Growing Healthy Kids
A Community Garden–Based Obesity Prevention Program

Dina C. Castro, MPH, PhD, Margaret Samuels, MSW, Ann E. Harman, PhD

**Background:** Childhood obesity has increased dramatically in the past 3 decades, particularly among children aged 2–5 years. In this group, Latino children are among those with the highest prevalence of obesity.

**Purpose:** This paper describes a pilot study to evaluate a community intervention, known as the Growing Healthy Kids Program (GHK), to prevent childhood obesity among low-income families in a Southern state.

**Methods:** The intervention included a weekly gardening session, a 7-week cooking and nutrition workshop, and social events for parents and children. Matched pre- and post-program height and weight data were collected for 95 children aged 2–15 years. Children’s BMI was determined. Also, families reported on the availability and consumption of fruits and vegetables at the beginning and the end of the family’s participation in the GHK program. Data were collected in 2008–2010 and analyzed in 2011.

**Results:** About 60% of participants who enrolled in the program were Latino families (n=60 families/120 children). By the end of their participation in the program, 17% (n=6, p<0.004) of obese or overweight children had improved their BMI classification and 100% of the children with a BMI classification of normal had maintained that BMI classification. According to parental reports, there was an increase of 146% (p<0.001) in the availability of fruits and vegetables and an increase in the consumption of fruits (28%; p<0.001) and vegetables (33%; p<0.001) among children of families participating in the GHK program.

**Conclusions:** Findings from this pilot study are consistent with previous studies reporting an increase in availability and consumption of fruits and vegetables among families participating in community gardens. Although there are limitations because this is a pilot study, this strategy seems to be promising for addressing childhood obesity, particularly among low-income Latino immigrant families.


**Introduction**

Childhood obesity has reached epidemic proportions in the U.S. since the 1960s. For children aged 2–5 years, the prevalence of obesity has risen from 5% to 10.4%, whereas over the same period the increase has been nearly fivefold for children aged 6–11 years (rising from 4.2% to 19.6%). For low-income children aged 2–5 years, the prevalence of obesity is particularly alarming. In 2006, the CDC’s Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance Survey (PedNSS) found that 16.4% of these children were overweight and 14.8% were obese.

A growing body of research suggests that the risk for childhood and adolescence obesity appears during the early childhood years. Children who have a high BMI or who are overweight or obese in early childhood are more likely to be overweight or obese in mid-childhood and adolescence. However, those risk factors are not uniformly distributed across racial/ethnic groups in the U.S. About one in seven low-income, preschool children in the country is obese, and Latinos are among those with the highest prevalence of obesity. According to the American Heart Association, nearly 20% of Mexican-American preschoolers are overweight.

Factors that have been identified as related to childhood obesity include limited intake of healthy food and limited physical activity. Some research shows that when low-income families do not have enough money to buy the food their families need, they adopt a
deliberate strategy to stretch their food budget, such as purchasing low-cost, more energy-dense foods that tend to contain higher amounts of fat and sugar and lower amounts of important nutrients. Also, emerging research indicates that having access to local healthy food outlets seems to be associated with healthier food intake and a lower prevalence of childhood obesity.

These research findings have led many community agencies and local governments to look for ways to work together to promote programs that allow their low-income residents to gain increased access to nutritious foods and opportunities for child and adult physical activities in the community. One such strategy is the use of community gardens—pieces of land gardened collectively by a group of people—as a resource for providing low-income residents with greater access to fruits and vegetables and allowing for increased activity. Research supporting the positive impact of community gardens is limited, but a few studies do indicate that gardens have potential as an environmental approach that not only improve participants’ nutrition and physical activity but also serve as a mechanism to influence public policy on obesity prevention by increasing awareness in the community and among policymakers.

A recent review of literature found that those who participated in a community garden consumed more fruits and vegetables than those who did not. Other studies reported gardeners consuming more vegetables and eating a balanced diet more often than comparison participants. The present paper describes the design, implementation, and evaluation of a community garden intervention, the Growing Healthy Kids (GHK) program, to prevent childhood obesity among low-income families in a Southern state.

Methods

Participants

This pilot study evaluates a community-based initiative; participation in the program was open to all families in the community with at least one child aged ≤6 years. Families were recruited through outreach activities at local schools, child care centers, Head Start programs, healthcare centers, public health department, Latino community centers, food pantries, word of mouth, and referral. Families had already agreed to participate in the community gardens when the pilot study began. Participants were invited to be part of the evaluation study; those who agreed completed an application form consenting to participate in the data collection procedures. Ninety percent of families who voluntarily enrolled in the community garden program were at or below 75% of the state median income (SMI). Participants received $20 grocery store cards as incentives for completing post-intervention surveys.

Program Design

The GHK program used community gardens as a vehicle for providing low-income families that are raising young children access to information about proper nutrition and healthy eating. The project also provided these families an opportunity to work with their children to grow fresh vegetables for their families. Some of the vegetables and fruits grown include lettuce, spinach, Swiss chard, potatoes, peppers, squash, cucumbers, onion, strawberries, melons, roseelle, lemongrass, hot peppers (various), beets, carrots, cilantro, mint, basil, sweet potatoes, pumpkins, gourds, and peas. Between Spring 2008 and Spring 2009, community gardens were established. All three gardens were located in Carrboro NC (at an elementary school, a community park, and land owned by a utility company), and served families from surrounding communities within Orange County. The GHK program included five components, as follows.

Weekly gardening work sessions. From April through November of each of the three program years (2008, 2009, and 2010), each community garden held established hours every week when the gardens were open and staff were present to assist families with preparing, planting, tending, and harvesting their gardens. During these weekly work sessions, the families learned specific gardening skills, such as soil preparation, proper planting and watering methods, and weeding. Work sessions also gave families the opportunity to practice gardening skills. All tools and materials were provided to families. Of the 60 participating families, 27 (45%) participated every week in the work sessions; 27 (45%) participated two to three times per month; and 4 (7%) participated once a month or less. For two families (3%), no attendance data were reported.

Cooking and nutrition workshops. North Carolina Cooperative Extension staff conducted a 7-week cooking and nutrition workshop series for all families, including both parents and children, participating in the GHK project. During the 2010 growing season, the cooking and nutrition workshops were offered in Spanish and specifically targeted toward the Hispanic families in the GHK program. The workshops also provided families and their children with useful information and resources related to making healthy food choices. All participants completed postworkshop session evaluations.

Nine of the 25 Hispanic mothers/families (36%) participated in the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (EFNEP) conducted by the North Carolina Cooperative Extension. Six cooking classes were conducted between May 1, 2010, and June 12, 2010, on Saturdays. NC Cooperative Extension staff taught all classes in Spanish. Seven of the nine mothers (77.8%) participated in all six classes; one mother participated in five classes; and one mother participated in four classes.

Social activities and events. Additional activities were planned to further emphasize the community nature of the project. Many community gardens utilize these types of activities to build and maintain interest in the garden and foster interaction between garden members. Several of these activities coincided with data collection dates and brought the families together in an easy-to-access location as they met with the project data collection staff. During the course of each program year, three to four additional activities and events were planned for participating families. Activities focused on the inclusion of the whole family participating in seasonal potluck dinners (with food made from garden
ingredients using healthy recipes that were in many cases developed by the gardeners); garden community meetings to discuss the progress being made in the garden and troubleshoot any issues arising in the gardens; scarecrow making; birdhouse building; and pumpkin carving at the local farmers’ market. A garden newsletter published in Spanish and English further emphasized the work of the gardeners and highlighted healthy recipes, common garden/ growing issues, and included a children’s section. Initially project staff planned the events but with the growth of the gardener advisory group, the families began to take much more responsibility for organizing the events, especially the potluck community meetings where more and more information was exchanged as the project progressed.

Study Design and Data Collection

The program centered on three primary goals related to preventing obesity and promoting healthy eating in young children. First, the program sought to help children achieve or maintain a healthy body weight for their age and height. To measure the achievement of this program goal, the height, weight, date of birth, and gender of each child was recorded at the time that their family began participating in the GHK program. Also, post-program height and weight information was collected and recorded for each child to determine change in child’s BMI classification over the program period.

Based on these measures, each child’s BMI was calculated and classified using the CDC growth charts for children and the CDC’s BMI classification categories. Children aged 2–5 years with a BMI-for-age ≥95th percentile were classified as obese; children between the 85th and 94th percentile were classified as overweight. A final change in BMI classification was determined based on each child’s beginning BMI classification and his or her last recorded BMI classification.

A second goal of the GHK program was to increase the number of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables that children had access to, particularly in their homes. Providing access can increase the likelihood of children’s consumption of fruits and vegetables. To measure the change in children’s access to fruits and vegetables at home over the period of time their families participated in the GHK program, data were collected from parents using surveys administered at baseline and again at the end of each year the family participated. Each family identified a primary parent to complete all surveys.

At each survey administration, the primary parents were asked: On a typical weekday, how many servings of fruit does your child eat? (Include fresh, frozen, dried, and canned fruit and 100% fruit juice. Don’t include flavored drinks or snacks. Count servings your child may get both at daycare or preschool and at home.) The number of servings of fruits identified by the primary parents at each survey administration was counted and recorded. Similarly, but separately, the primary parents were also asked: On a typical weekday, how many servings of vegetables does your child eat? (Include fresh, frozen, and canned vegetables. Don’t include french fries. Count servings your child may get both at daycare or preschool and at home.) The number of servings of vegetables identified at each administration of the surveys was also counted and recorded.

The change in children’s consumption of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits in their homes was calculated by subtracting the total number of servings of fruits identified by the primary parent at baseline from the total number of servings identified at the last (for that family) survey administration. In addition to the absolute change in number of servings of fruits eaten by the children during a typical weekday during the time their families participated, the relative change was calculated by dividing the post-program number of servings of fruits by the pre-program number of servings of fruits and multiplying by 100. For example, if the parent named four fruits in the home when the family joined the program and seven fruits in the home at their final survey, the absolute change in fruit availability would be recorded as +3, and the relative change as +175%. This process was repeated to determine the change in availability of fresh, frozen, and canned vegetables in the home from pre- to post-program.

Data were collected across three growing seasons—2008, 2009, and 2010—and were analyzed throughout the duration of the study for progress monitoring purposes. The final analyses were conducted in early 2011 after the last data collection period in Fall 2010.

Data Analysis

The evaluation of the GHK program focused on pre- to post-program changes in three dependent variables: (1) BMI classification; (2) number of fruits and vegetables available in the home; and (3) number of fruits and vegetables children consumed each day. The independent variable was participation in the GHK program. The method of comparison for each of these changes was the change in the proportion of positive outcomes (i.e., improved BMI classification or increased availability and consumption of fruits and vegetables, from pre- to post-program). The SE of the proportions were calculated as $\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}$. Each proportion was compared to critical values of the standard normal distribution to determine the significance level.
Results
Across three growing seasons (2008, 2009, and 2010), a total of 60 families with a combined 120 children participated in the GHK program with an average of four people per participating family (Table 1). Of the 120 children, 59 (49%) were boys and 61 (51%) were girls. Seventy-one children (59%) were Latino/a. The mean age of the children at the time their families began participating in the GHK program was 6.0 years (SD = 3.4 years).

Changes in Children’s Body Mass Index Classifications
Matched pre- and post-program height and weight data were collected for 95 children aged 2–15 years at the time their families entered the GHK project. The remaining 25 children were either aged <2 years or did not have post-program data recorded. Of the 95 children for whom a BMI could be calculated, 36 (38%) had a BMI classification of either obese or overweight at the time their family joined the GHK.

For these 36 children, six (17%; p < 0.005) had achieved an improved BMI classification by their last height and weight measures (Table 2). Of the 23 children with a classification of obese at the beginning of the program, three (13%; z = 1.86, p < 0.05) had achieved a BMI classification of overweight at post-program, whereas 20 remained obese. Of the 13 children with a classification of overweight at the beginning of the program, three (23%; z = 2.0, p < 0.03) had achieved a BMI classification of normal, and ten remained overweight. Of the six children (6.3%) who had a classification of underweight at the beginning of the program, five remained underweight, and one had achieved a classification of normal. The remaining 53 children (55.8%) had a classification of normal at the beginning of the program, and at post-program all of these children had maintained a BMI classification of normal weight.

Availability of Fruits and Vegetables
A second goal of the GHK program was to increase over the program period the availability of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables for children in their homes. Using self-report survey data from pre-program to post-program, the goal was for parents to report having more fruits and vegetables in their home. A total of 48 families reported at both pre- and post-program on the question How many fresh, frozen, or canned vegetables do you have at your home today? 48 families also reported at both pre- and post-program. The average number of vegetables named by the parents on the pre-program survey was 3.5 (SD = 2.06), and the average named on post-program survey was 7.8 (SD = 1.80; Figure 1). The average absolute change in the number for vegetables available to the children in their homes over the program period increased by 4.3 (SD = 1.32; p < 0.001).

Table 2. Pre- and post-program change in BMI among children, n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-program BMI classification</th>
<th>Post-program change in BMI classification</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>20 (87)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>10 (77)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>55 (83)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consumption of Fruits and Vegetables

The final goal of the GHK program was to increase over the program period the number of servings of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables that children would eat on a typical day. Again, using self-report survey data from pre- to post-program, the goal was for parents to report an increase in the number of servings of fruits and vegetables that their children ate on a typical day.

A total of 48 families reported at pre- and post-program on the question On a typical weekday, how many servings of fruit does your child eat? (Include fresh, frozen, dried, and canned fruit and 100% fruit juice. Don’t include flavored drinks or snacks. Count servings your child may get both at daycare or preschool and at home.) The average number of servings of fruits named by the parents on the pre-program survey was 3.2 (SD = 1.07), and the average named on post-program survey was 4.1 (SD = 1.02; Figure 2). The average number for servings of fruits eaten by the children on a typical day over the program period increased by 28% per day ($t = 4.31$, df = 47, $p < 0.001$), which translates to two additional servings per week.

Similarly, when asked On a typical weekday, how many servings of vegetables does your child eat? (Include fresh, frozen, and canned vegetables. Don’t include french fries. Count servings your child may get both at daycare or preschool and at home.), 46 of the 48 families responded at both pre- and post-program. The average number of servings of vegetables named by the parents on the pre-program survey was 2.1 (SD = 0.96), and the average named on post-program survey was 2.8 (SD = 1.28; Figure 2). The average number for servings of vegetables eaten by the children on a typical day over the program period increased by 33% per day ($t = 3.17$, df = 45, $p < 0.001$), which translates to 4.9 additional servings per week.

![Figure 2. Consumption of fruits and vegetables by children before and after participation in the community garden intervention](image)

Discussion

This article focuses attention on the role that community gardens can play as a strategy to prevent childhood obesity, in particular, among low-income Latino immigrant families. Twiss and colleagues, in a report from a community garden initiative in California, stated that community gardens appeal to newly arrived immigrants, who use them to help maintain cultural traditions, and to those committed to sustainability and to personal and family health.

The current GHK program conducted a community-wide recruitment for participation in the community garden project, resulting in more than 50% of the families enrolled being Latino immigrants.

The current results suggest that children who participated in the GHK community gardening program had an increased availability of fruits and vegetables at home, and increased the amount of fruits and vegetables they consumed. These findings are consistent with results from previous studies showing the benefits of participating in community gardening for increasing fruit and vegetable consumption. A survey of 766 adults in Flint MI found that those who had participated in a community garden consumed fruits and vegetables 1.4 more times per day than those who did not participate, and they were 3.5 times more likely to consume fruits and vegetables at least five times a day. Also, in an evaluation of a community garden project of the California Healthy Cities and Communities initiative, 338 participants reported an increase of 10% in their intake of fruits and vegetables.

Over the long term, continued access to the community gardens and technical support, as well as the nutrition classes for families provided through the program, may help children achieve or maintain a healthy weight. These preliminary findings are encouraging. A recent review of literature concluded that community gardens seem to be a promising intervention strategy to increase availability of fruits and vegetables, especially among low-income families and thus help reduce childhood obesity and improve overall health.

This intervention represents a good example of how local communities can be mobilized to take action for preventing childhood obesity. “One of the most important assets found in communities is, naturally, the vast array of human resources. From vested community leaders, to service and information providers, to organizations and faith-based groups, to community members seeking employment, the opportunities to partner, collaborate, expand, and enrich initiatives are numerous.” The Growing Healthy Kids program was made possible through the contributions of the local government, community agencies, and community members who...
volunteered their time and effort (Figure 3). It was a community response to address a community problem.

Given the research-proven link between obesity in children from low-income families and their families' ability to access nutritious food they can afford, the current study found it a major need to sustain community gardens as an ongoing source of fresh, nutritious food for low-income Latino and other families in our community. Key community stakeholders were involved (e.g., the Town of Carrboro, the Cooperative Extension) in the program to help make community gardens a permanent part of life in the community.

Examples of local policy changes include the following: (1) the Town of Carrboro master plan for parks and recreation now includes designated parks and future parks capable of including community garden sites; (2) local policy supports "micro farming" within the town limits and requires recreational facilities/space in all private developments; (3) the GHK program team will collaborate to periodically review Town and county policies to determine whether existing plans or ordinances need modification to support the ongoing sustainability of the community garden sites. Also, the project’s influence has begun to reach the state level, where the authors were invited to present preliminary findings at the North Carolina Joint Legislative Task Force on Childhood Obesity.

Findings from this program evaluation should be interpreted within the limitations of a pilot study designed to assess the impact of a community-based intervention. The lack of a comparison or control group as well as the nonrandomized sampling limits the generalizability of findings. However, the current results show a trend that could be confirmed through an experimental study in the future. Also, a longitudinal study design will be necessary with a larger sample size to provide stronger evidence of the efficacy of this approach to preventing or reversing Latino childhood obesity. In addition, the use of a standardized measure to assess fruit and vegetable intake will strengthen the study design. Replicating this program in other communities will help test its feasibility in communities with different characteristics.
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