DNA crunching by a viral packaging motor: Compression of a procapsid-portal stalled Y-DNA substrate
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Abstract

Many large double-stranded DNA viruses employ high force-generating ATP-driven molecular motors to package their genomes into empty procapsids. Bacteriophage T4 DNA translocation is driven by a two-component motor consisting of the procapsid portal docked with a packaging terminase-ATPase. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer and fluorescence correlation spectroscopic (FRET-FCS) studies of a branched (Y-junction) DNA substrate with a procapsid-anchoring leader segment and a single dye molecule situated at the junction point reveal that the “Y-DNA” stalls in proximity to the procapsid portal fused to GFP. Comparable structure Y-DNA substrates containing energy transfer dye pairs in the Y-stem separated by 10 or 14 base pairs reveal that B-form DNA is locally compressed 22–24% by the linear force of the packaging motor. Torsional compression of duplex DNA is thus implicated in the mechanism of DNA translocation.

Introduction

Nucleic acid translocation into an empty procapsid is a conserved assembly mechanism found among diverse DNA and RNA viruses (Kainov et al., 2006). Many large double stranded (ds) DNA viruses employ high force-generating molecular motors to package their genomes into procapsids (Smith et al., 2001). In tailed dsDNA bacteriophages translocation is driven by a two-component motor consisting of a dodecameric portal situated at a unique procapsid vertex that is docked during packaging with a homopentameric terminase-ATPase (Fig. 1a). High-resolution structures of both the vertex that is docked during packaging with a homopentameric protein (gp2), and neck and tail components to complete assembly, DNA is ejected through the portal central channel and tail to infect a susceptible bacterial host. The small gp16 T4 terminase subunits are associated with the gp17 terminase large subunits and are required for cutting and packaging the natural genomic substrate, a replicative DNA concatemer in vivo, or circular DNA in vitro (Black and Peng, 2006; Konabagil et al., 2006; Catalano, 2006). However the small gp16 subunit is nonessential and in fact inhibitory for linear DNA packaging into purified procapsids in vitro. These features make phage T4 packaging a particularly favorable subject for study of the DNA translocation mechanism.

Efficient packaging of DNA substrates into phase T4 procapsids by the terminase-ATPase large subunit in vitro allowed specific DNA structural requirements to be identified. Duplex DNAs of random sequence from 20 to 500 bp were packaged with nearly 100% efficiency. Dye and short, single-stranded end extensions were tolerated, whereas 20-base extensions, hairpin ends, 20-bp DNA–RNA hybrid, and 4-kb dsRNA substrates were not packaged at all. DNA substrates 60 bp in length with 10 internal mismatched basepairs were translocated with reduced efficiencies compared to fully duplexed DNA; while substrates with 20 mismatched basepairs, a related D-loop structure, or ones with an internal 20-base single-strand region were not. Strikingly, a single nick in 100 or 200 bp duplexes, irrespective of location, greatly reduced translocation efficiency, but singly nicked 500 bp or longer molecules were packaged as effectively as an unnicked control in a limit packaging reaction. Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy further revealed that a 100 bp nicked substrate did not remain stably bound to the terminase-procapsid. Two unbroken DNA strands are thus important for translocation, markedly so when no substantial packaged leader portion of the substrate DNA is available within the procapsid to anchor the substrate to the motor. Taken together, these observations suggested that DNA torsion is implicated in phase DNA packaging and...
led to a proposed torsional compression translocation mechanism (Oram et al., 2008). In this report we provide experimental evidence that the packaging motor exerts a linear force that locally compresses duplex DNA in a stalled translocation complex.

**Results**

Our previous work established that fluorescently labeled DNA and protein packaging components could be employed to measure packaging efficiency by fluorescence resonance energy transfer fluctuation correlation spectroscopy (FRET-FCS) as confirmed by the well-established nuclease protection assay (Sabanayagam et al., 2007). In this work fluorescent components are used to examine the structure of stalled DNA packaging intermediates. Our stalling assay employed a Y-DNA substrate with a single Texas Red dye (Tx) positioned at the branched junction (Fig. 1b). The procapsid contained either a wild-type portal or a portal with four to six GFP fusion proteins of the twelve portal monomers. Such particles had previously been shown to be active and, together with other active portal fusion containing procapsids, strongly argued against the hypothesis that the portal functioned as a rotary motor (Hendrix, 1978; Simpson et al., 2000), a conclusion in agreement with other recent work (Baumann et al., 2006; Hugel et al., 2007). Here we found that the 90 bp TxY-DNA was not packaged into the prohead, nor was FRET observed between the TxY-DNA and the GFP prohead, consistent with earlier observations that short looped DNAs (e.g. the Y-ends) or short DNAs containing nicks or non-duplex elements (e.g. the Y-stem and Y-junction) were not packaged or retained by the procapsid as determined by the nuclease (Fig. 2a) and FCS assays (Figs. 3a, c) (Oram et al., 2008). However, when the TxY-DNA stem was joined to a 2.7 kb duplex leader to anchor the DNA substrate inside the procapsid (Fig. 1c), a substantial portion of the 2.7 kb DNA was nuclease protected (Fig. 2b). Additionally, with the leader DNA present, Tx-GFP FRET was observed as was packaging measured by FCS (Figs. 3b, d). Fluorescence correlation analysis of the long 2.7 kb TxY-DNA was compared with the short TxY-DNA in the packaging assay samples. A decrease in the linear diffusion constant showed that a substantial portion of the long—but not the short—substrate had been packaged (compare Figs. 3c and d). The correlation curves shown in Figs. 3c and d were fitted with single- and two-species homogeneous brightness diffusion models respectively (Oram et al., 2008). The diffusion coefficients and the respective contributions of each species including the error associated with the fit of the autocorrelation plots are presented in Table 1. The diffusion coefficient of the 2.7 kb TxY-DNA anchored into the GFP-portal was measured to be 1.7 μm²/s, comparable to previous measurements (Sabanayagam et al., 2007). Fig. 3b shows the FRET histogram when 2.7 kb TxY-DNA is packaged in the GFP-portal. From this measurement, the donor-to-acceptor distance ($r$) is estimated to be ∼5.8 nm, given a Förster distance of 4 nm for a GFP-Tx donor–acceptor pair (Duckworth et al., 2007). As previously concluded, evidently the 2.7 kb leader portion of the DNA substrate serves to introduce and anchor the DNA within the procapsid (Oram et al., 2008). The Y-junction portion of the 2.7 kb TxY-DNA is stalled in the vicinity of the portal-GFP fusion and Tx-GFP FRET was observed (Fig. 3b), consistent with the known dimensions of the portal (Driedonks et al., 1981).

**Fig. 1.** A Texas Red single dye containing Y-DNA with a 2.7-kb leader sequence complexed with gp17 terminase-ATPase stalls in proximity to a GFP-fusion portal containing procapsid. (a) A GFP-portal containing procapsid with anchored, stalled 2.7 kb TxY-DNA; (b) diagram of the TxY-DNA structure and sequence, (c) construction of the 2.7 kb leader Tx-Y-DNA, only later packaged.
In order to examine the molecular orientation of stalled DNA substrates, 92 bp Y-DNA packaging substrates were internally labeled with an energy transfer pair: a Cy3 (Cy; acceptor) was positioned in the duplex Y stem either 14 bp or 10 bp apart from an Alexa 488 (Ax; donor) located at the Y junction (Fig. 4a). When AxCyY-DNAs were employed in packaging into wild-type procapsids, no packaging was observed by either the nuclease or FCS assays, similar to results with the TxY-DNA without the 2.7 kb leader. However, following ligation to a 2.7 kb stem extension to allow anchoring and stalling in the procapsid portal (Fig. 4b), protection of a portion of the 2.7-kb AxCyY-DNAs was observed when procapsids and terminase were present, and in the absence of terminase DNA was completely degraded by nuclease (Fig. 5). FRET-FCS measurements of 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA substrates in water are shown in Figs. 6a, b. Figs. 7a and b shows the correlation curves for the 2.7-kb AxCyY-DNAs (10 bp or 14 bp). A single species diffusion model does not exactly fit the data which may indicate the heterogeneity of the environment in the reaction buffer. The autocorrelation plots of the packaged 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNAs (10 bp or 14 bp) fitted with a double-species model are presented in Fig. 7c and d. The fitted parameters and errors associated with measured diffusion coefficients are tabulated in Table 1. Correlation spectrscopy of the packaged 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNAs revealed a portion with procapsid-like diffusion, consistent with the nuclease assay (Fig. 7c, d). The diffusion coefficient of the anchored 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA (10 bp or 14 bp) into normal procapsids was measured to be $\sim 4.5 \mu m^2/s$. However, although a portion of the added 2.7 kb leader DNA was protected from nuclease, nuclease treatment rapidly converted all of the fluorescence to high mobility, showing protection of a portion of the added 2.7 kb leader but none of its Y portion (Figs. 7e, f). This thus portion of the DNA is not in a stable packaging protected location, unlike fully packaged single or double dye end-labeled DNA substrates (Sabanayagam et al., 2007). The autocorrelation plots shown in Figs. 7e and f were fitted using a single species diffusion model and the diffusion coefficients were measured to be $\sim 190 \mu m^2/s$ in both cases. Strikingly, when the FRET efficiencies of the packaged 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNAs were measured, it was found in each case that there were two components: the 10 bp spacing displayed $\sim 20\%$ and $\sim 70\%$ energy transfer efficiencies, whereas the 14 bp spacing showed $\sim 15\%$ and $\sim 55\%$ (Figs. 6c, d). In the absence of terminase or procapsids in packaging buffer (data not shown) or in water (Figs. 6a, b) only the single lower FRET efficiencies were observed. Therefore, we attribute the high efficiency FRET to the packaged DNAs and the low efficiency component to the freely diffusing 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA substrates.

For 10 bp AxCyY-DNA, in the absence of procapsids, we observed energy transfer of $\sim 20\%$ (unpackaged form, Fig. 6a) which resulted in a donor-to-acceptor distance (r) of 8.0 nm. For 10 bp AxCyY-DNA when packaged into the procapsid, we observed an energy transfer of $\sim 70\%$ (Fig. 6c). Consequently, for this case we estimated r = 6.1 nm. Thus, change in donor-to-acceptor distance after AxCyY-DNA (10 bp) is packaged into the procapsid is 2.1 nm which is equivalent to $\sim 24\%$ change in the interdye spacing. For 14 bp AxCyY-DNA, in the absence of procapsids, we observed energy transfer of $\sim 15\%$ (unpackaged form, Fig. 6b) which corresponds to a value of r = 8.4 nm. For the 14 bp AxCyY-DNA we observed energy transfer of $\sim 55\%$ when packaged into the procapsid, corresponding to r = 6.6 nm (Fig. 6d). Thus, the change in the donor-to-acceptor distance after AxCyY-DNA (14 bp) is packaged is 1.8 nm which is equivalent to $\sim 22\%$ change in the interdye spacing. Hence, from the changes in the FRET efficiency and interdye distances, we calculate an average DNA compression of 22–24% that is associated with the packaging reaction.

It might be supposed that the FRET efficiency changes we attribute to compression of the rigid short B-form Y-stem segment are instead due to orientation changes in the dipole-dipole orientation of the dyes stalled in the portal channel relative to the free Y-DNA. First, we should note that the dyes employed for the FRET measurements are linked to the DNA by flexible linkers, making it unlikely that orientation changes could account for the FRET changes we observe. Additionally, we have measured the anisotropy of the donor molecule (Alexa 488) in the Y-DNA construct while packaged and unpackaged and find no change, since these R values are 0.20 and 0.19 respectively (See Materials and methods—Anisotropy measurements). This suggests that the observed Förster distance changes in the donor–acceptor dyes bound to a rigid Y-DNA stem stalled in the procapsid are due to shortening of the interdye distance. This supports a structural change of the duplex DNA.

Additionally, structural changes in DNA apart from compression could possibly account for the measured distance changes. We note that our measurements are over a very short span of duplex DNA that is within the persistence length of B-DNA. Also, the two constructs of Y-DNA of identical stem sequence with 10 and 14 bp interdye spacing yields changes in the donor-to-acceptor distance of 24% and 22%, respectively. Given the constricted dimensions of the viral portal, it is unlikely that B-DNA with substantial bending or kinking could be accommodated. Still, if the observed distance change was due to bending or kinking of the short rigid stem segment, then we would expect to observe a greater difference in FRET efficiency between the two Y-DNA substrates because the two differently spaced dyes are rotated by $\sim 50\%$ (4 bp) of a helical turn with respect to each other. Conversion of duplex DNA to two single strands, thereby collapsing the DNA segment and allowing even closer approach of the two dyes, appears even more unlikely.

**Discussion**

It might at first appear that a linear motor force should stretch DNA if it is stalled by a structure unable to translocate through the portal channel. Instead we observe a compression, suggesting that a linear
motor force is pushing upon a constrained short (10–14 bp) DNA segment. As discussed above it is unlikely that the FRET differences we measure could result from packaging associated DNA bending, kinking, or melting rather than compression within such a short DNA segment—indeed, short rigid dsDNAs often serve as FRET rulers (Deniz et al., 1999; Sabanayagam et al., 2005). The narrow 3–4 nm diameter portal channel further constrains the DNA to maintain its linear conformation. Moreover, the magnitude of the changes we observe are incompatible with changes to the orientations of the DNA linked acceptor and donor dyes or to their local environments, consistent with direct anisotropy measurements (See Materials and methods). While DNA stretching is thoroughly studied energetically, kinetically, and structurally (Bustamante et al., 2000), DNA compression is less well characterized experimentally because it is based on difficult to observe short range rigidity of B-DNA. Nevertheless, modeling suggests that up to ~50% compression of B-DNA may occur without disrupting hydrogen bonding (Kosikov et al., 1999)—as in compressed A-form DNA—and moreover, measurements of reversible compression of B-DNA and the associated force required show that 22–24% compression can be readily achieved by considerably less than the measured T4 motor force of up to 60 pN (Fuller et al., 2007; Koenig et al., 2005).

Although we attribute our measured DNA compression to the obstruction of DNA translocation by the branched substrate, the observed DNA compression we believe reflects the normal functioning of the two-component motor that has successfully translocated the 2.7 kbp leader-anchor segment into the procapsid. We previously proposed that the portal grips the DNA and the terminase imparts a linear force that is stored as DNA torsion until DNA release by the portal (Oram et al., 2008), consistent with active participation of the portal in terminase-powered translocation (Cuervo et al., 2007; Lebedev et al., 2007). Such a mechanism would account for the strong inhibitory effect of DNA nicks in short substrates on translocation. Our current measurements offer direct evidence for this model by showing that the stalled motor exerts a linear force creating torsional compression of the B-DNA undergoing translocation. Possibly such a portal-DNA-grip-and-release mechanism could relate to the established role of the portal in sizing the amount of DNA to be packaged before termination in headful-packaging phages such as P22, SPP1, and T4 (Casjens et al., 1992; Tavares et al., 1992).

Table 1
Diffusion coefficients and respective fractional contributions for different constructs of Y-DNA under various packaging conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$D_1$ ($\mu$m$^2$/s)</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
<th>$D_2$ ($\mu$m$^2$/s)</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tx-Y DNA</td>
<td>240 ± 20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx-Y DNA with leader (Packaged)</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>250 ± 24</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA 10 bp</td>
<td>11 ± 1.1</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>301 ± 14</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA 14 bp</td>
<td>11 ± 0.6</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>309 ± 11</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA 10 bp, Packaged</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>215 ± 7</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA 14 bp, Packaged</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>202 ± 8</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA 10 bp, Packaged, DNAse added</td>
<td>185.5 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA 14 bp, Packaged, DNAse added</td>
<td>187 ± 4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interestingly, recent measurements show that the Phi29 packaging motor moves DNA in 10 bp increments with four 2.5 bp steps, a difficult to explain non-integral number of base pairs, assuming that it is uncompressed B-DNA that is translocated through the portal channel (Moffitt et al., 2009); ~25% compression might account for this non-integral step size.

Our findings and model are also compatible with the structures of the translocation complexes of the Phi6 and T4 phages and the mechanisms that have been proposed for these motor proteins. In both cases a linear motor force is thought to be applied by a circular pentameric or hexameric array of ATPase monomers that exert force either by large scale conformational swiveling of each monomer or by localized swiveling arm motions within the multimer in the phage T4 and Phi6 translocation complexes respectively. However in neither case is the translocating nucleic acid structure known, nor is it known how the nucleic acid is engaged by the motor. Although both proposed mechanisms are generally consistent with our observations and model, we suggest a more active role of the portal in a grip-and-release mechanism working together with the terminase motor protein. This is supported by the evidence for an active role of the SPP1 portal in translocation already discussed. We propose in addition that structural change in the DNA substrate we have measured in the stalled substrate is intimately involved in the translocation mechanism.

ATP-driven helicases and translocases are known to produce marked structural changes in DNA that accompany translocation (Lionnet et al., 2006). Profound changes to DNA structure are also produced by transcription motor proteins. For example, DNA structural changes by RNA polymerase called scrunching differ from those we measure here because they result from pulling rather than pushing on DNA, are measured over longer range (30 bp), and involve DNA melting rather than short range linear DNA compression (Kapanidis et al., 2006). Our experiments are novel in suggesting that local DNA compression may be associated with two-component motors that translocate DNA by drawing upon its spring-like properties. Such DNA “crunching” could be an important element of other DNA transactions and DNA motors where force is locally exerted. We aim to study the kinetics of DNA compression by the packaging motor during active translocation, along with the associated motor protein dynamics further by FRET-FCS and single-molecule fluorescence technologies.
Alexa488 (Ax) dyes were joined to the 92 base oligonucleotides shown for extraction and purification to pBR322 DNA linearized with annealed and purified oligonucleotide strand, consistent with FRET measurements of the six carbon linkers of Cy3 to internal T residues on the complementary Fluorescent DNA construction spacing). Lane 1—Nuclease assay following FRET showing protected 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNA (10 bp Fig. 5. packaging omitting terminase; lane 4—input DNA.

Materials and methods

Fluorescent DNA construction

Y-DNAs were constructed from HPLC-purified dye-labeled oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) Inc.) by annealing complementary single strands, followed by gel electrophoresis and purification from gel slices by extraction of the dye containing duplex DNAs (Qiagen Inc. Qiaex II kit isolation). The Texas Red dye (Tx) in Txy-DNA was joined to the 90 base oligonucleotide sequence shown by an XN linker to the 5‘ terminal C (Fig. 1b). The Cy3 (Cy) and Alexa488 (Ax) dyes were joined to the 92 base oligonucleotides shown in Fig. 4a by three carbon linkers of Ax to the 5‘ terminal C and amino six carbon linkers of Cy3 to internal T residues on the complementary oligonucleotide strand, consistent with FRET measurements of the annealed and purified double dye Y duplexes. The single dye Txy-DNA (90 bases) and double dye AxCyY-DNAs (92 bases) were cut with restriction endonucleases EagI and NotI respectively, and then ligated to pBR322 DNA linearized with EagI, followed by PstI digestion and gel extraction and purification to yield the Y-DNAs with the 2.7 kb pBR322 derived leaders shown in Fig. 1c and Fig. 4a.

DNA packaging components

Unmodified wild-type empty large procapsids (elps) were concentrated from the T4 procapsid producing mutant infected bacteria. Procapsid purification employed differential centrifugation, glycerol gradient ultracentrifugation, and FPLC-DEAE chromatography as previously described (Black and Peng, 2006). Portion fusion protein gp20-GFP containing procapsids were produced by supplying gp20-GFP in trn mRNA from an expression vector to terminase and portal-deficient phage mutant infected bacteria as previously described (Baumann et al., 2006). The same purification as of wild-type procapsids was followed and the FPLC-DEAE chromatographed empty small procapsids (elps)—only els are produced because of the modified gp20-GFP fusion protein containing portal—were concentrated to the more stable gp20-GFP elps by exhaustive low ionic strength dialysis at 4 °C and then characterized for packaging activity by FCS and nuclease assay as previously described (Ray et al., 2009). The gp17 terminase large subunit was purified to near homogeneity from the chitin binding domain—intein fusion protein as previously described (Baumann and Black, 2003). DNA packaging was carried out as previously described (Black and Peng, 2006), except that polyethylene glycol (PEG 20,000) was added to 2% (wt/vol) final concentration to the packaging assay mixture for FCS measurements (Black and Peng, 2006).

DNA packaging assays

A nuclease protection assay measured the amount of packaged DNA by gel electrophoresis after incubation at 37 °C for 30 min with pancreatic DNAase, followed by release of the packaged procapsid DNA by incubation at 65 °C for 30 min in the presence of EDTA, proteinase K, and 1% SDS as described previously (Black and Peng, 2006). The packaged EthBr stained DNAs were quantitated using UVP software to integrate gel DNA band density in comparison to known quantities of standard DNA ladders (Fermentas). For nuclease assay of packaging following FCS measurements, the sample was removed from the microscope observation stage and processed by the nuclease assay described above to determine the packaging that had been achieved during the FCS measurements (Figs. 2 and 5). The ~20% recovery of Y-DNA substrates underestimates packaging compared to FCS diffusion measurements due to loss of packaging extract on the FCS viewing stage and possibly also because of some leakage of the anchoring 2.7 kbp segment from the procapsid following nuclease removal of the stalled exposed Y portion of the substrate. Packaging was assayed in addition by change in diffusability of the added dye-labeled DNA as compared to the added DNA without packaging (omitting either terminase or procapsids). Following FCS and FRET-FCS assays of packaging were carried out as described previously (Oram et al., 2008; Sabanayagam et al., 2007). FCS measurement of packaging after nuclease treatment employed <5 min of pancreatic DNAase addition (1 μl of 5 mg/ml/16 μl packaging mixture) at room temperature assay followed by FRET-FCS (Figs. 6e, f) and by FCS (Figs. 7e, f).

FCS and FRET-FCS measurements and analyses

FCS measurements were performed using a PicoQuant MicroTime 200 confocal microscope (PicoQuant microtime system coupled to an Olympus IX71 microscope). The excitation laser (λex ~470 nm) was reflected by a dichroic mirror (centered at 476 nm) to a high numerical aperture (NA) oil objective (100×, NA 1.3) and focused onto the solution sample. The fluorescence signals were collected through the same objective and dichroic mirror. An additional longpass filter was used to eliminate the scattered laser light. Fluorescence responses from the donor and the acceptor molecules were separated by a beam splitter and detected by two avalanche photodiode detectors (APD) using the method of time-correlated single photon counting and the Time-Tagged Time-Resolved (TTTR) mode of the TimeHarp 200 board. High quality bandpass (λem) filters were used for recording donor and acceptor fluorescence in two separate detection channels. FCS measurements were performed in a constant detection volume. PicoQuant Symptome software was used to generate the autocorrelation curves and in analyzing the FRET data. The autocorrelation curves were fitted with the following diffusion model. The autocorrelation function for n fluorescent species traversing a 3D Gaussian volume with radius ω0 and half axial z0 is:

$$G(\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \left(1 + \frac{4D_i \tau}{\omega_0^2}\right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{4D_i \tau}{z_0^2}\right)^{-1/2}$$
where \( \tau \) is the lag time, \( N \) is the number of molecules in the volume and \( f_i \) are the fractions of the corresponding diffusion coefficients \( D_i \) (Lakowicz, 2006). The collected single photon data was binned by 1 ms bin in each channel (donor or acceptor) which resulted in intensity-time traces and count-rate histograms. Threshold values in each channel were used to identify the single molecule bursts from the corresponding background signal level. Significant signals from adjacent intervals were binned together to get a sum photon number for each burst. Thus, fluorescence bursts were recorded simultaneously in donor and acceptor channels and FRET efficiencies were calculated using

\[
E = \frac{n_A}{(n_A + \gamma n_D)}
\]

where \( n_D \) and \( n_A \) are the sums of donor counts and acceptor counts for each burst, taking into account the possible difference in the detection efficiency (\( \gamma \)) in two separate channels (Schanne et al., 2002). The donor-to-acceptor distance (\( r \)) in terms of efficiency of energy transfer (\( E \)) and Förster Distance (\( R_0 \)) is given by

\[
r = R_0[1/E - 1]^{1/6}
\]

We have used the value of \( R_0 \) of 6.749 nm for the Alexa 488 (donor) and Cy3 (acceptor) pair for estimating the donor-to-acceptor distance (Elangovan et al., 2003). We assume that orientation factor changes in the donor–acceptor fluorophores bound to a rigid Y-DNA stem can account for at most a minor portion of the observed Förster Distance changes ((Lakowicz, 2006; Sabanayagam et al., 2007).

**Anisotropy measurements**

The anisotropy (\( R \)) measurements were performed in a microcuvette with a Picoquant Fluorimeter by the L-format or single channel method. We have used laser excitation with a narrow (10 nm, Chroma) bandpass excitation filter. The emission from the sample was collected with 90° geometry with 500–540 nm bandpass (Chroma) emission filter. We use two subscripts to indicate the orientation of the excitation and emission polarizers respectively. \( I_{HV} \) represents horizontally polarized excitation and vertically polarized emission. We determined the G-factor which is the ratio of the sensitivities of the detection system for vertically and horizontally polarized light.

\[
G = \frac{I_{HV}}{I_{HH}}
\]
The anisotropy is given by

\[ R = \frac{I_{VV} - 2I_{VH}}{I_{VV} + 2I_{VH}} \]

When AxCyY-DNAs were employed in packaging into wild-type procapsids without 2.7 kb leader, the value of \( R \) was measured to be \( R = 0.19 \) for Alexa 488 in this construct. As documented in the manuscript following ligation to a 2.7 kb stem extension to allow anchoring and stalling in the procapsid portal, protection of a portion of the 2.7 kb AxCyY-DNAs was observed when procapsids and terminase were present. We have obtained nearly equal anisotropy of \( R = 0.2 \) of Alexa 488 when 2.7 kb AxCyY is stalled in the procapsid portal. These anisotropy measurements indicate that the orientation of the dye in the Y-DNA construct did not alter while stalled in the procapsid.
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