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#### Abstract

In 2010, Liu et al. ${ }^{1}$ proposed an improvement of Liu-Li digital signature scheme without one-way hash function and message redundancy. In this paper, we demonstrate that Liu et al.'s scheme exist $\ell$-wDH problem. Using Baby-Step Giant Step, we can compute $a \equiv x_{i}^{T_{i}-T_{j}}(\bmod p-1)$ in $O(\log p \cdot(\sqrt{q / d}))$ polynomial time, it is therefore insecure and can not against forgery attack.
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## 1. Introduction

In 2010, Liu et al. ${ }^{1}$ enhanced the Shieh et al. ${ }^{2}$ scheme and propose a new scheme without using one-way hash functions or message redundancy. They used dual public key $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ based on Liu-Li signature scheme ${ }^{3}$ to protect their data. The secondary public key $y_{2}$ suppose upon on square root exponential of hard computation problem, it is easy to calculate the output value if known the input; otherwise, it is very hard to guess input value if known the output. In this article, we will point out the $\ell$-wDH problem ${ }^{4,5}$ in Liu et al.'s scheme, and state the vulnerability situation in their paper. Section 2 reviews Liu et al. scheme, Section 3 describes our methodology and security analysis. The conclusion draws in final section.

## 2. Review of Liu-Zhang-Deng Scheme

(Discrete Logarithm Problem, DLP)
Discrete Logarithm Problem DLP $\left(p, g, y_{i}\right)$ is a problem that on input a prime $p$ and integers $g, y_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, outputs $x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ satisfying $g^{x_{i}} \equiv y_{i}(\bmod p)$ if such an $x_{i}$ exists. Otherwise, it outputs $\perp$. The above function, which outputs $\perp$ if there is no solution to the query, should be expressed as DLP and the notation DLP should be used only for a weaker function such that nothing is specified for the behavior of the function in the case when there is no solution to

[^0]the query. (Computational Square-Root Exponent, CSRE)
Computational Square-Root Exponent $\operatorname{CSRE}\left(p, g, y_{i}\right)$ is a problem that on input a prime $p$ and integers $g, y_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, outputs $g^{x_{i}}(\bmod p)$ for $x_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}^{*}$ satisfying $y_{i} \equiv g^{x_{i}}(\bmod p)$ if such an $x_{i}$ exists. Otherwise, it outputs $\perp$. According to the notation used in ${ }^{6}$, the above function, which outputs $\perp$ if there is no solution to the query, should be expressed as CSRE. And the notation CSRE should be used only for a weaker function such that nothing is specified for the behavior of the function in the case when there is no solution to the query. However, since they evaluate only stronger problems, they omit asterisk throughout the paper for the sake of simplicity.

### 2.1. A. System Initial Phase:

Let $p$ be a large prime such as 1024 bits length, and $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ is a random multiplicative generator element. Signer $U_{i}$ chooses his/her private key $x_{i}$, where $x_{i} \in[1, p-1], \operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{i}, p-1\right)=1$ and computes the public keys

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{1} \equiv g^{x_{i}} \quad(\bmod p),  \tag{1}\\
& y_{2} \equiv g^{x_{i}^{2}} \quad(\bmod p) . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.2. B. Signature Generation Phase:

Step 1: $U_{i}$ computes

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i} \equiv\left(y_{2}\right)^{m_{i}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: $U_{i}$ randomly selects an integer $k_{i} \in[1, p-1]$ and computes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i} \equiv\left(s_{i}+m_{i} \cdot y_{1}^{-k_{i}}\right) \quad(\bmod p) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3: $U_{i}$ computes

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i} \equiv x_{i}^{-1} \cdot\left(k_{i}-r_{i}-x_{i}^{-1} \cdot s_{i}\right) \quad(\bmod p-1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4: $U_{i}$ sends the signature $\left(s_{i}, r_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ of $m_{i}$ to the verifier $V$.

### 2.3. C. Verification Phase:

After receiving signature ( $s_{i}, r_{i}, t_{i}$ ), the receiver $V$ can check the signature and recover message $m_{i}^{\prime}$ as follows:
Step 1: $V$ computes

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}^{\prime} \equiv y_{2}^{t_{i}} \cdot\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right) \cdot y_{1}^{r_{i}} \cdot g^{s_{i}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: $V$ checks whether

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i} \equiv\left(y_{2}\right)^{m_{i}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it holds, $V$ can be convinced that $\left(s_{i}, r_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ is indeed the signature generated by $U_{i}$ in the recovered message $m_{i}^{\prime}$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{i}^{\prime} & \equiv y_{2}^{t_{i}} \cdot\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right) \cdot y^{r_{i}} \cdot g^{s_{i}} \quad(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv y_{2}^{x_{i}^{-1}\left(k_{i}-r_{i}-x_{i}^{-1} s_{i}\right)} \cdot\left(r_{i}-s_{i}\right) \cdot y_{1}^{r_{i}} \quad(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv y_{2}^{x_{i}^{-1} k_{i}-x_{i}^{-x_{1}^{-1} r_{i}-x_{i}^{-x_{i}^{-1}} s_{i}} \cdot m_{i} \cdot y_{1}^{-k_{i}} \cdot y_{1}^{r_{i}} \cdot g^{s_{i}} \quad(\bmod p)} \\
& \equiv y_{1}^{k_{i}} \cdot y_{1}^{-r_{i}} \cdot g^{-s} \cdot m_{i} \cdot y_{1}^{-k_{i}} \cdot y_{1}^{r_{i}} \cdot g^{s} \quad(\bmod p) \\
& \equiv m_{i} \quad(\bmod p) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Our Methdology

Let $G$ be an abelian group of prime order $p$ and $g$ a generator of $G$. The Discrete Logarithm (DL) Problem in $G$ asks to find $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ given $g$ and $g^{a}$ in $G$. many cryptosystem are designed on the basis of the DL problem, but most of them have the security equivalent to a weaker variant of the DL problem rather than the DL problem itself. Two most important weaker variants are as follows:
The Computation Diffie-Hellman (CDH) Problem. Given ( $g, g^{a}, g^{b}$ ), compute $g^{a b}$.
The Decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH) Problem. Given $\left(g, g^{a}, g^{b}, g^{c}\right)$, decide whether $c=a b$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$.
Recently, some weakened variants of the CDH problem are introduced and being used to construct cryptosystems ${ }^{7}$ for various functionalities or security without random oracles. One characteristic of these problems is to disclose $g, g^{\alpha}, \ldots, g^{\alpha^{l}}$ for the secret $\alpha$ and some integer $l$. The $\ell$-weak Diffie-Hellman ( $\ell$-wDH) Problem. Given $g$ and $g^{\alpha^{i}}$ in $G$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$, computes $g^{1 / \alpha}$. This problem was introduced by Mitsunari, Sakai, and Kasahara for traitor tracing scheme ${ }^{8}$.

Theorem 1. Let $g$ be an element of prime order $p$ in an abelian group. Suppose that $d$ is a positive divisor of $p-1$. If $g, g_{1}:=g^{\alpha}$ and $g_{d}:=g^{\alpha^{d}}$ are given, $\alpha$ can be computed in $O(\log p \cdot(\sqrt{(p-1) / d}+\sqrt{d}))$ group operations using $O(\max \{\sqrt{(p-1) / d}, \sqrt{d}\})$ memory.

Proof. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ is a cycle group with $\phi(p-1)$ generators, where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler totient function. Since a random element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ is a generator with probability $\frac{\phi(p-1)}{(p-1)}>\frac{1}{6 \log \log (p-1)}$, which is large enough, we can easily take a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$. Let $\zeta_{0}$ be a generator of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$. Then we can compute $\zeta=\zeta_{0}^{d}$ that is an element of order $(p-1) / d$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$. Since $\left(\alpha^{d}\right)^{(p-1) / d}=1$ and $\zeta$ generates all $(p-1) / d$-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, there exists a non-negative $i$ less than $(p-1) / d$ such that $\alpha^{d}=\zeta^{i}$. If we take $d_{1}=\lceil\sqrt{(p-1) / d}\rceil$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha^{d}\right) \zeta^{-u}=\zeta^{d_{1} v} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0 \leq u, v<d_{1}$. It is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{d}^{\zeta^{-u}}=g^{\zeta^{d_{1} v}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute and store the left-hand side terms and compare them with each of right-hand side terms in Baby-Step Giant-Step style. Note that each of terms in both side can be computed by repeated exponentiation by either $\zeta^{-1}$ or $\zeta^{d_{1}}$. Thus we can find all-non-negative integers $u$ and $v$ less than $d_{1}$ satisfying equation (10) in $O\left(d_{1} \cdot \log p\right)$ group operations using $O\left(d_{1}\right)$ memory. For $u$ and $v$ which satisfies equation (10) and $u+d_{1} v$ is smallest, we put $k_{0}=u+d_{1} v$. Then $k_{0}$ is a non-negative integers less than $(p-1) / d$.
Let $\alpha=\zeta_{0}^{k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq p-1$. Then we have $d k \equiv d k_{0}(\bmod p-1)$ and so $k \equiv k_{0}(\bmod p-1) / d$. There exists a non-negative integer $j$ less than $d$ such that $k=k_{0}+j(p-1) / d$. If we take $d_{2}=\lceil\sqrt{d}\rceil$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \zeta_{0}^{-u^{\prime}(p-1) / d}=\zeta_{0}^{k_{0}+d_{2} v^{\prime}(p-1) / d} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0 \leq u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}<d_{2}$. It is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{\zeta_{0}^{-u^{\prime}(p-1) / d}}=g^{\zeta_{0}^{k_{0}+d_{2} v^{\prime}(p-1) / d}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Be the same method as above, we can find non-negative integers $u^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ less than $d_{2}$ satisfying equation (12) in $O\left(d_{2} \cdot \log p\right)$ group operations and $O\left(d_{2}\right)$ memory. This completes the proof. If attacker known $y_{2}$ and $T$ (it doesn't matter where $\mathrm{T}=2$ ), but does not know password $x_{i}$. These are similar $\ell$-wDH issue, for this category; it easily attack successful. The detail methodology is described as follow:

Step 1. Suppose $d=\operatorname{gcd}(T, q), d_{1}=\lceil\sqrt{q / d}\rceil, \zeta \in[1, p-1], 0 \leq u, v \leq d_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g^{x_{i}^{T}}\right)^{\zeta^{-u}} \equiv g^{\zeta^{d_{1} v}} \quad(\bmod p), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to Baby-Step Giant-Step method to calculate the complexity $O((\log p) \cdot \sqrt{q / d})$ to get $a \equiv x_{i}^{T}$ $(\bmod p-1)$. The detail described in previously. Computes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}^{a} \equiv\left(g^{x_{i}^{T}}\right)^{a} \equiv g^{x_{i}^{T} x_{i}^{T}} \equiv g^{x_{i}^{T}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the attacker may fake a value $T$ successful.
Step 2. $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(T_{i}-T_{j}, q\right)$ where $i \neq j$, because $g^{x_{i}^{T_{i}}} \equiv g^{x_{i}^{T_{i}-T_{j}} \cdot x_{i}^{T_{j}}} \equiv\left(g^{x_{i}^{T_{j}}}\right)^{x_{i}^{T_{i}-T_{j}}} \equiv y_{2}^{x_{i}^{x_{i}-T_{j}}}(\bmod p)$, the complexity is $O((\log p) \cdot \sqrt{q / d})$, we could compute $a \equiv x_{i}^{T_{i}-T_{j}}(\bmod p-1)$. Thus, we can calculate the sub-exponential value $T$ successful.

## 4. Conclusion

In Liu et al.'s scheme, they assume their public key $y_{2}$ on computational square-rot exponent, given a output value if there is no solution to the query; it is a hard problem in practical computation environment. In this paper, we showed a mathematical model that pointed out the Liu et al.'s scheme existed an algebraic structure defects, according to this vulnerability, it can not resist $\ell$-wDH forgery attack. Therefore, the Liu et al.'s model is insecure.
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