Representation of the Glomerular Olfactory Map in the *Drosophila* **Brain**

Elizabeth C. Marin,^{1,4} Gregory S.X.E. Jefferis,^{1,2,3,4} **Takaki Komiyama,1,2 Haitao Zhu,1** and Liqun Luo^{1,2,3} ¹Department of Biological Sciences

tennal lobe is represented in higher olfactory centers, expressing a given receptor are dispersed in the olfacgle-cell tracing of projection neurons (PNs) that send Chess et al., 1994; Clyne et al., 1999; Vosshall et al., 1999, revealed their stereotypical axon branching patterns receptors have convergent axonal projections to speand terminal fields in the lateral horn. PNs with similar cific glomerular targets in the antennal lobe/olfactory boring glomeruli. The glomerular classes of individual of the central nervous system (Ressler et al., 1994; Vas-PNs could be accurately predicted based solely on sar et al., 1994; Mombaerts et al., 1996; Wang et al., their axon projection patterns. The sum of these pat- 1998; Gao et al., 2000; Vosshall et al., 2000). Indeed, terns defines an "axon map" in higher olfactory cen- imaging studies from insects and mammals have demters reflecting which olfactory receptors provide input. onstrated that specific odorants elicit activation of spe-

tant organizational principles of the nervous system. centers read this glomerular code? First, the sensory world is internally represented in the Olfactory information leaves the antennal lobe/olfacbrain as neural maps. In the case of the somatosensory tory bulb via projection neurons (PNs) in insects and mitral cells in vertebrates. These neurons send their topographic map, for example, neurons in neighboring regions of the primary somatosensory cortex respond dendrites to glomeruli, where they synapse with ORN selectively to stimulation of neighboring body parts axons, and project their axons to the mushroom body (Penfield and Rasmussen, 1950), maintaining a somato- and the lateral horn of the protocerebrum in insects and topy even though these cortical neurons are several to the olfactory cortex in vertebrates. We have recently synapses away from the sensory neurons. Second, neu- shown that the glomerular targets of PNs in the antennal rons at different levels along central pathways must both lobe—and hence the olfactory information they carry represent and integrate sensory inputs in order to ex- are prespecified by PN lineage and birth order (Jefferis tract useful information. For instance, neurons at differ- et al., 2001). Having collected information in this stereoent levels in the central visual pathways respond to typed fashion, how do PNs then carry it to higher olfacincreasingly more abstract visual features, presumably tory centers? At one extreme, axons of PNs representing by integrating information from multiple neurons early a given glomerulus (hereafter referred to as glomerular in the pathway (Hubel and Wiesel, 1962). Integration class) could connect with a specific set of third-order also occurs across sensory modalities, as is the case neurons, thus recreating a similar odor map one synapse for optic tectum neurons that integrate separate maps further from the antennal lobe. It is also possible that for vision and hearing (Knudsen and Brainard, 1995). **Deciphering the neuroanatomical logic of how sensory ular classes projecting to common targets) and/or diverinformation in neural maps is relayed and integrated gence (PNs of the same glomerular class projecting to along central pathways will contribute to our general different targets) such that a spatial map is no longer understanding of both organizational principles. anatomically discernable, even if connections retain a**

distinct opportunity to study the anatomical basis of neural map transfer and transformation. In other sensory maps there is a clear organization within the brain along continuous axes, for example, in the visual system re**flecting the photoreceptor array of the eye. In contrast, 2Neurosciences Program Stanford University in the olfactory system, the peripheral sense organs Stanford, California 94305 show little spatial order, and the first map in the central nervous system is organized very differently, in a discontinuous, punctate map (Axel, 1995; Hildebrand and Summary Shepherd, 1997). In both mice and** *Drosophila***, each olfactory receptor neuron (ORN) likely expresses only We explored how the odor map in the** *Drosophila* **an- one specific odorant receptor, and cell bodies of ORNs the mushroom body and lateral horn. Systematic sin- tory epithelia (Ressler et al., 1993; Vassar et al., 1993; dendrites to specific glomeruli in the antennal lobe 2000). However, ORNs expressing the same odorant axon terminal fields tend to receive input from neigh- bulb, creating an odor map in this first olfactory structure This map is characterized by spatial convergence and cific sets of glomeruli in the antennal lobe/olfactory bulb divergence of PN axons, allowing integration of olfac- (e.g., Rodrigues, 1988; Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; tory information. Galizia et al., 1999; Rubin and Katz, 1999; Belluscio and Katz, 2001). Thus, there is a glomerular code in the Introduction antennal lobe/olfactory bulb—activation of specific subsets of glomeruli—that represents the specific olfactory Our perception of the external world relies on two impor- information the animal receives. How do higher olfactory**

The olfactory system provides a useful and somewhat certain degree of specificity. At the other extreme, it may not even be necessary for PNs to have an axon map ³ in higher centers. For instance, physiological studies Correspondence: lluo@stanford.edu (L.L.), jefferis@stanford.edu (G.S.X.E.J.) in locust have suggested that olfactory information is 4These authors contributed equally to this study. contained in individual PNs' slow temporal response

Figure 1. Projection Neuron Axon Pathways (A) The MARCM method results in the positive labeling of a single-cell clone (i) or a neuroblast clone (ii) in the *Drosophila* **central nervous system after FLP/FRT-induced mitotic recombination (Lee and Luo, 1999). Abbreviations: Nb, neuroblast; G, ganglion mother cell; N, (postmitotic) neuron.**

(B and C) Composite confocal images of (B) a lateral and a ventral single-cell clone in the same brain hemisphere and (C) a lateral and a ventral neuroblast clone in the same brain hemisphere. Arrowheads indicate mushroom body calyx; arrows indicate lateral horn. The scale bar equals 50 m. The area in the brain where the confocal images were taken is illustrated in the box on the gray brain icon in (D). (D) A schematic summarizing the projection patterns of PNs deriving from the three major neuroblasts. Abbreviations: AL, antennal

lobe; adPN, lPN, and vPN, PNs derived from anterodorsal, lateral, and ventral neuroblasts, respectively; iACT, inner antennocerebral tract; mACT, medial antennocerebral tract; MB, mushroom body; LH, lateral horn; D, dorsal, V, ventral; red dashed line, midline. In this and all subsequent images, anterior views of the right brain hemisphere are shown with dorsal up, unless otherwise mentioned.

patterns as well as in fast temporal correlations among alized antennal lobe projection neurons (PNs) by using

ments of their axonal projections. Moreover, the terminal the mushroom body and lateral horn. fields of PN axons in the lateral horn are stereotyped Our initial findings are consistent with earlier Golgi according to PN class, yet exhibit both convergence staining and tracer injection results in *Drosophila* **and**

pressing 40–50 different olfactory receptors project their
axons to 40–50 glomeruli in the antennal lobe (reviewed
in Jefferis et al., 2002). An estimated 150–200 antennal we first noticed that axon terminals from the thre lobe projection neurons send dendrites to these glomer-

uli and axons to the mushroom body and the lateral linguishable from one another in two-dimensional (2D) **uli and axons to the mushroom body and the lateral tinguishable from one another in two-dimensional (2D) horn of the protocerebrum (Stocker et al., 1990, 1994; projections of confocal stacks. Axons from anterodorsal Laissue et al., 1999; Jefferis et al., 2001). The MARCM neuroblast clones (Figure 2A0) occupy a small portion** (mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker) method **(Lee and Luo, 1999) allows us to generate uniquely la- and the ventral half of the lateral horn with only a few, beled neuroblast and single-cell clones in the** *Drosophila* **distinctive, dorsal branches (Figure 2A₁₋₃). By contrast,** central nervous system (Figure 1A). We selectively visu-

axons from lateral neuroblast clones (Figure 2B₀) ramify

them (Laurent et al., 2001). the driver *GAL4-GH146* **(Stocker et al., 1997), which la-To explore this problem in** *Drosophila***, we have used bels 50 adPNs derived from the anterodorsal neuroa genetic mosaic marking system (Lee and Luo, 1999) blast, 35 lPNs derived from the lateral neuroblast, and to examine labeled single projection neurons in hun- 6 vPNs derived from the ventral neuroblast, occupying dreds of brains. We found that PNs of different glomeru- 30 glomeruli (Jefferis et al., 2001; this study; Wong** et al., 2002). When we perform MARCM using GAL4**in their target areas. Of ten classes of PNs that have been** *GH146***, we can label single-cell (Figure 1B) or neuroblast subjected to discriminant analysis, we can accurately (Figure 1C) clones of PNs that allow us to determine predict the glomerular targets of individual PNs based their glomerular targets in the antennal lobe and their** axon projection pathways and termination patterns in

and divergence in their projections, allowing integration

of the rinsects (e.g., Stocker et al., 1990; Homberg et

Fichard Axel and his colleagues have made similar ob-

Fichard Axel and his colleagues have made similar o **anterodorsal and lateral PNs are distinct and nonover- Results lapping (Jefferis et al., 2001), two glomeruli in the anten-**Projection Neuron Axon Pathways
In Drosophila, about 1300 olfactory receptor neurons ex- dorsal or lateral PNs (Figure 1D; see below).

Figure 2. Stereotypical Axon Terminals in Neuroblast Clones

(A0–3) A typical anterodorsal neuroblast clone in the antennal lobe (A₀) and typical axon pro**jection patterns from three individual animals** (A_{1-3}) . The scale bars equal 20 μ m.

(B0–3) A typical lateral neuroblast clone in the antennal lobe (B₀) and typical axon projection **patterns from three individual animals (B1–3). (C0–3) A typical ventral neuroblast clone in the** antennal lobe (C₀) and typical axon projection **patterns from three individual animals (C1–3). Abbreviations: ad, anterodorsal; l, lateral; v, ventral; Nb, neuroblast; MB, mushroom body; LH, lateral horn. Clones were generated from early heat shock-induced recombination and include PNs innervating all landmark glomeruli seen in the full GH146 expression pattern for each neuroblast type. All images are 2D maximum intensity Z projections of confocal stacks.**

more broadly in both the mushroom body calyx and different representations in this higher olfactory center **the lateral horn (Figure 2B1–3), despite the fact that they for the activation of each of these two glomeruli. Lateral derive from about 15 fewer PNs. Axonal projections of PNs innervating all (Figure 4A) or part (Figure 4B) of DA1 ventral neuroblast clones (Figure 2C₀) are most distinc-** exhibit fairly simple axon patterns confined to the ventral **tive, as they appear to project to a more anterior and half of the lateral horn, while anterodorsal PNs innervatventral region of the lateral horn than those of anterodor- ing VA1lm (Figure 4D) exhibit a highly distinctive axon sal or lateral neurons and to include a highly stereotypi- branching pattern in the central and ventral regions of cal branch that runs anteriorly and parallel to the dorsal the lateral horn. The axon patterns of ventral PNs inedge of the brain toward the midline (Figure 2C₁₋₃). Since nervating DA1 (Figure 4C) and VA1lm (Figures 4E and adPNs and lPNs innervate different subsets of glomeruli 4F) are quite similar to each other and much more com- (Figure 1D; Jefferis et al., 2001) and therefore carry differ- plex than their anterodorsal or lateral counterparts. In ent sets of olfactory information, these observations contrast, a ventral PN uniquely innervating VL1 exhibits provide the first indication of spatial segregation of ol- a distinctive, diffuse, and highly complex axon pattern factory information in the mushroom body and lateral along the ventral border of the lateral horn (Figure 4G). horn. horn. horn. lastly, there is a ventral PN whose dendrites ramify**

classes exhibit distinct axon projection patterns at the tional brain centers. single-cell level. We found that PNs innervating particular glomeruli in the antennal lobe exhibit stereotypical Additional Spatial Organization of Axon Branching axon branching patterns in the lateral horn that can be Pattern Revealed by 3D Reconstruction readily observed in 2D confocal stacks (Figures 3A–3H). We next created three-dimensional (3D) reconstructions For instance, the axons of all DL1 neurons examined of PN axons from 2D confocal stacks using the axon $(n > 100)$ display a major dorsal branch as they enter **the lateral horn, such that there are two distinct areas data quantitatively and with higher resolution (Figure of termination (Figure 3A). Axons of DL3 neurons also 5A). We also traced the contours of the lateral horn exhibit a major dorsal branch, but it emerges further area innervated by PNs using the nc82 counterstaining inside the lateral horn (Figure 3G). In contrast, the axons (which labels all synaptic regions in the** *Drosophila* **brain) of all VA1d neurons have a more restricted innervation as a guide. This allowed us to compare the spatial distriregion in the center of the lateral horn (Figure 3B), while bution of PN axons from different brains. those of 1 and VM2 neurons show relatively simple pat- Three-dimensional reconstruction revealed differences terns (Figures 3C and 3F). Axon patterns in the mush- in PN axon projection patterns that were not apparent room body calyx appear much less stereotypical (Fig- in 2D confocal stacks. For example, DA1 neurons from ures 3A–3H). the lateral neuroblast appear to innervate the center of**

neuroblast revealed several noteworthy features. Both ever, dorsal and lateral views of these neurons revealed lateral and ventral PNs innervate glomerulus DA1, while that they actually innervate a strikingly small area at the both anterodorsal and ventral PNs innervate glomerulus very anterior edge of the lateral horn (Figure 5B2). This VA1lm. In both cases, the two cell types innervating the approach also allowed us to distinguish some PN same glomerulus have different axon branching patterns classes whose 2D projection patterns appear relatively and spatial locations in the lateral horn, implying two similar. For example, VA1d and VA1lm PNs from the

throughout much of the antennal lobe (though notably Stereotypical Axon Branching Patterns not VL1). It sends its axon branch anteriorly from the and Terminal Fields in Single-Cell Clones ventral lateral horn toward the midline of the brain (Fig-We next tested whether PNs of different glomerular ure 4H), transferring information to unidentified addi-

tracing software Neurolucida in order to analyze these

Analysis of the axons of PNs arising from the ventral the lateral horn in 2D stacks (Figures 4A and 4B). How-

Figure 3. Stereotypical Axon Branching Patterns in Single-Cell Clones

(A0–H0) Typical examples of the antennal lobe glomerular innervation patterns of eight PN classes, six anterodorsal and two lateral.

(A1–3–H1–3) Axon projection patterns from three individual animals for each of the eight PN classes.

Parentheses indicate neuroblast of origin. Abbreviations: ad, anterodorsal; l, lateral; MB, mushroom body; LH, lateral horn. All images are 2D maximum intensity Z projections of confocal stacks.

roughly the ventral half of the lateral horn when observed terminal fields? in 2D stacks (Figures 3B and 4D). However, observation We focused our further analysis on 11 classes of of dorsal and lateral views (Figure 5B3) revealed that adPNs and lPNs, as we were able to obtain 13–16 highthe innervation of VA1d PNs is restricted to the anterior quality single-cell clones for each class. We took the 3D part of the lateral horn, while VA1lm PNs have a much reconstruction data from these 161 PNs and measured more widely distributed innervation area along the A-P a number of different morphological properties of the

we have described so far are quite striking. Our observa**tions suggest that projection neurons of different glo- of collateral branches in the mushroom body. Spatial merular classes have distinct overall 3D axon branching variables are those which indicate the location of differpatterns as well as overlapping but distinct innervation ent parts of the axon with respect to the animal's brain areas in lateral horn. To explore this hypothesis further, and are calculated using both the reconstructed axon we ask the following questions: First, can the stereotypy and the contours which define the lateral horn area. of axon branching patterns be demonstrated quantita- Examples include the 3D location of the mean of the tively? Second, is there a spatial order in the axon terminal lateral horn axon terminal endpoints. We initially calcufield? Third, do different PN classes have overlapping lated measurements for 37 such variables from the neuaxon terminal fields? Lastly, is there any discernable rons in our data set; this number was subsequently**

anterodorsal neuroblast both appear to innervate logic governing which PN classes have similar axon

axis (Figure 5B4). axons. Operationally, these properties fall into two groups that we term branching variables and spatial Quantitative Analysis of Axon Branching Patterns variables. Branching variables are those calculated us-The qualitative differences in axon branching patterns ing only the reconstructed axon, such as the total length

Figure 4. Stereotypical Axon Branching Patterns in Single-Cell Clones: Special Cases

(A0–H0) Typical examples of the antennal lobe glomerular innervation patterns of eight PN classes: two lateral, one anterodorsal, and five ventral.

(A1–3–H1–3) Axon projection patterns from three different animals for each of the eight PN classes.

Parentheses indicate neuroblast of origin. Arrow indicates noninnervated VL1 in H₀. Ab**breviations: ad, anterodorsal; l, lateral; v, ventral; p, partial; MB, mushroom body; LH, lateral horn. All images are 2D maximum intensity Z projections of confocal stacks.**

reduced to 15 selected variables (Figure 6 legend) by discriminant functions [black box, ? → f(x)]. (3) Next, we eliminating those variables that did not provide useful produced *predicted class labels* for the test neu **information (for more details, see Experimental Proce- (that had in no way contributed to training of the discrimidures and Supplemental Data at http://www.cell.com/ nant functions) by passing the values of their axonal cgi/contenct/full/109/2/243/DC1). variables as input to the discriminant functions. (4) Fi-**

discriminant analysis (LDA) to investigate whether differ- test neurons with the true class labels that had earlier ent classes of PN have distinct axon projection patterns. been set aside to calculate a *prediction error rate***. Steps This technique takes as its input a set of data in which 1–4 were repeated 40 times to generate an average individual PNs are described by several variables and prediction error.** have a class label; it produces a set of discriminant The performance of LDA on our test data is summa**functions, which are weighted combinations of the input rized in the matrix shown in Figure 6B. Along the top of variables that best separate the individuals into their the table are the true class labels; along the left side, assigned classes. We then used a crossvalidation pro- the predicted class labels. The entries of the matrix cedure summarized in Figure 6A to examine whether therefore correspond to the percentage of occasions the PN classes could be reliably distinguished. (1) We on which a test set neuron of true class X (along the took the available morphological data and split it ran- top) was predicted to be of class Y (along the left side). domly into a large** *training set* **and a small** *test set***. The The leading diagonal thus contains the percentage of training set neurons retained their PN class labels; in occasions on which neurons were correctly classified. the test set these labels were temporarily set aside. After merging the DA1 and DA1-p classes, which were (2) We used the training set neurons to train the linear largely indistinguishable (see Figure 4), our final predic-**

eliminating those variables that did not provide useful produced *predicted class labels* **for the test neurons We applied the statistical technique known as linear nally, we compared the predicted class labels of the**

Figure 5. 3D Reconstruction of Single-Cell Clone Axon Images

(A) Flowchart of information processing described in this paper.

(B) Three examples of 3D reconstruction: anterior (2, 3, 4), dorsal (2, 3, 4), and lateral (2, 3, 4) views of a DA1 neuron from the lateral neuroblast (2, 2, 2) and of a VA1d neuron (3, 3, 3) and a VA1lm neuron (4, 4, 4) from the dorsal neuroblast. Blue indicates axon, light blue indicates contours of lateral horn. The numbers 1, 1', and 1'' schematize **the approximate positions of lateral horn and mushroom body and the orientation (Abbreviations: P, posterior; A, anterior; D, dorsal; V, ventral; L, lateral; M, medial) from each view.**

tion error was 7.8% 0.1% (Figure 6B; for further de- Spatial Order in the Lateral Horn tails, see Supplemental Data at http://www.cell.com/ By uniformly scaling all lateral horn outlines (see Expericgi/contenct/full/109/2/243/DC1). Since we now had ten mental Procedures), we were able to superimpose the PN classes, random classification by LDA would have axon termination patterns of many PNs onto a standard resulted in an approximately 90% prediction error. The lateral horn. As was evident from the raw 3D reconstruc**fact that we can predict, with minimal error, the glomeru- tion data, these patterns were spatially distinct for differlar identity of PNs based simply on these axonal vari- ent PN classes. To test statistically whether the axons ables demonstrates the highly stereotyped organization of different PN classes terminate in spatially stereotyped of the axonal projection patterns of PNs. locations in the lateral horn, we initially simplified our**

which morphological properties of axons contributed are stereotyped, then the mean position (or centroid) of most to distinguishing different classes of PNs. To deter- the endpoints for each individual PN should also be mine the relative "importance" of each of the 15 vari- spatially stereotyped. We calculated the mean axon ables, we removed each variable in turn from the data endpoint positions for all 161 neurons and plotted them set and determined the increase in the prediction error in a standard brain (Figure 7A). These mean axon end**rate in the absence of the removed variable. Figure 6C point positions are clearly clustered for different classes** shows the results of such analysis. As can be seen, the of PN. We carried out a permutation test to assess the **variables** *SDy***,** *Dx***, and** *Dz* **are the most significant, as significance of this clustering: a clustering index was removal of these variables individually caused the great- calculated for our data and compared with the distribuest increase in prediction error rates. Interestingly, all tion of clustering indices calculated after randomly perthree are spatial variables relating to the position of muting the class labels of the 161 data points, thereby axons in the lateral horn (see Figure 6 legend). Thus, it simulating an absence of spatial order (see Experimental appeared that PNs of different classes are best distin- Procedures for details). This demonstrated that the obguished by the spatial location of their axon terminal served spatial clustering of PNs of the same class was endpoints in the lateral horn, so we next examined the statistically significant, with p 0.0005 (Figure 7B).**

Discriminant analysis also allowed us to determine data set as follows. We reasoned that if PN endpoints

spatial organization of PN axons in the lateral horn. While the above permutation test provides convincing

TotalVolumeAtLHAP

TotalLengthMBSegs

D Branch

error

Increase in prediction

MeanMBEndPointsPerBranch

NumMBEndPoints

ō

Figure 6. Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) (A) Schematic illustrating linear discriminant analysis and crossvalidation procedure.

(B) Summary of predictions: results of LDA using 15 most useful variables and with DA1 and DA1-p merged into one class. Green (leading diagonal) indicates percentage of correct classifications; red indicates percentage of prediction errors. See text for details.

(C) Contribution of the 15 different selected variables to the discrimination process. Definitions of variables used are as follows: SDy, standard deviation of the axon terminal positions along the dorsoventral axis; the maximum extent of the standard lateral horn along each axis corresponds to 1 unit (hereafter, standard lateral horn coordinates); Dx and Dz, mean position of a neuron's axon endpoints along the mediolateral and anteroposterior axes in standard lateral horn coordinates; MeanDistanceFromCentreLHTips, average 3D distance in microns from a neuron's axon endpoints in the lateral horn to the centroid of those axon endpoints—i.e., a measure of how spread-out the endpoints are; NumLH-Segs, number of branch segments in the lateral horn; TotalVolumeAtLHAP, volume of the convex hull enclosing the branches distal to the major branch point in the lateral horn measured in cubic microns; TotalLength-MBSegs, total length of the collateral branches in the mushroom body; BranchY, mean position along the dorsoventral axis of the whole of the axonal tree in standard lateral horn coordinates; TotLengthLHAPSubTrees, length in microns of the subtrees distal to the major branch point in the lateral horn; ScaledLHEPtoLHAP.XYZ, 3D distance from the entrance to the major branchpoint in the lateral horn in standard lateral horn coordinates; LHAPY, dorsoventral position of the major branchpoint in standard lateral horn coordinates; TotalLengthLHSegs, total length in microns of the axonal tree in the lateral horn; SDLengthLHSegs, standard deviation in microns of the length of every segment of the axonal tree in the lateral horn; MeanMBEnd-PointsPerBranch, number of endpoints (likely to be the only synapses) per collateral branch in the mushroom body; NumMBEndPoints, total number of endpoints in the mushroom body calyx.

evidence that projection neurons have spatially ordered class could potentially activate target neurons across a terminations in the lateral horn, it does not provide infor- broad extent of the lateral horn. mation as to the precise arrangement of axon terminal Second, we can obtain information regarding not only fields. We therefore plotted all axon terminal endpoints the spatial termination of a particular PN class but also of any particular PN class (average 11.2 0.3 per neu- the relationship between different PN classes. For inron) and asked whether the endpoints of different PN stance, DL1 and DM6 both exhibit prominent dorsal classes occupy similar or different regions in space. In collateral branches (Figures 3A and 3E), and this is re-Figures 7C–7F each stereo pair shows all the endpoints flected in two major clusters in their terminal fields. belonging to two different classes of PNs in two different When all axon endpoints for each DL1 and DM6 PN are colors. These plots revealed important features of the plotted, it can be seen that DL1 and DM6 PNs terminate PN axon terminal fields. First, while the terminal fields in very similar locations (Figure 7C). It is therefore likely of some PN classes occupy a small region (notably DA1, that DL1 and DM6 PNs would activate largely overlapsee also Figure 5B2), most occupy a rather large volume ping sets of third-order neurons. However, DL1 and of the lateral horn (note: the length of the axes indicates VA1lm terminal fields show partial overlap—only the the maximum size of the standard lateral horn in a partic- ventral branch of DL1 overlaps with VA1lm (Figure 7D). ular dimension). Thus, it would seem that a single PN Thus, third-order neurons innervating the ventral lateral

Figure 7. Spatial Order of Projection Neuron Terminal Field in the Lateral Horn

(A) A stereo pair showing the mean endpoint positions of individual PNs for all 161 PNs in a standard lateral horn which has been rotated 30 about the mediolateral axis; different colors represent different glomerular classes. Axes are 1 unit long.

(B) Permutation test for nonrandom location of mean endpoint positions.

(C–F) Stereo pairs of DL1 class and four other classes of PNs. Axes are 1 unit long. (C)–(E) are rotated 30 about the dorsoventral axis, while (F) is a view looking directly along the mediolateral axis.

Abbreviations: A, anterior; D, dorsal; L, lateral. (G) Dendrogram of axon terminal field similarity for different PN classes. Horizontal distance indicates degree of axon terminal field dissimilarity. At right are diagrammed locations of the glomeruli innervated by the 11 PN classes. (Abbreviations: D, dorsal; V, ventral; M, medial; L, lateral). See text for detail.

horn could receive input from both PN classes in order four of these five clusters corresponded to a pair or **to generate a response to DL1 and VA1lm activation, triplet of adjacent or almost adjacent (VM2 and DM5) while third-order neurons innervating the dorsal area glomeruli. The one exception was the pair DL1 and DM6, could sample DL1 without VA1lm. DM5 and DA1 (Figures which are separated by two intervening glomeruli not 7E and 7F) show much less overlap with DL1—indeed, included in this analysis. the DM5 terminal field neatly intercalates between the two major branches of DL1 (Figure 7E). This arrange- Early Formation of PN Axon Branches ment suggests that fewer third-order neurons are likely To begin to understand the mechanisms of formation of to be coactivated by DL1 in combination with either stereotypical PN axon projections, we examined when**

of axon terminal fields for every pair of PN classes al- cell clones generated in early larvae belong to the DL1 lowed us to generate a distance matrix plotted as a class (Jefferis et al., 2001), allowing identification prior to dendrogram (Figure 7G). This dendrogram indicates the glomerular formation. At 24 hr after puparium formation degree of similarity among different PN classes with (APF), most DL1 axons had reached the lateral horn regard to their lateral horn axon terminal fields. PN (data not shown). At 30 hr APF, all DL1 PNs examined classes with axon terminal fields occupying the same had extended their main axon branch to the distal edge part of the lateral horn with the same density of termina- of the lateral horn. At least eight of the ten clones tions would have a score of zero. Scores greater than examined had also established their stereotypical dorzero indicate the degree to which the two PN classes sal branches (Figure 8A). These stereotypical dorsal have distinct projection patterns. The 11 classes of PNs branches can also be seen in neuroblast clones (Figure are separated into five distinct clusters. Interestingly, 8B, n 12). At this stage, pioneering olfactory receptor

DM5 or DA1. their axon branches form during development. We fo-Lastly, quantitative analysis of the degree of overlap cused on the DL1 class because 100% of labeled single-

Figure 8. Early Formation of PN Axon Branches

Axon projection patterns from three DL1 PN single-cell clones (A1–3) and three anterodorsal neuroblast clones (B1–3) at 30 hr after puparium formation. The scale bar equals 20 μ m.

axons have only arrived at the protoantennal lobe \sim 8 information processing beyond the antennal lobe. Al**hr earlier. The formation of the first glomerulus is not though the stereotypical branching patterns in the lateral visible for another 6 hr, and most glomeruli are not de- horn of individual PNs are remarkable, discriminant analtectable for at least 10 hr (Jhaveri et al., 2000; our unpub- ysis revealed that the variables that contribute most to lished observations). Moreover, the earliest olfactory re- discriminating different PN classes are those regarding ceptor expression is not detected for at least another spatial distribution of axon endpoints in the lateral horn day (Clyne et al., 1999). Thus, the gross features of the (Figure 6C). It is therefore possible that the stereotypical stereotypical branching pattern of DL1 PNs are most branching patterns of axons serve the purpose of**

of projection neurons with single-cell resolution. These information processing, as discussed below. analyses allow us to describe the general rules of how the olfactory map in the antennal lobe is represented in PNs with Similar Axon Terminal Fields Tend higher brain centers. These rules have important impli- to Receive Input from Neighboring Glomeruli

patterns in three-dimensional space (Figure 5). Signifi- vation and the developmental mechanisms responcantly, we could predict, with 92% accuracy, the glomer- sible? ular origin of individual PNs based solely on their axon Molecular genetic studies in mice have suggested that projection patterns (Figure 6B). Thus, the axon projec- olfactory receptor neurons that exhibit a high degree of tion patterns of PNs largely maintain the information of sequence similarity recognize similar odors and fretheir glomerular class, and therefore the odorant recep- quently project to adjacent glomeruli (Wang et al., 1998; tors that are activated (since the majority of PNs we Malnic et al., 1999; Tsuboi et al., 1999). Indeed, imaging analyzed are uniglomerular). In short, there is an anatom- studies in honeybee, zebrafish, mice, and rats have

to shed light on the logic of the organization of olfactory the organization of peripheral olfactory systems in *Dro-*

unlikely to be influenced by olfactory sensory input. allowing them to occupy stereotypical target areas. Indeed, a conservative statistical analysis revealed that Discussion averaged axon endpoints are distributed in a highly nonrandom fashion (Figure 7A). Compilation of all axon end-Antennal lobe projection neurons collect olfactory infor- points for given classes of PNs further reveal strikingly mation from ORN axons at specific glomeruli and then stereotypical organization in the lateral horn (Figures relay that information to the mushroom body and lateral 7C–7F). Additional quantitative analysis of the spatial horn. In this study, we have systematically analyzed the order of this axon map in the lateral horn allowed us to dendrite and axon projection patterns for a large subset extract more information about the logic of olfactory

cations for how olfactory information is processed and We found that PNs with similar axon terminal fields tend how the olfactory neural network is set up. to receive input from neighboring glomeruli (Figure 7G). The converse is not necessarily true. For instance, DM5 A Stereotyped "Axon Map" for Projection Neurons and DM6 PNs project to neighboring glomeruli, but their in Lateral Horn axon maps differ considerably (Figures 7C and 7E). The The most striking observations we made in this study same applies to VA1d and DA1 (data not shown). Interare the stereotypy of the axon branching patterns and estingly, in both of these cases, one PN class derives the spatial organization of their terminal fields in the from the anterodorsal neuroblast lineage (DM6 or VA1d), lateral horn for each glomerular class of projection neu- and the other PN class derives from the lateral neuroron. Much of this stereotypy can be readily discerned blast lineage (DM5 or DA1). These observations raise from the two-dimensional axon branching patterns of an intriguing possibility that the degree of similarity of PN the different neuroblast clones (Figure 2) and single-cell axon projections corresponds largely to their glomerular clones of 16 different classes of PNs (Figures 3 and 4) positions, with lineage perhaps playing a minor role. and additionally from examining their axon branching What could be the functional significance of this obser-

ically discernable PN axon map. shown that structurally similar odorants tend to activate It is important to note that exactly how this axon map adjacent and overlapping glomeruli (Sachse et al. 1999; is utilized will have to be elucidated by future systematic Friedrich and Korsching, 1997; Rubin and Katz, 1999; functional analysis of the third-order neurons in re- Uchida et al., 2000; Belluscio and Katz, 2001; Meister sponse to olfactory stimuli. However, our study begins and Bonhoeffer, 2001). Given the striking similarity in *sophila* **and mice (Vosshall et al., 2000), this "odotopy" tion procedure in which each PN class connects to a may well hold true in** *Drosophila***. If that is the case, then stereotyped set of third-order neurons (see below), and our observation that PNs with similar axon projection each third-order neuron in turn receives input from a patterns tend to receive input from neighboring glomer- stereotyped set of PN classes. uli would imply that the lateral horn neuropil also has The degree of convergence would be a function of the an odotopic organization—similar odors likely activate dendritic fields of individual lateral horn output neurons, similar third-order neurons. This organization may re- about whose anatomical organization in insects we have flect the behavioral significance of different odors, con- virtually no knowledge at present (N. Strausfeld, pernecting odors indicating proximity to food, mating pher- sonal communications). Future genetic tracing experiomones, or proper sites for egg laying to distinct output ments analogous to this study on candidate lateral horn neurons controlling different aspects of animal behavior. output neurons will shed light on this issue.**

that could contribute to this wiring logic (similar axon formation likely happens at the third-order neuron and patterns, adjacent glomeruli). We have recently shown beyond. There is, however, at least one vPN that projects that PN glomerular targets are prespecified according its dendrites diffusely throughout the entire antennal to lineage and birth order (Jefferis et al., 2001), likely by lobe, contacting the vast majority of glomeruli, thus proendowing PNs born at different times and places with viding an extreme case for convergence at the level of different cell surface recognition molecules that allow the antennal lobe. Interestingly, the axon of this vPN is their dendrites to be precisely targeted to specific glo- unique in projecting beyond the lateral horn. What could meruli. If these recognition molecules are also used to the function of this vPN be? It is possible that it serves to specify their stereotypical axon branching pattern and inform the flies of high odor intensity in the environment without specifically conveying information about a par- terminal fields in the lateral horn, then close resemblance in the repertoire of recognition molecules that ticular odor. It may have a high activation threshold so would allow different PN classes to be targeted to adja- that only the simultaneous activation of several odorant cent glomeruli may simultaneously allow their axons to receptors can stimulate its activity. The relatively low be targeted to similar areas in the lateral horn. Such density of dendritic arborization within each glomerulus mechanisms could enable efficient assembly of neural compared to that of uniglomerular
networks coordinating the input and output specificity is consistent with this hypothesis. networks, coordinating the input and output specificity
of long-distance projection neurons with a high degree
of precision.
of precision.
projections could be achieved by two distinct cellular
projections could be achieve

Convergence and Divergence of PN Axons

A common strategy used for odor coding from insects

A common strategy used for odor coding from insects

to mammals is that single odorards activate multiple

to mammals is that sin

described in our study affords such a possibility. Com- PNs (e.g., DL1, DM6) form stereotypical branches at pared to the glomerular map, in which individual classes defined locations, clearly innervating two distinct reof ORNs project their axons to discrete units, the glomer- gions of the lateral horn. Even the local branching patuli, the PN axon map is much more diffuse (Figures terns of many classes of PNs are strikingly stereotypical of PN retain their characteristic branching pattern and and lPNs innervate both the mushroom body and the terminal fields, the terminal fields of different glomerular lateral horn by sending collaterals to mushroom body overlap are also stereotyped, such that third-order neu- distinct higher olfactory centers. rons that project their dendrites to a particular region How generally applicable are the rules we describe of the lateral horn could consistently be activated by a here to other organisms? Recent transneuronal tracing specific set of PNs. One can thus envision a reconstruc- of the central pathways of two divergent ORN classes

One can also envision developmental mechanisms Most anatomical integration of different olfactory in-

The nature of the PN axon map in the lateral horn axon branching of each individual PN belonging to the
The nature of the PN axon map in the lateral horn
described in our study affords such a possibility. Com-
pNs (e.g., **(Figures 3 and 4). Moreover, at a gross level, all adPNs** calyx, thus creating two separate representations in two

distinct third order neurons in the olfactory cortex, sup-
porting the notion that the olfactory cortex is spatially feris et al., 2001). organized with regard to the odorant information re-
ceived (Zou et al., 2001). Moreover, mitral cells that are
Axon tracing using the software Neurolucida (MicroBrightfield, Col**transneuronally labeled by each ORN class appear to chester, VT) was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructerminate in several different higher olfactory centers. tions. Raw confocal images were imported into Neurolucida, and Within a given center, the number of labeled higher- the GFP signal corresponding to the single-cell clone was manually** order neurons was much greater than the 1/1000 that
would be expected if each third-order neuron received
the same number of nonoverlapping inputs (Zou et al.,
the raw tracing output from Neurolucida was imported into the
 2001). Thus the stereotypy, divergence, and conver- org). The first and last branch points of the mushroom body and gence of the axon projections of the second-order neu-
 the first branch point in the lateral horm in the first branch point in the lateral horn were manually identified;

routines then segmented the axons into main trun **rons are likely to be conserved features from insect to routines then segmented the axons into main trunk, mushroom** mammals. It remains to be determined whether other body, or lateral norm branches. Further routines then automatically
calculated values of the descriptive variables listed below. The profeatures we described (for example, the correlation be-
tween axon projection patterns and glomerular position)
are also conserved in mice.
neurons in the brain, we scaled the lateral horns of all animals so that

individual PN classes. What about the mushroom body? It has been reported that different odors activated differ- Linear Discriminant Analysis of Axonal Projections ent subsets of *Drosophila* **mushroom body intrinsic neu- Linear discriminant analysis was carried out in R using the lda function of the MASS package (Venables and Ripley, 1994). To get a**
tiol segmention of these third-order peurops in their reliable estimate of the prediction error rate, which is stated ±SEM, tial segregation of these third-order neurons in their
responses to different odors (Wang et al., 2001).
was carried out 40 times. **Whether this spatial segregation pattern is conserved We used an empirical method to determine the relative contribuamong different individuals is not known. We found that tion of these selected variables to the discrimination process. Each axon projections in the mushroom body also exhibit a variable was removed from the data set in turn, and a 5-fold crossvalcertain degree of stereotypy, as exemplified by the axon idation error rate was calculated as above. The difference between** projection differences in adPN and IPN neuroblast
clones (Figure 2), as well as by the fact that a few mush-
room body variables contribute to the discriminant func-
in question. tions (Figure 6C). However, inspection of axon collateral **Description of Variables**
projections of different classes of PNs (Figures 3–5) did Definitions of variables a **not reveal obvious stereotypy as compared to the strik- descriptions of the remaining variables not used in the final discrimi**ing stereotypy of lateral horn axon branching patterns nant analysis described above, please see Supplementary Data at
and terminal fields. These observations suggest that http://www.cell.com/cgi/contenct/full/109/2/243/DC the mushroom body dendritic field is organized less
stereotypically than that of lateral horn with respect to
PN axons.
Permutation Test of Spatial Location in the Lateral horn of
every neuron's axon endpoints. We then cal

about the function of the mushroom bodies. Studies are clustered by PN class. First, we found the group centroid for all
using mushroom body structural mutante, oblation, or the 13-16 mean axon endpoint positions of a pa using mushroom body structural mutants, ablation, or the 13-16 mean axon endpoint positions of a particular PN class;
learning mutants (e.g., Heisenberg et al., 1985; Nighorn et al., 1994) indicate
et al., 1991; de Belle a **that while the mushroom body is essential for olfactory 11 classes to obtain 161 distances that were averaged to give a associative learning, it is not essential for odor recogni- dispersion index. This dispersion index was 0.23 units in the arbitrary** tion. By inference, then, the lateral horn must serve the dimensions of our scaled lateral horn (which spans from -1 to $+1$
more basic function of oder recognition. Our observe, arbitrary unit), or about 1/8 of the widt **more basic function of odor recognition. Our observa- arbitrary unit), or about 1/8 of the width of the lateral horn. In order** tions provide anatomical support for this earlier hypoth-
esis: a more stereotypical map in the lateral horn could
earlied out a permutation test. The PN class of every neuron was **serve a basic odor recognition function, while a more** swapped with that of another neuron picked at random so that all
plastic representation in the mushroom body could con- 161 were randomly relabeled. The mean disper **tribute to olfactory learning and memory. for 2000 such permutations was 0.473 0.0001 units (Figure 7B).**

*GH146 UAS-mCD8-GFP***, and adult and pupal brains were dissected, in mice revealed stereotypical connections with spatially**

are also conserved in mice. neurons in the brain, we scaled the lateral horns of all animals so that the widest points of each lateral horn in the *x***,** *y***, and** *z* **dimensions of Mushroom Body: A More Plastic business and the Confocal stacks corresponded to** \pm **1 arbitrary unit. The center Odor Representation? was defined as the midpoint in the** *z* **(anteroposterior) axis and the**
 Centroid in the *x* **and** *y* **axes. We were then able to superimpose** Much of our analysis has been focused on the axon
map in the lateral horn because of the stereotypy of axes or projection patterns in all lateral horns onto a single "standard"
ateral horn.

Definitions of variables are listed in the legend to Figure 6. For

PN axons. every neuron's axon endpoints. We then calculated a *dispersion* **Perhaps this is not surprising, given what is known** *index* **to measure the degree to which these mean axon endpoints 161 were randomly relabeled. The mean dispersion index calculated In short, no permutation even remotely exhibited the same degree Experimental Procedures of spatial order as the actual data.**

Clonal Analysis Spatial Relationships of Axon Terminal Fields

MARCM was carried out by heat-shocking larvae of genotype *y w hs-* **We used a nearest neighbor method to do pairwise comparisons** *FLP UAS-mCD8-GFP/(or Y); FRT G13 tubP-GAL80/FRT G13 GAL4-* **of the location of all axon endpoints in the lateral horn for different** **under consideration, which we now refer to as A and B. For each ment of neuronal connectivity in** *Drosophila* **antennal lobes and A endpoint we calculated the proportion of its ten nearest neighbors mushroom bodies. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.** *12***, 80–86. that were also class A; this proportion was then divided by the Jhaveri, D., Sen, A., and Rodrigues, V. (2000). Mechanisms underlyproportion of all axon endpoints that were class A. We then took ing olfactory neuronal connectivity in** *Drosophila***-the atonal lineage points of class B, to give a second mean. The grand mean of these** of factory lobe. Dev. Biol. 226, 73–87.
two means was then calculated and one subtracted from this value, two means was then calculated and one subtracted from this value,
to give the final "overlap" score for the pair of glomeruli being tested;
a distance of zero would thus correspond to identically distributed
axon terminal used as a distance matrix to construct a dendrogram using Ward's **method. and Stocker, R.F. (1999). Three-dimensional reconstruction of the**

543–552. Acknowledgments

publication and to Reinhard Stocker and Eric Buchner for reagents. cal process: experiments, computation, and theory. Annu. Rev. Neu-We thank Eric Knudsen, Susan McConnell, Reinhard Stocker, Lubert rosci. *24***, 263–297. Stryer, and Fan Wang for comments on the manuscript. E.C.M. and Lee, T., and Luo, L. (1999). Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants and Neuron** *22***, 451–461.**

143–162. Axel, R. (1995). The molecular logic of smell. Sci. Am. *²⁷³***, 154–159.**

Clyne, P.J., Warr, C.G., Freeman, M.R., Lessing, D., Kim, J., and
Carlson, J.R. (1999). A novel family of divergent seven-transmem-
brane proteins: candidate odorant receptors in *Drosophila*. Neuron A Clinical Study of Lo *22***, 327–338. Ressler, K.J., Sullivan, S.L., and Buck, L.B. (1993). A zonal organiza-**

Cell *73***, 597–609. in** *Drosophila* **abolished by chemical ablation of mushroom bodies. Science** *263***, 692–695. Ressler, K.J., Sullivan, S.L., and Buck, L.B. (1994). Information cod-**

organized epitope map in the olfactory bulb. Cell *79***, 1245–1255. the** *Drosophila* **antenna. Neuron** *30***, 537–552.**

otopic odorant coding in the zebrafish olfactory bulb visualized by antennal lobe of *Drosophila melanogaster***. Brain Res.** *453***, 299–307. optical imaging. Neuron** *18***, 737–752. Rubin, B.D., and Katz, L.C. (1999). Optical imaging of odorant repre-**

glomerular code for odor representation is species specific in the Sachse, S., Rappert, A., and Galizia, C.G. (1999). The spatial repre-

Drosophila olfactory neurons to specific glomeruli in the antennal Stocker, R.F. (1994). The organization of the chemosensory system

Heisenberg, M., Borst, A., Wagner, S., and Byers, D. (1985). *Dro-* **Stocker, R.F., Lienhard, M.C., Borst, A., and Fischbach, K.-F. (1990). J. Neurogenet.** *2***, 1–30.** *ter***. Cell Tissue Res.** *262***, 9–34.**

Hildebrand, J.G., and Shepherd, G.M. (1997). Mechanisms of olfac- Stocker, R.F., Heimbeck, G., Gendre, N., and de Belle, J.S. (1997).

Homberg, U., Mantague, R.A., and Hildebrand, J.G. (1989). Anatomy Tsuboi, A., Yoshihara, S., Yamazaki, N., Kasai, H., Asai-Tsuboi, H., of antenno-cerebral pathways in the brain of the sphinx moth *Man-* **Komatsu, M., Serizawa, S., Ishii, T., Matsuda, Y., Nagawa, F., and**

interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. J. neighboring glomeruli on the olfactory bulb. J. Neurosci. *19***, 8409– Physiol. (Lond.)** *160***, 106–154. 8418.**

Target neuron prespecification in the olfactory map of *Drosophila***. maps in the mammalian olfactory bulb: domain organization and Nature** *414***, 204–208. odorant structural features. Nat. Neurosci.** *3***, 1035–1043.**

PN classes. We extracted all the endpoints for the two classes Jefferis, G.S.X.E., Marin, E.C., Watts, R., and Luo, L. (2002). Develop-

organizes the periphery while sensory neurons and glia pattern the

antennal lobe in *Drosophila melanogaster***. J. Comp. Neurol.** *405***,**

Laurent, G., Stopfer, M., Friedrich, R.W., Rabinovich, M.I., Volkovskii, We are grateful to Richard Axel for communicating results prior to A., and Abarbanel, H.D. (2001). Odor encoding as an active, dynami-

marker for studies of gene function in neuronal morphogenesis.

Malnic, B., Hirono, J., Sato, T., and Buck, L.B. (1999). Combinatorial **receptor codes for odors. Cell** *⁹⁶***, 713–723. Received: December 18, 2001**

Malun, D., Waldow, U., Kraus, D., and Boeckh, J. (1993). Connec- Revised: March 4, 2002 tions between the deutocerebrum and the protocerebrum, and neuroanatomy of several classes of deutocerebral projection neurons References in the brain of male *Periphlaneta americana***. J. Comp. Neurol.** *329***,**

Barber, C.B., Dobkin, D.P., and Huhdanpaa, H. (1996). The Quickhull Meister, M., and Bonhoetter, T. (2001). Tuning and topography in algorithm for convex hulls. ACM Trans. Math. Software 22, 469–483.
an odor map on the ra

Belluscio, L., and Katz, L.C. (2001). Symmetry, stereotypy, and to-
pography of odorant representations in mouse olfactory bulbs. J. sohn, M., Edmondson, J., and Axel, R. (1996). Visualizing an olfactory
Neurosci. 21, 2113

Chess, A., Simon, I., Cedar, H., and Axel, R. (1994). Allelic inactivation

regulates olfactory receptor gene expression. Cell 78, 823–834. Prophosphodiesterase encoded by the Drosophila dunce gene is con-

Change D. Mary

tion of odorant receptor gene expression in the olfactory epithelium. de Belle, J.S., and Heisenberg, M. (1994). Associative odor learning

ing in the olfactory system: evidence for a stereotyped and highly de Bruyne, M., Foster, K., and Carlson, J.R. (2001). Odor coding in

Friedrich, R.W., and Korsching, S.I. (1997). Combinatorial and chem- Rodrigues, V. (1988). Spatial coding of olfactory information in the

Galizia, C.G., Sachse, S., Rapert, A., and Menzel, R. (1999). The sentations in the mammalian olfactory bulb. Neuron *23***, 499–511.**

honeybee *Apis mellifera***. Nat. Neurosci.** *2***, 473–478. sentation of chemical structures in the antennal lobe of honeybees: Gao, Q., Yuan, B., and Chess, A. (2000). Convergent projections of steps towards the olfactory code. Eur. J. Neurosci.** *11***, 3970–3982.**

lobe. Nat. Neurosci. *3***, 780–785. in** *Drosophila melanogaster***: a review. Cell Tissue Res.** *275***, 3–26.**

sophila **mushroom body mutants are deficient in olfactory learning. Neuronal architecture of the antennal lobe in** *Drosophila melanogas-*

tory discrimination: converging evidence for common principles Neuroblast ablation in *Drosophila* **P[GAL4] lines reveals origins of across phyla. Annu. Rev. Neurosci.** *20***, 595–631. olfactory interneurons. J. Neurobiol.** *32***, 443–452.**

*duca sexta***. Cell Tissue Res.** *254***, 255–281. Sakano, H. (1999). Olfactory neurons expressing closely linked and Hubel, D.H., and Wiesel, T.N. (1962). Receptive fields, binocular homologous odorant receptor genes tend to project their axons to**

Jefferis, G.S.X.E., Marin, E.C., Stocker, R.F., and Luo, L. (2001). Uchida, N., Takahashi, Y.K., Tanifuji, M., and Mori, K. (2000). Odor

Vassar, R., Ngai, J., and Axel, R. (1993). Spatial segregation of odorant receptor expression in the mammalian olfactory epithelium. Cell *74***, 309–318.**

Vassar, R., Chao, S.K., Sitcheran, R., Nunez, J.M., Vosshall, L.B., and Axel, R. (1994). Topographic organization of sensory projections to the olfactory bulb. Cell *79***, 981–991.**

Venables, W.N., and Ripley, B.D. (1994). Modern Applied Statistics with S-Plus (New York: Springer-Verlag).

Vosshall, L.B., Amrein, H., Morozov, P.S., Rzhetsky, A., and Axel, R. (1999). A spatial map of olfactory receptor expression in the Drosophila antenna. Cell *96***, 725–736.**

Vosshall, L.B., Wong, A.M., and Axel, R. (2000). An olfactory sensory map in the fly brain. Cell *102***, 147–159.**

Wang, F., Nemes, A., Mendelsohn, M., and Axel, R. (1998). Odorant receptors govern the formation of a precise topographic map. Cell *93***, 47–60.**

Wang, Y., Wright, N.J., Guo, H., Xie, Z., Svoboda, K., Malinow, R., Smith, D.P., and Zhong, Y. (2001). Genetic manipulation of the odorevoked distributed neural activity in the *Drosophila* **mushroom body. Neuron** *29***, 267–276.**

Wong, A.M., Wang, J.W., and Axel, R. (2002). Spatial representation of the glomerular map in the *Drosophila* **protocerebrum. Cell** *109***, this issue, 229–241.**

Zou, Z., Horowitz, L.F., Montmayeur, J.-P., Snapper, S., and Buck, L.B. (2001). Genetic tracing reveals a stereotyped sensory map in the olfactory cortex. Nature *414***, 173–179.**