

AN ELEMENTARY GEOMETRIC PROOF OF TWO
THEOREMS OF THOM

SANDRO BUONCRISTIANO and DEREK HACON

§1. STIEFEL WHITNEY NUMBERS

Notation. All manifolds will be smooth, unoriented; $H_*(-)$, $H^*(-)$ \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology and cohomology; $[M]$ either bordism class of M or homology fundamental class, depending on the context; τ_M classifying map of the stable tangent bundle of M . \cong isomorphism or diffeomorphism depending on the context. \amalg disjoint union.

THEOREM A (Thom). *If $(\tau_M)_*[M] = 0$ then M bounds.*

Proof. The theorem is trivially true for $n = 1$. So let $n > 1$ and assume the theorem for dimensions $< n$. Let M be a closed n -manifold such that $(\tau_M)_*[M] = 0$. Let X be the Grassman manifold of K -planes in \mathbb{R}^{K+L} (K, L large) and X^k the k -skeleton consisting of all Schubert cells of dimension $\leq k$. (X^{-1} = empty set.)

Step 1. After a homotopy we may assume $\tau_M: M \rightarrow X^n$. After a further homotopy in X^n , we may assume τ_M is smoothly t -regular to the center \hat{e} of each n -cell e of X^n . Now $H_n(X^n) \cong H_n(X)$ by inclusion and $(\tau_M)_*[M] = 0$ in $H_n(X^n)$ since it is zero in $H_n(X)$.

Thus $\tau_M^{-1}(\hat{e})$ consists of an even number of points for each e . An obvious surgery now produces a bordism between τ_M and $f_1: M_1 \rightarrow X^{n-1}$.

Step 2. Suppose that $F: Q \rightarrow X$ is a bordism between $f: N \rightarrow X$ and $\tau_M: M \rightarrow X$ with $f(N) \subseteq X^k$ and $0 < k < n$. After a homotopy in X^k , we may assume f is t -regular to \hat{e} for each k -cell e of X .

Claim. $V = f^{-1}(\hat{e})$ bounds.

Notice that V is framed in N so the claim implies that f (and hence τ_M) is bordant to $f': N' \rightarrow X$ with $f'(N') \subseteq X^{k-1}$, by a surgery which replaces the projection $D^k \times V \rightarrow D^k$ by the projection $\partial D^k \times W \rightarrow \partial D^k$ (D^k is a small k -disk in e , center \hat{e} ; $\partial W = V$).

Proof of claim. $\dim V = n - k < n$. By Theorem A for dimension $n - k$, it suffices to show that $\langle \tau_V^* w, [V] \rangle = 0$ for all $w \in H^{n-k}(X)$. Now observe that

- (1) $\tau_V = \tau_N \circ j$, where $j: V \subseteq N$, since V is framed in N .
 - (2) $j_*[V] = f^* \Delta \cap [N]$, where $\Delta \in H^k(X^k)$ takes the value 1 on e and zero on all other k -cells of X .
 - (3) $(i_M)_*[M] = (i_N)_*[N]$ (because $\partial Q = M \amalg N$) where $i_M: M \subseteq Q$, $i_N: N \subseteq Q$.
- Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \tau_V^* w, [V] \rangle &= \langle \tau_N^* w, j_*[V] \rangle = \langle \tau_N^* w, f^* \Delta \cap [N] \rangle = \langle \tau_N^* w \cup f^* \Delta, [N] \rangle \\
 &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \langle \tau_Q^* w \cup F^* \Delta, (i_N)_*[N] \rangle = \langle \tau_Q^* w \cup F^* \Delta, (i_M)_*[M] \rangle = \langle \tau_M^* w \cup \tau_M^* \Delta, [M] \rangle \\
 &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \langle \tau_Q^* w \cup F^* \Delta, (i_M)_*[M] \rangle = \langle \tau_M^* w \cup \tau_M^* \Delta, [M] \rangle \\
 &= \langle w \cup \Delta, (\tau_M)_*[M] \rangle = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. By iterating Step 2 we obtain a bordism $F: Q \rightarrow X$ between τ_M and $f: N \rightarrow X$ where $f(N) = \text{point}$. Since the pair $BO(K), BO(n+1)$ is $(n+1)$ -connected ($K \geq n+1$) we may assume that $F: Q \rightarrow BO(n+1)$ and $F|_M = \tau_M, F(N) = \text{point}$.

Step 4. F pulls back an $(n+1)$ -disk bundle ξ which restricts to (the disk bundle of) τ_M over M and to a trivial bundle ϵ_N over N . Let $S(\xi), S(\tau_M), S(\epsilon_N)$ be the associated sphere bundles, and $A(\xi)$, etc. the fibrewise antipodal involution on ξ etc. Consider $U = M \times M \times [-1, 1]$ with involution $T(x, y, t) = (y, x, -t)$. This has fixed point set $F = \{(x, x, 0) | x \in M\}$. We may identify T in a neighbourhood, R , of F in W with the antipodal involution on τ_M . Now remove the interior of R from U and attach $S(\xi)$, by identifying ∂R and $S(\tau_M)$, to obtain a smooth manifold W with $\partial W = \partial U \amalg S(\epsilon_N)$ and involution T , which, on ∂W , restricts to $T(x, y, \pm 1) = (y, x, \mp 1)$ and $A(\epsilon_N)$. The double cover $W \rightarrow W/T$ is classified by a map $\varphi: W/T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^q$ (\mathbb{P}^q a projective space, q large). Its restriction to $\partial U/T$ is trivial and its restriction to $S(\epsilon_N)/T$ may be identified with $Id_N \times g$ (where $g: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is the usual double cover). Now g is classified by the inclusion $\mathbb{P}^n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^q$ so the restriction of φ to $S(\epsilon_N)/T$ can be identified with projection $N \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^q$. Let \mathbb{P}^{q-n} be a projective space in \mathbb{P}^q meeting \mathbb{P}^n transversely in one point. After a homotopy of φ we may assume that $\varphi|_{\partial(W/T)}$ is transverse to \mathbb{P}^{q-n} with inverse image N (in particular $\varphi(\partial U/T)$ does not meet \mathbb{P}^{q-n}). Now make φ t -regular to \mathbb{P}^{q-n} keeping φ fixed on $\partial(W/T)$. Then $\partial\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^{q-n}) \cong N$, so N (and hence M) bounds, as required. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

§2. STEENROD REPRESENTATION

THEOREM B (Thom). *Any \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology class is representable by a smooth manifold.*

Proof. Let X be a finite simplicial complex and X^k its k -skeleton. If $f: N \rightarrow X^k$ is smoothly t -regular to the center \hat{A} of each k -simplex A of X , define $C(f) = \sum_A A \otimes [V]$, where the coefficient $[V]$ is the bordism class of $V = f^{-1}(\hat{A})$. If f bounds in X^k then $C(f) = 0$, by relative transversality.

LEMMA 1. *If $C = \sum_B B \otimes [W_B]$ is a simplicial $(k+1)$ -chain then there exists a manifold Q and a map $G: Q \rightarrow X^{k+1}$ with $\partial C = C(G|\partial Q)$.*

Proof. Let D_B be a $(k+1)$ -disk in B , center \hat{B} , of small radius. Let $p_B: D_B, \partial D_B \rightarrow B, \partial B$ be the obvious radial map. Let $G_B: D_B \times W_B \rightarrow X^{k+1}$ be projection followed by p_B and take $G: Q \rightarrow X^{k+1}$ to be $\amalg G_B$.

LEMMA 2. *$C(f)$ is a cycle.*

Proof. Let $W_B = f^{-1}(\hat{B})$ for each k -simplex B . After a homotopy, we may identify f near $f^{-1}(\amalg D_B)$ with G (notation as in Lemma 1, with $k+1$ replaced by k), and assume that $G|\partial Q$ bounds in X^{k-1} so that $C(G|\partial Q) = 0$. But $g^{-1}(\hat{A}) \cong \amalg_{B \supset A} W_B$. Thus $\partial C(f) = C(G|\partial Q) = 0$.

COROLLARY. *If $C(f)$ is homologous to zero then f is bordant in X^{k+1} to g where $g(N) \subseteq X^{k-1}$.*

Suppose now that z is a simplicial $(n+1)$ -cycle in X . Lemma 2 may be applied to produce a map $F: Q, \partial Q \rightarrow X^{n+1}, X^n$ with $C(F|\partial Q) = \partial z = 0$. (Here $W_B = \text{point}$.) To complete the proof of the theorem we need

LEMMA. If $F: Q, \partial Q \rightarrow X^{n+1}, X^k$ ($k < n$) then $C(F|\partial Q)$ is homologous to zero.

Proof. Write $N = \partial Q$ and $f = F|N$. By Theorem A choose a \mathbb{Z}_2 -basis $\{[V_\lambda]\}$ for the bordism group of $(n-k)$ -manifolds and let $\{w_\lambda\}$ be dual to these in $H^{n-k}(BO)$ (i.e. $\langle \tau_{V_\lambda}^* w_\mu, [V_\lambda] \rangle = \text{Kronecker } \delta_{\lambda\mu}$). We have $C(f) = \sum_A A \otimes [V] = \sum_\lambda C_\lambda \otimes [V_\lambda]$ where $C_\lambda = \sum_A \langle \tau_{V_\lambda}^* w_\lambda, [V] \rangle A$, a cycle in X^k .

Claim 1. $f_*(\tau_N^* w_\lambda \cap [N])$ = the class of C_λ in $H_k(X)$.

Proof. f factors through a map $\tilde{f}: N \rightarrow X^k$. Therefore it suffices to prove $\tilde{f}_*(\tau_N^* w_\lambda \cap [N])$ = class of C_λ in $H_k(X^k)$. To do this, define, for any $A, \Delta \in H^k$ by the simplicial cocycle which takes the value 1 on A and 0 elsewhere. Then, by transversality of f , $j_*[V] = \tilde{f}^* \Delta \cap [N]$ where $j: V \subseteq N$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta, \tilde{f}_*(\tau_N^* w_\lambda \cap [N]) \rangle &= \langle \tilde{f}^* \Delta \cup \tau_N^* w_\lambda, [N] \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_N^* w_\lambda, \tilde{f}^* \Delta \cap [N] \rangle = \langle \tau_N^* w_\lambda, j_*[V] \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau_V^* w_\lambda, [V] \rangle \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

Claim 2. $f_*(\tau_N^* w_\lambda \cap [N]) = 0$ in $H_k(X)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in H^k(X)$. Then $\langle \alpha, f_*(\tau_N^* w_\lambda \cap [N]) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \langle f^* \alpha \cup \tau_N^* w_\lambda, [N] \rangle \\ &= \langle F^* \alpha \cup \tau_Q^* w_\lambda, (i_N)_*[N] \rangle = 0 \text{ since } (i_N)_*[N] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(where $i_N: N \subseteq Q$). Thus $f_*(\tau_N^* w_\lambda \cap [N]) = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Therefore by Lemma 2, f is bordant, in X^{k+1} , to $f': N' \rightarrow X^{k-1}$. Starting from $F: Q, \partial Q \rightarrow X^{n+1}, X^n$ representing z , as above, we iterate the lemma to obtain $F: Q, \partial Q \rightarrow X^{n+1}, X^{-1}$, i.e. a closed manifold representing z . This proves Theorem B.

Remark. In the important special case when M is stably parallelizable, the argument of Step 4 in Theorem A gives a geometric proof that M bounds.

Istituto Matematico "R. Caccioppoli"

Università di Napoli

and

Department of Mathematics

University of Manchester

Manchester

England