

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



European Journal of Combinatorics

European Journal of Combinatorics 29 (2008) 1733-1743

www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc

# Fractional chromatic number of distance graphs generated by two-interval sets

Daphne Der-Fen Liu<sup>a,1</sup>, Xuding Zhu<sup>b,c,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, California State University, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90032, USA <sup>b</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung 80424, Taiwan <sup>c</sup> National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taiwan

> Received 21 August 2005; accepted 18 September 2007 Available online 27 December 2007

#### Abstract

Let *D* be a set of positive integers. The distance graph generated by *D*, denoted by G(Z, D), has the set *Z* of all integers as the vertex set, and two vertices *x* and *y* are adjacent whenever  $|x - y| \in D$ . For integers  $1 < a \leq b < m - 1$ , define  $D_{a,b,m} = \{1, 2, ..., a - 1\} \cup \{b + 1, b + 2, ..., m - 1\}$ . For the special case a = b, the chromatic number for the family of distance graphs  $G(Z, D_{a,a,m})$  was first studied by R.B. Eggleton, P. Erdős and D.K. Skilton [Colouring the real line, J. Combin. Theory (B) 39 (1985) 86–100] and was completely solved by G. Chang, D. Liu and X. Zhu [Distance graphs and *T*-coloring, J. Combin. Theory (B) 75 (1999) 159–169]. For the general case  $a \leq b$ , the fractional chromatic number for  $G(Z, D_{a,b,m})$  was studied by P. Lam and W. Lin [Coloring distance graphs with intervals as distance sets, European J. Combin. 26 (2005) 25 1216–1229] and by J. Wu and W. Lin [Circular chromatic numbers and fractional chromatic numbers of distance graphs with distance sets missing an interval, Ars Combin. 70 (2004) 161–168], in which partial results for special values of *a*, *b*, *m* were obtained. In this article, we completely settle this problem for all possible values of *a*, *b*, *m*.

## 1. Introduction

Let *D* be a set of positive integers. The *distance graph* generated by *D*, denoted by G(Z, D), has the set *Z* of all integers as the vertex set, and two vertices *x* and *y* are adjacent whenever  $|x - y| \in D$ . Initiated by Eggleton, Erdős and Skilton [5], the study of distance graphs has attracted considerable attention [2–8,11–18,20–25].

E-mail addresses: dliu@calstatela.edu (D.D.-F. Liu), zhu@math.nsysu.edu.tw (X. Zhu).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS 0302456.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Supported in part by the National Science Council, ROC, under grant NSC94-2115-M-110-001.

A *fractional coloring* of a graph G is a mapping f which assigns to each independent set I of G a non-negative weight f(I) such that for each vertex x,  $\sum_{x \in I} f(I) \ge 1$ . The *fractional chromatic number*  $\chi_f(G)$  of G is the least total weight of a fractional coloring for G.

The problem of determining the fractional chromatic number for distance graphs has been studied in different research areas under different names. Firstly, it is equivalent to a sequence density problem in number theory. For a set D of positive integers, a sequence S of non-negative integers is called a *D*-sequence if  $a - b \notin D$  for any  $a, b \in S$ . Let S(n) denote  $|\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \cap S|$ . The upper density and the lower density of S are defined, respectively, by

$$\overline{\delta}(S) = \overline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{S(n)}{n}, \qquad \underline{\delta}(S) = \underline{\lim}_{n \to \infty} \frac{S(n)}{n}$$

We say S has density  $\delta(S)$  if  $\overline{\delta}(S) = \underline{\delta}(S) = \delta(S)$ . The parameter of interest is the maximum density of a D-sequence, defined by

$$\mu(D) = \sup\{\delta(S) : S \text{ is a } D \text{-sequence}\}.$$

The problem of determining or estimating  $\mu(D)$  was initially posed by Motzkin in an unpublished problem collection (cf. [1]), and has been studied in [1,10,19,9,18]. Note that *S* is a *D*-sequence if and only if *S* (as a set of integers) is an independent set of G(Z, D). It was proved by Chang et al. [3] that for any finite set *D*,

$$\mu(D) = \frac{1}{\chi_f(G(Z, D))}.$$

Secondly, the fractional chromatic number of a distance graph is equivalent to an asymptotic problem in *T*-coloring. The *T*-coloring problem was motivated by the channel assignment problem introduced by Hale [10], in which an integer broadcast channel is assigned to each of a given set of stations or transmitters so that interference among nearby stations is avoided. Interference is modeled by a set of non-negative integers *T* containing 0 as the forbidden channel separations. By using a graph *G* to represent the broadcast network, a valid channel assignment is defined as a *T*-coloring for *G*, which is a mapping  $f : V(G) \rightarrow Z$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \notin T$  whenever  $xy \in E$ . The span of a *T*-coloring *f* is the difference between the largest and the smallest numbers in f(V), i.e.,  $\max\{|f(u) - f(v)| : u, v \in V\}$ . Given *T* and *G*, the *T*-span of *G*, denoted by  $\operatorname{sp}_T(G)$ , is the minimum span among all *T*-colorings of *G*. As for any graph *G*,  $\operatorname{sp}_T(G) \leq \operatorname{sp}_T(K_{\chi(G)})$ , it is useful to estimate  $\operatorname{sp}_T(K_n)$ . Let  $\sigma_n$  denote  $\operatorname{sp}_T(K_n)$ . Griggs and Liu [9] proved that for any set *T* the asymptotic *T*-coloring ratio

$$R(T) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{n}$$

exists and is a rational number. It was proved in [3] that for any *T*, by letting  $D = T - \{0\}$ , we have  $R(T) = \chi_f(G(Z, D))$ .

Partially due to its rich connections to other problems, the fractional chromatic number for various classes of distance graphs has been studied in the literature (cf. [2,3,17,18,23,14,24,25]). If *D* is a singleton, trivially  $\chi_f(G(Z, D)) = 2$ . If  $D = \{a, b\}$  and gcd(a, b) = 1, it is known [1] that  $\chi_f(G(Z, D)) = \frac{a+b}{\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor}$ . For  $|D| \ge 3$ , the exact values of  $\chi_f(G(Z, D))$  are known only for some special sets *D*. For  $D = \{a, b, a + b\}$ , upper and lower bounds for  $\chi_f(G(Z, D))$  were obtained by Rabinowitz and Proulx [19]. Let  $\chi(G)$  and  $\omega(G)$  denote, respectively, the chromatic number and the clique number of *G*. It is easy to see that  $\omega(G) \le \chi_f(G) \le \chi(G)$  holds for any graph *G*, and  $\chi(G(Z, D)) \le |D| + 1$  [4,20] if *D* is finite. In [18], the sets *D* with

1734

 $\omega(G(Z, D)) \ge |D|$  were characterized and the value of  $\chi_f(G(Z, D))$  for most of this class of graphs, including  $D = \{a, b, a + b\}$ , was determined.

For any two integers  $a \leq b$ , let [a, b] denote the interval of consecutive integers  $\{a, a + 1, \ldots, b\}$ . It is known that if D = [a, b], then  $\chi_f(G(Z, D)) = (a+b)/a$  [9,2]. For the sets D of the form  $D = [1, m] - \{k, 2k, \ldots, sk\}$  for integers m, k and s, the values of  $\chi_f(G(Z, D))$  were determined in [17].

For  $1 < a \le b < m - 1$ , let  $D_{a,b,m}$  denote the two-interval set

$$D_{a,b,m} = [1, a - 1] \cup [b + 1, m - 1].$$

Note, if a = b, then  $D_{a,a,m} = [1, m - 1] - \{a\}$ . The chromatic number for  $G(Z, D_{a,a,m})$  was first studied by Eggleton, Erdős and Skilton [5] and the problem was completely solved in [3]. For the general case  $a \le b$ , both the fractional chromatic number and the chromatic number for  $G(Z, D_{a,b,m})$  were studied by Wu and Lin [23], and by Lam and Lin [14]. Some partial results were obtained. In this article, we completely determine the fractional chromatic number of  $G(Z, D_{a,b,m})$  for all  $1 < a \le b < m - 1$ .

#### 2. Main result and preliminaries

For some special cases, the values of  $\chi_f(G(Z, D_{a,b,m}))$  for the two-interval set  $D_{a,b,m} = [1, a-1] \cup [b+1, m-1]$  were solved in [23,14]. If b < 2a, then  $\chi_f(G(Z, D_{a,b,m}))$  is determined in [23]. Let  $\Delta = m - b$ . If  $\Delta \le a$  or  $\Delta \ge 2a$ , then  $\chi_f(G(Z, D_{a,b,m}))$  is determined in [14]. Some other special cases (which cannot be easily described) are discussed in [14].

The main result of this article is the following which completely determines the value of  $\chi_f(G(Z, D_{a,b,m}))$  for all  $1 < a \le b < m - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.** For integers  $1 < a \le b < m - 1$ . Suppose  $G = G(Z, D_{a,b,m})$  where  $D_{a,b,m} = [1, a - 1] \cup [b + 1, m - 1]$ . Let  $\Delta = m - b$ ,  $s = \lfloor b/a \rfloor$ , and  $q = \lfloor m/\Delta \rfloor$ .

- If  $\Delta \geq 2a$ , then  $\chi_f(G) = (sa + m)/(s + 1)$ .
- If  $\Delta \leq a$ , then  $\chi_f(G) = \max\{a, m/(s+1)\}$ .
- If  $a < \Delta < 2a$ , then

$$\chi_f(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{sa+m}{s+1}, & \text{if } 2qa \le m < a+q\Delta \text{ or if } m \ge (2q+1)a; \\ \frac{m}{q}, & \text{if } m < \min\{q\Delta + a, 2qa\}; \\ \frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2}, & \text{if } q\Delta + a \le m < (2q+1)a. \end{cases}$$

The cases for  $\Delta \ge 2a$  and  $\Delta \le a$  were solved in [14]. However, for completeness, we include these cases in the statement and give a short proof for them.

Recall the result in [3] mentioned in Section 1, the fractional chromatic number of G is equal to the reciprocal of  $\mu(D_{a,b,m})$ , which is the maximum density of a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -sequence. Let  $I = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$  be a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -sequence where  $x_i < x_{i+1}$ . Let  $\delta_i = x_{i+1} - x_i$ . The sequence  $\Omega = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots)$  is called the *gap sequence* of I. In the following, we call a sequence  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots)$ a *D*-gap sequence if it is the gap sequence of a *D*-sequence. Observe that a sequence  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots)$ is a *D*-gap sequence if and only if for any  $j \leq j', \sum_{i=j}^{j'} \delta_i \notin D$ . In particular, the following observation is frequently used, usually implicitly, in our proofs. A sequence (δ<sub>1</sub>, δ<sub>2</sub>,...) is a D<sub>a,b,m</sub>-gap sequence if and only if
(1) δ<sub>i</sub> ≥ a for each i; and
(2) for any j ≤ j', either Σ<sup>j'</sup><sub>i=j</sub> δ<sub>i</sub> ≤ b or Σ<sup>j'</sup><sub>i=j</sub> δ<sub>i</sub> ≥ m.

By definition,

$$\mu(D_{a,b,m}) = \max \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|I \cap [0, n-1]|}{n}$$

where the maximum is taken over all  $D_{a,b,m}$ -sequences I. Hence

$$\chi_f(G) = \frac{1}{\mu(D_{a,b,m})} = \min \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n}{|I \cap [0, n-1]|} = \min \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\delta_i}{k}.$$

Again, the minimum is taken over all  $D_{a,b,m}$ -sequences I with gap sequence  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, ...)$ .

For an interval of integers [a, b], we call its cardinality |[a, b]| the *length* of [a, b]. Given a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence  $Y = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, ...)$ , the average gap length of Y is  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\delta_i}{k}$ (if exists). Thus to determine the fractional chromatic number of  $G(Z, D_{a,b,m})$ , it amounts to determine the minimum average gap length of a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence. Usually, the gap sequences we concern are periodic. For a periodic gap sequence, it suffices to present one period of the sequence. We shall denote by  $\langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k \rangle$  the infinite periodic sequence with period k. That is,  $\langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k \rangle = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_j, \ldots)$  where for  $j > k, y_j = y_{j-k}$ . For convenience, we denote by  $p \otimes t$ , for any integers p and t, the p repetitions of t. For example,  $\langle 3 \otimes 5, 2 \otimes 7 \rangle$  is the periodic sequence  $\langle 5, 5, 5, 7, 7 \rangle = (5, 5, 5, 7, 7, 5, 5, 5, 7, 7, \ldots)$ .

We now give a short proof for the cases  $\Delta \leq a$  and  $\Delta \geq 2a$ . As each gap of a  $D_{a,b,m}$ gap sequence is at least a, we have  $\chi_f(G) \geq a$ . If  $m \leq (s+1)a$ , then  $\langle a \rangle$  is a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence with average gap length a. Hence  $\chi_f(G) = a$ . Assume m > (s+1)a and  $\Delta \leq a$ . Then the sequence  $\langle s \otimes a, m - sa \rangle$  is a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence of average gap length m/(s+1). So  $\chi_f(G) \leq m/(s+1)$ . On the other hand, for any  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence  $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots)$ , since  $\sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \delta_i \geq (s+1)a \geq b+1$ , we must have  $\sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \delta_i \geq m$ . Hence the average gap length is at least m/(s+1). So  $\chi_f(G) = m/(s+1)$ .

Assume  $\Delta \geq 2a$ . It is easy to verify that the sequence  $\langle s \otimes a, m \rangle$  is a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence with average gap length (m + sa)/(s + 1). Hence  $\chi_f(G) \leq (m + sa)/(s + 1)$ . On the other hand, if  $\chi_f(G) = 1/\mu(D_{a,b,m}) < (m + sa)/(s + 1)$ , then there is a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -sequence Iwith  $|[0, sa + m - 1] \cap I| \geq s + 2$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $0 \in I$ . Let  $I' = \{i : i \in I, i \leq b\} \cup \{i - m + a : i \in I, i \geq m - a\}$ . It is easy to verify that |I| = |I'|,  $I' \subseteq [0, (s + 1)a - 1]$  and for any  $x, y \in I', |x - y| \geq a$ . This is in contrary to the assumption that  $|I| \geq s + 2$ . Therefore we have  $\chi_f(G) = (m + sa)/(s + 1)$ .

#### 3. Proof of the upper bound

In the rest of the paper, we assume that  $a < \Delta < 2a$ , and let

$$\tau(D_{a,b,m}) = \begin{cases} \frac{sa+m}{s+1}, & \text{if } 2qa \le m < a+q\Delta \text{ or if } m \ge (2q+1)a; \\ \frac{m}{q}, & \text{if } m < \min\{q\Delta + a, 2qa\}; \\ \frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2}, & \text{if } q\Delta + a \le m < (2q+1)a. \end{cases}$$

In this section, we prove that  $\chi_f(G) \leq \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ . This amounts to present a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence whose average gap length is at most  $\tau(D_{a,b,m})$ .

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $G = G(Z, D_{a,b,m})$ . Then  $\chi_f(G) \leq \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ .

**Proof.** First note that the following are two  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequences:

 $\langle s \otimes a, m \rangle$  and  $\langle (q-1) \otimes \Delta, m - ((q-1)\Delta) \rangle$ ,

where the average gap lengths, respectively, are (sa+m)/(s+1) and m/q. This proves the result for all the cases, except the very last one.

For the last case,  $q \Delta + a \le m < (2q + 1)a$ , the gap sequence is more complicated. We shall define some special sequences, then combine them to form the required periodic sequence.

For i = 1, 2, ..., q - 1, let  $Y_i, Y'_i$  and W be finite sequences of integers defined as follows:

$$Y_i = (i \otimes \Delta, a, (q-1-i) \otimes \Delta, m-(a+(q-1)\Delta))$$
  

$$Y'_i = ((i-1) \otimes \Delta, \Delta+a, (q-1-i) \otimes \Delta, m-(a+(q-1)\Delta))$$
  

$$W = (a).$$

Let

$$Y'_q = ((q-1) \otimes \Delta, m - (q-1)\Delta).$$

For finite sequences  $A = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_s)$  and  $B = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_t)$ , the *concatenation* of A and B, denoted by AB, is the sequence

$$AB = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_t).$$

The concatenation of sequences is associative. Thus for finite sequences  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_t$ , the sequence  $A_1A_2 \ldots A_t$  is well-defined. Define the periodic gap sequence as

$$\langle Y'_q Y_{q-1} Y'_{q-1} Y_{q-2} Y'_{q-2} \cdots Y_1 Y'_1 W \rangle$$

Now we show that this sequence is indeed a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence. Since

$$m - (a + (q - 1)\Delta) = m - q\Delta - a + \Delta \ge \Delta > a,$$

each entry of the sequence is at least a. It remains to show that the sum of any number of consecutive entries of the sequence is either at most b or at least m. Observe that the sum of the entries in each  $Y_i$  or  $Y'_i$  is equal to m. Consider the sum of any t consecutive entries in the sequence. Straightforward calculation shows that if  $t \ge q + 1$ , then the sum is at least m; if  $t \le q - 1$ , then the sum is at most b; if t = q, then the sum is either equal to m or at most b. (Here we use the condition that  $(q - 1)\Delta + a \le (q - 1)\Delta + m - q\Delta = b$ .) Thus the sequence defined above is a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -gap sequence.

Straightforward calculation shows that this gap sequence has average gap length  $\frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2}$ .

# 4. Proof of the lower bound

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, it remains to show that  $\chi_f(G) \ge \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ . To this end, we need some more definitions.

In the following, we assume that  $I = \{x_1, x_2, ...\}$  is a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -sequence, i.e., an independent set in  $G = G(Z, D_{a,b,m})$ . We shall prove that the gap sequence of I has average gap length at least  $\tau(D_{a,b,m})$ .

Let

 $L = \{i : x_{i+1} - x_i \ge \Delta\}.$ 

For each  $x_i \in I$ , we associate it with a set  $X_i$  of integers as follows.

$$X_{i} = \begin{cases} [x_{i}, x_{i} + \Delta - 1], & \text{if } i \in L; \\ [x_{i}, x_{i} + a - 1] \cup [x_{i} + m, x_{i} + m + a - 1], & \text{if } i \notin L. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.** If  $i \neq j$ , then  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** Assume i < j. If  $i \in L$ , then  $X_i = [x_i, x_i + \Delta - 1]$  and by definition,  $x_j \ge x_i + \Delta$ . As  $t \in X_j$  implies that  $t \ge x_j$ , we have  $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ . Assume  $i \notin L$ . Then  $X_i = [x_i, x_i + a - 1] \cup [x_i + m, x_i + m + a - 1]$ . As  $x_j \ge x_i + a$ , we know that  $X_j \cap [x_i, x_i + a - 1] = \emptyset$ . Assume  $X_j \cap [x_i + m, x_i + m + a - 1] \neq \emptyset$ . Then by the definition of  $X_j$ , we have either  $x_j \in [x_i + m - \Delta + 1, x_i + m - 1]$  or  $x_j \in [x_i + m, x_i + m + a - 1]$ . The former case implies  $b + 1 \le x_j - x_i \le m - 1$ ; and the latter case implies  $b + 1 \le x_j - x_{i+1} \le m - 1$  (since  $i \notin L$ , we have  $a \le x_{i+1} - x_i < \Delta$ ). For both cases, it contradicts the assumption that I is a  $D_{a,b,m}$ -sequence.

We call intervals of the form  $[x_i + m, x_i + m + a - 1]$  for  $i \notin L$  Type-B *I*-intervals. Intervals of the form  $[x_i, x_i + \Delta - 1]$  for  $i \in L$ , and intervals of the form  $[x_i, x_i + a - 1]$  for  $i \notin L$  are called *Type-A I*-intervals. Both Type-A and Type-B *I*-intervals are referred as *I*-intervals. The length of an *I*-interval is either  $\Delta$  or a, and they are called, respectively, *long* or *short I*-intervals.

**Lemma 4.** If  $T = [x_i, x_i+a-1]$  is a short Type-A I-interval, then the first I-interval T' = [u, v] with  $u \ge x_i + a$  is Type-A.

**Proof.** Assume to the contrary that  $T' = [u, v] = [x_j + m, x_j + m + a - 1]$  for some *j*. As  $x_j + m \ge x_i + a$ , which implies  $x_i - x_j \le m - a$ , we have  $x_i - x_j \le b$ . So  $x_j + m \ge x_i + \Delta$ . In addition, since *T* is a short Type-A *I*-interval,  $x_{i+1} < x_i + \Delta$ . Hence,  $x_{i+1} < x_j + m$ , contradicting the choice of *T'*.

Lemma 5. There are at most s short consecutive I-intervals that are of the same type.

**Proof.** First we show that there are at most *s* short consecutive Type-A *I*-intervals. Assume  $T_1 = [u_1, v_1], T_2 = [u_2, v_2], \ldots, T_j = [u_j, v_j]$  are consecutive *I*-intervals and  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_{j-1}$  are short and Type-A. By Lemma 4,  $T_j$  is also Type-A. So  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j \in I$ . We prove by induction on *i* that  $u_i \leq u_1 + b$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$ . It is trivial for i = 1. Assume i < j and  $u_i \leq u_1 + b$ . By definition of *I*-intervals,  $u_{i+1} - u_i < \Delta$ . Hence  $u_{i+1} < u_i + \Delta \leq u_1 + m$ . As  $u_1, u_{i+1} \in I$ , it follows that  $u_{i+1} \leq u_1 + b$ .

Because  $s = \lfloor b/a \rfloor$  and  $|T_i| \ge a$ , we conclude that there are at most *s* consecutive short Type-A *I*-intervals. By definition, consecutive Type-B *I*-intervals correspond to consecutive short Type-A *I*-intervals. So the result follows.

Suppose T is an I-interval. Define the *weight* of T by

$$w(T) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } T \text{ is long;} \\ 1/2, & \text{if } T \text{ is short.} \end{cases}$$

1738

For any interval of integers [u, v], let

$$w([u, v]) = \sum_{T \text{ is an } I \text{ -interval and } T \subseteq [u, v]} w(T).$$

By definition, every integer in I creates either a long interval of weight 1 or two short intervals of weight 1/2 each. By Lemma 3, all these intervals are disjoint, and by definition the two short intervals induced by an integer in I are of distance m - a apart. Hence, by Lemma 5, for any n,

$$w([0, n-1]) - s/2 \le |I \cap [0, n-1]| \le w([0, n-1]) + s/2.$$

Thus to prove that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{|I\cap[0,n-1]|} \ge \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ , it suffices to show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{w([0,n-1])} \ge \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ .

An interval W = [x, y] of integers is called *neat* if every *I*-interval is either contained in *W* or disjoint from *W*. Suppose *W* is a neat interval. We define the *X*-ratio of *W* to be

$$r(W) = \frac{|W|}{w(W)}.$$

To prove that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{|I\cap[0,n-1]|} \ge \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ , it suffices to find integers  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots$  such that for any  $i, R_i = [a_i, a_{i+1} - 1]$  is a neat interval and  $r(R_i) \ge \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ .

We say an integer p has property (\*) if

(\*) for the first Type-B I-interval [u, u + a - 1] with  $u \ge p$ , we have  $u \ge p + \Delta$ .

**Lemma 6.** Each  $x_i \in I$  has property (\*). Moreover, if  $i \in L$ , then  $x_i + m$  also has property (\*) and  $[x_i, x_i + m - 1]$  is neat.

**Proof.** If  $i \notin L$ , by Lemma 4,  $x_i$  has property (\*). Assume  $i \in L$ . By definition,  $x_i$  has property (\*). Suppose  $x_i + m$  does not have property (\*). Then, there exists some u with  $x_i + m \le u < x_i + m + \Delta$  such that [u, u + a - 1] is a Type-B *I*-interval. By definition,  $u - m \in I$  and [u - m, u - m + a - 1] is Type-A. This is impossible as  $x_i \le u - m < x_i + \Delta \le x_{i+1}$  but  $i \in L$ . Hence,  $x_i + m$  has property (\*).

Now, assume to the contrary that  $[x_i, x_i + m - 1]$  is not neat. Let T = [u, v] be an *I*-interval that  $T \cap [x_i, x_i + m - 1] \neq \emptyset$  and  $T \not\subseteq [x_i, x_i + m - 1]$ . By definition and as  $i \in L, T$  must be Type-A. Hence,  $u \in I$ . Let  $u = x_t$  for some *t*. Then  $x_i + m - \Delta + 1 \leq x_t \leq x_i + m - 1$ . This implies  $b + 1 \leq x_t - x_i \leq m - 1$ , a contradiction.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, it suffices to find an infinite sequence of integers  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots$  such that the following hold for all *i*:

(1)  $a_i$  has property (\*),

(2)  $R_i = [a_i, a_{i+1} - 1]$  is neat, and

(3)  $r(R_i) \geq \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ .

We shall construct such a sequence of integers  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots$  inductively. Initially, set  $a_1 = x_1$ . By Lemma 6,  $a_1$  has property (\*). Assume we have determined  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_i$ , where (1–3) in the above are satisfied. We shall determine  $a_{i+1}$  so that (1–3) still hold.

Let [u, v] be the first *I*-interval with  $u \ge a_i$ . If [u, v] is Type-B, then as  $a_i$  has property (\*),  $u \ge a_i + \Delta$ . Let  $a_{i+1} = x_t$ , where  $x_t$  is the smallest element of *I* for which  $x_t > a_i$ . Then all the *I*-intervals contained in  $R_i = [a_i, a_{i+1} - 1]$  are Type-B, and  $R_i$  is neat. Assume  $R_i$  contains *j* Type-B *I*-intervals. By Lemma 5,  $j \le s$ . Since  $w(R_i) = j/2$  and  $|R_i| \ge \Delta + ja$ , it follows that

$$r(R_i) \ge \frac{2(\Delta + ja)}{j} \ge 2a + \frac{2\Delta}{s} \ge \tau(D_{a,b,m}).$$

(Observe that  $\frac{sa+m}{s+1} < a + \frac{b}{s+1} + \frac{\Delta}{s+1} < 2a + \frac{\Delta}{s+1}$ . If m < 2qa, then  $\frac{m}{q} < 2a$ . If m < (2q+1)a, then  $\frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2} < 2a$ .) Moreover, by Lemma 6,  $a_{i+1} = x_i$  has property (\*). Thus (1–3) in the above are satisfied.

In the following, assume [u, v] is Type-A. Then  $u \in I$ . Let  $x_h$  be the first element of I such that  $x_h \ge u$  and  $h \in L$ . Let  $a_{i+1} = x_h + m$ . By Lemma 6,  $R_i = [a_i, a_{i+1} - 1]$  is neat and  $a_{i+1}$  has property (\*).

It remains to show (3). Assume the interval  $[u, x_h - 1]$  contains j I-intervals for some  $j \ge 0$ . By Lemma 4, all the I-intervals contained in  $[u, x_h - 1]$  are Type-A and short.

Since an *I*-interval of weight 1 has length  $\Delta$  and an *I*-interval of weight 1/2 has length  $a > \Delta/2$ , so for any interval *T* of length *m*, we have

$$w(T) \leq \begin{cases} q, & \text{if } m < q \Delta + a; \\ q + \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } m \ge q \Delta + a. \end{cases}$$

Because  $R_i = [a_i, x_h - 1] \cup [x_h, x_h + m - 1]$ , it follows that

$$w(R_i) \leq \begin{cases} q + \frac{j}{2}, & \text{if } m < q\Delta + a; \\ q + \frac{j+1}{2}, & \text{if } m \ge q\Delta + a. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider three cases.

**Case 1.**  $m < q\Delta + a$ . As  $|R_i| \ge ja + m$ , by the above discussion,  $r(R_i) \ge \frac{ja+m}{q+j/2}$ . Observe that  $\frac{ja+m}{q+j/2}$  is a function of j which is increasing if  $m \le 2qa$  and decreasing if  $m \ge 2qa$ . Hence, as  $j \le s$ , we have

- if  $m \ge 2qa$ , then  $r(R_i) \ge \frac{sa+m}{q+\frac{s}{2}} \ge \frac{sa+m}{s+1}$ ;
- if m < 2qa, then  $r(R_i) \ge \frac{0a+m}{q+0} \ge \frac{m}{q}$ .

Hence, (3) holds.

**Case 2.**  $m \ge (2q+1)a$ . Similar to Case 1, we have  $r(R_i) \ge \frac{ja+m}{q+(j+1)/2}$ . Because  $m \ge (2q+1)a$ , which implies that  $\frac{ja+m}{q+(j+1)/2}$  is a decreasing function of j, we conclude that  $r(R_i) \ge \frac{sa+m}{q+(s+1)/2}$ . As  $\frac{b}{a} = \frac{m}{a} - \frac{\Delta}{a} \ge 2q + 1 - 2$ , we have  $s = \lfloor b/a \rfloor \ge 2q - 1$ , i.e.,  $q \le (s+1)/2$ . Hence  $r(R_i) \ge (sa+m)/(s+1)$ , so (3) holds.

**Case 3.**  $a+q\Delta \leq m < (2q+1)a$ . Then  $r(R_i) \geq \frac{ja+m}{q+(j+1)/2}$ . Because m < (2q+1)a,  $\frac{ja+m}{q+(j+1)/2}$  is an increasing function of j. If  $j \geq 1$ , then  $r(R_i) \geq \frac{a+m}{q+1} > \frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2}$ . If j = 0 and  $w(R_i) \leq q$ , then  $r(R_i) \geq \frac{m}{q} > \frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2}$ , and we are done.

Assume j = 0 and  $w(R_i) = q + 1/2$ . Then  $u = x_h$  and  $r(R_i) \ge m/(q + 1/2)$ . As  $\frac{m}{q+1/2} < \frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2} = \tau(D_{a,b,m})$ , this " $a_{i+1}$ " does not satisfy our requirement. We need to find a different  $a_{i+1}$  so that (1–3) are satisfied. In the following, we re-name the interval [u, u + m - 1] just obtained by  $R_i^1$ . (The correct  $R_i$  is not found yet.)

Since  $w(R_i^1) = q + 1/2$ ,  $R_i^1$  contains a short *I*-interval. Let  $p_1 \le q$  be the total weight of *I*-intervals preceding the last short *I*-interval in  $R_i^1$ . As  $w(R_i^1) = q + 1/2$  and the first *I*-interval of  $R_i^1$  is long, we know that  $p_1 \ge 1$  is an integer.

Before reaching the correct interval  $R_i$ , we may need a (finite) sequence of intervals  $R_i^j$ , where  $R_i^1$  is just the first one of them. In the following, we describe the inductive step of finding  $R_i^j$ .

Suppose z is an integer,  $1 \le z \le 2q - 1$ , and for j = 1, 2, ..., z, we have obtained  $R_i^j = [x_{i_i}, x_{i_i} + m - 1]$  with the following properties:

- $x_{i_j} \in I$  and  $i_j \in L$ , and for  $j \ge 2$ ,  $x_{i_{j-1}} + m \le x_{i_j} < x_{i_{j-1}} + m + a$ .
- $w(R_i^j) = q + 1/2.$

Observe that if  $w(R_i^j) = q + 1/2$ , the *I*-intervals in  $R_i^j$  must be "tightly packed." Namely, if a neat sub-interval *H* of  $R_i^j$  has length  $\geq \alpha \Delta + \beta a$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are non-negative integers, then  $w(H) \geq \alpha + \beta/2$ . For otherwise,  $w(R_i^j)$  will be less than q + 1/2.

Let  $p_j$  be the total weight of *I*-intervals preceding the last short *I*-interval in  $R_i^j$ . Since  $w(R_i^j) = q + 1/2$ ,  $R_i^j$  does contain a short *I*-interval. Since the first interval of  $R_i^j$  is a long interval, we have  $p_j \ge 1$ .

Let  $[x_{s'}, x_{s'} + \Delta - 1]$  be the first long *I*-interval with  $x_{s'} \ge x_{i_z} + m$ . If  $x_{s'} \ge x_{i_z} + m + a$ , let  $a_{i+1} = x_{s'}$ . Then  $R_i = [a_i, a_{i+1} - 1]$  is neat,  $|R_i| \ge zm + ja$  for some  $j \ge 1$ , and  $w(R_i) \le z(q + 1/2) + j/2$ . Hence

$$r(R_i) \ge \frac{zm + ja}{z(q + \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{j}{2} \ge \frac{zm + a}{z(q + \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{2} \ge \frac{(2q - 1)m + a}{2q^2}.$$

Note, all the *I*-intervals contained in  $[x_{i_z} + m, x_{s'} - 1]$ , if any, are short. The last inequality in the above holds since  $z \le 2q - 1$  and  $\frac{zm+a}{z(q+\frac{1}{2})}$  is a decreasing function of *z*. As  $a_{i+1} \in I$  has property (\*), we are done for this case.

Assume  $x_{s'} \le x_{i_z} + m + a - 1$ . Let  $R_i^{z+1} = [x_{s'}, x_{s'} + m - 1]$ . If  $w(R_i^{z+1}) \le q$ , then let  $a_{i+1} = x_{s'} + m$ . By Lemma 6,  $R_i = [a_i, a_{i+1} - 1]$  is neat and  $a_{i+1}$  has property (\*). To verify (3), we note that  $w(R_i) \le (z + 1)q + z/2$  and

$$r(R_i) \ge \frac{(z+1)m}{(z+1)q + \frac{z}{2}} \ge \frac{2qm}{2q^2 + q - \frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{(2q-1)m + a}{2q^2}$$

The second inequality in the above holds because  $\frac{(z+1)m}{(z+1)q+z/2}$  is a decreasing function of z. The third inequality holds because  $m \ge a(q+1)$ . Thus we assume that  $w(R_i^{z+1}) = q + 1/2$ .

**Claim.**  $p_{z+1} \le p_z$ . Moreover, if  $p_{z+1} = p_z$ , then the last short *I*-interval contained in  $R_i^z$  is Type-A, and the last short *I*-interval in  $R_i^{z+1}$  is Type-B.

**Proof of Claim.** Let T = [u, u + a - 1] and T' = [u', u' + a - 1] be the last short *I*-interval in  $R_i^z$  and  $R_i^{z+1}$ , respectively. If *T'* is Type-B, then T'' = [u' - m, u' - m + a - 1] is a short Type-A *I*-interval contained in  $R_i^z$ . Note, as  $|[u' - m, x_{i_{s'}} - 1]| = |[u', x_{i_{s'}} + m - 1]|$  and  $x_{i_{s'}} \ge x_{i_z} + m$ , we have  $|[x_{i_z}, u' - m - 1]| \ge |[x_{i_{s'}}, u' - 1]|$ . Hence,  $[x_{i_z}, u' - m - 1]$  is capable of containing *I*-intervals of total weight at least  $p_{z+1}$ . As the *I*-intervals in  $R_i^z$  are tightly packed, the *I*-intervals contained in  $[x_{i_z}, u' - m - 1]$  has total weight at least  $p_{z+1}$ . Therefore  $p_z \ge p_{z+1}$ , and if the equality holds then the last short *I*-interval in  $R_i^z$  is Type-A.

Assume T' is Type-A. Thus  $u' = x_{i^*} \in I$  for some  $i^*$ . Since T' is short,  $x_{i^*+1} \le x_{i^*} + \Delta - 1$ . Note, as  $s' \in L$  and  $x_{i^*}, x_{i^*+1} \in I$ , we have  $x_{i^*+1} \le x_{s'} + b$  and  $[x_{i^*} - m + 1, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1] \cap I = \emptyset$ . Consider the interval  $[x_{i_z}, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1]$ . If this is a sub-interval of  $R_i^z$ , then since

$$|[x_{i_{\tau}}, x_{i^{*}+1} - b - 1]| \ge |[x_{i_{\tau'}}, x_{i^{*}+1} - 1]| + \Delta,$$

and the interval  $[x_{i_z}, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1]$  is tightly packed, we conclude that the total weight of the *I*-intervals that intersect with  $[x_{i_z}, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1]$  is at least  $p_{z+1} + 1 + 1/2$ . Moreover, since  $[x_{i^*} - m + 1, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1] \ge \Delta + a - 1$  and  $[x_{i^*} - m + 1, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1] \cap I = \emptyset$ , we conclude that the last *I*-interval intersecting with  $[x_{i_z}, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1]$  is Type-B. The total weight of the *I*-intervals of  $R_i^z$  preceding this Type-B *I*-interval is at least  $p_{z+1} + 1$ . Therefore,  $p_{z+1} < p_z$ .

Assume  $[x_{i_z}, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1]$  is not a sub-interval of  $R_i^z$ . Then  $x_{i^*+1} - b - 1 \ge x_{i_z} + m$ . Since  $x_{i_z} \ge x_{s'} - m - a + 1$ , we have  $x_{i^*+1} \ge x_{s'} + b - a + 3$ . This implies that  $[x_{i^*+1} + 1, x_{s'} + m] \cap I = \emptyset$ , and  $[x_{i^*+1}, x_{i^*+1} + \Delta - 1]$  is the last *I*-interval contained in  $R_i^{z+1}$ . Hence  $p_{z+1} = q - 1$ . If  $p_z \le q - 2$ , then the conclusion follows.

Assume  $p_z = q - 1$ . Then the last *I*-interval in  $R_i^z$  is a long interval. Denote this long *I*-interval by [w, w']. Since  $w \in I$  but  $[x_{i^*} - m + 1, x_{i_z} + m - 1] \cap I = \emptyset$ , we have  $w \le x_{i^*} - m$ . As all *I*-intervals are disjoint, the last short *I*-interval of  $R_i^z$  must be within  $[x_{i_z}, x_{i^*} - m - 1]$ . Therefore, the interval  $[x_{i_z}, x_{i^*} - m - 1]$  has length at least  $(q - 1)\Delta + a$ . Moreover, since

$$|[x_{i^*} - m, x_{s'} - 1]| \ge |[x_{i^*} - m, x_{i^*+1} - b - 1]| \ge \Delta + a,$$

we conclude,  $[x_{i_z}, x_{s'} - 1]$  has length at least  $q \Delta + 2a$ . Let  $a_{i+1} = x_{s'}$ . Then

$$r(R_i) \ge \frac{(z-1)m + q\Delta + 2a}{z(q+\frac{1}{2})} \ge \frac{(2q-2)m + q\Delta + 2a}{(2q-1)(q+\frac{1}{2})}$$

The second inequality holds because the formula is a decreasing function on z and  $z \ge 2q - 1$ . To complete the proof of the Claim, it suffices to show

$$\frac{(2q-2)m+q\,\Delta+2a}{(2q-1)(q+\frac{1}{2})} \ge \frac{(2q-1)m+a}{2q^2}$$

Write  $m = q \Delta + 2a - \lambda$ , where  $0 < \lambda \le a$ . The above inequality is equivalent to

$$2q^{2}\lambda - (2q^{2} - 1/2)a - m(1/2 - q) \ge 0.$$

By definition, we have:

(1)  $\lambda \ge 2a - \Delta + 1$  (since  $q = \lfloor m/\Delta \rfloor$ ) (2)  $\Delta \le 2a - 1$  (since  $2a > \Delta$ ).

Therefore,

$$2q^{2}\lambda - (2q^{2} - 1/2)a - m(1/2 - q)$$

$$= (2q^{2} - q + 1/2)\lambda - a(2q^{2} - 2q + 1/2) - \Delta(q/2 - q^{2})$$

$$\geq a(2q^{2} + 1/2) - \Delta(q^{2} - q/2 + 1/2) + (2q^{2} - q + 1/2) \text{ (by (1))}$$

$$\geq a(q - 1/2) + 3q^{2} - (3q)/2 + 1 \text{ (by (2))}$$

$$\geq 0 \text{ (since } q \geq 1)$$

This completes the proof of the Claim.  $\Box$ 

Since  $p_i \ge 1$ , so  $p_{2q}$  does not exist. Thus the procedure above terminates at the *k*-th step for some  $k \le 2q$ , when the valid  $a_{i+1}$  is obtained. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for their comments which resulted in a better presentation of the article. Daphne also would like to thank Professor Ko-Wei Lih and the

Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taiwan, for their hospitality during her visit in 2005 when part of the work was done.

## References

- [1] D.G. Cantor, B. Gordon, Sequences of integers with missing differences, J. Combin. Theory (A) 14 (1973) 281–287.
- [2] G.J. Chang, L. Huang, X. Zhu, The circular chromatic numbers and the fractional chromatic numbers of distance graphs, European J. Combin. 19 (1998) 423–431.
- [3] G. Chang, D. Liu, X. Zhu, Distance graphs and T-coloring, J. Combin. Theory (B) 75 (1999) 159–169.
- [4] J. Chen, G.J. Chang, K. Huang, Integral distance graphs, J. Graph Theory 25 (1997) 287-294.
- [5] R.B. Eggleton, P. Erdős, D.K. Skilton, Colouring the real line, J. Combin. Theory (B) 39 (1985) 86–100.
- [6] R.B. Eggleton, P. Erdős, D.K. Skilton, Research problem 77, Discrete Math. 58 (1986) 323.
- [7] R.B. Eggleton, P. Erdős, D.K. Skilton, Update information on research problem 77, Discrete Math. 69 (1988) 105.
- [8] R.B. Eggleton, P. Erdős, D.K. Skilton, Colouring prime distance graphs, Graphs Combin. 6 (1990) 17–32.
- [9] J. Griggs, D. Liu, The channel assignment problem for mutually adjacent sites, J. Combin. Theory (A) 68 (1994) 169–183.
- [10] N.M. Haralambis, Sets of integers with missing differences, J. Combin. Theory (A) 23 (1977) 22–33.
- [11] A. Kemnitz, H. Kolberg, Coloring of integer distance graphs, Discrete Math. 191 (1998) 113–123.
- [12] A. Kemnitz, M. Marangio, Colorings and list colorings of integer distance graphs, Congr. Numer. 151 (2001) 75-84.
- [13] A. Kemnitz, M. Marangio, Chromatic numbers of integer distance graphs, Discrete Math. 233 (2001) 239-246.
- [14] P. Lam, W. Lin, Coloring distance graphs with intervals as distance sets, European J. Combin. 26 (2005) 1216–1229.
- [15] W. Lin, P. Lam, Z. Song, Circular chromatic numbers of some distance graphs, Discrete Math. 292 (2005) 119–130.
- [16] D. Liu, T-coloring and chromatic number of distance graphs, Ars Combin. 56 (2000) 65–80.
- [17] D. Liu, X. Zhu, Distance graphs with missing multiples in the distance sets, J. Graph Theory 30 (1999) 245–259.
- [18] D. Liu, X. Zhu, Fractional chromatic number and circular chromatic number for distance graphs with large clique size, J. Graph Theory 47 (2004) 129–146.
- [19] J. Rabinowitz, V. Proulx, An asymptotic approach to the channel assignment problem, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Methods 6 (1985) 507–518.
- [20] M. Voigt, Colouring of distance graphs, Ars Combin. 52 (1999) 3-12.
- [21] M. Voigt, H. Walther, Chromatic number of prime distance graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 51 (1994) 197–209.
- [22] H. Walther, Über eine spezielle Klasse unendlicher Graphen, in: K. Wagner, R. Bodendiek (Eds.), Graphentheorie II, BI Wissenschaftsverlag (Hrsg.), 1990, pp. 268–295.
- [23] J. Wu, W. Lin, Circular chromatic numbers and fractional chromatic numbers of distance graphs with distance sets missing an interval, Ars Combin. 70 (2004) 161–168.
- [24] X. Zhu, The circular chromatic number of distance graphs with distance sets of cardinality 3, J. Graph Theory 41 (2002) 195–207.
- [25] X. Zhu, The circular chromatic number of a class of distance graphs, Discrete Math. 265 (1-3) (2003) 337-350.