Cell Metabolism

De Novo Reconstruction of Adipose Tissue Transcriptomes Reveals Long Non-coding RNA Regulators of Brown Adipocyte Development

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- Deep RNA profiling uncovers >400 adipose tissue-selective IncRNA genes
- Adipose-selective IncRNAs are dynamically regulated by common adipogenic factors
- Inc-BATE1 is needed for maturation and maintenance of brown thermogenic adipocytes
- Inc-BATE1 mediates trans-activation of brown fat and -repression of white fat genes

Authors

Juan R. Alvarez-Dominguez, Zhiqiang Bai, ..., Harvey F. Lodish, Lei Sun

Correspondence

lodish@wi.mit.edu (H.F.L.), sun.lei@duke-nus.edu.sq (L.S.)

In Brief

Alvarez-Dominguez et al. report an annotated catalog of IncRNAs active across adipose tissues, uncovering >100 brown fat-selective and dynamically regulated IncRNAs. One of them, Inc-BATE1, acts in trans to sustain the core brown fat gene program and repress white fat genes, modulating development and maintenance of brown thermogenic adipocytes.

Accession Numbers GSE66686





De Novo Reconstruction of Adipose Tissue Transcriptomes Reveals Long Non-coding RNA Regulators of Brown Adipocyte Development

Juan R. Alvarez-Dominguez,^{1,2,7} Zhiqiang Bai,^{3,7} Dan Xu,³ Bingbing Yuan,¹ Kinyui Alice Lo,⁴ Myeong Jin Yoon,³ Yen Ching Lim,³ Marko Knoll,¹ Nikolai Slavov,² Shuai Chen,⁵ Peng Chen,⁶ Harvey F. Lodish,^{1,2,*} and Lei Sun^{3,4,*} ¹Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

²Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

³Cardiovascular and Metabolic Disorders Program, Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, 8 College Road, Singapore 169857, Singapore ⁴Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, 61 Biopolis Drive, Proteos, Singapore 138673, Singapore

⁵MOE Key Laboratory of Model Animal for Disease Study, Model Animal Research Center, Nanjing Biomedical Research Institute, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210061, China

⁶Division of Bioengineering, Nanyang Technological University, 70 Nanyang Drive, Singapore 637457, Singapore ⁷Co-first author

*Correspondence: lodish@wi.mit.edu (H.F.L.), sun.lei@duke-nus.edu.sg (L.S.)

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.04.003

SUMMARY

Brown adipose tissue (BAT) protects against obesity by promoting energy expenditure via uncoupled respiration. To uncover BAT-specific long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs), we used RNA-seq to reconstruct de novo transcriptomes of mouse brown, inguinal white, and epididymal white fat and identified ~1,500 IncRNAs, including 127 BAT-restricted loci induced during differentiation and often targeted by key regulators PPAR γ , C/EBP α , and C/EBP β . One of them, Inc-BATE1, is required for establishment and maintenance of BAT identity and thermogenic capacity. Inc-BATE1 inhibition impairs concurrent activation of brown fat and repression of white fat genes and is partially rescued by exogenous Inc-BATE1 with mutated siRNA-targeting sites, demonstrating a function in trans. We show that Inc-BATE1 binds heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein U and that both are required for brown adipogenesis. Our work provides an annotated catalog for the study of fat depot-selective IncRNAs and establishes Inc-BATE1 as a regulator of BAT development and physiology.

INTRODUCTION

Brown adipose tissue (BAT), which is specialized for energy expenditure and heat generation, is an attractive therapeutic target for obesity. BAT is densely packed with mitochondria expressing high levels of uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1), which facilitates proton leakage to uncouple respiration from ATP synthesis. In rodents, BAT is activated by overfeeding as a physiological response to limit weight gain (Rothwell and Stock, 1979). Mice deficient in BAT activity are susceptible to obesity and diabetes (Feldmann et al., 2009; Hamann et al., 1996; Lowell et al., 1993), while mice with increased BAT activity or increased numbers of thermogenic adipocytes within their white fat are healthy and lean (Boström et al., 2012; Chiang et al., 2009; Seale et al., 2011). In humans, recent studies have demonstrated the presence of active BAT in adults (Cypess et al., 2009; Neder-gaard et al., 2007; van Marken Lichtenbelt et al., 2009; Virtanen et al., 2009). Human BAT activity correlates positively with resting metabolic rate and negatively with BMI (Cypess et al., 2009; Saito et al., 2009), suggesting that it contributes to body weight variability among individuals. Understanding the mechanisms underlying BAT development is thus an area of immense interest.

Previous studies have revealed many protein regulators of BAT development (Kajimura et al., 2010; Villarroya and Vidal-Puig, 2013). We and others have shown that microRNAs can also regulate BAT lineage determination and browning of white fat (Chen et al., 2013; Mori et al., 2012; Sun and Trajkovski, 2014; Sun et al., 2011; Trajkovski et al., 2012). Identifying RNA regulators of BAT development thus represents an attractive opportunity for finding new therapeutic targets against obesity.

Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) are increasingly recognized as an additional layer of regulation during cell development and disease (Alvarez-Dominguez et al., 2014a; Fatica and Bozzoni, 2014; Hu et al., 2012). We previously showed that a set of IncRNAs common to white and brown fat are essential for adipogenesis (Sun et al., 2013). One of them, Inc-RAP1 (Firre), is exclusively nuclear and interacts with the nuclear matrix factor hnRNP U to mediate *trans*-chromosomal interactions between loci encoding adipogenic factors (Hacisuleyman et al., 2014). Our knowledge of IncRNAs that selectively modulate BAT development and physiology, however, remains limited (Zhao et al., 2014).

Here, we integrate genome-wide surveys of transcription by RNA-seq and chromatin state by ChIP-seq to comprehensively characterize lncRNAs active in mouse brown, inguinal white, and epididymal white adipose tissues (BAT, iWAT, and eWAT, respectively). We uncover >1,000 previously unannotated lncRNA genes, including 127 with BAT-restricted expression, many of which are induced during differentiation and targeted by key adipogenic factors PPAR γ , C/EBP α , and C/EBP β . One of them, Inc-BATE1, is a BAT-selective IncRNA required for





Figure 1. Global Discovery of Adipose Tissue IncRNAs

(A) IncRNA discovery pipeline. See text and Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

(B) Coding capacity of adipose-expressed mRNAs and IncRNAs as estimated by phyloCSF (Lin et al., 2011).

(C) Density of CAGE tags (left) and poly(A) tags (center) within 1 kb of IncRNA transcription start sites (TSS) or end sites (TES), respectively. Right: box plots of maximal gene-level expression distributions for adipose-expressed mRNAs (maximal FPKM > 1) and IncRNAs (maximal FPKM > 0.1).

(D) Evidence of histone marking, open chromatin, and RNA Pol II binding within TSS ± 3 kb regions of adipose- expressed lncRNAs. Color intensity represents the log2 signal enrichment over input. Heatmaps are sorted by the difference in enrichment for H3K4me3 and H3K4me1, depicted by blue and red triangles to the left, respectively.

(E) Overlap between IncRNAs detected (FPKM > 0) in BAT, iWAT, and eWAT.

(F) Examples of BAT-restricted mRNAs and IncRNAs. Tracks depict RNA-seq signal for poly(A)⁺ RNA from BAT, iWAT, and eWAT as density of mapped reads. Bottom tracks depict de novo transcript models by Cufflinks and Ensembl gene annotations. Left-to-right arrows indicate transcripts in the plus strand; right-toleft arrows indicate transcripts in the minus strand.

proper development and maintenance of mature, thermogenic brown adipocytes. Inc-BATE1 acts in *trans* to selectively sustain the core BAT gene program and repress WAT-selective genes and binds hnRNP-U, which is also required for brown adipogenesis. Our work thus provides a roadmap for the discovery of fat depot-selective IncRNAs regulating adipocyte lineage-specific development and function, which can be readily implemented through an online resource (https://sites.google.com/site/ sunleilab/data/Incrnas).

RESULTS

Global Discovery of Adipose IncRNAs

Our previous work on IncRNAs important for white and brown adipogenesis was limited to existing gene annotations (Sun

et al., 2013), which suffer from incompleteness and inaccuracy. To better define lncRNAs active in adipose in vivo, including those restricted to different subtypes, we set out to reconstruct de novo the transcriptome of primary mouse BAT, iWAT, and eWAT (Figure 1A). We performed paired-end sequencing of long poly(A)-selected RNAs from each tissue and mapped approximately half a billion reads to the mouse genome (Table S1). We then used Cufflinks (Trapnell et al., 2010) to assemble gene and transcript models and quantify their expression. As a measure of quality, we examined expression estimates for genes annotated by Ensembl (Flicek et al., 2014) and found high precision and reproducibility in our data (Figures S1A and S1B).

As many as 30% of the transcribed genomic segments in our samples mapped outside of annotated loci (Figure S1C), presenting a large opportunity for gene discovery. To define

IncRNAs with high confidence, we focused on transcripts with evidence of splicing that do not intersect known mRNA exons in the same strand, and implemented a stringent pipeline to evaluate their coding capacity (Figure 1A, Supplemental Experimental Procedures). This analysis classified the BAT, iWAT, and eWAT transcriptomes into 13,342 known mRNA genes, 1,535 IncRNA genes, and 566 genes of unclear coding potential based on our criteria. Our IncRNAs do not appear to encode peptides, as evidenced by mass spectrometry, by ribosome profiling, and by computational assessment of coding capacity (Figures 1B, S1D, and S1E). We further confirmed our ability to delineate authentic IncRNA units by finding specific enrichment for 5' CAGE and 3' poly(A) tags at their transcription start and end sites, respectively (Figure 1C). Importantly, 1,237 IncRNA transcripts from 1,032 loci do not intersect Ensembl, RefSeq, or UCSC annotations, highlighting the necessity of our de novo reconstruction approach. Overall, ~90% of our IncRNAs are supported by at least one other source of unbiased experimental evidence in addition to RNA-seq (Figure S1G; Supplemental Experimental Procedures), globally validating our IncRNA models.

Analysis of the properties of adipose IncRNAs revealed that they are globally lower expressed than mRNAs, yet share the same promoter marks of active transcription (Figures 1C, 1D, and S1H), consistent with being independent Pol II transcripts. About half of the IncRNAs originate from active enhancer elements defined by a high H3K4me1/H3K4me3 ratio, as expected (Natoli and Andrau, 2012). As is characteristic of mouse (Guttman et al., 2009) and human IncRNAs (Cabili et al., 2011), adipose IncRNAs have fewer exons and are thus shorter than mRNAs, and they show higher primary sequence conservation at promoters versus exons (Figures S1I-S1L). Importantly, 297 out of 1,535 IncRNA genes are detectable (FPKM > 0) in only one of the three adipose subtypes examined (Figure 1E), despite comparable coverage across samples (Figure S1F), indicating substantial depot-restricted expression. About a third of these loci are exclusive to BAT and resemble genes encoding key BAT-intrinsic proteins, as illustrated by Inc-BATE1 (Figure 1F), a IncRNA that we focus on later because of its remarkable BAT specificity and induction during brown adipogenesis (see below). Thus, we provide a comprehensive catalog of bona fide and mostly unannotated adipose IncRNAs (Table S2), many of which may contribute to development or function of distinct adipocyte lineages.

Adipose Tissue-Specific IncRNAs and Their Regulation

To examine the tissue specificity of adipose-expressed lncRNAs, we quantified their levels across 30 primary tissues from the mouse ENCODE project (Stamatoyannopoulos et al., 2012) (Figure 2A). We scored the specificity of each gene to each tissue by its fractional expression level (Supplemental Experimental Procedures) and found greater tissue specificity of lncRNAs versus mRNAs (Figure S2A), as expected (Cabili et al., 2011). We then used an empirical threshold to define tissue-restricted genes and selected those with an adipose sub-type as the tissue of maximal specificity (Supplemental Experimental Procedures). This yielded 127 BAT-, 81 iWAT-, and 240 eWAT-specific lncRNAs (Figure S2B and Table S2). Thus, we also find fat depot specificity among lncRNAs (~30%) greater

than that among protein-coding genes (7%), as illustrated by Inc-BATE1, which is highly abundant in BAT, but not in any of the other tissues examined (Figure S2C).

To investigate the regulatory basis for adipose subtype-selective IncRNA expression, we first examined global occupancy maps of PPAR γ , a master adipogenic TF, assessed by ChIP-seq in primary BAT and eWAT (Rajakumari et al., 2013). We found that PPAR γ targets the promoters of 754 (~50%) IncRNAs in BAT or eWAT, as indicated by binding within their TSS ± 3 kb regions (Figure S2D). Importantly, BAT-selective IncRNAs are enriched for BAT-specific PPAR γ promoter binding, whereas eWAT-selective IncRNAs are enriched for eWAT-specific binding, as seen for key depot-specific proteins (Figures 2B and 2C). Among depot-specific IncRNAs whose promoters are bound by PPAR γ in both BAT and eWAT, we still found stronger PPAR γ binding in their tissue of selective expression (Figures S2D and S2E).

We then focused on IncRNAs active in BAT, for which profiles of expression, histone marking, and TF binding during brown adipogenesis are available (Lee et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013) (Figure S2F). As expected from their depot-specific regulation, BAT-selective IncRNAs are specifically enriched for induction during brown adipogenesis, with 49 (38%) upregulated >2-fold (Figure 2D). IncRNA activation is reflected at the chromatin level and correlates with binding of C/EBP α , C/EBP β , and PPAR γ early during differentiation (Figures 2E, S2F, and S2G). The most predictive activation event is C/EBPa targeting, most of which represents new binding events at differentiation day 2, while co-targeting by C/EBP α , C/EBP β , and PPAR γ is associated with the strongest induction levels (Figure S2G). These findings characterize multiple BAT-selective IncRNAs that are targeted by common adipogenic TFs, often in a BAT-specific manner, and show dynamic regulation during differentiation.

Validation of BAT-Selective IncRNAs

To focus our validation efforts, we ranked candidate IncRNAs by their BAT specificity score, upregulation during brown adipogenesis, and abundance and chose the top 40 for qPCR-based validation. For 38 out of 40 candidates, we confirmed significantly higher expression in BAT versus the average expression across 12 major organs, with 26 IncRNAs highest expressed in BAT (Figure 3A). We also monitored expression during brown adipogenesis in culture and verified that all 40 candidates were upregulated (Figure 3B). Next, to examine subcellular distribution, we isolated RNA from cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions of mature brown adipocytes and quantified IncRNA abundance by qPCR (Figure 3C). Most candidates (27 out of 40) were enriched in the nucleus, with four of them closely resembling the 47S pre-rRNA at >90% nuclear retention, consistent with previous observations (Alvarez-Dominguez et al., 2014b). Others, including Inc-BATE1, were similarly abundant in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm.

Inc-BATE1 Is Required for Brown Adipocyte Development, Function, and Maintenance

Our ranking of adipose IncRNAs by their abundance, regulation, and depot selectivity identified Inc-BATE1 as a top candidate modulator of brown adipogenesis. Inc-BATE1 is an independent intergenic locus targeted by C/EBP α , C/EBP β , and PPAR γ



Figure 2. Adipose Tissue-Specific IncRNAs and Their Regulation

(A) Abundance of adipose-expressed mRNAs (13,342) and lncRNAs (1,535) across 30 tissues from ENCODE, based on our de novo gene models. Color intensity represents the fractional expression across all the tissues examined (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

(B) Proportion of BAT-specific and eWAT-specific IncRNAs with promoter-proximal (TSS ± 3 kb) BAT- or eWAT-specific PPARγ binding (Rajakumari et al., 2013), as determined by peaks of ChIP-seq signal enrichment. ***p < 0.001 (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test).

(C) Examples of BAT- and eWAT-restricted IncRNAs showing BAT- or eWAT-specific PPAR_γ promoter-proximal binding, respectively. UCP1, a BAT-restricted mRNA locus targeted by PPAR_γ specifically in BAT, is shown for comparison. Tracks depict RNA-seq signal for poly(A)⁺ RNA from BAT and eWAT as density of mapped reads (black) and ChIP-seq signal for PPAR_γ binding in BAT and eWAT as density of processed signal enrichment (purple). Peaks of signal enrichment are shown in gray under the ChIP-seq tracks. Bottom tracks depict de novo transcript models by Cufflinks and Ensembl gene annotations as in Figure 1F. (D) Expression dynamics of BAT-specific and iWAT-specific IncRNAs during brown adipogenesis. Shown are abundance estimates (FPKM) from poly(A)⁺ RNA-seq of brown pre-adipocytes (D0) and cultured brown adipocytes (D8) (Sun et al., 2013), based on our de novo gene models.

(E) Dynamic changes in promoter-proximal chromatin marking and transcription factor binding among BAT-specific IncRNAs during brown adipogenesis. Shown are changes in ChIP signal for binding of C/EBP α , C/EBP β , PPAR γ , and RNA Pol II, as well as H3K27ac, H3K4me1, and H3K4me2 marking, between immortalized brown pre-adipocytes before (D0) and after (D2) adipogenic induction (Lee et al., 2013). Changes are log2 ratios of normalized read counts within TSS ± 3 kb regions.

giving rise to polyadenylated transcripts spliced from two exons (Figures 4A and S2H), coincident with Genbank: NR_077224. 5' and 3' RACE revealed transcript variants with slightly different

transcription start sites and a common termination site (Figures S3A and S3B). Inc-BATE1 is equally distributed between cytosol and nucleus, as evidenced by cell fractionation and by



D



Figure 3. Validation of BAT-Selective IncRNAs

(A) Validation of 40 IncRNAs in BAT, eWAT, and iWAT (n = 3) and across 10 tissue samples by qPCR. Color intensity represents column mean-centered expression.

(B) Induction of 40 BAT IncRNAs during brown adipogenesis. Expression values during a 4-day differentiation time course of cultured mouse pre-adipocytes were determined by qPCR (n = 3). Color intensity represents row mean-centered expression.

(C) Subcellular localization of 40 BAT IncRNAs. The relative proportion of cytoplasmic (black) and nuclear (gray) expression was assessed by qPCR (n = 3). GAPDH mRNA and 47S pre-rRNA represent predominantly cytoplasmic and predominantly nuclear controls, respectively. Rows are sorted from highest to lowest cytoplasmic fraction.

(D) Detection of Inc-BATE1 transcripts by single-molecule RNA FISH. Shown are maximum z stack projections of fluorescence microscopy images. IncRNA molecules and DNA staining are pseudocolored as indicated. Shown at the bottom left panel corner for Inc-BATE1 exons is the mean ± SEM (n = 2) percent of nuclear-localized transcripts. GFP control indicates background fluorescence measured in the GFP channel. DIC indicates imaging in the differential interference contrast channel.

single-molecule RNA FISH, which additionally indicated mean levels of 18 ± 2 transcripts per cell (Figures 3C, 3D, and S3C). Importantly, Inc-BATE1 is enriched 10- to 20-fold in brown versus white adipocytes and is upregulated 30-fold during brown adipogenesis (Figures 4B and 4C).

To investigate Inc-BATE1 function, we designed Dicer-substrate siRNAs (DsiRNAs) and transfected them into primary brown pre-adipocytes, followed by induction of differentiation. Over 70% knockdown was achieved at differentiation day 0, and ~60% remained at day 5 (Figure 4D). Inc-BATE1 KD resulted in limited changes in lipid accumulation and cell morphology during differentiation (Figure 4E) but significantly downregulated mRNA levels of all brown fat markers examined, including Cidea, C/EBP β , PGC1 α , PRDM16, PPAR α , and UCP1 (Figure 4G), as well as mitochondrial markers (Cox4i, Cox7a, and Cox8b) (Figure 4H). General adipogenic markers (AdipoQ, C/EBP α , Fabp4, and PPPAR γ) were also downregulated, but to a lesser extent (Figure 4I), consistent with the limited effects on general adipocyte differentiation. Immunoblotting further confirmed reduced protein levels of BAT-selective genes (UCP1, PGC1 α) and mitochondrial markers (Cox4, CytoC) (Figure 4J). Inc-BATE1 KD by traditional siRNAs or by shRNAs targeting different sites gave very similar results (Figures S3D–S3J), indicating that Inc-BATE1 KD phenotypes are unlikely due to RNAi off-target effects. In contrast to the dramatic downregulation of BAT markers, Inc-BATE1 KD led to upregulation of WAT-selective genes (see below; Figures 5E–5G).

Impaired BAT marker expression upon Inc-BATE1 loss could be due to preferential disruption of the BAT gene program or be an indirect effect of poor cell differentiation. To distinguish between these possibilities, we depleted Inc-BATE1 in mature brown adipocytes, using an electroporation method that yielded \sim 60% knockdown (Figure 4K). We observed no evident changes in cell morphology 3 days post-transfection (not shown), but found a significant reduction in BAT, mitochondrial, and common adipogenic markers (Figures 4L–4N). Thus, Inc-BATE1 is essential for establishing the gene program of developing brown adipocytes and for its maintenance in mature ones.

Inc-BATE1 loss also affected mitochondrial biogenesis, as indicated by decreased mitochondrial staining (Figures 4E and 4F), suppression of mitochondrial genes (Figures 4H, 4M, and S3J), and loss of Ucp1 protein (Figure 4J). To examine whether Inc-BATE1 KD alters thermogenesis, we measured oxygen consumption in the presence of the adrenergic agent norepinephrine (NE) and 2% BSA to specifically measure Ucp1-dependent uncoupled respiration (Li et al., 2014) (Figure 4O). Inc-BATE1 KD cells exhibited generally lower oxygen consumption, consistent with lower mitochondrial content, but also showed specific impairment of their relative NE-stimulated respiration, consistent with reduced Ucp1 accumulation and activity. These data demonstrate that Inc-BATE1 is essential during brown adipogenesis for induction of multiple mitochondrial proteins, including Ucp1, and for thermogenesis in brown adipocytes.

To assess if Inc-BATE1 is induced during browning of white fat, we examined its expression in inguinal WAT of mice exposed to 4°C for 1 week. We found that Inc-BATE1 is upregulated 3- to 4-fold (Figure S4A), suggesting a role in WAT browning. To test this, we used retroviral shRNAs to infect primary inquinal white pre-adipocytes, followed by induction of differentiation in the absence (Figures S4B-S4D) or presence of NE (Figure S4E). Similar to the effects on brown adipogenesis, Inc-BATE1 KD resulted in limited effects on lipid accumulation and cell morphology (not shown) but impaired expression of the examined BAT, mitochondrial and, to a lesser extent, common adipogenic markers (Figure S4C). In contrast, 4 out of 7 WAT-selective genes were significantly upregulated (Figure S4D). In the presence of NE, we further found that induction of thermogenic genes UCP1 and PGC1a is blunted by Inc-BATE1 KD (Figure S4E). Similar effects were observed in cultured epididymal adipocytes (Figures S4F and S4G).

To study the impact of Inc-BATE1 gain of function on brown adipogenesis, we cloned Inc-BATE1 and introduced it into brown pre-adipocytes via retroviral transduction, followed by induction of differentiation. We could not find any significant changes in lipid accumulation, cell morphology (not shown), or enhancement of BAT marker gene expression, however, under standard or limited differentiation conditions (Figures S5A and S5B), suggesting that normal Inc-BATE1 levels suffice to maximally stimulate brown adipogenesis, and excess ectopic expression has no further effect. Similarly, overexpressing Inc-BATE1 in primary inguinal or epididymal white pre-adipocytes followed by induction of differentiation did not significantly impact BAT-selective genes (Figures S5C-S5E), indicating that Inc-BATE1 gain of function is insufficient to promote browning. Finally, we tested if Inc-BATE1 functions in brown adipocyte lineage determination from myoblast progenitors by ectopically expressing it in C2C12 myoblasts followed by induction of differentiation but did not find evident effects in cell morphology (not shown) or in expression of the myogenic markers examined (Figure S5F). Thus, Inc-BATE1 is a BAT-selective factor necessary, but not sufficient, for brown adipocyte development, function, and maintenance.

Inc-BATE1 Mediates Concurrent Activation of the Brown Fat and Suppression of the White Fat Gene Expression Programs

To gain further insights into Inc-BATE1 function from global gene expression analysis, we performed RNA-seq in differentiating DsiRNA-treated brown adipocytes and identified 1,014 differentially expressed genes (p < 0.05, DESeq), comprising 781 enriched and 233 depleted in Inc-BATE1 KD versus control cells (Figure 5A). Higher-expressed genes were enriched for general functions in cell division, adhesion, and signaling processes that are normally suppressed during adipogenesis (Figures 5B [top] and S6A), whereas lower-expressed ones comprised genes specifically linked to brown adipogenesis and mitochondrial biogenesis and function, which fail to be activated upon Inc-BATE1 loss (Figures 5B [bottom] and S6B). Gene set enrichment analysis (Subramanian et al., 2005) of depleted genes further indicated significant overlap with the gene signature activated during brown adipogenesis published previously (Sun et al., 2013) (Figure 5D). We thus sought to computationally identify upstream regulators that may be responsible for suppression of these genes upon Inc-BATE1 KD (Supplemental Experimental Procedures and Table S3). Pathway analysis identified PGC1α, ESRR α , PPAR α , and PPAR γ as the top TFs whose inhibition would explain downregulation of 64 genes (p < 10^{-14} -p < 10^{-5} , Fisher's test) (Figure 5C). Independent gene set enrichment analysis further showed that genes activated by these factors are significantly depleted upon Inc-BATE1 KD ($p < 10^{-5}$ for all; Figure S6C). These results indicate that Inc-BATE1 is required for a genetic program associated with brown adipogenesis.

Suppression of the brown adipogenesis program upon Inc-BATE1 KD could be due to suppression of genes important for adipogenesis in general. To test this possibility, we defined groups of BAT-specific, WAT-specific, and common adipogenic protein-coding genes based on their tissue specificity scores (Table S2; Supplemental Experimental Procedures) and studied the impact of Inc-BATE1 KD on their expression (Figures 5E, 5F, S6D, and S6E). We found that, in general, inhibiting Inc-BATE1 leads to repression of BAT-selective or common adipogenic genes that are normally activated during brown adipogenesis, but there is a more profound influence on BAT-selective genes (\sim 15% downregulated at p < 0.05, DESeq) than that on common adipogenic ones (~6% downregulated at p < 0.05, DESeq), indicating that Inc-BATE1 indeed acts as a BAT-selective regulator. In contrast, WAT-selective genes were mostly upregulated upon Inc-BATE1 KD, whether they are normally repressed or activated during brown adipocyte differentiation (Figures 5F and S6E), indicating that their higher expression is not merely due to impaired adipogenesis. To validate this finding, we focused on 20 of the most widely used WAT markers (Kajimura et al., 2008; Seale et al., 2007; Villanueva et al., 2013; Waldén et al., 2012) (Figures 5G and S6F) and confirmed by qPCR their upregulation upon Inc-BATE1 KD by either siRNAs (16 out of 19



Figure 4. Inc-BATE1 Is Required for Brown Adipocyte Development, Function, and Maintenance

(A) Inc-BATE1 locus map. Track 1 depicts BAT poly(A)⁺ RNA-seq signal as density of mapped reads. Track 2 depicts de novo transcript models by Cufflinks. Tracks 3–4 display RNA 5'-capping and 3'-polyadenylation sites as evidenced by CAGE tags (blue) and poly(A) tags (red), respectively; only tags from the strand

genes upregulated, mean 3.4-fold) or shRNAs (16 out of 19 genes upregulated, mean 2-fold). Accordingly, Inc-BATE1 gain of function in iWAT adipocyte culture led to their general down-regulation, which was specifically anticorrelated with their upregulation upon Inc-BATE1 inhibition in BAT adipocyte culture (Pearson's r = -28 to r = -46, p < 0.05, t test). Thus, Inc-BATE1 specifically mediates concurrent activation of the core BAT gene program and repression of WAT genes.

Inc-BATE1 Functions In trans

IncRNAs can function in *cis* or in *trans* during cell differentiation (Fatica and Bozzoni, 2014; Hu et al., 2012). To distinguish between these possibilities, we first examined the expression of genes neighboring Inc-BATE1 within a \sim 1.75 Mb window (Figure S7A). We found no correlation in the tissue expression patterns of these genes versus IncBATE-1 (Figure S7B) and showed that their levels are unaffected by its depletion (Figures S7C and S7D), indicating that Inc-BATE1 does not regulate its neighbors in *cis*.

To investigate if Inc-BATE1 functions in trans, we tested whether the defects of Inc-BATE1 KD cells could be rescued by ectopically expressed Inc-BATE1 that escapes DsiRNA targeting. We thus constructed an exogenous mutated Inc-BATE1 (Inc-BATE1_Exo) with a 4 nt mutation at the DsiRNA2 targeting site designed to abolish KD (Figure 6A) and transduced it or GFP control into brown pre-adipocytes followed by DsiRNA transfection and subsequent induction of differentiation (Figure 6B). Inc-BATE1 expression was assessed with primers specific to endogenous or exogenous variants or common to both (Figure 6C). Introducing Inc-BATE1 Exo, which localized to both nucleus and cytoplasm, increased total Inc-BATE1 levels by >5-fold (Figure 6D). As expected, Dsi2 inhibited endogenous, but not exogenous, Inc-BATE1 (Figure 6E) and, in GFP control cells, impaired expression of 8 BAT markers and, to a lesser extent, 4 common adipogenic genes (Figures 6F and 6G, top panels). In cells expressing Inc-BATE1_Exo, however, we found rescued expression for half of the examined BAT markers, including Dio2, ElovI3, PPARa, and UCP1, and for the common adipogenic factors C/EBPa and PPARy (Figures 6F and 6G, bottom panels). These results demonstrate RNA-based function and indicate that Inc-BATE1 can act in trans to modulate brown adipogenesis.

Inc-BATE1 Interacts with hnRNPU, which Is Required for Brown Adipocyte Development

IncRNAs can function by binding proteins to form functional complexes (Rinn and Chang, 2012). We thus sought to identify protein partners of Inc-BATE1 via RNA pull down in nuclear and cytosolic lysates (Experimental Procedures). However, we did not find any interactions specific to Inc-BATE1 (not shown), suggesting that its protein partners are either of low abundance or masked by non-specific interactions with proteins of similar molecular weight.

We next sought to examine by RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) proteins known to bind IncRNAs in adipocytes. We previously showed that the nuclear matrix factor hnRNP U is required for the proper localization of Firre, a IncRNA essential for white adipogenesis, to its targets (Hacisuleyman et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2013). Interestingly, we found a putative hnRNP U binding motif within Inc-BATE1 (Figure S7E), suggesting that the two interact. To explore this possibility, we first asked whether hnRNP U contributes to brown adipogenesis. hnRNP U KD with siRNAs in differentiating brown adipocytes significantly impaired lipid droplet accumulation (Figure 7A) and BAT marker gene expression (Figure 7B), indicating that it is needed for brown adipogenesis. We then performed RIP against hnRNP U and detected a specific interaction with Inc-BATE1 (Figures 7C and 7D). In contrast, RIP against SUZ12, a PRC2 subunit that binds a wide range of IncRNAs non-specifically (Davidovich et al., 2013; Kaneko et al., 2013), did not enrich for Inc-BATE1. These results were confirmed by RNA pull down and immunoblotting (Figure 7E). Binding of androgen receptor (AR) 3' UTR RNA to HuR protein served as positive control for these studies and as negative control for hnRNP U binding. As expected, hnRNP U and HuR were enriched by Inc-BATE1 and AR 3' UTR RNA, respectively, whereas Gapdh housekeeping protein was not (Figure 7E). These findings demonstrate a specific and direct interaction between Inc-BATE1 and hnRNP U, suggesting that they form a functional ribonucleoprotein complex to regulate brown adipogenesis.

DISCUSSION

Elucidating factors controlling development of distinct types of fat is crucial for finding new targets to treat metabolic disorders. In particular, factors that selectively promote brown

of transcription are shown. Tracks 5–7 display ENCODE BAT ChIP-seq signal from H3K4me3, H3K4me1, and H3K27ac marks, respectively, as density of processed signal enrichment; peaks of signal enrichment are shown in gray under each track.

(B) Expression of Inc-BATE1 across 14 mouse tissues assessed by qPCR.

(C) Expression of Inc-BATE1 during the course of brown adipogenesis in culture assessed by qPCR.

(D) Expression of Inc-BATE1 in cultured brown adipocytes transfected with DsiRNA control (DsiC) or DsiRNAs targeting Inc-BATE1 (Dsi1 and Dsi2) and collected for qPCR at differentiation days 0 and 5.

(E) Representative images of DsiRNA-treated cultured brown adipocytes at differentiation day 5 labeled with oil red O (ORO, red) or MitoTracker Deep Red FM (red) plus Hoechst (blue), respectively.

(O) Representative metabolic flux curves from cultured DsiRNA-treated day 5 brown adipocytes treated with the adrenergic agent norepinephrine (NE) and 2% BSA. Oxygen consumption rates (OCR) are mean ± SEM and are normalized by protein concentration

Error bars are mean \pm SEM, n = 3. *p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01.

⁽F) Quantification of integrated density signal of MitoTracker fluorescence in individual cells from (E). Signal distributions are shown to the left and their mean values to the right.

⁽G-I) Expression of BAT (G), mitochondrial (H), and common adipogenic markers (I) in DsiRNA-treated cultured day 5 brown adipocytes.

⁽J) Protein levels of BAT, mitochondrial, and common adipogenic markers assessed by western blot on cell lysates from DsiRNA-treated cultured day 5 brown adipocytes.

⁽K–N) Knockdown of Inc-BATE1 in mature brown adipocytes 72 hr post-transfection with DsiRNAs (K) impairs expression of BAT (L), mitochondrial (M), and common adipogenic markers (N).



Figure 5. Inc-BATE1 Mediates Concurrent Activation of the Brown Fat and Suppression of the White Fat Gene Programs

(A) Expression change of 1,014 mRNAs that are differentially expressed (p < 0.05, DESeq) in cultured brown adipocytes upon Inc-BATE1 KD, collected at differentiation days 3 (D3) and 5 (D5). Changes are log2 expression (FPKM) ratios over control siRNA.

(B) Top 5 non-redundant gene ontology (GO) biological process terms enriched (p < 0.05, Fisher's test) among mRNA genes that show significantly higher (top) or lower (bottom) expression (p < 0.05, DESeq) upon Inc-BATE1 KD relative to control.

(C) Network diagram of top upstream transcription regulators whose inhibition best explains genes downregulated (p < 0.05, DESeq) upon Inc-BATE1 KD, along with their known direct targets. Arrows and blocked lines indicate transcriptional activation and repression, respectively. Blue and yellow lines indicate whether the predicted inhibition of the upstream regulator is consistent or inconsistent with the state of the downstream molecule, respectively; gray lines generated no prediction. Highlighted blue lines emphasize PGC-1 α relationships.

(D) Gene set enrichment analysis for overlap between genes depleted upon Inc-BATE1 KD and the BAT differentiation gene signature published previously (Sun et al., 2013). NES, normalized enrichment score; p, empirical p value.

(E) Cumulative density distributions of expression changes (top) and p values for these changes (bottom) for all expressed protein-coding genes and for BAT-specific, WAT-specific, and common adipogenic genes in Inc-BATE1 siRNA-treated cultured day 5 brown adipocytes. Changes are log2 expression (FPKM) ratios relative to control siRNA. Vertical gray line denotes the p < 0.05 significance threshold (bottom).

(F) Proportion of BAT-specific, WAT-specific, and common adipogenic genes that are upregulated (log2 expression change versus control > 0) or downregulated (log2 expression change versus control < 0) in Inc-BATE1 siRNA-treated cultured day 5 brown adipocytes.

(G) Inc-BATE1 mediates repression of WAT marker genes. Expression change of select WAT markers during brown adipogenesis in culture, shown as the log2 expression ratio between brown adipocytes (Day 6) and pre-adipocytes (Day 0) (left). Expression change in cultured day 3 brown adipocytes transfected with siRNA targeting Inc-BATE1, relative to control siRNA (middle). Expression change in cultured day 5 white adipocytes expressing ectopic Inc-BATE1, relative to GFP control (right).

 $\mbox{ Error bars are mean } \pm \mbox{ SEM, } n \geq 3.$



Figure 6. Exogenous siRNA-Resistant Inc-BATE1 Partially Rescues Gene Suppression in Brown Adipocytes Depleted of Endogenous Inc-BATE1

(A) Construction of exogenous siRNA-resistant Inc-BATE1 mutant (Inc-BATE1_Exo) from the endogenous transcript (Inc-BATE1_Endo). (B) Schematic illustration of procedure used for rescue experiments.

(C) Design of qPCR primer pairs and agarose gel image of the resulting PCR products. Lane 2: Inc-BATE1_Endo or _Exo amplified by P1 primer pair; lane 3: Inc-BATE1_Endo amplified by P2 primer pair; lane 4: Inc-BATE1_Exo amplified by P2M primer pair.

(D) Expression (top) and localization (bottom) of total Inc-BATE1 in brown adipocytes infected with GFP control viruses or with Inc-BATE1_Exo viruses prior to transfection with control DsiRNA (DsiC).

(E–G) Expression of endogenous or exogenous Inc-BATE1 (E), brown adipocyte markers (F), and general adipogenic markers (G) in brown adipocytes infected with GFP control virus or with Inc-BATE1_Exo virus prior to transfection with control DsiRNA (DsiC) or Inc-BATE1 DsiRNA (Dsi2). Error bars are SEM, n = 3. * $p \le 0.05$, ** $p \le 0.01$.

adipogenesis are of key interest as potential targets for obesity. Here, we present the first comprehensive catalog of IncRNAs active across different adipose tissues, including ~450 that are highly subtype selective, providing a valuable resource for the discovery of IncRNAs with adipocyte lineage-specific functions. This resource is available online (https://sites.google.com/site/ sunleilab/data/Incrnas) and can be used to efficiently identify functional IncRNAs based on their tissue expression, specificity, and regulation features, as illustrated by our work showing that Inc-BATE1, a IncRNA chosen based on these features, is required for the brown adipocyte phenotype.

We characterize Inc-BATE1 as a BAT-selective factor that has limited roles on general adipocyte differentiation but serves critical brown adipocyte-selective functions. Indeed, Inc-BATE1 is essential for the formation and maintenance of mature brown adipocytes capable of thermogenesis. A different type of thermogenic adipocytes, termed "beige" or "brite," have been shown to form within white fat depots, in response to cold stress or other stimuli, but share many components of the BAT gene program (Petrovic et al., 2010; Schulz et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2012). We find that Inc-BATE1 is upregulated during coldinduced beige adipocyte expansion, and its loss impairs induction of BAT-selective genes, suggesting a broader role for Inc-BATE1 in general thermogenic programming. In support of this notion, our loss-of-function and gain-of-function studies indicate that Inc-BATE1 can act in *trans* not only to sustain a thermogenic phenotype, but also to suppress WAT-selective gene programming.



Figure 7. Inc-BATE1 Interacts with hnRNP U, which Is Required for Brown Adipocyte Differentiation

(A) Oil red O staining of brown adipocytes differentiated in culture upon siRNA-mediated hnRNP U KD.

(B) Expression of hnRNP U and marker genes in cultured brown adipocytes following hnRNP U KD, quantified by qPCR.

(C and D) Association between endogenous Inc-BATE1 and hnRNP U in the nucleus of cultured brown adipocytes. RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) enrichment was assessed as RNA associated to hnRNP U or Suz12 relative to IoG control by gPCR (C) or western blot (D).

(E) Inc-BATE1 and hnRNP U specifically interact in vitro. Western blots for biotin-RNA pull down show specific interaction between Inc-BATE1 and hnRNP U, but not GAPDH or HuR protein, which specifically interacts with androgen receptor (AR) 3' UTR RNA.

Error bars are SEM, n = 3. *p \leq 0.05, **p \leq 0.01.

IncRNAs often function by partnering with proteins such as chromatin modifiers and RNA binding factors (Wang and Chang, 2011). For instance, hnRNP U is responsible for localization of IncRNAs Xist and Firre to the subnuclear domains where they function (Hacisuleyman et al., 2014; Hasegawa et al., 2010). We find that Inc-BATE1 directly interacts with hnRNP U, which is also required for brown adipogenesis, suggesting that it may form a functional ribonucleoprotein complex with Inc-BATE1 to exert its function in a cell-typespecific manner. However, hnRNP U can recognize a wide array of substrates and participates in many aspects of RNA metabolism, and Inc-BATE1's presence in both the nucleus and cytosol suggests additional cytosolic protein or RNA partners. The functional impact of its specific interaction with hnRNP U on BAT development and function thus warrants further investigation. Collectively, our work provides a basis for the study of adipose tissue-selective IncRNAs and demonstrates their importance as BAT-specific regulators, which may be exploited for selective stimulation of BAT development for therapeutic use.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Tissue Isolation and Cell Culture

Primary fat tissues were isolated from 8-week-old B/C mice, and primary brown and white pre-adipocytes were isolated from \sim 3- to 4-week-old mice and differentiated in culture as described (Sun et al., 2011). 293T cells and

C2C12 myoblasts were maintained in DMEM plus 10% or 20% FBS, respectively. C2C12 cells were differentiated in DMEM with 2% horse serum.

RNA-Seq

Total RNA from BAT, iWAT, and eWAT samples was isolated using a QIAGEN kit. Sequencing libraries were prepared as described (Sun et al., 2011) and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for analysis details).

Single-Molecule RNA FISH

Single-molecule RNA FISH, fluorescence microscopy, image acquisition, and analysis were conducted as described (Alvarez-Dominguez et al., 2014b) (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details).

IncRNA Knockdown

Pre-adipocytes at ~80% confluence were transfected with 100 nM siRNAs or DsiRNAs. ~6–8 hr later, cells were recovered in full culture medium, grown to confluence, and induced to differentiate as described (Sun et al., 2013). For shRNA-mediated knockdown, cells at ~60% confluence were infected with shRNA retroviruses and induced to differentiate 48 hr post-infection. siRNA knockdown in mature brown adipocytes was performed as described (Rajakumari et al., 2013) (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details). Oligos used in this study are listed in Table S4.

Plasmid and Retroviral Transduction

IncRNA expression plasmids or shRNA viral plasmids were co-transfected with retroviral packaging vector pCL-Eco into 293T cells using FuGENE6 (Promega), and viruses were collected at 48 hr and 72 hr post-transfection. Cells were induced to differentiate 48 hr post-infection and collected for downstream analysis at the indicated times.

Oil Red O, Hoechst, and MitoTracker Staining

5-day differentiated brown adipocytes were stained with 100 mM MitoTracker Red FM and 1:5,000 dilution of Hoechst at 37°C for 40 min. Oil red O (ORO) staining was performed as described (Sun et al., 2011) (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details).

Extracellular Flux Analysis

5-day differentiated RNAi-treated brown adipocytes were applied to an Extracellular Flux Analyzer (Seahorse Bioscience) and analyzed for oxygen consumption rates according to the manufacturer's instructions (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details).

IncRNA Cloning

To ectopically express Inc-BATE1, 3 different variants were cloned into a modified pSIREN-RetroQ-ZsGreen Vector (Clontech). To make exogenous mutated Inc-BATE1, mutated nucleotides were introduced by PCR amplification of overlapping products harboring mutated nucleotides. Inc-BATE1 shRNA plasmids were made by inserting annealed oligos into the pMKO vector.

RNA Immunoprecipitation

Nuclei from 4-day differentiated brown adipocytes were isolated, and nuclear or cytosolic lysates were prepared, treated with 300 U/ml RNase inhibitor, and incubated with 5 ug of the indicated antibody. RNA-protein complexes were immunoprecipitated with protein A/G beads, and 20% were kept for western blot and the rest used for RNA extraction (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details).

RNA Pull Down

Biotin-labeled Inc-BATE1 and androgen receptor 3' UTR RNA were transcribed using a MEGAscript kit (Life Technologies). Biotinylated RNAs were purified with a NucAway spin column as described (Tsai et al., 2010) and incubated with brown adipocyte nuclear lysate for 3 hr at 4°C. Beads were then washed and boiled in SDS buffer for 5 min at 95°C, and the retrieved protein was visualized by immunoblotting (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for details).

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The accession number for the RNA-seq data reported in this paper is NCBI GEO: GSE66686. IncRNA sequences and annotations are available at https://sites.google.com/site/sunleilab/data/Incrnas.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and four tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.04.003.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.R.A.-D., Z.B., D.X., B.Y., K.A.L., M.J.Y., Y.C.L., M.K., N.S., S.C., and L.S. performed research. J.R.A.-D., Z.B., H.F.L., and L.S. designed the project, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript. D.X., B.Y., K.A.L., N.S., S.C., and C.P. contributed discussions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Singapore NRF fellowship (NRF-2011NRF-NRFF 001-025) to L.S. and NIH grants DK047618, DK068348, and 5P01 HL066105 to H.F.L. This research is also supported by the Singapore National Research Foundation under its CBRG grant (NMRC/CBRG/0070/2014) and administrated by the Singapore Ministry of Health's National Medical Research Council. Thanks to Dr. Patrick Seale, University of Pennsylvania, for the technical help in mature adipocyte transfection.

Received: September 10, 2014 Revised: December 17, 2014 Accepted: March 31, 2015 Published: April 23, 2015

REFERENCES

Alvarez-Dominguez, J.R., Hu, W., Gromatzky, A.A., and Lodish, H.F. (2014a). Long noncoding RNAs during normal and malignant hematopoiesis. Int. J. Hematol. *99*, 531–541.

Alvarez-Dominguez, J.R., Hu, W., Yuan, B., Shi, J., Park, S.S., Gromatzky, A.A., van Oudenaarden, A., and Lodish, H.F. (2014b). Global discovery of erythroid long noncoding RNAs reveals novel regulators of red cell maturation. Blood *123*, 570–581.

Boström, P., Wu, J., Jedrychowski, M.P., Korde, A., Ye, L., Lo, J.C., Rasbach, K.A., Boström, E.A., Choi, J.H., Long, J.Z., et al. (2012). A PGC1- α -dependent myokine that drives brown-fat-like development of white fat and thermogenesis. Nature *481*, 463–468.

Cabili, M.N., Trapnell, C., Goff, L., Koziol, M., Tazon-Vega, B., Regev, A., and Rinn, J.L. (2011). Integrative annotation of human large intergenic noncoding RNAs reveals global properties and specific subclasses. Genes Dev. *25*, 1915–1927.

Chen, Y., Siegel, F., Kipschull, S., Haas, B., Fröhlich, H., Meister, G., and Pfeifer, A. (2013). miR-155 regulates differentiation of brown and beige adipocytes via a bistable circuit. Nat. Commun. *4*, 1769.

Chiang, S.H., Bazuine, M., Lumeng, C.N., Geletka, L.M., Mowers, J., White, N.M., Ma, J.T., Zhou, J., Qi, N., Westcott, D., et al. (2009). The protein kinase IKKepsilon regulates energy balance in obese mice. Cell *138*, 961–975.

Cypess, A.M., Lehman, S., Williams, G., Tal, I., Rodman, D., Goldfine, A.B., Kuo, F.C., Palmer, E.L., Tseng, Y.H., Doria, A., et al. (2009). Identification and importance of brown adipose tissue in adult humans. N. Engl. J. Med. *360*, 1509–1517.

Davidovich, C., Zheng, L., Goodrich, K.J., and Cech, T.R. (2013). Promiscuous RNA binding by Polycomb repressive complex 2. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 20, 1250–1257.

Fatica, A., and Bozzoni, I. (2014). Long non-coding RNAs: new players in cell differentiation and development. Nat. Rev. Genet. *15*, 7–21.

Feldmann, H.M., Golozoubova, V., Cannon, B., and Nedergaard, J. (2009). UCP1 ablation induces obesity and abolishes diet-induced thermogenesis in mice exempt from thermal stress by living at thermoneutrality. Cell Metab. *9*, 203–209.

Flicek, P., Amode, M.R., Barrell, D., Beal, K., Billis, K., Brent, S., Carvalho-Silva, D., Clapham, P., Coates, G., Fitzgerald, S., et al. (2014). Ensembl 2014. Nucleic Acids Res. *42*, D749–D755.

Guttman, M., Amit, I., Garber, M., French, C., Lin, M.F., Feldser, D., Huarte, M., Zuk, O., Carey, B.W., Cassady, J.P., et al. (2009). Chromatin signature reveals over a thousand highly conserved large non-coding RNAs in mammals. Nature 458, 223–227.

Hacisuleyman, E., Goff, L.A., Trapnell, C., Williams, A., Henao-Mejia, J., Sun, L., McClanahan, P., Hendrickson, D.G., Sauvageau, M., Kelley, D.R., et al. (2014). Topological organization of multichromosomal regions by the long intergenic noncoding RNA Firre. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *21*, 198–206.

Hamann, A., Flier, J.S., and Lowell, B.B. (1996). Decreased brown fat markedly enhances susceptibility to diet-induced obesity, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia. Endocrinology *137*, 21–29.

Hasegawa, Y., Brockdorff, N., Kawano, S., Tsutui, K., Tsutui, K., and Nakagawa, S. (2010). The matrix protein hnRNP U is required for chromosomal localization of Xist RNA. Dev. Cell *19*, 469–476.

Hu, W., Alvarez-Dominguez, J.R., and Lodish, H.F. (2012). Regulation of mammalian cell differentiation by long non-coding RNAs. EMBO Rep. *13*, 971–983.

Kajimura, S., Seale, P., Tomaru, T., Erdjument-Bromage, H., Cooper, M.P., Ruas, J.L., Chin, S., Tempst, P., Lazar, M.A., and Spiegelman, B.M. (2008). Regulation of the brown and white fat gene programs through a PRDM16/ CtBP transcriptional complex. Genes Dev. *22*, 1397–1409. Kajimura, S., Seale, P., and Spiegelman, B.M. (2010). Transcriptional control of brown fat development. Cell Metab. *11*, 257–262.

Kaneko, S., Son, J., Shen, S.S., Reinberg, D., and Bonasio, R. (2013). PRC2 binds active promoters and contacts nascent RNAs in embryonic stem cells. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *20*, 1258–1264.

Lee, J.E., Wang, C., Xu, S., Cho, Y.W., Wang, L., Feng, X., Baldridge, A., Sartorelli, V., Zhuang, L., Peng, W., and Ge, K. (2013). H3K4 mono- and dimethyltransferase MLL4 is required for enhancer activation during cell differentiation. eLife 2, e01503.

Li, Y., Fromme, T., Schweizer, S., Schöttl, T., and Klingenspor, M. (2014). Taking control over intracellular fatty acid levels is essential for the analysis of thermogenic function in cultured primary brown and brite/beige adipocytes. EMBO Rep. *15*, 1069–1076.

Lin, M.F., Jungreis, I., and Kellis, M. (2011). PhyloCSF: a comparative genomics method to distinguish protein coding and non-coding regions. Bioinformatics *27*, i275–i282.

Lowell, B.B., S-Susulic, V., Hamann, A., Lawitts, J.A., Himms-Hagen, J., Boyer, B.B., Kozak, L.P., and Flier, J.S. (1993). Development of obesity in transgenic mice after genetic ablation of brown adipose tissue. Nature *366*, 740–742.

Mori, M., Nakagami, H., Rodriguez-Araujo, G., Nimura, K., and Kaneda, Y. (2012). Essential role for miR-196a in brown adipogenesis of white fat progenitor cells. PLoS Biol. *10*, e1001314.

Natoli, G., and Andrau, J.C. (2012). Noncoding transcription at enhancers: general principles and functional models. Annu. Rev. Genet. 46, 1–19.

Nedergaard, J., Bengtsson, T., and Cannon, B. (2007). Unexpected evidence for active brown adipose tissue in adult humans. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 293, E444–E452.

Petrovic, N., Walden, T.B., Shabalina, I.G., Timmons, J.A., Cannon, B., and Nedergaard, J. (2010). Chronic peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARgamma) activation of epididymally derived white adipocyte cultures reveals a population of thermogenically competent, UCP1-containing adipocytes molecularly distinct from classic brown adipocytes. J. Biol. Chem. *285*, 7153–7164.

Rajakumari, S., Wu, J., Ishibashi, J., Lim, H.W., Giang, A.H., Won, K.J., Reed, R.R., and Seale, P. (2013). EBF2 determines and maintains brown adipocyte identity. Cell Metab. *17*, 562–574.

Rinn, J.L., and Chang, H.Y. (2012). Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu. Rev. Biochem. *81*, 145–166.

Rothwell, N.J., and Stock, M.J. (1979). A role for brown adipose tissue in dietinduced thermogenesis. Nature 281, 31–35.

Saito, M., Okamatsu-Ogura, Y., Matsushita, M., Watanabe, K., Yoneshiro, T., Nio-Kobayashi, J., Iwanaga, T., Miyagawa, M., Kameya, T., Nakada, K., et al. (2009). High incidence of metabolically active brown adipose tissue in healthy adult humans: effects of cold exposure and adiposity. Diabetes *58*, 1526–1531.

Schulz, T.J., Huang, T.L., Tran, T.T., Zhang, H., Townsend, K.L., Shadrach, J.L., Cerletti, M., McDougall, L.E., Giorgadze, N., Tchkonia, T., et al. (2011). Identification of inducible brown adipocyte progenitors residing in skeletal muscle and white fat. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 143–148.

Seale, P., Kajimura, S., Yang, W., Chin, S., Rohas, L.M., Uldry, M., Tavernier, G., Langin, D., and Spiegelman, B.M. (2007). Transcriptional control of brown fat determination by PRDM16. Cell Metab. *6*, 38–54.

Seale, P., Conroe, H.M., Estall, J., Kajimura, S., Frontini, A., Ishibashi, J., Cohen, P., Cinti, S., and Spiegelman, B.M. (2011). Prdm16 determines the thermogenic program of subcutaneous white adipose tissue in mice. J. Clin. Invest. *121*, 96–105.

Stamatoyannopoulos, J.A., Snyder, M., Hardison, R., Ren, B., Gingeras, T., Gilbert, D.M., Groudine, M., Bender, M., Kaul, R., Canfield, T., et al.; Mouse ENCODE Consortium (2012). An encyclopedia of mouse DNA elements (Mouse ENCODE). Genome Biol. *13*, 418.

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., and Mesirov, J.P. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 15545–15550.

Sun, L., and Trajkovski, M. (2014). MiR-27 orchestrates the transcriptional regulation of brown adipogenesis. Metabolism 63, 272–282.

Sun, L., Xie, H., Mori, M.A., Alexander, R., Yuan, B., Hattangadi, S.M., Liu, Q., Kahn, C.R., and Lodish, H.F. (2011). Mir193b-365 is essential for brown fat differentiation. Nat. Cell Biol. *13*, 958–965.

Sun, L., Goff, L.A., Trapnell, C., Alexander, R., Lo, K.A., Hacisuleyman, E., Sauvageau, M., Tazon-Vega, B., Kelley, D.R., Hendrickson, D.G., et al. (2013). Long noncoding RNAs regulate adipogenesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *110*, 3387–3392.

Trajkovski, M., Ahmed, K., Esau, C.C., and Stoffel, M. (2012). MyomiR-133 regulates brown fat differentiation through Prdm16. Nat. Cell Biol. *14*, 1330–1335.

Trapnell, C., Williams, B.A., Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., van Baren, M.J., Salzberg, S.L., Wold, B.J., and Pachter, L. (2010). Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat. Biotechnol. *28*, 511–515.

Tsai, M.C., Manor, O., Wan, Y., Mosammaparast, N., Wang, J.K., Lan, F., Shi, Y., Segal, E., and Chang, H.Y. (2010). Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science *329*, 689–693.

van Marken Lichtenbelt, W.D., Vanhommerig, J.W., Smulders, N.M., Drossaerts, J.M., Kemerink, G.J., Bouvy, N.D., Schrauwen, P., and Teule, G.J. (2009). Cold-activated brown adipose tissue in healthy men. N. Engl. J. Med. *360*, 1500–1508.

Villanueva, C.J., Vergnes, L., Wang, J., Drew, B.G., Hong, C., Tu, Y., Hu, Y., Peng, X., Xu, F., Saez, E., et al. (2013). Adipose subtype-selective recruitment of TLE3 or Prdm16 by PPAR γ specifies lipid storage versus thermogenic gene programs. Cell Metab. *17*, 423–435.

Villarroya, F., and Vidal-Puig, A. (2013). Beyond the sympathetic tone: the new brown fat activators. Cell Metab. *17*, 638–643.

Virtanen, K.A., Lidell, M.E., Orava, J., Heglind, M., Westergren, R., Niemi, T., Taittonen, M., Laine, J., Savisto, N.J., Enerbäck, S., and Nuutila, P. (2009). Functional brown adipose tissue in healthy adults. N. Engl. J. Med. *360*, 1518–1525.

Waldén, T.B., Hansen, I.R., Timmons, J.A., Cannon, B., and Nedergaard, J. (2012). Recruited vs. nonrecruited molecular signatures of brown, "brite," and white adipose tissues. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. 302, E19–E31.

Wang, K.C., and Chang, H.Y. (2011). Molecular mechanisms of long noncoding RNAs. Mol. Cell 43, 904–914.

Wu, J., Boström, P., Sparks, L.M., Ye, L., Choi, J.H., Giang, A.H., Khandekar, M., Virtanen, K.A., Nuutila, P., Schaart, G., et al. (2012). Beige adipocytes are a distinct type of thermogenic fat cell in mouse and human. Cell *150*, 366–376.

Zhao, X.Y., Li, S., Wang, G.X., Yu, Q., and Lin, J.D. (2014). A long noncoding RNA transcriptional regulatory circuit drives thermogenic adipocyte differentiation. Mol. Cell 55, 372–382.