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Theorems on the localization of the conditions of G. A. Dirac (Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 2, 1952, 69-81), O. Ore (Amer. Math. Monthly 67, 1960, 55), and Geng-hua Fan (J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 37, 1984, 221-227) for a graph to be hamiltonian are obtained. It is proved, in particular, that a connected graph $G$ on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices is hamiltonian if $d(u) \geqslant\left|M^{3}(u)\right| / 2$ for each vertex $u$ in $G$, where $M^{3}(u)$ is the set of vertices $v$ in $G$ that are a distance at most three from $u$. 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. Introduction

Our notation and terminology follows Harary [4]. Let $k$ be a positive integer. For each vertex $u$ of a graph $G=(V, X)$ we will denote by $M^{k}(u)$ and $N(u)$ the sets of all $v \in V$ with $d(u, v) \leqslant k$ and $d(u, v)=1$, respectively. The subgraph of $G$ induced by $M^{k}(u)$ is denoted by $G_{k}(u)$. The degree in $G_{k}(u)$ of a vertex $v \in M^{k}(u)$ is denoted by $d_{G_{k}(u)}(v)$.

The closure $C(G)$ of $G$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by recursively joining pairs of nonadjacent vertices whose degree-sum is at least $|V|$, until no such pair remains.

The following results are known. A graph $G=(V, X)$ on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices is hamiltonian if:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d(v) \geqslant p / 2 \quad \text { for each } \quad v \in V \quad \text { (Dirac [2]). }  \tag{1.1}\\
& u v \notin X \Rightarrow d(u)+d(v) \geqslant p \quad \text { (Ore [6]). }  \tag{1.2}\\
& d(u)=k<(p-1) / 2 \Rightarrow|\{v \in V / d(v) \leqslant k\}|<k \quad \text { (Posa [7]). } \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and
$d(u)=(p-1) / 2 \Rightarrow|\{v \in V / d(v) \leqslant(p-1) / 2\}| \leqslant(p-1) / 2$.
$C(G)$ is a complete graph (Bondy and Chvátal [1]).
$G$ is 2-connected and $d(v)<p / 2, d(u, v)=2 \Rightarrow d(u) \geqslant p / 2$
(Geng-hua Fan [3]).
In [5] the following theorem on a localization of condition (1.3) is proved:

TheOrem. A connected graph $G$ on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices is hamiltonian if

$$
d(u)=k<(p-1) / 2 \Rightarrow\left|\left\{v \in M^{2}(u) / d(v) \leqslant k\right\}\right|<k
$$

and

$$
d(u)=(p-1) / 2 \Rightarrow\left|\left\{v \in M^{2}(u) / d(v) \leqslant(p-1) / 2\right\}\right| \leqslant(p-1) / 2
$$

In this paper we obtain the theorems on localizations of conditions (1.1), (1.2), and (1.5).

## 2. Results

Lemma. Let $G$ be a graph with $d(u, v)=2, w \in N(u) \cap N(v)$, and $d(u)+d(v) \geqslant|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|$. Then $|N(w) \backslash(N(u) \cup N(v))| \leqslant$ $|N(u) \cap N(v)|$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid N(w) & \backslash(N(u) \cup N(v)) \mid \\
& =|N(w)|-|N(w) \cap(N(u) \cup N(v))| \\
& =|N(w)|-(|N(w)|+|N(u) \cup N(v)|-|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|) \\
& =|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|-(|N(u)|+|N(v)|-|N(u) \cap N(v)|) \\
& =|N(u) \cap N(v)|-(d(u)+d(v)-|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|) \\
& \leqslant|N(u) \cap N(v)| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $G=(V, X)$ be a connected graph with at least three vertices. If

$$
d(u)+d(v) \geqslant|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|
$$

for each triple of vertices $u, v, w$ with $d(u, v)=2$ and $w \in N(u) \cap N(v)$, then $G$ is hamiltonian.

Proof. Let $G$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1. Clearly, $G$ contains a circuit; let $C$ be the largest one. If $G$ has no hamiltonian circuit, then there is a vertex $u$ outside of $C$ that is adjacent to at least one vertex in $C$. Let $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ be the set of vertices in $C$ that are adjacent to $u$, and for each $i=1, \ldots, n$ let $v_{i}$ be the successor of $w_{i}$ in a fixed cyclic ordering of $C$.

Note, that if $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ then $v_{i} v_{j} \notin X$. Otherwise delete the edges $w_{i} v_{i}$, $w_{j} v_{j}$ from $C$ and add the edges $v_{i} v_{j}, w_{i} u, u w_{j}$. In this way we obtain a circuit longer than $C$, which is a contradiction.

For each $i=1, \ldots, n$ let $F_{i}$ and $T_{i}$ denote the sets $N(u) \cap N\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $N\left(w_{i}\right) \backslash\left(N(u) \cup N\left(v_{i}\right)\right)$, respectively. Since $C$ is the longest circuit, $u v_{i} \notin X$, $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $d\left(u, v_{i}\right)=2$ and from the Lemma we have $\left|T_{i}\right| \leqslant\left|F_{i}\right|$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$.

We shall show that there is a vertex $u^{\prime}$ such that $u^{\prime} \notin C$ and $u^{\prime} \in F_{i}$ for some $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Consider the following iterated algorithm.
Step 1. $k:=1, m:=1$, and $Z_{i}^{1}:=\left\{u, v_{i}\right\}, \quad Y_{i}^{1}:=\left\{w_{i}\right\}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$.

Step 2. If the set $F_{m} \backslash Y_{m}^{k}$ contains a vertex $u^{\prime} \notin C$, then stop. Otherwise, choose an arbitrary vertex $w$ in $F_{m} \backslash Y_{m}^{k}$. Clearly, $w=w$, for some $r, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant n$.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{m}^{k+1} & :=Y_{m}^{k} \cup\left\{w_{r}\right\} ; Z_{m}^{k+1}:=Z_{m}^{k} ; \\
Y_{r}^{k+1} & :=Y_{r}^{k} ; Z_{r}^{k+1}:=Z_{r}^{k} \cup\left\{v_{m}\right\} ; \\
Y_{i}^{k+1} & :=Y_{i}^{k} ; Z_{i}^{k+1}:=Z_{i}^{k} \quad \text { for } \quad i \neq m, r \text { and } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3. $k:=k+1, m:=r$ and go to Step 2.
It is not difficult to see that before the $k$ th iteration of the algorithm we have
(a) $\quad Z_{i}^{k} \subseteq T_{i}, Y_{i}^{k} \subseteq F_{i},\left|Z_{i}^{k}\right| \geqslant\left|Y_{i}^{k}\right|$ for each $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.
(b) $F_{m} \backslash Y_{m}^{k} \neq \varnothing$, because $\left|T_{m}\right| \leqslant\left|F_{m}\right|$ and $\left|Z_{m}^{k}\right|>\left|Y_{m}^{k}\right|$.
(c) $Y_{i}^{k} \subseteq\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$ for each $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.
(d) $\left|Y_{m}^{k}\right|=\left|Y_{m}^{k-1}\right|+1$ if $k \geqslant 2$.

From (b), (c), (d) it follows that if $k \geqslant 2$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|Y_{i}^{k-1}\right|<$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|Y_{i}^{k}\right| \leqslant n^{2}$. Hence there exists $k$ such that $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n^{2}$ and the set $F_{m} \backslash Y_{m}^{k}$ contains a vertex $u^{\prime} \notin C$. Delete the edge $w_{m} v_{m}$ from $C$ and add the edges $w_{m} u, u u^{\prime}, u^{\prime} v_{m}$. In this way we obtain a circuit longer than $C$, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Note that for every $t \geqslant 5$ there exists a graph $G_{t}=\left(V_{t}, X_{t}\right)$ with $V_{t}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{2 t}\right\}$ and

$$
X_{t}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{t-2}\left\{v_{i} v_{j} / 2 k+1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 2 k+4\right\}
$$

which fulfills the condition in Theorem 1 and does not fulfill conditions (1.1) (1.5). Clearly, $C\left(G_{t}\right)=G_{t}, \quad\left|V_{t}\right|=2 t, \quad$ and $\quad\left|X_{t}\right|=5 t-4=(5 / 2)$. $\left|V_{t}\right|-4$.

Corollary 1. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices. If $d(u)+d_{G_{2}(u)}(v) \geqslant\left|M^{2}(u)\right|$ for each pair of vertices $u, v$ with $d(u, v)=2$, then $G$ is hamiltonian.

Proof. Clearly,

$$
d(u)+d(v)-\left|N(v) \backslash M^{2}(u)\right|=d(u)+d_{G_{2}(u)}(v) \geqslant\left|M^{2}(u)\right| .
$$

Then

$$
d(u)+d(v) \geqslant\left|M^{2}(u)\right|+\left|N(v) \backslash M^{2}(u)\right| \geqslant|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|
$$

for each vertex $w \in N(u) \cap N(v)$. Hence, Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 1.
Corollary 2. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices. If $d(u)+d(v) \geqslant\left|M^{3}(u)\right|$ for each pair of vertices $u$, $v$ with $d(u, v)=2$, then $G$ is hamiltonian.

Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 1 because $\left|M^{3}(u)\right| \geqslant \mid N(u) \cup$ $N(v) \cup N(w) \mid$ for each vertex $w \in N(u) \cap N(v)$.

Corollary 3. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices. If $d(u) \geqslant\left|M^{3}(u)\right| / 2$ for every vertex $u$ in $G$ then $G$ is hamiltonian.

Proof. Let $G \neq K_{p}, d(u, v)=2$, and $d(u) \leqslant d(v)$. Since $d(u) \geqslant\left|M^{3}(u)\right| / 2$, then $d(u)+d(v) \geqslant\left|M^{3}(u)\right| \geqslant|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|$ for each vertex $w \in$ $N(u) \cap N(v)$. Therefore Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 4. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices. If

$$
d_{G_{1}(w)}(u)+d_{G_{1}(w)}(v) \geqslant\left|M^{1}(w)\right|
$$

or

$$
d(u)+d(v) \geqslant\left|M^{2}(w)\right|
$$

for each triple of vertices $u, v, w$ with $d(u, v)=2$ and $w \in N(u) \cap N(v)$, then $G$ is hamiltonian.

Proof. Let $d(u, v)=2$ and $w \in N(u) \cap N(v)$.
If $d(u)+d(v) \geqslant\left|M^{2}(w)\right|$, then $d(u)+d(v) \geqslant|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|$ because $\left|M^{2}(w)\right| \geqslant|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|$.

Suppose that $d_{G_{1}(w)}(u)+d_{G_{1}(w)}(v) \geqslant\left|M^{1}(w)\right|$. Clearly, $d_{G_{1}(w)}(u)=d(u)-$ $\left|N(u) \backslash M^{1}(w)\right|$ and $d_{G_{1}(w)}(v)=d(v)-\left|N(v) \backslash M^{1}(w)\right|$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(u)+d(v) & \geqslant\left|M^{1}(w)\right|+\left|N(u) \backslash M^{1}(w)\right|+\left|N(v) \backslash M^{1}(w)\right| \\
& \geqslant|N(u) \cup N(v) \cup N(w)|
\end{aligned}
$$

and Corollary 4 follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 5. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices. If for each vertex $u$ in $G$ at least one of the graphs $G_{1}(u)$ or $G_{2}(u)$ satisfies Ore's condition, then $G$ is hamiltonian.

Corollary 5 follows from Corollary 4.

Theorem 2. Let $G=(V, X)$ be a 2 -connected graph on $p \geqslant 3$ vertices and let $v$ and $u$ be distinct vertices of $G$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(u)<p / 2, d(u, v)=2 \Rightarrow d(v) \geqslant\left|M^{3}(u)\right| / 2 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $G$ is hamiltonian.
Proof. Let $A=\left\{P^{1}, \ldots, P^{h}\right\}$ be the set of all longest paths in $G$. For each $i=1, \ldots, h$ let $P^{i}=v_{0}^{i} v_{1}^{i} \cdots v_{m}^{i}$ and $f\left(P^{i}\right)$ be the smallest $r$ from $\{0,1, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $v_{m}^{i} v_{r}^{i} \in X$. We denote by $A_{1}$ the set of all $P^{i} \in A$ with $d\left(v_{0}^{i}\right)=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant h} d\left(v_{0}^{j}\right)$.

Suppose that $G$ is a graph satisfying the condition of Theorem 2 and that $G$ has no hamiltonian circuit. We shall arrive at a contradiction.

Let $P=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{m}$ be some longest path in $G$ of length $m$, chosen so that $f(P)=\min _{P^{i} \in A_{1}} f\left(P^{i}\right)$. Clearly, $d\left(v_{0}\right) \geqslant d\left(v_{m}\right)$. If $d\left(v_{0}\right)+d\left(v_{m}\right) \geqslant p$ then there are at least two consecutive vertices on $P, v_{i}$, and $v_{i+1}$, such that $v_{i} v_{m} \in X$ and $v_{i+1} v_{0} \in X$, and so we obtain a circuit of length $m+1$. By the connectedness of $G$, we have either a hamiltonian circuit or a path of length $m+1$. Each leads to contradictions. Consequently $d\left(v_{0}\right)+d\left(v_{m}\right)<p$. Since $d\left(v_{0}\right) \geqslant d\left(v_{m}\right), d\left(v_{m}\right)<p / 2$.

From the proof above we can also suppose that
(a) $G$ has no circuit of length $m+1$.

Since $G$ is 2-connected, $d\left(v_{m}\right) \geqslant 2$. Let $N\left(v_{m}\right)=\left\{v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{j_{1}}\right\}$ and $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{t}$. Clearly, $j_{1} \geqslant 1$, otherwise $G$ has a circuit of length $m+1$, which is contrary to (a). We show now that
(b) if $v_{m} v_{i} \in X$ and $j_{1} \leqslant i \leqslant m-1$ then $N\left(v_{1+i}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$,
(c) $v_{m} v_{i} \notin X$ for some $i, j_{1}<i<m$,
(d) $v_{j_{1}-1} v_{j_{i}+1} \notin X$ for every $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$.

Proof. (b) Clearly, we have $N\left(v_{1+i}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$ otherwise $G$ has a path of length $m+1$. Suppose that there is $s$ such that $1 \leqslant s<j_{1}$ and $v_{s} v_{1+i} \in X$. Then

$$
P^{\prime}=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{s} v_{1+i} v_{2+i} \cdots v_{m} v_{i} v_{i-1} \cdots v_{s+1}
$$

is the longest path in $G$ with $f\left(P^{\prime}\right)<f(P)$. This contradicts the choice of $P$. Therefore $N\left(v_{1+i}\right) \subseteq\left\{v_{j_{i}}, v_{1+j_{i}}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$.
(c) If $v_{m} v_{i} \in X$ for every $i, j_{1} \leqslant i<m$, then $\bigcup_{i=j_{1}}^{m-1} N\left(v_{1+i}\right) \subseteq$ $\left\{v_{j_{1}}, v_{1+j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$. This contradicts the 2 -connectedness of $G$.
(d) It is obvious that (d) follows from (b).

From (c) it follows that there is a $k$ such that $j_{1}<k<m-1, v_{m} v_{k} \notin X$, and $v_{m} v_{i} \in X$ for every $i, j_{1} \leqslant i \leqslant k-1$. Thus we have
(e) there is no $i$ such that $j_{1}<i \leqslant m-1, v_{j_{1}-1} v_{i} \in X$, and $v_{k} v_{1+i} \in X$.

Indeed, if $v_{i} v_{j_{1}} \quad \in X$ and $v_{k} v_{1+i} \in X$ then from (d) it follows that $i>k$. Then $G$ has the longest path $P^{\prime}$,

$$
P^{\prime}=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{j_{1}-1} v_{i} v_{i-1} \cdots v_{k} v_{1+i} \cdots v_{m} v_{k-1} \cdots v_{j_{1}}
$$

with $f\left(P^{\prime}\right)<f(P)$. This contradicts the choice of $P$.
Clearly, $d\left(v_{k}, v_{m}\right)=2$ and $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}, v_{m}\right)=2$. Since $d\left(v_{m}\right)<p / 2$, it follows from (2.1) that $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{m}\right)\right| / 2$ and $d\left(v_{k}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{m}\right)\right| / 2$.

Since $v_{k} v_{j_{1}-1} \notin X$ and the degree-sum of vertices $v_{k}$ and $v_{j_{1}-1}$ in $G_{3}\left(v_{m}\right)$ is at least $\left|M^{3}\left(v_{m}\right)\right|, d\left(v_{k}, v_{j_{1}-1}\right)=2$.

From (d) it follows that $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)<\left|M^{3}\left(v_{m}\right)\right|-d\left(v_{m}\right)$. Since $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \geqslant$ $\left|M^{3}\left(v_{m}\right)\right| / 2$, then $d\left(v_{m}\right)<\left|M^{3}\left(v_{m}\right)\right| / 2$. Therefore $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)>d\left(v_{m}\right)$ and $d\left(v_{k}\right)>d\left(v_{m}\right)$.

Case 1. $d\left(v_{k}\right)<p / 2$. Since $d\left(v_{k}, v_{m}\right)=d\left(v_{k}, v_{j_{1}-1}\right)=2$, it follows from (2.1) that $d\left(v_{m}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{k}\right)\right| / 2$ and $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{k}\right)\right| / 2$. Together with $d\left(v_{k}\right)>d\left(v_{m}\right)$ this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(v_{k}\right)+d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{k}\right)\right| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (d) it follows that $v_{i} v_{j_{1}-1} \notin X$ for each $i, 1+j_{1} \leqslant i<k$. From (e) it follows that $v_{i} v_{j_{1}-1} \notin X$ for every $i$ such that $i>j_{1}$ and $v_{k} v_{i+1} \in X$. Besides, $v_{m} v_{j_{1}-1} \notin X$ and $v_{m}, v_{j_{1}-1} \in M^{3}\left(v_{k}\right)$. Thus $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \leqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{k}\right)\right|-d\left(v_{k}\right)-1$.

This contradicts (2.2).
Case 2. $d\left(v_{k}\right) \geqslant p / 2$. If $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)<p / 2$ then (2.1) and $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}, v_{m}\right)=$
$d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}, v_{k}\right)=2$ imply that $d\left(v_{m}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)\right| / 2$ and $d\left(v_{k}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)\right| / 2$. Since $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)>d\left(v_{m}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)+d\left(v_{k}\right) \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)\right| . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \geqslant p / 2$ then $d\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)+d\left(v_{k}\right) \geqslant p \geqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)\right|$, so (2.3) holds again.
From (b) it follows that $v_{k} v_{j_{1}-1} \notin X$ and $v_{k}$ is not adjacent to every vertex $v \in N\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \backslash\left\{v_{j_{1}}, v_{1+j_{i}}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$.

From (e) it follows that $v_{k} v_{1+i} \notin X$ for every $i$ such that $v_{i} \in N\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right) \cap$ $\left\{v_{1+j_{1}}, v_{2+j_{1}}, \ldots, v_{m}\right\}$. Besides, we have $v_{j_{1}-1}, v_{k} \in M^{3}\left(v_{j_{1}-1}\right)$. Therefore $d\left(v_{k}\right) \leqslant\left|M^{3}\left(v_{j 1-1}\right)\right|-d\left(v_{j 1-1}\right)-1$.

This contradicts (2.3). The proof is complete.
Note that for every $r \geqslant 2$ there exists a graph $G_{r}=\left(V_{r}, X_{r}\right)$ with $V_{r}=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{3 r-1}\right\} \cup\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{3 r-1}\right\}$ and $X_{r}=\left\{w_{1} v_{i}, w_{2} v_{i} / i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, 2 r\} \cup\left\{v_{i} v_{j}, u_{i} u_{j} / 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant 3 r-1\right\} \cup\left\{v_{i} u_{j} / 1+2 r \leqslant i, j \leqslant 3 r-1\right\}$ that satisfies the condition of Theorem 2 and does not satisfy the condition (1.5).

Besides, for every $n \geqslant 5$ there exists a graph $G_{n}=\left(V_{n}, X_{n}\right)$ with $V_{n}=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\} \quad$ and $\quad X_{n}=\left\{v_{i} v_{j} / 1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n-2\right\} \cup\left\{v_{n-1} v_{1}, v_{n-1} v_{2}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{v_{n} v_{i} / i=2,3, \ldots, n-2\right\}$ that satisfics the condition of Theorem 2 and does not satisfy the condition of Theorem 1.

Let $G=(V, X)$. It is shown in [1] (by paraphrasing Ore's proof [6]) that if $G+u v$ is hamiltonian and $d(u)+d(v) \geqslant|V|$ then $G$ itself is hamiltonian.

Theorem 3. If $G+u v$ is hamiltonian, $d(u, v)=2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(u)+d_{G_{2}(u)}(v) \geqslant\left|M^{2}(u)\right|, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $G$ itself is hamiltonian.
Proof. Suppose $G+u v$ is hamiltonian but $G$ is not. Then $G$ has a hamiltonian path $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p}$ with $u_{1}=v$ and $u_{p}=u$. Let $N(u)=$ $\left\{u_{i 1}, \ldots, u_{i,}\right\}$. If $v u_{1+i j} \notin X$ for every $j, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$, then $d_{G_{2}(u)}(v)<\left|M^{2}(u)\right|-$ $d(u)$. This contradicts (2.4). Hence there is $m$ such that $1 \leqslant m \leqslant t-1$, $v u_{1+i_{m}} \in X$, and $u u_{i_{m}} \in X$.
But then $G$ has the hamiltonian circuit

$$
u_{1} u_{1+i_{m}} u_{2+i_{m}} \cdots u_{p} u_{i_{m}} u_{i_{m}-1} \cdots u_{1} .
$$

This contradicts the hypothesis.
Corollary 6. If $G+u v$ is hamiltonian, $d(u, v)=2$, and $d(u)+d(v) \geqslant$ $\left|M^{3}(v)\right|$, then $G$ itself is hamiltonian.
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