- O'Keefe, J., and Dostrovsky, J. (1971). The hippocampus as a spatial map. Preliminary evidence from unit activity in the freely-moving rat. *Brain Res.* 34, 171–175.
- O'Keefe, J., and Nadel, L. (1978). *The Hippocampus as a Cognitive Map*.

New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

- Oddie, S. D., and Bland, B. H. (1998). Hippocampal formation theta activity and movement selection. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 22, 221–231.
- Ohta, R. J., Walsh, D. A., and Krauss, I. K. (1981). Spatial perspective-taking ability in young and elderly adults. *Exp. Aging Res.* 7, 45–63.
- Old, S. R., and Naveh-Benjamin, M. (2008). Differential effects of age on item and associative measures of memory: a meta-analysis. *Psychol. Aging* 23, 104–118.
- Oler, J. A., and Markus, E. J. (1998). Age-related deficits on the radial maze and in fear conditioning: hippocampal processing and consolidation. *Hippocampus* 8, 402–415.
- Olson, I. R., Zhang, J. X., Mitchell, K. J., Johnson, M. K., Bloise, S. M., and Higgins, J. A. (2004). Preserved spatial memory over brief intervals in older adults. *Psychol. Aging* 19, 310–317.
- Ono, T., and Nishijo, H. (1999). Active spatial information processing in the septo-hippocampal system. *Hippocampus* 9, 458–466.
- Pape, H. C., and Pare, D. (2010). Plastic synaptic networks of the amygdala for the acquisition, expression, and extinction of conditioned fear. *Physiol. Rev.* 90, 419–463.
- Park, D. C., Puglisi, J. T., and Lutz, R. (1982). Spatial memory in older adults: effects of intentionality. *J. Gerontol.* 37, 330–335.
- Park, D. C., Puglisi, J. T., and Sovacool, M. (1983). Memory for pictures, words, and spatial location in older adults: evidence for pictorial superiority. *J. Gerontol.* 38, 582–588.
- Parslow, D. M., Morris, R. G., Fleminger, S., Rahman, Q., Abrahams, S., and Recce, M. (2005). Allocentric spatial memory in humans with hippocampal lesions. *Acta Psychol. (Amst.)* 118, 123–147.
- Peleg, S., Sananbenesi, F., Zovoilis, A., Burkhardt, S., Bahari-Javan, S., Agis-Balboa, R. C., Cota, P., Wittnam, J. L., Gogol-Doering, A., Opitz, L., Salinas-Riester, G., Dettenhofer, M., Kang, H., Farinelli, L., Chen, W., and Fischer, A. (2010). Altered histone acetylation is associated with age-dependent memory impairment in mice. *Science* 328, 753–756.
- Peretti, C. S., Danion, J. M., Gierski, F., and Grange, D. (2002). Cognitive skill learning and aging: a component process analysis. *Arch. Clin. Neuropsychol.* 17, 445–459.
- Phillips, R. G., and LeDoux, J. E. (1992). Differential contribution of amygdala and hippocampus to cued and contextual fear conditioning. *Behav. Neurosci.* 106, 274–285.
- Picq, J. L., Aujard, F., Volk, A., and Dhenain, M. (2012). Age-related cerebral atrophy in nonhuman
primates predicts cognitive primates predicts components predicts components. impairments. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 1096–1109.
- Plancher, G., Gyselinck, V., Nicolas, S., and Piolino, P. (2010). Age effect on components of episodic memory and feature binding: a virtual reality study. *Neuropsychology* 24, 379–390.
- Plante, T. G., Aldridge, A., Su, D., Bogdan, R., Belo, M., and Kahn, K. (2003). Does virtual reality enhance the management of stress when paired with exercise? an exploratory study. *Int. J. Stress Manage.* 10, 203–216.
- Rapp, P. R., and Amaral, D. G. (1992). Individual differences in the cognitive and neurobiological consequences of normal aging. *Trends Neurosci.* 15, 340–345.
- Rapp, P. R., Kansky, M. T., and Roberts, J. A. (1997). Impaired spatial information processing in aged monkeys with preserved recognition memory. *Neuroreport* 8, 1923–1928.
- Rapp, P. R., Rosenberg, R. A., and Gallagher, M. (1987). An evaluation of spatial information processing in aged rats. *Behav. Neurosci.* 101, 3–12.
- Regan, E. C., and Price, K. R. (1994). The frequency of occurrence and severity of side-effects of immersion virtual reality. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 65, 527–530.
- Reuter-Lorenz, P. A., and Park, D. C. (2010). Human neuroscience and the aging mind: a new look at old problems. *J. Gerontol. B Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* 65, 405–415.
- Richmond, M. A., Yee, B. K., Pouzet, B., Veenman, L., Rawlins, J. N., Feldon, J., and Bannerman, D. M. (1999). Dissociating context and space within the hippocampus: effects of complete, dorsal, and ventral excitotoxic hippocampal lesions on conditioned freezing and spatial learning. *Behav. Neurosci.* 113, 1189–1203.
- Rizk-Jackson, A. M., Acevedo, S. F., Inman, D., Howieson, D., Benice, T. S., and Raber, J. (2006). Effects of sex on object recognition and spatial navigation in humans. *Behav. Brain. Res.* 173, 181–190.
- Rodgers, M. K., Sindone, J. A. 3rd., and Moffat, S. D. (2010). Effects of age on navigation strategy. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 202. e215–e222.
- Rodrigue, K. M., Kennedy, K. M., and Raz, N. (2005). Aging and longitudinal change in perceptual-motor skill acquisition in healthy adults. *J. Gerontol. B Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* 60, P174–P181.
- Rosenzweig, E. S., and Barnes, C. A. (2003). Impact of aging on hippocampal function: plasticity, network dynamics, and cognition. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 69, 143–179.
- Rowe, W. B., Spreekmeester, E., Meaney, M. J., Quirion, R., and Rochford, J. (1998). Reactivity to novelty in cognitively-impaired and cognitively-unimpaired aged rats and young rats. *Neuroscience* 83, 669–680.
- Rowland, L. M., Astur, R. S., Jung, R. E., Bustillo, J. R., Lauriello, J., and Yeo, R. A. (2005). Selective cognitive impairments associated with NMDA receptor blockade in humans. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 30, 633–639.
- Ruddle, R. A., Payne, S. J., and Jones, D. M. (1997). Navigating buildings in "desk-top" virtual environments. *J. Exp. Psych. Appl.* 3, 143–159.
- Salmaso, D. (1993). Memory and aging: components and processes. *Funct. Neurol.* 8, 165–182.
- Salvin, H. E., McGreevy, P. D., Sachdev, P. S., and Valenzuela, M. J. (2011). The canine sand maze: an appetitive spatial memory paradigm sensitive to age-related change in dogs. *J. Exp. Anal. Behav.* 95, 109–118.
- Sauzeon, H., Arvind Pala, P., Larrue, F., Wallet, G., Dejos, M., Zheng, X., Guitton, P., and N'Kaoua, B. (2011). The use of virtual reality for episodic memory assessment. *Exp. Psychol.* 59, 99–108.
- Schenk, F., and Morris, R. G. (1985). Dissociation between components of spatial memory in rats after recovery from the effects of retrohippocampal lesions. *Exp. Brain Res.* 58, 11–28.
- Schulz, D., Huston, J. P., Jezek, K., Haas, H. L., Roth-Harer, A., Selbach, O., and Luhmann, H. J. (2002). Water maze performance, exploratory activity, inhibitory avoidance and hippocampal plasticity in aged superior and inferior learners. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 16, 2175–2185.
- Scoville, W. B., and Milner, B. (1957). Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 20, 11–21.
- Selden, N. R., Everitt, B. J., Jarrard, L. E., and Robbins, T. W. (1991). Complementary roles for the amygdala and hippocampus in aversive conditioning to explicit and contextual cues. *Neuroscience* 42, 335–350.
- Sexton, C. E., Mackay, C. E., Lonie, J. A., Bastin, M. E., Terriere, E., O'Carroll, R. E., and Ebmeier, K. P. (2010). MRI correlates of episodic memory in Alzheimer's disease, mild cognitive impairment, and healthy aging. *Psychiatry Res.* 184, 57–62.
- Simon, S. L., Walsh, D. A., Regnier, V. A., and Krauss, I. K. (1992). Spatial cognition and neighborhood use: the relationship in older adults. *Psychol. Aging* 7, 389–394.
- Skelton, R. W., Bukach, C. M., Laurance, H. E., Thomas, K. G., and Jacobs, J. W. (2000). Humans with traumatic brain injuries show place-learning deficits in computergenerated virtual space. *J. Clin. Exp. Neuropsychol.* 22, 157–175.
- Small, S. A., Schobel, S. A., Buxton, R. B., Witter, M. P., and Barnes, C. A. (2011). A pathophysiological framework of hippocampal dysfunction in ageing and disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 12, 585–601.
- Smith, C. D., Walton, A., Loveland, A. D., Umberger, G. H., Kryscio, R. J., and Gash, D. M. (2005). Memories that last in old age: motor skill learning and memory preservation. *Neurobiol. Aging* 26, 883–890.
- Spaan, P. E., Raaijmakers, J. G., and Jonker, C. (2003). Alzheimer's disease versus normal ageing: a review of the efficiency of clinical and experimental memory measures. *J. Clin. Exp.Neuropsychol.*25,216–233.
- Spencer, W. D., and Raz, N. (1995). Differential effects of aging on memory for content and context: a meta-analysis. *Psychol. Aging* 10, 527–539.
- Spreng, M., Rossier, J., and Schenk, F. (2002). Spaced training facilitates long-term retention of place navigation in adult but not in adolescent rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 128, 103–108.
- Springer, U. (2010). *Essential Tremor: A Test of Paradoxical Visuospatial Cognition*. Dissertation, Department of Clinical and Health Psychology, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida.
- Stoub, T. R., Rogalski, E. J., Leurgans, S., Bennett, D. A., and deToledo-Morrell, L. (2008). Rate of entorhinal and hippocampal atrophy in incipient and mild AD: relation to memory function. *Neurobiol. Aging* 31, 1089–1098.
- Talboom, J. S., Williams, B. J., Baxley, E. R., West, S. G., and Bimonte-Nelson, H. A. (2008). Higher levels of estradiol replacement correlate with better spatial memory in surgically menopausal young and middle-aged rats. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 90, 155–163.
- Thomas, K. G., Laurance, H. E., Luczak, S. E., and Jacobs, W. J. (1999). Age-related changes in a human cognitive mapping system: data from a computer-generated environment. *Cyberpsychol. Behav.* 2, 545–566.
- Uttl, B., and Graf, P. (1993). Episodic spatial memory in adulthood. *Psychol. Aging* 8, 257–273.
- Vaillend, C., Billard, J. M., and Laroche, S. (2004). Impaired long-term spatial and recognition memory and enhanced CA1 hippocampal LTP in the dystrophin-deficient Dmd(mdx) mouse. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 17, 10–20.
- Vakil, E., and Agmon-Ashkenazi, D. (1997). Baseline performance and learning rate of procedural and declarative memory tasks: younger versus older adults. *J. Gerontol. B Psychol. Sci. Soc. Sci.* 52, P229–P234.
- Vallee, M., MacCari, S., Dellu, F., Simon, H., Le Moal, M., and Mayo, W. (1999). Long-term effects of prenatal stress and postnatal handling on age-related glucocorticoid secretion and cognitive performance: a longitudinal study in the rat. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 11, 2906–2916.
- van der Staay, F. J. (2002). Assessment of age-associated cognitive deficits in rats: a tricky business. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 26, 753–759.
- van Groen, T., Kadish, I., and Wyss, J. M. (2002). Old rats remember old tricks; memories of the water maze persist for 12 months. *Behav. Brain Res.* 136, 247–255.
- Vasquez, B. J., Martinez, J. L. Jr., Jensen, R. A., Messing, R. B., Rigter, H., and McGaugh, J. L. (1983). Learning and memory in young and aged Fischer 344 rats. *Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 2, 279–291.
- Veng, L. M., Granholm, A. C., and Rose, G. M. (2003). Age-related sex differences in spatial learning and basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in F344 rats. *Physiol. Behav.* 80, 27–36.
- Vicens, P., Redolat, R., and Carrasco, M. C. (2002). Effects of early spatial training on water maze performance: a longitudinal study in mice. *Exp. Gerontol.* 37, 575–581.
- Vorhees, C. V., and Williams, M. T. (2006). Morris water maze: procedures for assessing spatial and related forms of learning and memory. *Nat. Protoc.* 1, 848–858.
- Voss, J. L., Gonsalves, B. D., Federmeier, K. D., Tranel, D., and Cohen, N. J. (2011). Hippocampal braincoordination during volitional exploratory behavior enhances learning. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 115–120.
- Walton, A., Scheib, J. L., McLean, S., Zhang, Z., and Grondin, R. (2008). Motor memory preservation in aged monkeys mirrors that of aged humans on a similar task. *Neurobiol. Aging* 29, 1556–1562.
- Wang, J. H., Zhang, B., Meng, Z. Q., Sun, N. L., Ma, M. X., Zhang, H. X., Tang, X., Sanford, L. D., Wilson, F. A., Hu, X. T., Carlson, S., and Ma, Y. Y. (2007). Learning large-scale spatial relationships in a maze and effects of MK-801 on retrieval in the rhesus monkey. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 67, 1731–1741.
- Wati, H., Kudo, K., Qiao, C., Kuroki, T., and Kanba, S. (2006). A decreased survival of proliferated cells in the hippocampus is associated with a decline in spatial memory in aged rats. *Neurosci. Lett.* 399, 171–174.
- Weber, R. J., Brown, L. T., and Weldon, J. K. (1978). Cognitive maps of environmental knowledge and preference in nursing home patients. *Exp. Aging Res.* 4, 157–174.
- West, R. L., Welch, D. C., and Knabb, P. D. (2002). Gender and aging: spatial self-efficacy and location recall. *Basic Appl. Soc. Psych.* 24, 71–80.
- Wever, E. G. (1932). Water temperature as an incentive to swimming activity in the rat. *J. Comp. Psych.* 14, 219–224.
- Widmann, C. N., Beinhoff, U., and Riepe, M. W. (2012). Everyday memory deficits in very mild Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 33, 297–303.
- Wilkniss, S. M., Jones, M. G., Korol, D. L., Gold, P. E., and Manning, C. A. (1997). Age-related differences in an ecologically based study of route learning. *Psychol. Aging* 12, 372–375.
- Wilson, I. A., Gallagher, M., Eichenbaum, H., and Tanila, H. (2006). Neurocognitive aging: prior memories hinder new hippocampal encoding. *Trends Neurosci.* 29, 662–670.
- Wiltgen, B. J., Sanders, M. J., Anagnostaras, S. G., Sage, J. R., and Fanselow, M. S. (2006). Context fear learning in the absence of the hippocampus. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 5484–5491.
- Woodruff-Pak, D. S., Foy, M. R., Akopian, G. G., Lee, K. H., Zach, J., Nguyen, K. P., Comalli, D. M., Kennard, J. A., Agelan, A., and Thompson, R. F. (2011). Differential effects and rates of normal aging in cerebellum and hippocampus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 1624–1629.
- Yamazaki, M., Matsuoka, N., Maeda, N., Kuratani, K., Ohkubo, Y., and

Yamaguchi, I. (1995). FR121196, a potential antidementia drug, ameliorates the impaired memory of rat in the Morris water maze. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 272, 256–263.

- Zakzanis, K. K., Quintin, G., Graham, S. J., and Mraz, R. (2009). Age and dementia related differences in spatial navigation within an immersive virtual environment. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 15, CR140–CR150.
- Zelinski, E. M., and Light, L. L. (1988). Young and older adults' use of context in spatial memory. *Psychol. Aging* 3, 99–101.
- Zhang, B., Tan, H., Sun, N. L., Wang, J. H., Meng, Z. Q., Li, C. Y., Fraser, W. A., Hu, X. T., Carlson, S., and Ma, Y. Y. (2008). Maze model to study spatial learning and memory in freely moving monkeys. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 170, 111–116.
- Zimmerman, J. M., Rabinak, C. A., McLachlan, I. G., and Maren, S. (2007). The central nucleus of the amygdala is essential for acquiring and expressing conditional fear after overtraining. *Learn. Mem.* 14, 634–644.
- Zyzak, D. R., Otto, T., Eichenbaum, H., and Gallagher, M. (1995).

Cognitive decline associated with normal aging in rats: a neuropsychological approach. *Learn. Mem.* 2, 1–16.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 06 March 2012; accepted: 15 May 2012; published online: 12 September 2012.

Citation: Foster TC, DeFazio RA and Bizon JL (2012) Characterizing cognitive aging of spatial and contextual memory in animal models. Front. Ag. Neurosci. 4:12. doi: [10.3389/fnagi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2012.00012) [2012.00012](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2012.00012)

Copyright © 2012 Foster, DeFazio and Bizon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License,](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.