1. Introduction

The quinone/quinol (Q/QH2) couple is a key player in bioenergetic processes such as respiration and photosynthesis [1]. Quinones function as electron carriers between membrane proteins, thereby coupling electron transfer to the formation of a transmembrane proton gradient or acting as simple electron transfer partners. The different redox functions found for example for QA and QB in bacterial reaction centers or the bc1 complex, as well as the accommodation of different quinone types observed in some bacteria, depending on growing conditions, are testimony to the flexibility of quinones in biological processes that is modulated by quinone–protein interactions. The investigation of protein–quinone interactions on molecular level is thus crucial for the understanding of the underlying reaction mechanisms.

Infrared spectroscopy is a sensitive method that is extensively used to study the structural changes that accompany the redox reaction of the quinones. The vibrational modes of the quinones in their different redox and protonation states serve as reporter groups for steric and energetic factors such as hydrogen bonding, polar interactions, and the distortion of ring and substituents. Hydrogen bonding interactions can be expected between the carbonyls of the quinone and proton-donating groups from amino acids. The specific perturbation of these interactions in site directed mutants is used for the analysis of the binding site of the quinone or an inhibitor. One of the main limitations of this technique, however, is that vibrational markers of the quinone interaction that can be described by means of reaction induced FTIR difference spectroscopy, are present for example by light or electrochemically. The identification of sites in enzymes from the respiratory chain is presented together with the analysis of the accommodation of different types of quinones to the same enzyme and the possibility to monitor the interaction with inhibitors. Reaction induced FTIR difference spectroscopy is shown to give an essential information on the general geometry of quinone binding sites, the conformation of the ring and of the substituents as well as essential structural information on the identity of the amino-acid residues lining this site. This article is part of a Special Issue entitled: Vibrational spectroscopies and bioenergetic systems.
vibrational motions. Additional techniques for the analysis of the data are predictions from quantum chemical calculations or simulations. Current quantum chemical calculation routines, such as density functional theory (DFT), allow for the estimation of the electronic ground state structure of medium-sized molecules and have been extensively used together with simulation techniques for the analysis of quinone spectra [12–16].

One possibility for developing an understanding of the vibrational marker bands in the infrared is to first consider spectra of the relevant quinones in solution. In the following paragraphs the vibrational signature of the Q/OH2 couple will be described and then corroborated with studies on quinone–protein interaction in proteins from respiration.

2. Infrared spectroscopic signatures of isolated quinones

2.1. Infrared spectra on oxidized quinones

The first published attempt at a complete vibrational assignment of quinones was made by Anno and Sado [17] who obtained infrared spectra of quinone solutions. Since then a significant number of studies on the vibrational properties of quinones were made describing the signal characteristic for the different redox states, the influence of isotope labeling, and comparing the different types of quinones. Several studies include the calculation of the quinone spectral properties. Here we will focus on ubi- and menaquinone, since they are the most commonly found in respiration.

Fig. 1 shows the absorption spectra of UQ10 and MQ (vitamin K1). The most prominent signal of UQ seen between 1660 and 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) includes the coordinates of the \(\nu(C=O)\) vibration [13,17–21]. The broadness of the signal of the isolated Q in solution points towards the presence of several interaction partners of C=O group with water. Upon binding to the protein, the signal often gets sharper. The exact position of the signal depends on the hydrogen bonding environment and on the geometry of the quinone binding site and is thus an important probe for the site. Table 1 summarizes the frequencies identified in different proteins from the respiratory chain and compares it to data reported for QA and QB sites in bacterial reaction centers and other proteins from photosynthesis studies. The signal at 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) in free quinone, may shift by about 25 cm\(^{-1}\) depending on the environment in the protein.

Isotope labeling was often used to identify the C=O group of ubiquinones and the binding geometry in the difference spectra of proteins [12,22–25]. As calculations on isolated Q show, the C=O and C=C modes mix with methoxy methyl CH bending vibrations, and in addition the degree of mixing is altered upon isotope labeling, resulting in complicated changes in mode frequencies, intensities, and composition upon isotope labeling [12,14]. Furthermore it was described that intensity changes of the C=O vibrational mode in a probe protein may point towards a specific orientation of the methoxy site chains [15,26]. The second characteristic spectral feature is the C=C vibration expected around 1610 cm\(^{-1}\). It is as such not directly sensitive to hydrogen bonding. Due to the coupling to the CO vibrational modes, mentioned above, it was found shifted when the C=O groups were specifically isotopically labeled.

In the 1500–1300 cm\(^{-1}\) range, seen in Fig. 1, modes from the ring bonds (C–C), as well as from CH\(_2\) and CH\(_3\) groups, contribute [14,23,29]. In IR absorption spectra of isolated ubiquinones, bands at 1449–1436 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 1381 cm\(^{-1}\) have been previously attributed to \(\delta(CH_2)\) and \(\delta(CH_3)\) modes from the hydrocarbon chain and the 5-methyl substituent. The C–O–CH\(_3\) vibrational mode can be identified around 1264 cm\(^{-1}\) in an often less crowded area of protein difference spectra. Interestingly the position and the intensity of this vibrational mode seem to be essentially unaffected upon binding and can be used to study the amount of quinone bound to the protein [27]. An example is shown in Fig. 2 below for the bc\(_c\) complex from Paracoccus denitrificans. In the preparation studied here, the presence of 2 Q per bc\(_c\) monomer was calculated.

In the spectra of menaquinone (Fig. 1B), the split \(\nu(C=O)\) mode is observed at 1670 cm\(^{-1}\) with a shoulder at 1658 cm\(^{-1}\). The \(\nu(C=C)\) mode of the quinoid ring is found at 1624 cm\(^{-1}\), and that of the aromatic ring at 1596 cm\(^{-1}\). The mode at 1304 cm\(^{-1}\) can be corroborated with a coupled C–C/C=C vibration [22].

2.2. Infrared data on quinols

Electrochemical studies of the double reduction of ubiquinone-2 (Q\(_2\)) in aqueous solution have been reported and QH\(_2\) bands have been described at 1490, 1470, 1342 and 1388 cm\(^{-1}\). [28,29]. Fig. 3 shows the electrochemically induced FTIR difference spectra of quinone in aqueous solution. The 1490 cm\(^{-1}\) band can be attributed to a ring C–C mode coupled to a C–OH mode. On the other hand, the 1470, 1433 and 1375 cm\(^{-1}\) ubiquinol bands observed in model compound studies, and which were found not to be sensitive to 1H/2H exchange, have been assigned to the reorganization of ring C–C (at 1470 and 1433 cm\(^{-1}\)), and CH\(_2)/CH\(_3\) (at 1343 and 1375 cm\(^{-1}\)) modes upon Q reduction [30].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\nu(C=O)) of UQ/cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>MQ</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QA R. sphaeroides</td>
<td>1660 (C1=O)</td>
<td>1651</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 R. sphaeroides</td>
<td>1641 (C1=O)</td>
<td>1636</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 R. viridis</td>
<td>1641 (C1=O)</td>
<td>1636</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bq oxidase E. coli</td>
<td>1658 (C1=O)</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bd oxidase E. coli</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bc2 P. denitrificans</td>
<td>1658/1644</td>
<td>1646</td>
<td>[77–79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bc3 E. coli</td>
<td>1656</td>
<td>1646</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QFR E. coli</td>
<td>1656/1644</td>
<td>1646</td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Absorption spectra of UQ10 and MQ obtained in ethanol on an ATR reflection unit. The ethanol contributions are subtracted.
The conversion of quinone to quinol includes the transfer of two electrons and protons. In proteins the intermediate state, as for example the semiquinone form can be found to be stabilized. These intermediates have also been extensively investigated by means of infrared spectroscopic approaches for the analysis of systems from photosynthesis (see for example [7,13,23,29,30]). Experimental data and calculations are also available on naphtoquinones and other quinone derivatives. However, since this review focusses on respiration we will essentially discuss the spectral signatures of reduced and oxidized ubiquinone and menaquinone.

3. Vibrational signature of quinones in different proteins from the respiratory chain

3.1. Identification of binding sites

In order to understand how quinones interact with proteins, it is important to identify the interaction partners and the factors that rule quinone orientation in the site. Often the combination of different techniques was necessary to study the position and the function of a quinone binding site. For example in the bo$_3$ oxidase from Escherichia coli the quinone binding sites have been analyzed by crystallographic, spectroscopic and biochemical studies leading to the suggestion that the quinone-binding sites are located close to the low-spin heme B in subunit I and a second one close to subunit II.

The purified bo$_3$ oxidase contains a tightly bound UQ$_8$ [31], which can be stabilized as an ubisemiquinone radical during enzymatic turnover [32,33]. Sato-Watanabe et al. [31] reported about a high affinity quinone binding site (QH) in vicinity to the low spin heme b by means of Raman spectroscopies. On the basis of the crystal structure, biochemical and EPR spectroscopic studies the residues participating to this high-affinity quinone binding site [34-36] have been identified. Sato-Watanabe et al. [31] postulated that the QH site serves as a transient electron reservoir for the two-electron supply from the low affinity site (QL) and gates electron transfer, allowing sequential one-electron transfer from the QL site to heme b. In an alternative explanation the second bound quinone seems to be able to rapidly exchange with the substrate pool [37]. The QL site was proposed to be located close to the QH site [37] by EPR spectroscopies or in the C-terminal hydrophilic domain of subunit II by photoaffinity cross-linking [38,39] and mutagenesis studies [40,41].

Selective $^{13}$C-labeling of the bound ubiquinone in cytochrome bo$_3$ allowed depicting the vibrational modes of the ubiquinone from the overall contribution of the protein in electrochemically induced FTIR difference spectra [25]. On the basis of the experiments with the specifically $^{13}$C-labeled UQ$_2$ analogues, the two carbonyl modes could be distinguished and the mainly symmetrical binding of the ring portion to the binding pocket was revealed.

Mutants in the vicinity of the QH binding sites have then been studied. In electrochemically induced FTIR difference spectra on the highly conservative D75E mutant enzyme, the shifts of signals from 1734 to 1750 cm$^{-1}$ have been identified in direct comparison to wild type [42]. These modes, concomitant with the reduced state of the enzyme, could be assigned to the $\nu$(C=O) vibrational mode of protonated D75 and E75, respectively. In the spectroscopic region where signals for deprotonated acidic groups were expected, bands shifted for the $\nu$(COO$^{-}$)$_{as}$ modes from 1563 to 1554-1539 cm$^{-1}$ and from 1315 to 1336 cm$^{-1}$, for the oxidized enzyme, indicating that D75 is deprotonated in the oxidized form of cytochrome bo$_3$ and is protonated upon full reduction of the enzyme. The infrared spectroscopic data provides strong support for the proton uptake of D75 upon reduction of the bound ubiquinone at the high affinity site. EPR spectroscopies confirmed the important role of D75 for the catalytic reaction of the quinone radical that is suggested to be binding in an anionic rather than a neutral protonated form [36].

Fig. 2. Oxidized-minus reduced FTIR difference spectra of the bc$_1$ complex from P. denitrificans. The 1264 cm$^{-1}$ signal used for the analysis of the ubiquinone content is highlighted.

Fig. 3. Oxidized minus reduced FTIR difference spectra of UQ and MQ in phosphate buffer at pH 7 for the full potential step. The positive signals correlate with the neutral quinone and the negative signals with the fully protonated and reduced quinol.
3.2. Accommodation of different quinone types in *E. coli* complexes

Another question that was addressed by means of infrared difference spectroscopy is the possibility of facultative anaerobic bacteria and lower eukaryotes to adapt their metabolism in response to environmental changes. An important aspect of this adaptability is that many bacteria can synthesize both ubiquinone (UQ) and menaquinone (MQ) [43]. This is also the case for *E. coli*, where, depending on the growing conditions, different quinone types are found in the membrane. Three types of quinones are synthesized: the benzoquinone ubiquinone (UQ₈) and two naphthoquinones, demethylmenaquinone (DMQ) and menaquinone (MQ). The composition varies depending upon the aerobic–anaerobic environment of the cell. Ubiquinone (UQ) and DMQ dominate under aerobic conditions, comprising ~65 and 32% of the quinone pool, with the remaining ~3% being MQ. This ratio changes, however, upon the switch to a more anoxic environment with about a 20-fold increase in MQ levels, a slight 1.5–2-fold increase in DMQ, and an 8-fold reduction in UQ [44–47].

Importantly some membrane-bound bacterial enzymes can catalyze redox reactions with both UQ and MQ despite the different structures and midpoint potentials. Quinones vary in redox potential (pH 7) with MQ (Em = −50 mV) having the lowest potential and DMQ (Em = −70 mV) and UQ (Em = −90 mV) having higher potential [45,46]. Although the redox properties of quinones depend for a large part on their chemical nature, it is also clear that the interactions between the quinone and the protein at a specific binding site can further modulate the electronic properties and thus the redox potential of this cofactor in situ. In general the modulation of the quinone midpoint potential may for example be induced by the orientation of the side chains in the protein site. It was reported that the difference in the redox potentials of up to 70 mV between the two quinones bound to the bacterial reaction center is related to differences in methoxy group conformations [48]. In order to bind both types of quinone, ubiquinol and menaquinol, the presence of different sites may be suggested. Alternatively a structural change within one site may occur allowing the rearrangement due to the structural and steric differences between the one- and two-ring quinone systems.

Membrane-bound quinol–fumarate reductase (QFR) in *E. coli* is an example of an enzyme that can readily use both types of quinones and shows high menaquinol–fumarate and succinate–quinone reductase activities [49]. The X-ray structure of the four subunit complexes in *E. coli*, was solved revealing that the FrdB and FrdD subunits comprise the soluble component that contains a dicarboxylate substrate binding site, a covalently bound FAD, and three linearly arranged iron–sulfur centers [50]. The membrane-spanning hydrophobic subunits FrdC and FrdD, necessary to anchor the soluble FrdAB domain to the membrane, were found to harbor two menaquinone binding sites. However, only the proximal quinone binding site (Q₀), that is positioned proximal to the [3Fe–4S] cluster of the FrdB subunit, comprises a catalytically active site [51].

Electrochemically induced FTIR difference spectra of the QFR in the presence of ubiquinone or menaquinone revealed changes related to the interaction with the protein [Fig. 4]. The most prominent signal that could be depicted was a vibrational mode of 1518 cm⁻¹ characteristic for a protonated tyrosine residue and of 1498 cm⁻¹ characteristic for the deprotonated form, that is shifted in wild-type and the Tyr-C25 and Asp-D88 mutants in the presence of MQ [52–54]. Interestingly, the Tyr-C25 side chain in QFR is located within 5 Å of the important residue Glu-C29 carboxyl on the polar side from the Q₀ pocket. It is also hydrogen-bonded to Asp-D88 that is close to the protein surface where its protons could exchange with bulk solvent. On this basis it may be suggested that Tyr-C25 is a part of the proton-transfer pathway [54].

Kinetic measurements and docking studies of site specific mutations at the quinone binding site revealed that the ubiquinone and the menaquinone reduction does not take the same pathway for the proton transfer confirming that the site accommodates to the type of quinone used [55]. Importantly, not an individual residue functions as a partner for proton uptake; but several residues seem to be able to take this role. The flexibility of the quinone binding site was then suggested to be an evolutionarily conserved mechanism not only for maximizing, but also for regulating respiratory function [55].

A second example of a protein, where the accommodation of both UQ and MQ was studied by means of infrared spectroscopy, is cytochrome bd, a widely distributed bacterial oxidase [56]. In the respiratory chain of *E. coli*, the bd oxidase is expressed under microaerophilic growth conditions [57–60]. No crystal structure is available for the bd oxidase yet, but a hydrophilic loop, between transmembrane helices VI and VII in subunit I (the “Q loop”), was shown to be necessary for quinol oxidation, and probably comprises part of a quinol binding site [61]. The bd oxidase seems to be able to accommodate a semiquinone radical [62].

In the electrochemically induced FTIR difference spectra of *bd* oxidase, seen in Fig. 5 for the aerobically and the anaerobically grown *E. coli*, the changes of the quinone type can be clearly depicted and modes typical for ubiquinone (Fig. 5A) and menaquinone (Fig. 5B) arise. Interestingly, it was found that a mode at positive 1734 cm⁻¹ is increased for the anaerobic form in Fig. 5B. The subtraction of the two difference spectra highlights this change and a negative mode appears in Fig. 5C. The signal is found at a position characteristic for protonated acidic residues. At the same time the negative signal seen at 1595 cm⁻¹ in Fig. 5A, is absent in the spectra in the presence of menaquinone and correspondingly a negative signal is seen in the respective double difference spectra in Fig. 5C. These signals indicate a change in the protonation state of an aspartic or glutamic acid depending on the presence of ubiquinone or menaquinone [63].

When comparing the two studies on the *bd* oxidase and QFR it can be concluded that in both cases the site is able to adapt to the different quinone types. Whereas in the *bd* oxidase the protonation change of an acidic residue is observed, in the QFR a tyrosine residue seems to reorganize. This is not surprising since the binding site of quinones does...
not seem to have one common binding motif. The presence of some different motif types has been suggested [64]. In order to identify interaction principles, studies on other enzymes able to accommodate different quinones would be interesting, as for example the NADH ubiquinone reductase, complex I, where ubiquinone signals have been identified [65].

3.3. Interaction with inhibitors — the bc₁ complex

Ubiquinol–cytochrome c oxidoreductase (cytochrome bc₁ complex; complex III) is a fundamental component of the respiratory electron transfer chains located in the inner mitochondrial or bacterial cytoplasmic membrane. The crystal structures of several complexes have been reported (for example [66] and for review see [67]). All the bc₁ complexes contain at least the three catalytic subunits: cytochrome c₁, with covalently bound c-type heme, cytochrome b with two b-type hemes (b₁ and b₃), and the Rieske iron sulfur protein with a [2Fe–2S] cluster. The enzyme couples the electron transfer from ubiquinol to cytochrome c to the translocation of protons across the membrane. Both bacterial and mitochondrial bc₁ complexes follow the same catalytic mechanism, the so-called Q-cycle which relies on two separate binding sites for quinones, Qo and Qi [68–70]. The Qo site is located close to heme b₁ and the [2Fe–2S] cluster, and the Qi site is close to heme b₃ on the opposite side of the membrane. Although this mechanism is generally accepted, various models for the quinol oxidation mechanism at the Qo site have been discussed (see for example [71–75]). Inhibitors provide an important tool to analyze the molecular mechanism of the bc₁ complex and have been extensively used to characterize the different quinone binding sites.

The crystal structure of the bc₁ complex from Yeast with natural stigmatellin A bound at the Qo site showed tight and specific binding of the inhibitor with the position of the conjugated trienes stabilized by several van der Waals interactions with cytochrome b residues [66]. The chromone head group was found to be stabilized by numerous nonpolar and a few polar interactions, including a hydrogen bond from the carbonyl group (4-CO) to His181, one of the [2Fe–2S] cluster ligands of the Rieske protein, which is thereby fixed in docking position on cytochrome b [66]. FTIR difference spectra obtained on bacterial [27, 76–79] and mitochondrial bc₁ complexes [80] clearly revealed the presence of signals of the quinone(s) in the bc₁ complex. The number of quinones found depended on the organism and on the purification protocol. For the bc₁ complex from P. denitrificans 2–3 quinones have been identified [27]. For the bc₁ complex from Rhodobacter capsulatus a number of around 6–8 per monomer were reported, revealing not specifically bound quinone molecules [78]. The presence of nonspecifically bound quinone molecules has to be carefully taken into account when infrared data is interpreted, also in the case of other proteins. For the bc₁ complex we will thus review here mainly the data on the inhibitor interaction and on some of the mutants close to the quinone binding site.

FTIR difference spectroscopy was combined with isotope labeling of a modified Qo site inhibitor, undecylstigmatellin, to monitor the conformational changes of the protein upon inhibitor binding and identify redox-dependent alterations in the protonation state of acidic residues [76]. The comparison of spectra obtained from wild type and the bc₁ complex inhibited with natural stigmatellin A, ¹²C- and ¹³C-undecylstigmatellin, lead to the assignment of protein-specific features changes upon inhibitor binding. The inhibitors were found to be redox active, and the assignment of shifts from bands derived from stigmatellin itself was possible. It is noted, that these observations show that the co-reduction of stigmatellin has to be regarded in studies where the reduction of the enzyme in the presence of inhibitor is monitored.

In the electrochemically induced FTIR difference spectra described in Ritter et al. [76], several signals could be attributed to variations occurring in the presence of the inhibitors. The protein specific changes include the decrease of a signal at 1746 cm⁻¹ when stigmatellin is bound, whereas a signal at 1736 cm⁻¹ arises, as can be seen in Fig. 6 and the inset. These signals are located in a spectral region that exclusively includes contributions from protonated acidic groups, providing evidence that the binding of the inhibitor affects one or two protonated side chains. To interpret these spectral changes, two different scenarios can be considered. One explanation could be that the binding of inhibitor induces an environmental change of a protonated residue, leading to weaker hydrogen bonding and inducing the downshift of the ν(C=O) vibrational mode. Alternatively, the decrease of a signal at 1746 cm⁻¹ was explained as deprotonation of an acidic residue, whereas the emerging signal at 1736 cm⁻¹ would be derived from protonation of another residue. E272 (numbering for yeast) was shown to be a direct interaction partner to the hydroxyl group of stigmatellin [76] and thus was suggested to be deprotonated. It is possibly at the origin of the shifts seen here.

![Fig. 5. Oxidized minus reduced FTIR difference spectra of aerobically (A) and anaerobically grown (B) bd oxidase from E. coli. The double difference spectra (C) highlight the vibrational modes of the two quinones and the differences in the protein environment upon change of quinone type.](image)

![Fig. 6. Oxidized minus reduced FTIR difference spectra of wild type bc₁ complex from S. cerevisiae in the absence (full line) and presence of stigmatellin (dotted line). The inset shows the enlarged view of the spectral region characteristic for the protonated acidic residues.](image)
The contribution of residues from the Qo binding site to this spectral range and their protonation state was further probed in the bc1 complex from *P. denitrificans* [81]. On the basis of the comparison of the electrochemically induced FTIR difference spectra of the wild type (Fig. 7A) with the E295Q (B) and the D278N (C) mutant enzymes, it was discussed that these residues may be protonated in the oxidized form and thus be contributing to the signal at 1746 cm$^{-1}$ in wild type as the signal was found to be decreased for both mutants. Alternatively it was suggested that the mutations perturb the local environment of protonated residues. Interestingly in both mutants the vibrational range and their protonation state was further probed in the FTIR difference spectroscopy is established as a technique that provides information on the type of quinone present in the sample. It is a method able to contribute to the understanding of the geometry of the binding site as the two C==O groups may be distinguished when introducing isotopically labeled quinones and when perturbing the site with site directed mutants. It was described that reaction induced infrared difference spectra reflect conformational and protonation changes that may occur upon an induced perturbation, such as a mutation, the binding of an inhibitor or the change of the type of quinone. On this basis the interesting question of the accommodation of different types of quinones and the plasticity of the quinone binding site was studied.
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**Fig. 7.** Oxidized minus reduced FTIR difference spectra of the wild type bc1 from *P. denitrificans* (A) in comparison to the E295Q (B) and D278N (C) mutant enzymes. The line indicates the C==O vibration of UQ, shifted upon mutation.
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