



On odd covering systems with distinct moduli

Song Guo, Zhi-Wei Sun^{*,1}

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, PR China

Received 10 December 2004; accepted 22 January 2005

Available online 13 May 2005

Abstract

A famous unsolved conjecture of P. Erdős and J.L. Selfridge states that there does not exist a covering system $\{a_s \pmod{n_s}\}_{s=1}^k$ with the moduli n_1, \dots, n_k odd, distinct and greater than one. In this paper we show that if such a covering system $\{a_s \pmod{n_s}\}_{s=1}^k$ exists with n_1, \dots, n_k all square-free, then the least common multiple of n_1, \dots, n_k has at least 22 prime divisors.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, we simply let $a(n)$ denote the residue class

$$a \pmod{n} = \{a + nx : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In the early 1930s P. Erdős called a finite system

$$A = \{a_s(n_s)\}_{s=1}^k \tag{*}$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: guosong77@sohu.com (S. Guo), zwsun@nju.edu.cn (Z.-W. Sun).

¹ Supported by the National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (No. 10425103) and the Key Program of NSF (No. 10331020) in China.

of residue classes a *covering system* if $\bigcup_{s=1}^k a_s(n_s) = \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly (*) is a covering system if and only if it covers $0, 1, \dots, N_A - 1$ where $N_A = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ is the least common multiple of the moduli n_1, \dots, n_k .

Here are two covering systems with distinct moduli constructed by Erdős:

$$\{0(2), 0(3), 1(4), 5(6), 7(12)\},$$

$$\{0(2), 0(3), 0(5), 1(6), 0(7), 1(10), 1(14), 2(15), 2(21), 23(30), 4(35),$$

$$5(42), 59(70), 104(105)\}.$$

Covering systems have been investigated by various number theorists and combinatorists, and many surprising applications have been found. (See [3,4,7].)

A covering system with odd moduli is said to be an *odd covering system*. Here is a well-known open problem in the field (cf. [3]).

Erdős–Selfridge Conjecture. *There does not exist an odd covering system with the moduli distinct and greater than one.*

In 1986–1987, by a lattice-geometric method, M.A. Berger, A. Felzenbaum and A.S. Fraenkel [1,2] obtained some necessary conditions for system (*) to be an odd covering system with $1 < n_1 < \dots < n_k$, one of which is the inequality

$$\prod_{t=1}^r \frac{p_t - 1}{p_t - 2} - \sum_{t=1}^r \frac{1}{p_t - 2} > 2,$$

where p_1, \dots, p_r are the distinct prime divisors of N_A . They also showed that if (*) is an odd covering system with n_1, \dots, n_k square-free, distinct and greater than one, then the above inequality can be improved as follows:

$$\prod_{t=1}^r \frac{p_t}{p_t - 1} - \sum_{t=1}^r \frac{1}{p_t - 1} \geq 2$$

and consequently $r \geq 11$. This was also deduced by the second author [6] in a simple way.

In 1991, by a complicated sieve method, R.J. Simpson and D. Zeilberger [5] proved that if (*) is an odd covering system with n_1, \dots, n_k square-free, distinct and greater than one, then N_A has at least 18 prime divisors.

In this paper we obtain further improvement in this direction by a direct argument.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (*) is an odd covering system with $1 < n_1 < \dots < n_k$. If $N_A = [n_1, \dots, n_k]$ is square-free, then it has at least 22 prime divisors.*

In contrast with the Erdős–Selfridge conjecture, recently the second author [8] showed that if (*) is a covering system with $1 < n_1 < \dots < n_k$ then it cannot cover every integer an odd number of times.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

For convenience, in this section we let $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}: a \leq x \leq b\}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume that $N = N_A = p_1 \cdots p_r$ where $p_1 < \cdots < p_r$ are distinct odd primes. For each $t \in [1, r]$, we set

$$d_t = \left\lfloor \frac{3}{5}(t - 1) \right\rfloor$$

(where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the greatest integer function), and define

$$M_t = \begin{cases} \{p_i p_t: 1 \leq i \leq d_t\} & \text{if } t \leq 8, \\ \{p_i p_t: 1 \leq i \leq d_t\} \cup \{p_1 p_2 p_t, p_1 p_3 p_t\} & \text{if } t \geq 9. \end{cases}$$

Note that $d_1 = d_2 = 0$ and hence $M_1 = M_2 = \emptyset$.

For $s \in [1, k]$ let

$$n'_s = \begin{cases} p_t & \text{if } n_s \in M_t \text{ for some } t, \\ n_s & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $n'_s \mid n_s$, we have $a_s(n_s) \subseteq a_s(n'_s)$. Thus $A' = \{a_s(n'_s)\}_{s=1}^k$ is also an odd covering system. Let

$$\bar{I} = [1, k] \setminus \bigcup_{t=1}^r I_t \quad \text{where } I_t = \{1 \leq s \leq k: n'_s = p_t\}.$$

Then

$$\bigcup_{s \in \bar{I}} a_s(n'_s) \supseteq [0, N - 1] \setminus \bigcup_{t=1}^r \bigcup_{s \in I_t} a_s(n'_s) = \bigcap_{t=1}^r \left([0, N - 1] \setminus \bigcup_{s \in I_t} a_s(n'_s) \right).$$

For each $t \in [1, r]$, clearly $|I_t| \leq d_t + 1 < p_t$ if $t \leq 8$, and $|I_t| \leq d_t + 3$ otherwise. Observe that $d_t \leq 3(p_t - 1)/5 < p_t - 3$ if $t \geq 9$. So there is a subset R_t of $[0, p_t - 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $|R_t| = p_t - 1 - d_t$ if $t \leq 8$, and $|R_t| = p_t - 3 - d_t$ if $t \geq 9$;
- (b) $x \not\equiv a_s \pmod{p_t}$ for any $x \in R_t$ and $s \in I_t$.

Define

$$X = \{x \in [0, N - 1]: \text{ the remainder of } x \text{ mod } p_t \text{ lies in } R_t \text{ for each } t \in [1, r]\}.$$

Then $|X| = \prod_{t=1}^r |R_t|$ by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, also

$$X \subseteq \bigcap_{t=1}^r \left([0, N - 1] \setminus \bigcup_{s \in I_t} a_s(n'_s) \right) \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in \bar{I}} a_s(n'_s) = \bigcup_{s \in \bar{I}} a_s(n_s)$$

and hence $X = \bigcup_{s \in J} X_s$, where

$$X_s = X \cap a_s(n_s) \quad \text{and} \quad J = \{s \in \bar{I} : X_s \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For each $s \in J$, the set X_s consists of those $x \in [0, N - 1]$ for which $x \equiv a_s \pmod{p_t}$ if $p_t \mid n_s$, and $x \equiv r_t \pmod{p_t}$ for some $r_t \in R_t$ if $p_t \nmid n_s$. Thus, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$|X_s| = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq r \\ p_t \nmid n_s}} |R_t| = |X| \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq r \\ p_t \mid n_s}} |R_t|^{-1} \quad \text{for all } s \in J.$$

Let $a_0 \in X$, $n_0 = p_1 p_2$ and $X_0 = X \cap a_0(n_0)$. Again by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$|X_0| = \prod_{2 < t \leq r} |R_t| = |X| \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq r \\ p_t \mid n_0}} |R_t|^{-1}.$$

Let $j = 0$ if $n_0 \notin \{n_s : s \in J\}$, and let j be the unique element of J with $n_j = n_0$ if $n_0 \in \{n_s : s \in J\}$. Set $J_0 = \{s \in J : (n_s, n_0) = 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &= \left| \bigcup_{s \in J \cup \{j\}} X_s \right| \leq \sum_{s \in J \setminus (J_0 \cup \{j\})} |X_s| + \left| X_j \cup \bigcup_{s \in J_0} X_s \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{s \in J \setminus (J_0 \cup \{j\})} |X_s| + |X_j| + \sum_{s \in J_0} |X_s \setminus X_j| \\ &= \sum_{s \in J \setminus (J_0 \cup \{j\})} |X_s| + |X_j| + \sum_{s \in J_0} (|X_s| - |X_s \cap X_j|) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$|X| \leq \sum_{s \in J \cup \{j\}} |X_s| - \sum_{s \in J_0} |X_s \cap X_j|.$$

If $s \in J_0$, then $X_s \cap X_j$ consists of those $x \in [0, N - 1]$ for which $x \equiv a_j \pmod{n_j}$, $x \equiv a_s \pmod{n_s}$, and $x \equiv r_t \pmod{p_t}$ for some $r_t \in R_t$ if $p_t \nmid n_j n_s$, therefore

$$|X_s \cap X_j| = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq r \\ p_t \nmid n_j n_s}} |R_t| = |X| \prod_{\substack{1 \leq t \leq r \\ p_t \mid n_0 n_s}} |R_t|^{-1}.$$

Set

$$D_1 = \{d > 1 : d \mid N\} \setminus \left(\{p_1, \dots, p_r\} \cup \bigcup_{t=1}^r M_t \right),$$

and

$$D_2 = \{n_s : s \in J \cup \{j\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad D_3 = \{d \in D_1 : (d, n_0) = 1\}.$$

If $s \in J$, then $n'_s \neq p_t$ for any $t \in [1, r]$, and thus $n_s = n'_s \in D_1$. Since $d_2 = 0$, we also have $n_j = p_1 p_2 \in D_1$. Therefore $D_2 \subseteq D_1$, and so $D_2 \cap D_3$ coincides with $D_4 = \{n_s : s \in J_0\}$.

Let

$$x_t = |R_t|^{-1} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, r,$$

$$I(d) = \{1 \leq t \leq r : p_t \mid d\} \quad \text{for any positive divisor } d \text{ of } N.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \in D_1 \setminus D_2} \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t - x_1 x_2 \sum_{d \in D_3 \setminus D_4} \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t \\ &= \sum_{d \in D_1 \setminus (D_2 \cup D_3)} \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t + (1 - x_1 x_2) \sum_{d \in D_3 \setminus D_4} \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &\leq \sum_{s \in J \cup \{j\}} |X_s| - \sum_{s \in J_0} |X_s \cap X_j| \\ &= \sum_{d \in D_2} |X| \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t - \sum_{d \in D_4} |X| x_1 x_2 \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t \\ &\leq |X| \left(\sum_{d \in D_1} \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t - x_1 x_2 \sum_{d \in D_3} \prod_{t \in I(d)} x_t \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_1 = d_2 = 0$ and $d_t < 3$ for $t < 6$, by the above we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [1, r] \\ |I| > 1}} \prod_{t \in I} x_t - \sum_{t=1}^r \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d_t} x_i x_t - \sum_{9 \leq t \leq r} (x_1 x_2 x_t + x_1 x_3 x_t) \\ &\quad - x_1 x_2 \left(\sum_{\substack{I \subseteq [3, r] \\ |I| > 1}} \prod_{t \in I} x_t - \sum_{3 \leq t \leq r} \sum_{3 \leq i \leq d_t} x_i x_t \right) \\ &= \prod_{t=1}^r (1 + x_t) - 1 - \sum_{t=1}^r x_t - \sum_{t=3}^r \sum_{i=1}^{d_t} x_i x_t - \sum_{9 \leq t \leq r} (x_1 x_2 x_t + x_1 x_3 x_t) \\ &\quad - x_1 x_2 \left(\prod_{t=3}^r (1 + x_t) - 1 - \sum_{t=3}^r x_t - \sum_{t=6}^r \sum_{i=3}^{d_t} x_i x_t \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \geq 2$, where

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = (1 + x_1 + x_2) \prod_{t=3}^r (1 + x_t) - \sum_{t=1}^r x_t + x_1 x_2 - \sum_{t=3}^r \sum_{i=1}^{d_t} x_i x_t \\ + x_1 x_2 \sum_{t=3}^8 x_t - x_1 x_3 \sum_{9 \leq t \leq r} x_t + x_1 x_2 \sum_{t=6}^r \sum_{i=3}^{d_t} x_i x_t$$

can be written in the form $\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} c_{i_1, \dots, i_r} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r}$ with $c_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \geq 0$.

Let $q_1 = 3 < \cdots < q_r$ be the first r odd primes. For each $t \in [1, r]$, as $p_t \geq q_t$ we have $x_t \leq x'_t$, where

$$x'_t = \begin{cases} (q_t - d_t - 1)^{-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq 8, \\ (q_t - d_t - 3)^{-1} & \text{if } 9 \leq t \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$f(x'_1, \dots, x'_r) \geq f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \geq 2.$$

By computation through computer we find that

$$f(x'_1, \dots, x'_{21}) = 1.995 \dots < 2,$$

therefore $r \neq 21$. (This is why we define d_t and M_t in a somewhat curious way.)

In the case $r < 21$, we let $p_{r+1} < \cdots < p_{21}$ be distinct primes greater than p_r , and then

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a_1(n_1), \dots, a_k(n_k), 0(p_{r+1}), \dots, 0(p_{21})\}$$

forms an odd covering system with $N_{\mathcal{A}}$ square-free and having exactly 21 distinct prime divisors. This is impossible by the above.

Now we can conclude that $r \geq 22$ and this completes the proof.

References

- [1] M.A. Berger, A. Felzenbaum, A.S. Fraenkel, Necessary condition for the existence of an incongruent covering system with odd moduli, *Acta Arith.* 45 (1986) 375–379.
- [2] M.A. Berger, A. Felzenbaum, A.S. Fraenkel, Necessary condition for the existence of an incongruent covering system with odd moduli II, *Acta Arith.* 48 (1987) 73–79.
- [3] R.K. Guy, *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*, third ed., Springer, New York, 2004 (Sections F13 and F14).
- [4] Š. Porubský, J. Schönheim, Covering systems of Paul Erdős: Post, present and future, in: G. Halász, L. Lovász, M. Simonovits, V.T. Sós (Eds.), *Paul Erdős and His Mathematics, I*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., vol. 11, 2002, pp. 581–627.
- [5] R.J. Simpson, D. Zeilberger, Necessary conditions for distinct covering systems with square-free moduli, *Acta Arith.* 59 (1991) 59–70.
- [6] Z.W. Sun, On covering systems with distinct moduli, *J. Yangzhou Teachers College Nat. Sci. Ed.* 11 (3) (1991) 21–27.
- [7] Z.W. Sun, On integers not of the form $\pm p^a \pm q^b$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 128 (2000) 997–1002.
- [8] Z.W. Sun, On the range of a covering function, *J. Number Theory* 111 (2005) 190–196.