Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Discrete Mathematics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

Large sets of extended directed triple systems with even orders *

Yuanyuan Liu, Qingde Kang*

Institute of Mathematics, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 6 October 2008 Received in revised form 19 June 2009 Accepted 23 June 2009 Available online 10 July 2009

Keywords: Extended triple Extended triple system Large set

1. Introduction

For three types of triples: unordered, cyclic and transitive, the corresponding extended triple, extended triple system and their large sets are introduced. The existence of LESTS(v) and LEMTS(v) were completely solved. In this paper, we shall discuss the existence problem of LEDTS(v) and give the following conclusion: there exists an LEDTS(v) for any even v except v = 4. The existence of LEDTS(v) with odd order v will be discussed in another paper, we are working at it.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Let x, y, z be distinct elements in a finite set X. A triple {x, y, z} (or cyclic triple $\langle x, y, z \rangle$, or transitive triple (x, y, z)) on X is a set of three unordered pairs {x, y}, {y, z}, {z, x} (or ordered pairs (x, y), (y, z), (z, x), or ordered pairs (x, y), (y, z), (x, z)) of X. For these (classical) triples, the elements in each pair and triple must be distinct. When this restriction is broken, we have the so-called *extended* unordered pair (or ordered pair) and *extended* triple (or extended cyclic triple, or extended transitive triple), which were firstly introduced by Johnson and Mendelsohn in 1972, see [5].

An *extended* Steiner (or Mendelsohn, or directed) triple system ESTS(v) (or EMTS(v), or EDTS(v)) is a pair (X, A), where X is a v-set and A is a collection of extended triples (or cyclic triples, or transitive triples) on X, called *blocks*, such that every extended unordered (or ordered) pair of X belongs to exactly one block of A. A *large set* of ESTS(v) (or EMTS(v), or EDTS(v)), denoted by LESTS(v) (or LEMTS(v), or LEDTS(v)), is a collection $\{(X, A_k)\}_k$, where X is a v-set, each (X, A_k) is an ESTS(v) (or EMTS(v), or EDTS(v)) and these A_k form a partition of all extended triples (or cyclic triples, or transitive triples) on X. The types of extended triples (or cyclic triples, or transitive triples) and the extended pairs contained in them are listed in the following table.

System	Forms of triple	Pairs covered by triple	Number of triples in a v-set	Number of systems in a large set
ESTS	$S_1 : \{x, x, x\} \\ S_2 : \{x, x, y\} \\ S_3 : \{x, y, z\}$	$ \{x, x\} \\ \{x, x\}, \{x, y\} \\ \{x, y\}, \{y, z\}, \{z, x\} $	v = v(v-1) = v(v-1)(v-2)/6	υ
EMTS		(x, x)(x, y), (y, x), (x, x)(x, y), (y, z), (z, x)	v = v(v-1) = v(v-1)(v-2)/3	υ

(continued on next page)

Research supported by NSFC Grant 10671055 and NSFHB A2007000230.
 Corresponding author.

E-mail address: qdkang@heinfo.net (Q. Kang).

⁰⁰¹²⁻³⁶⁵X/\$ – see front matter S 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.06.025

System	Forms of triple	Pairs covered by triple	Number of triples in a <i>v</i> -set	Number of systems in a large set
	$D_1: (x, x, x)$	(x, x)	v	
	D_2 : (<i>x</i> , <i>x</i> , <i>y</i>)	(x, x), (x, y)	v(v - 1)	
EDTS	D_3 : (<i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , <i>y</i>)	(x, y), (y, y)	v(v-1)	3v - 2
	$D_4:(x,y,x)$	(x, y), (y, x), (x, x)	v(v-1)	
	D_5 : (<i>x</i> , <i>y</i> , <i>z</i>)	(x, y), (y, z), (x, z)	v(v-1)(v-2)	

The existence problem of extended Steiner triple system and extended Mendelsohn triple system have been solved in [1,2,5]. The existence problem of extended directed triple system with some additional conditions has also been discussed in [3,4]. In this paper, we will discuss the existence problems for the large sets of *ESTS*, *EMTS* and *EDTS*. For the last designs, i.e., *LEDTS*(v), our conclusion is: there exists an *LEDTS*(v) for any even v except v = 4. The existence of *LEDTS*(v) with odd order v will be discussed in another paper, we are working at it.

Theorem 1.1. There exists an LESTS(v) for any integer $v \ge 1$.

Proof. For $v \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3$, the collection $\{(Z_v, \mathcal{A}_x) : x \in Z_v\}$ forms an *LESTS*(v), where

 $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{\{i, j, k\} : i+j+k \equiv 0 \mod v\}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{A}_0 + x, \quad x \in Z_v.$

For $v \equiv 0 \mod 3$, the collection $\{(Z_v, \mathcal{A}_{s,x}) : x \in Z_{v/3}, 0 \le s \le 2\}$ forms an *LESTS*(*v*), where

 $A_{s,0} = \{\{i, j, k\} : i + j + k \equiv s \mod v\}, \quad 0 \le s \le 2,$

 $A_{s,x} = \{B + x : B \in A_{s,0}\}$, where (i, j, k) + x = (i + x, j + x, k + x) for $i, j, k \in Z_v$, the addition is taken modulo v, $x \in Z_{v/3}, 0 \le s \le 2$.

In [9], Wang gave the existence spectrum for LEMTS(v). Here, we give a simpler proof.

Theorem 1.2. There exists an LEMTS(v) for any integer $v \ge 1$.

Proof. Let $\{(Z_v, A_x) : x \in Z_v\}$ be an *LESTS*(v). Replace each (S_3 type's) extended triple $\{x, y, z\}$ in A_x by (M_3 type's) extended cyclic triples $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ and $\langle z, y, x \rangle$. As well, by replacing each (S_1 and S_2 type's) extended triples $\{x, x, x\}$ and $\{x, x, y\}$ by (M_1 and M_2 type's) extended cyclic triples $\langle x, x, x \rangle$ and $\langle x, x, y \rangle$, the triple system $\{(Z_v, A_x) : x \in Z_v\}$ will become an *LEMTS*(v).

In this paper, we shall focus on the existence of LEDTS(v) with even orders v. Let k, g, n be positive integers. A k- $GDD(g^n)$ is a triple $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$, where \mathcal{V} is a gn-set, \mathcal{G} is a partition of \mathcal{V} , which consists of n subsets (called groups) with size g, and \mathcal{B} is a family of some subsets (called blocks) of \mathcal{V} such that if $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then |B| = k and every pair of distinct elements of \mathcal{V} occurs in exactly one block or one group but not both.

Let *K* be a set of positive integers, $t, v, g_1, \ldots, g_r, n_1, \ldots, n_r$ be positive integers, *s* be a non-negative integer and $\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i g_i = v - s$. A candelabra *t*-system (t, K)-CS(v:s) or (t, K)-CS $(g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \cdots g_r^{n_r} : s)$, see [7], is a quadruple $(X, S, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ that satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) *X* is a *v*-set (called *points*), *S* is its *s*-subset (called *a stem*);
- (2) \mathcal{G} is a partition of $X \setminus S$, which consists of n_i subsets with size g_i (called *groups*);
- (3) A is a family of some subsets of X, each member (called *block*) has the size from K;
- (4) Every *t*-subset *T* of *X* is contained in exactly one block if $|T \cap (S \cup G)| < t$, $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}$, or in no block if $T \subseteq S \cup G$ for some $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

Especially, a (t, K)-CS $(1^v : 0)$ is just a *t*-wise balanced design S(t, K, v), briefly denoted by *t*-BD, and a (t, k)-CS $(1^v : 0)$ is just a *t*-design S(t, k, v).

 $F(3, 3, g^n)$ is a triple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ where X is a gn-set of points, \mathcal{G} is a collection of n non-empty subsets (called groups) of size g of X which partition X, \mathcal{A} is a collection of all triples satisfying each triple intersects any given group in at most one point and \mathcal{A} can be partitioned into $gn\mathcal{A}_x$, $x \in G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that each $(X \setminus G, \mathcal{G} \setminus \{G\}, \mathcal{A}_x)$ is a 3-GDD(g^{n-1}).

Let v be a positive integer, X be a v-set, \mathcal{G} be a partition of X, and K_1, \ldots, K_s, K_T be sets of positive integers. Suppose that $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_s$ and \mathcal{T} are collections of some subsets of X with size from K_1, \ldots, K_s and K_T respectively. An *s*-fan design *s*-FG(3, $(K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_T), v)$ is an (s + 3)-tuple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_s, \mathcal{T})$, where (X, \mathcal{G}) is a 1-BD, $(X, \mathcal{G} \cup \mathcal{B}_i)$ is a 2-BD for each $1 \le i \le s$, and $(X, \mathcal{G} \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{B}_i) \cup \mathcal{T})$ is a 3-BD.

Below, I_n is an *n*-set, Z_n is a residual ring module *n* and F_q is a finite field of order *q*. Denote $Z_n^* = Z_n \setminus \{0\}$ and $F_q^* = F_q \setminus \{0\}$. Denote extended transitive triple by (a, b, c) or *abc*. For a family of extended transitive triples \mathcal{A} on Z_n (or F_q) and $x, m \in Z_n$ (or F_q), denote

 $\mathcal{A} + x = \{ (a + x, b + x, c + x) : (a, b, c) \in \mathcal{A} \}, \qquad m\mathcal{A} = \{ (ma, mb, mc) : (a, b, c) \in \mathcal{A} \}, \\ -\mathcal{A} = \{ (-a, -b, -c) : (a, b, c) \in \mathcal{A} \} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}^{-1} = \{ (c, b, a) : (a, b, c) \in \mathcal{A} \}.$

Definition 1.1. For positive integers n_i and g_i , 1 < i < r, a directed group divisible triple system $DGDD(g_1^{n_1} \cdots g_n^{n_r})$ is a trio $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) X is a set containing $\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i g_i$ points; (2) \mathcal{G} is a partition of X, which consists of n_i subsets of size g_i (called groups);
- (3) A is a family of some transitive triples of X (called *blocks*) such that $|A \cap G| < 1$, $\forall A \in A$, $G \in \mathcal{G}$;
- (4) Each ordered pair on X from distinct (or same) groups is contained in exactly one (or no) block.

Definition 1.2. For positive integers *n*, *g*, *s* and $s \ge 2$, a *PDGDD*($g^n : s$) is a trio (*X*, *g*, *A*) satisfying the following conditions: (1) *X* is a set containing ng + s points;

- (2) $\mathcal{G} = \{G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ forms a partition of *X*, where $G_i = \{a_{i,j} : j \in I_g\}$ is called group, $i \in Z_n$. $|G_0| = s$ and other $|G_i| = g$; (3) *A* consists of all transitive triples on *X*, intersecting each group in at most one points. And, *A* can be partitioned into $\{\mathcal{B}_{i,i}^r: i \in I_n, j \in I_g, r \in I_3\} \cup \{\mathcal{C}_k: 1 \le k \le 3(s-2)\}$, where each $\mathcal{B}_{i,i}^r$ forms a $DGDD(g^{n-1}(s+1)^1)$ on $X \setminus (G_i \setminus \{a_{i,j}\})$
- with the group set $(g \setminus \{G_0, G_i\}) \cup \{G_0 \cup \{a_i\}\}$, and each \mathcal{C}_k forms a $DGDD(g^n)$ on $X \setminus G_0$ with the group set $g \setminus \{G_0\}$.

Definition 1.3. For positive integers n, g and s, an $EDGDD(g^ns^1)$ (extended directed group divisible triple system) is a trio $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) *X* is a set containing ng + s points;
- (2) $\mathcal{G} = \{G_0, G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ forms a partition of X, where G_i $(i \in Z_n)$ is called group. $|G_0| = s$ and other $|G_i| = g$;
- (3) A is a family of extended transitive triples of X (called *blocks*) such that $A \not\subseteq G \cup S$ for any $A \in A$ and $G \in g$;
- (4) Each ordered 2-subset (x, y) of X is contained in exactly one (or no) block of A if x, y in distinct (or same) groups;
- (5) Each pair (x, x) is contained in exactly one (or no) block of A if $x \notin G_0$ (or $x \in G_0$).

Especially, an $EDGDD(1^{n-s}s^1) = (X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is named as $EDTS(n, s) = (X, Y, \mathcal{A})$, where the long group $G_0 = Y$ with size s is called hole.

Definition 1.4. For positive integers w < v, let X be a v-set, Y be its w-subset, An LEDTS(v, w) is a collection $\{(X, Y, A_i) :$ $1 \le i \le 3v - 2$ such that all extended transitive triples from X, not belonging to Y, are partitioned into A_i , $1 \le i \le 3v - 2$, where each (X, Y, A_i) is an EDTS(v, w) for $1 \le i \le 3w - 2$ or an EDTS(v) for $3w - 1 \le i \le 3v - 2$. Obviously, $LEDTS(v, w) \cup LEDTS(w) = LEDTS(v).$

Definition 1.5. For positive integers n, g and s, a $PECS(g^n : s)$ is a quadruple $(X, S, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following conditions: (1) X is an (ng + s)-set, S is its s-subset (called stem);

- (2) $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \ldots, G_n\}$ partition $X \setminus S$, where each G_i is a g-subset;
- (3) A consists of all extended transitive triples from X, not belonging $S \cup G$, $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}$. A can be partitioned into $\{\mathcal{B}_{i,j}^r : i \in \mathcal{G}\}$ $I_n, j \in I_g, r \in I_3$ \cup { $\mathcal{C}_k : 1 \le k \le 3s - 2$ }, where each $\mathcal{B}_{i,j}^r$ forms an $EDGDD(1^{g(n-1)}(g+s)^1)$ on X with the long group $G_i \cup S$, each \mathcal{C}_k forms a $DGDD(g^n)$ on $X \setminus S$ with the groups \mathcal{G} .

Definition 1.6. For positive integers n, g and non-negative integer s, a $PECS^*(g^n : s)$ is a quadruple $(X, S, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) X is an (ng + s)-set, S is its s-subset (called *stem*);
- (1) *X* is an (*ng* + *s*) solver, *s* is *s* subset (called *s*(*cn*));
 (2) *g* = {*G*₁,..., *G*_n} partition *X* \ *S*, where each *G_i* = {*a_{i,j}* : *j* ∈ *I_g*} is a *g*-subset, *i* ∈ *I_n*;
 (3) *A* consists of all transitive directed triples (called *blocks*), not belonging *S* ∪ *G*, ∀*G* ∈ *g*. *A* can be partitioned into {*B*^{*r*}_{*i,j*} : *i* ∈ *I_n*, *j* ∈ *I_g*, *r* ∈ *I*₃} ∪ {*C_k* : 1 ≤ *k* ≤ 3*s* + 4}, where each *B*^{*r*}_{*i,j*} forms an *EDGDD*(1^{*g*(*n*-1)}(*g* + *s* 1)¹) on *X* \ {*a_{i,j}*} with the long group (*G_i* ∪ *S*) \ {*a_{i,j}*}, and each *C_k* forms a *DGDD*(*gⁿ*) on *X* \ *S* with the groups *g*.

Definition 1.7. For positive integers *n* and *g*, a $DF(g^n)$ is a trio $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ where *X* is a *gn*-set of *points*, \mathcal{G} is a partition of *X* into n subsets (called groups) with size g, A is a collection of all transitive triples intersecting any given group in at most one point, and A can be partitioned into $3gn A_x^j$ such that each $(X \setminus G, g \setminus \{G\}, A_x^j)$ is a $DGDD(g^{n-1})$, where $x \in G \in g$ and $j \in I_3$.

Lemma 1.1. There exists a $DF(g^n)$ for positive integers g, n satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $n \equiv 1, 2 \mod 3$; (2) 6|n and 3|g; (3) $n \equiv 3 \mod 6$, $n > 3 \mod 6 | g$.

Proof. By [8], there exists an OLDTS(n) if and only if $n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 3$, and if there exists an OLDTS(n) then there exists a $DF(g^{n+1})$. So we can get the conclusion (1).

From [6], there exists an $F(3, 3, g^n) = (X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ for 2|gn, 3|g(n-1)(n-2) and $n > 3, n \neq 5$. By the definition, \mathcal{A} can be partitioned into gnA_x , $x \in G \in \mathcal{G}$, such that each $(X \setminus G, \mathcal{G} \setminus \{G\}, A_x)$ is a 3-*GDD* (g^{n-1}) . For $x \in G \in \mathcal{G}$, define

 $\mathcal{A}_{x}^{1} = \{(a, b, c), (c, b, a) : (a, b, c) \in \mathcal{A}_{x}\},\$ $A_x^2 = \{(a, c, b), (b, c, a) : (a, b, c) \in A_x\},\$ $A_x^3 = \{(b, a, c), (c, a, b) : (a, b, c) \in A_x\}.$

It is easy to see that each $(X \setminus G, \mathcal{G} \setminus \{G\}, \mathcal{A}_x^j)$ is a $DGDD(g^{n-1})$ and these \mathcal{A}_x^j , $x \in G \in \mathcal{G}, j \in I_3$, form a $DF(g^n)$ on X with the groups §. Thus, we can get the conclusion (2) and (3) for the case 3|n.

2. Recursive construction

Theorem 2.1. If there exist a $PECS(g^n : s)$, an LEDTS(g + s, s) and an LEDTS(g + s), then there exists an LEDTS(gn + s).

Proof. Let $PECS(g^n:s) = (X, S, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, where |X| = gn + s, |S| = s, $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i: i \in I_n\}$ and $|G_i| = g$. \mathcal{A} consists of all extended transitive triples from X, not belonging any $S \cup G_i$. A can be partitioned into $\{\mathcal{B}_{i,j}^r : i \in I_n, j \in I_g, r \in I_3\} \cup \{\mathcal{B}_k : 1 \le k \le 1\}$ 3s-2}, where each $\mathcal{B}_{i,i}^r$ forms an $EDGDD(1^{g(n-1)}(g+s)^1)$ on X with the long group $G_i \cup S$, each \mathcal{B}_k forms a $DGDD(g^n)$ on $X \setminus S$ with the groups \hat{g} .

By the assumption, there exists an *LEDTS* (g + s, s) on $G_i \cup S$ for each $i \in I_n \setminus \{1\}$, which contains

3g disjoint
$$EDTS(g + s) = (G_i \cup S, \mathcal{C}_{i,j}^r), \quad j \in I_g, r \in I_3;$$

3s - 2 disjoint $EDTS(g + s, s) = (G_i \cup S, \mathcal{D}_{i,k}), \quad 1 \le k \le 3s - 2.$

And, there exists an *LEDTS* (g + s) on $G_1 \cup S$ which contains

3g disjoint $EDTS(g + s) = (G_1 \cup S, C_{1,j}^r), j \in I_g, r \in I_3;$

3s - 2 disjoint *EDTS*(g + s) = ($G_1 \cup S, \mathcal{E}_k$), 1 < k < 3s - 2.

Now, define

$$\Gamma_{i,j}^{r} = \mathcal{B}_{i,j}^{r} \cup \mathcal{C}_{i,j}^{r}, \quad i \in I_{n}, j \in I_{g}, r \in I_{3};$$
$$\Lambda_{k} = \left(\bigcup_{i=2}^{n} \mathcal{D}_{i,k}\right) \bigcup \mathcal{B}_{k} \bigcup \mathcal{E}_{k}, \quad 1 \le k \le 3s - 2.$$

Then each $\Gamma_{i,i}^r(x)$ or Λ_k forms an *EDTS*(gn + s) on $X \cup S$, and they form an *LEDTS*(gn + s).

Theorem 2.2. If there exist e-FG(3, $(K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{e-1}, K_T), g^n$), PECS $(m^k : r) \forall k \in K_1$, $DF(m^k) \forall k \in K_T$, and $DF(m^{k_j+1}) \forall k_i \in K_T$. K_i , $2 \le j \le e$, then there exists a PECS $((mg)^n : (e-1)m + r)$.

Construction. Let *e*-*FG*(3, ($K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{e-1}, K_T$), g^n) = ($X, g, A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{e-1}, T$), where g is a partition of the gn-set X into n groups with size g. Denote $g_A = \{\{x\} \times I_m : x \in A\}$ and $A' = A \times I_m$, where $A \subseteq X$. Let $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_{e-1}$ and $X \times I_m$ be pairwise disjoint sets, where $S_0 = \{\infty\} \times Z_r$, $S_t = \{(\infty, r + (t - 1)m), \ldots, (\infty, r + tm - 1)\}$, $t \in Z_e^*$. Denote $S = \bigcup_{t \in Z_e} S_t, X' = (X \times I_m) \cup S, G' = G \times I_m, G \in g$. By assumption, we can give the following designs (1)–(3):

(1) $PECS(m^{|A|}:r) = (A' \cup S_0, S_0, \mathcal{G}_A, \mathcal{B}_A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$, where \mathcal{B}_A can be partitioned into 3m|A| disjoint $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$ and 3r - 2 disjoint $\mathcal{B}_k(A)$, $x \in A$, $i \in I_m$, $j \in I_3$, $1 \le k \le 3r - 2$, such that each $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$ forms an $EDGDD(1^{m(|A|-1)}(m+r)^1)$ on $A' \cup S_0$ with the long group $(\{x\} \times I_m) \cup S_0$, and each $\mathcal{B}_k(A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|})$ on A' with the groups \mathcal{G}_A .

(2) $DF(m^{|A|+1}) = (A' \cup S_t, \mathcal{G}_A \cup S_t, \mathcal{C}_A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}_t, t \in Z_e^*$, where \mathcal{C}_A can be partitioned into 3m|A| disjoint $\mathcal{C}_{x,i}^j(t, A)$ and 3*m* disjoint $C_i^j(t, A)$, $x \in A$, $i \in I_m$, $j \in I_3$, such that each $C_{x_i}^j(t, A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|})$ on $((A \setminus \{x\}) \times I_m) \cup S_t$ with the groups $\mathcal{G}_{A\setminus\{x\}} \cup \{S_t\}$, and each $C_i^j(t, A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|})$ on A' with the groups \mathcal{G}_A .

(3) $DF(m^{|A|}) = (A', \mathcal{G}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{T}$, where \mathcal{D}_A can be partitioned into 3m|A| disjoint $\mathcal{D}_{x,i}^j(A), x \in A, i \in I_m, j \in I_3$, such that each $\mathcal{D}_{x,i}^{j}(A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|-1})$ on $(A \setminus \{x\}) \times I_m$ with the groups $\mathcal{G}_{A \setminus \{x\}}$. Now, for $x \in X$, $i \in I_m$, $j \in I_3$, $1 \le k \le 3r - 2$ and $t \in Z_e^*$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{x,i}^{j} &= \left(\bigcup_{x \in A \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \mathcal{B}_{x,i}^{j}(A)\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{x \in A \in \mathcal{A}_{t}, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{e}^{*}} \mathcal{C}_{x,i}^{j}(t,A)\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{x \in A \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{D}_{x,i}^{j}(A)\right); \\ \mathcal{F}_{k} &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{0}} \mathcal{B}_{k}(A); \\ \mathcal{F}_{i,t}^{j} &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{t}} \mathcal{C}_{i}^{j}(t,A). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_{x,i}^j, x \in X, i \in I_m, j \in I_3\} \cup \{\mathcal{F}_k, 1 \leq k \leq 3r - 2\} \cup \{\mathcal{F}_{i,t}^j, i \in I_m, j \in I_3, t \in Z_e^*\}$ forms a desired *PECS*((*mg*)^{*n*} : (*e* - 1)*m* + *r*) on *X'* with the groups {*G'* : *G* \in *G*} and the stem *S*.

Proof. (1) Each $\mathcal{F}_{x,i}^{j}$ ($x \in X, i \in I_m, j \in I_3$) forms an $EDGDD(1^{mg(n-1)}(mg + s)^1)$ on X' with the long group $G' \cup S$, where $x \in G \in \mathcal{G}$. In fact, any extended ordered pair $P = \{(\alpha, a), (\beta, b)\} \not\subset G' \cup S$ occurs exactly one block of $\mathcal{F}_{x,i}^j$: * Case $\infty \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$. If $\alpha = \infty$ ($\beta = \infty$ is similar). Then $(\alpha, a) \in S$ and $\beta \notin G$.

When $(\alpha, a) \in S_0$, there exists the unique block *A* in A_0 containing *x* and β , since A_0 forms a $GDD(g^n)$ on *X*. Then, there exists the unique block in $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^{j}(A)$ containing *P*, since $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^{j}(A)$ forms an *EDGDD*($1^{m(|A|-1)}(m+r)^{1}$) on $A' \cup S_0$ with the long group $(\{x\} \times I_m) \cup S_0$. Further, let us show the uniqueness for the block containing *P*. Suppose that there exists another block $C \in \mathcal{F}_{x,i}^j$ containing *P*. Since $(\alpha, a) \in S_0$, *C* must belong $\bigcup_{x \in A \in \mathcal{A}_0} \mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$. Then, there must be some $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_0$ such that $C \in \mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A_1)$ and $\{x, \beta\} \subset A_1$. Since \mathcal{A}_0 forms a $GDD(g^n)$ on *X* and $\{x, \beta\} \subset A$, we have $A_1 = A$, i.e., $C \in \mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$. However, in $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$, the block containing *P* is unique.

When $(\alpha, a) \in S_t$ $(t \in Z_e^*)$, there exists the unique block $A \in A_t$ containing x and β , since A_t $(t \in Z_e^*)$ forms a $GDD(g^n)$ on X. Then, there exists the unique block in $C_{x,i}^j(t, A)$ containing P, since $C_{x,i}^j(t, A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|})$ on $((A \setminus \{x\}) \times I_m) \cup S_t$ with the groups $\mathcal{G}_{A \setminus \{x\}} \cup \{S_t\}$. Similarly, we can show the uniqueness for the block containing P. * Case $\infty \notin \{\alpha, \beta\}$. If $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha = x$ $(\beta = x$ is similar), then $\beta \notin G$. Since there exists the unique block $A \in A_0$

* Case $\infty \notin \{\alpha, \beta\}$. If $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha = x$ ($\beta = x$ is similar), then $\beta \notin G$. Since there exists the unique block $A \in A_0$ containing *x* and β , there exists the unique block in $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$ containing *P*. If $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $x \notin \{\alpha, \beta\}$, then $\{x, \alpha, \beta\}$ is contained in the unique block $A \in (\bigcup_{t \in Z_\rho} A_t) \bigcup \mathcal{T}$. Then,

 $A \in \mathcal{A}_0 \longrightarrow$ there exists the unique block in $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$ containing P.

 $A \in \mathcal{A}_t$ $(t \in \mathbb{Z}_e^*) \longrightarrow$ there exists a unique block in $\mathcal{C}_{x,i}^j(t, A)$ containing *P*.

 $A \in \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow$ there exists the unique block in $\mathcal{D}_{x,i}^{j}(A)$ containing *P*, since $((A \setminus \{x\}) \times Z_m, \mathcal{G}_{A \setminus \{x\}}, \mathcal{D}_{x,i}^{j}(A))$ is a $DGDD(m^{|A|-1})$. The uniqueness for the block containing *P* can be similarly shown.

(2) Each $\mathcal{F}_{i,t}^{j}$ or \mathcal{F}_{k} ($i \in I_{m}, j \in I_{3}, t \in Z_{e}^{*}, 1 \leq k \leq 3r - 2$) forms a $DGDD((mg)^{n})$ on $X \times I_{m}$. In fact, for any ordered pair $P = \{(\alpha, a), (\beta, b)\}$ from distinct groups,

* There exists the unique block $A \in A_t$ containing α , β . And, by the construction, $C_i^j(t, A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|})$ on A' with the groups \mathcal{G}_A . So, there exists the unique block in $C_i^j(t, A) \subset \mathcal{F}_i^j$ containing P.

* There exists the unique block $A \in A_0$ containing α , β . And, by the construction, $\mathcal{B}_k(A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|})$ on A' with the groups \mathcal{G}_A . So, there exists the unique block in $\mathcal{B}_k(A) \subset \mathcal{F}_k$ containing P.

(3) Any extended transitive triple $T = \{(\alpha, a), (\beta, b), (\gamma, c)\} \not\subset G' \cup S, \forall G \in \mathcal{G}, belongs \mathcal{F}.$ In fact,

* $\alpha = \infty$ (or $\infty \in \{\beta, \gamma\}$). Then $(\alpha, a) \in S$ and β, γ are in distinct groups. When $(\alpha, a) \in S_0$, there exists the unique block $A \in A_0$ containing β and γ . And, by the construction, \mathcal{B}_A forms a $PECS(m^{|A|} : r)$ on $(A \times I_m) \cup S_0$, so $T \in \mathcal{B}_A \subset \mathcal{F}$. When $(\alpha, a) \in S_t$ ($t \in Z_e^*$), there exists the unique block $A \in A_t$ containing β and γ . And, by the construction, \mathcal{C}_A forms a $DF(m^{|A|+1})$ with group set $\mathcal{G}_A \cup S_t$, so $T \in \mathcal{C}_A \subset \mathcal{F}$.

* $\infty \notin \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. By the definition of *e*-*FG*(3, ($K_0, K_1, \ldots, K_{e-1}, K_T$), g^n), there exists $A \in (\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_e} A_t) \bigcup \mathcal{T}$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \subseteq A$. Therefore, $T \in \mathcal{B}_A \cup \mathcal{C}_A \cup \mathcal{D}_A \subset \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2.3. If there exist 2- $FG(3, (K_{\mathcal{B}}, K_{\mathcal{C}}, K_{\mathcal{D}}), g^n)$, $PECS^*(m^k : r) \forall k \in K_{\mathcal{B}}$, $PDGDD(m^k : s) \forall k \in K_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $DF(m^k) \forall k \in K_{\mathcal{D}}$, then there exists a $PECS((mg)^n : r + s)$.

Proof. Let 2-*FG*(3, ($K_{\mathcal{B}}, K_{\mathcal{C}}, K_{\mathcal{D}}$), g^n) = ($X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$), where \mathcal{G} is a partition of the *gn*-set X into n groups with size g. Denote $\mathcal{G}_A = \{\{x\} \times I_m : x \in A\}$ where $A \subseteq X$. Let R, S and $X \times I_m$ are pairwise disjoint sets where |R| = r, |S| = s. By assumption, we can give the following designs (1)–(3):

(1) *PECS*^{*}($m^{|A|}$: r) = (($A \times I_m$) $\cup R$, R, \mathcal{G}_A , \mathcal{B}_A) for each $A \in \mathcal{B}$, where \mathcal{B}_A can be partitioned into 3m|A| disjoint $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$ and 3r + 4 disjoint $\mathcal{B}_k(A)$, $x \in A$, $i \in I_m$, $j \in I_3$, $1 \le k \le 3r + 4$, such that each $\mathcal{B}_{x,i}^j(A)$ forms an *EDGDD*($1^{m(|A|-1)}(m+r-1)^1$) on (($A \times I_m$) $\cup R$) $\setminus \{x_i\}$ with the long group ((($\{x\} \times (I_m \setminus \{i\}))$) $\cup R$, and each $\mathcal{B}_k(A)$ forms a *DGDD*($m^{|A|}$) on $A \times I_m$ with the groups \mathcal{G}_A .

(2) $PDGDD(m^k : s) = ((A \times I_m) \cup S, g_A, C_A)$ for each $A \in C$, where C_A can be partitioned into 3m|A| disjoint $C_{x,i}^j(A)$ and 3(s-2) disjoint $C_k(A)$, $x \in A$, $i \in I_m$, $j \in I_3$, $1 \le k \le 3(s-2)$, such that each $C_{x,i}^j(A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|-1}(s+1)^1)$ on $((A \setminus \{x\}) \times I_m) \cup S \cup \{x_i\}$ with a (s+1)-group $S \cup \{x_i\}$ and |A| - 1m-groups $\{y\} \times I_m$, $y \in A \setminus \{x\}$, and each $C_k(A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|})$ on $A \times I_m$ with the groups g_A .

(3) $DF(m^{|A|}) = (A \times I_m, \mathcal{G}_A, \mathcal{D}_A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D}_A can be partitioned into 3m|A| disjoint $\mathcal{D}_{x,i}^j(A), x \in A, i \in I_m, j \in I_3$, such that each $\mathcal{D}_{x,i}^j(A)$ forms a $DGDD(m^{|A|-1})$ on $(A \setminus \{x\}) \times I_m$ with the groups $\mathcal{G}_{A \setminus \{x\}}$. Now, define

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}_{x,i}^{j} &= \left(\bigcup_{x \in A \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{x,i}^{j}(A)\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{x \in A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}_{x,i}^{j}(A)\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{x \in A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_{x,i}^{j}(A)\right), \quad x \in X, i \in I_{m}, j \in I_{3}; \\ \mathcal{F}_{k} &= \begin{cases} \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_{k}(A) & 1 \leq k \leq 3r+4 \\ \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}_{k-3r-4}(A) & 3r+5 \leq k \leq 3(r+s)-2. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Then, the collection $\{\mathcal{F}_{x,i}^{j}, x \in X, i \in I_{m}, j \in I_{3}\} \cup \{\mathcal{F}_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq 3(r+s)-2\}$ forms a $PECS((mg)^{n}: r+s)$ on $(X \times I_{m}) \cup (R \cup S)$ with the groups $\{G \times I_{m}: G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ and the stem $R \cup S$.

Theorem 2.4 ([9]). If there exists an LEDTS(v) then there exist an LEDTS(3v) and an LEDTS(3v, 3) for $v \ge 3$ and $v \ne 6$.

3. Structure equations and orbits

For a given order v, an EDTS(v) may contain distinct amount of triples, and an LEDTS(v) may consist of EDTS(v) with distinct structure. In order to construct a large set of disjoint EDTS(v), or to show its non-existence, we have to consider the structure of possible EDTS(v) and LEDTS(v). For example,

(1) How many D_i -triples may be contained in an EDTS(v) for $1 \le i \le 5$?

(2) What structure each EDTS(v) in an LEDTS(v) has?

By the enumeration of the pairs (x, y) for x = y and $x \neq y$, we have two equations:

 $|D_1| + |D_2| + |D_3| + |D_4| = v,$ $|D_2| + |D_3| + 2|D_4| + 3|D_5| = v(v-1).$

Let $x = |D_1|$, $y = |D_2| + |D_3|$, $z = |D_4| + |D_5|$. Adding the two equations, we obtain $x + 2y + 3z = v^2$ and $x + y \le v$, for $v \ge 3$. As well, in [3], Huang gave the further necessary conditions to exist an EDTS(v):

$$|D_2| + |D_3| \neq 1 \text{ and } |D_4| \equiv \begin{cases} |D_2| + |D_3| \mod 3 & \text{(if } v \equiv 0, 1 \mod 3) \\ |D_2| + |D_3| + 1 \mod 3 & \text{(if } v \equiv 2 \mod 3). \end{cases}$$

Structure equation for EDTS(v): $x + 2y + 3z = v^2$, where $x + y \le v$ and $y \ne 1$.

Suppose it has *m* non-negative integer solutions (x_i, y_i, z_i) , $1 \le i \le m$. Each solution (x_i, y_i, z_i) will give a possible *EDTS*(*v*), which consists of x_iD_1 -triples, y_iD_2 - or D_3 -triples and z_iD_4 - or D_5 -triples. The *EDTS*(*v*) is called (x_i, y_i, z_i) -type's. Suppose an *LEDTS*(*v*) consists of w_i (x_i, y_i, z_i)-type's *EDTS*(*v*)s, $1 \le i \le m$. Of course, $\sum_{i=1}^m w_i = 3v - 2$. These parameters w_i will be determined by

Structure equation system for LEDTS(v):

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_m \\ y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_m \\ z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ w_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ 2v(v-1) \\ v(v-1)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take Z_v as the point set. Under the action of the automorphic group Z_v , all ordered pairs from Z_v can be partitioned into v differences:

$$\langle d \rangle = \{ (x, x+d) : x \in Z_v \}, \quad d \in Z_v,$$

where $\langle 0 \rangle = \{(x, x) : x \in Z_v\}$ is a special difference only for extended triple systems. Under the action of the automorphic group Z_v , all extended transitive triples can be partitioned into *orbits*:

$$O(d, d') = \{ (x - d, x, x + d') : x \in Z_v \}, \quad d, d' \in Z_v,$$

which covers three differences $\langle d \rangle$, $\langle d' \rangle$ and $\langle d + d' \rangle$ (one may equal to another), so the orbit O(d, d') is denoted by [d, d', d + d'] sometimes. Among these orbits, there are

one D_1 -orbit $O(0, 0) = \{(x, x, x) : x \in Z_v\};$ $v - 1D_2$ -orbits $O(0, d') = \{(x, x, x + d') : x \in Z_v\}, d' \in Z_v^*;$ $v - 1D_3$ -orbits $O(d, 0) = \{(x - d, x, x) : x \in Z_v\}, d \in Z_v^*;$ $v - 1D_4$ -orbits $O(d, -d) = \{(x - d, x, x - d) : x \in Z_v\}, d \in Z_v^*;$ $(v - 1)(v - 2)D_5$ -orbits $O(d, d') = \{(x - d, x, x + d') : x \in Z_v\}, d, d' \in Z_v^*, d' \neq -d.$

Each orbit covers one difference ($\langle 0 \rangle$ for D_1 -orbit), or two differences ($\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle d' \rangle$ for D_2 -orbits, $\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle d \rangle$ for D_3 -orbits) or three differences ($\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle d \rangle$, $\langle -d \rangle$ for D_4 -orbits, $\langle 0 \rangle$, $\langle d \rangle$, $\langle d' \rangle$ for D_5 -orbits).

Furthermore, if v is a prime power q, and g is a primitive element of F_q , the index set of all non-zero elements in F_q is denoted by Z_{q-1} . Under the action of the multiplicative group of F_q , all orbits on F_q can be partitioned into the following orbit families.

one
$$D_1$$
-orbit family : $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_1 = \{O(0, 0)\},$ one D_2 -orbit family : $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_2 = \{O(0, g^i) : i \in Z_{q-1}\},$
one D_3 -orbit family : $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_3 = \{O(g^i, 0) : i \in Z_{q-1}\},$ one D_4 -orbit family : $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_4 = \{O(g^i, -g^i) : i \in Z_{q-1}\}$
 $q - 2D_5$ -orbit families : $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_5(k) = \{g^i \cdot O(1, g^k) : i \in Z_{q-1}\},$ $k \in \begin{cases} Z_{q-1} \setminus \{\frac{q-1}{2}\} & \text{for odd } q \\ Z_{q-1}^* & \text{for even } q. \end{cases}$

4. *LEDTS*(*v*) of small orders

Lemma 4.1. There exists no LEDTS(4).

Proof. The structure equation for *EDTS*(4)

$$x + 2y + 3z = 16$$
, $(x + y \le 4 \text{ and } y \ne 1)$

has four non-negative integer solutions (x, y, z) = (0, 2, 4), (1, 0, 5), (1, 3, 3), (4, 0, 4). But, the structure equation system for *LEDTS*(4)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ w_3 \\ w_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 24 \\ 36 \end{pmatrix}$$

has unique solution $(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4) = (6, 0, 4, 0)$. Let the unique possible *LEDTS*(4) be $\{(Z_4, A_k) : 1 \le k \le 6\} \bigcup \{(Z_4, B_k) : 1 \le k \le 4\}$, where

 $|D_1| = 0,$ $|D_2 \cup D_3| = 2,$ $|D_4 \cup D_5| = 4$ for each $\mathcal{A}_k,$ $|D_1| = 1,$ $|D_2 \cup D_3| = 3,$ $|D_4 \cup D_5| = 3$ for each $\mathcal{B}_k.$

Since $|\bigcup \{D_i : 1 \le i \le 4\}|$ must be 4. Consider these A_k only, it is easy to see that $|D_4| = |D_5| = 2$ in each A_k . However, if an *EDTS*(4) contains two D_5 -triples: (a, b, c) and (a', b', c'), there are two cases:

(1) $|\{a, b, c\} \cup \{a', b', c'\}| = 4$. Then, among the remaining arcs in K_4^* (the complete symmetric directed graph of order 4), there is only one pair of opposite arcs (x, y) and (y, x). The *EDTS*(4) cannot contain two D_4 -triples, since each D_4 -triple covers a pair of opposite arcs.

(2) $|\{a, b, c\} \cup \{a', b', c'\}| = 3$, i.e., $\{a, b, c\} = \{a', b', c'\}$. Let the other vertex in K_4^* be d, then two D_4 -triples in the *EDTS*(4) should be (x, d, x) and (y, d, y), where $x \neq y \in \{a, b, c\}$, i.e., they have the same middle element d. However, it is impossible to partition all $6 \times 2 = 12D_4$ -triples into six parts in this form, because, for any element $x \in Z_4$, there are just three D_4 -triples with the same middle element.

Lemma 4.2. There exist an LEDTS(2) and an LEDTS(6).

Construction. *LEDTS*(2) = { $(Z_2, A_i) : 0 \le i \le 3$ }, where

 $A_0: 000 \ 101;$ $A_1: 111 \ 010;$ $A_2: 110 \ 001;$ $A_3: 011 \ 100.$

LEDTS(6) = { $(Z_6, A_x) : x \in Z_6$ } \cup { $(Z_6, B_x) : x \in Z_6$ } \cup { $(Z_6, C_j) : 1 \le j \le 4$ }, where $A_x = A_0 + x$, $B_x = B_0 + x$, $x \in Z_6$, and

A₀: 000 112 221 544 455 303 150 051 523 325 134 431 024 420;

 \mathcal{B}_0 : 003 330 115 551 422 244 012 210 504 405 352 253 413 314;

(The first two triples of $\mathcal{B}_0 + 3$, $\mathcal{B}_0 + 4$ and $\mathcal{B}_0 + 5$ need to be replaced by their inverse.)

 $\begin{array}{c} c_1:010 \ 121 \ 202 \ 313 \ 424 \ 505 \ 235 \ 532 \ 340 \ 043 \ 451 \ 154; \\ c_2:020 \ 101 \ 242 \ 323 \ 404 \ 545 \ 125 \ 521 \ 341 \ 143 \ 503 \ 305; \\ c_3:040 \ 151 \ 232 \ 343 \ 454 \ 535 \ 502 \ 205 \ 013 \ 310 \ 124 \ 421; \\ c_4:050 \ 131 \ 212 \ 353 \ 434 \ 515 \ 014 \ 410 \ 230 \ 032 \ 452 \ 254. \end{array}$

Proof. The correctness for *LEDTS*(2) is obvious. Next, checking the appearance of each ordered pair, we can show that each A_0 , B_0 and C_j forms an *EDTS*(6). Further, checking the appearance of each extended transitive triple (or each block orbit for A_0 and B_0), we can prove that all A_x , B_x and C_j , $x \in Z_6$, $1 \le j \le 4$, forms an *LEDTS*(6).

Lemma 4.3. There exist an LEDTS(8) and an LEDTS(8, 2).

Construction. Let *g* be a primitive element of the finite field F_8 , and $g^3 = 1 + g$. Construct three families of extended transitive triples on F_8 as follows, where $F_8 = R \cup S$, $R = \{0, 1, g, g^3\}$, $S = \{g^2, g^4, g^5, g^6\}$.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_0 &: (0,0,0), (g^j,0,g^j), (g^{j+3},g^{j+1},g^{j+4}), (g^{j+2},g^{j+6},g^{j+1}), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_7. \\ \mathcal{A}_1 &: (0,0,g^5) + x, x \in \mathbb{F}_8; \quad (1,0,g^3) + x, (g^2,0,g^6) + x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}; \\ & (g^3,0,g^4) + x, (g^2,0,g) + x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{S}. \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &: (g^5,0,0) + x, x \in \mathbb{F}_8; \quad (1,0,g^3) + x, (g^2,0,g^6) + x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{S}; \\ & (g^3,0,g^4) + x, (g^2,0,g) + x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{split}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_x = \mathcal{A}_0 + x$, $\mathcal{C}_k = g^k \mathcal{A}_1$, $\mathcal{D}_k = g^k \mathcal{A}_2$, where $x \in F_8$ and $k \in Z_7$. Then, $\{(F_8, \mathcal{B}_x) : x \in F_8\} \cup \{(F_8, \mathcal{C}_k) : k \in Z_7\} \cup \{(F_8, \mathcal{D}_k) : k \in Z_7\} \cup \{(F_8, \mathcal{D}_k) : k \in Z_7\}$ forms an *LEDTS*(8). Furthermore, define

$$\mathcal{B}'_{0} = \mathcal{B}_{0} \setminus \{(0, 0, 0), (g^{5}, 0, g^{5})\}, \qquad \mathcal{B}'_{g^{5}} = \mathcal{B}_{g^{5}} \setminus \{(g^{5}, g^{5}, g^{5}), (0, g^{5}, 0)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{B}'_{x} = \mathcal{B}_{x} \text{ for other } x \in F_{8}; \\ \mathcal{C}'_{0} = \mathcal{C}_{0} \setminus \{(0, 0, g^{5}), (g^{5}, g^{5}, 0)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}'_{k} = \mathcal{C}_{k} \text{ for } k \in Z_{7}^{*}; \\ \mathcal{D}'_{0} = \mathcal{D}_{0} \setminus \{(0, g^{5}, g^{5}), (g^{5}, 0, 0)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}'_{k} = \mathcal{D}_{k} \text{ for } k \in Z_{7}^{*}.$$

Then, $\{(F_8, \mathcal{B}'_x) : x \in F_8\} \cup \{(F_8, \mathcal{C}'_k) : k \in Z_7\} \cup \{(F_8, \mathcal{D}'_k) : k \in Z_7\}$ forms an *LEDTS*(8, 2).

Proof. (1) \mathcal{A}_0 forms an *EDTS*(8) on F_8 . In fact, it is easy to see that each of the ordered pairs (x, x), $(0, g^j)$, $(g^j, 0)$ and (g^j, g^{j+k}) , $x \in F_8$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_7$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_7^*$, appears once in \mathcal{A}_0 . Furthermore, each \mathcal{B}_x or $\mathcal{B}'_y x \in F_8$, $y \in F_8^* \setminus \{g^5\}$, is also an *EDTS*(8) on F_8 . And, \mathcal{B}'_0 (and \mathcal{B}'_{s^5}) is an *EDTS*(8, 2) on F_8 with the hole $\{0, g^5\}$.

(2) A_1 forms an *EDTS*(8) on F_8 (similarly, for A_2). In fact, by the additive table

+	0	g^0	g^1	g^2	g ³	g^4	g^5	g^6
0	0	g^0	g^1	g^2	g ³	g^4	g ⁵	g^6
g^0	g^0	0	g ³	g^6	g^1	g^5	g^4	g^2
g^1	g^1	g ³	0	g^4	g^0	g ²	g^6	g^5
g ²	g^2	g^6	g^4	0	g^5	g^1	g ³	g^0
g^3	g^3	g^1	g^0	g^5	0	g^6	g ²	g^4
g ⁴	g^4	g^5	g^2	g^1	g^6	0	g^0	g ³
g^5	g^5	g^4	g^6	g ³	g^2	g^0	0	g^1
g^6	g^6	g ²	g^5	g^0	g^4	g ³	g^1	0

we can know that R + R = R = S + S, R + S = S = S + R and

$$\begin{array}{ll} (0,0) \in \langle 0 \rangle; & (1,0), (g^2,g^6) \in \langle g^0 \rangle; & (1,g^3), (0,g) \in \langle g^1 \rangle; & (g^2,0) \in \langle g^2 \rangle; \\ (0,g^3), (g^3,0) \in \langle g^3 \rangle; & (0,g^4), (g^2,g) \in \langle g^4 \rangle; & (0,g^5) \in \langle g^5 \rangle; & (0,g^6), (g^3,g^4) \in \langle g^6 \rangle. \end{array}$$

Obviously, the pairs in the orbits $\langle 0 \rangle$ and $\langle g^5 \rangle$ are filled. For the other orbits, we have

$$\begin{cases} x \in R & (1,0) \in (R,R) \longrightarrow (1+x,x) \in (R,R) \\ x \in R & (g^2,g^6) \in (S,S) \longrightarrow (g^2+x,g^6+x) \in (S,S) \\ \end{cases} \langle g^0 \rangle; \\ \begin{cases} x \in R & (1,g^3) \in (R,R) \longrightarrow (1+x,g^3+x) \in (R,R) \\ x \in S & (0,g) \in (R,R) \longrightarrow (x,g+x) \in (S,S) \\ \end{cases} \langle g^1 \rangle; \\ \begin{cases} x \in R & (g^2,0) \in (S,R) \longrightarrow (g^2+x,x) \in (S,R) \\ x \in S & (g^2,0) \in (S,R) \longrightarrow (g^2+x,x) \in (R,S) \\ x \in S & (g^2,0) \in (R,R) \longrightarrow (g^3+x,x) \in (R,S) \\ \end{cases} \langle g^2 \rangle; \\ \begin{cases} x \in R & (0,g^3) \in (R,R) \longrightarrow (x,g^3+x) \in (R,R) \\ x \in S & (g^3,0) \in (R,R) \longrightarrow (g^3+x,x) \in (S,S) \\ x \in S & (g^2,g) \in (S,R) \longrightarrow (g^2+x,g+x) \in (R,S) \\ \end{cases} \langle g^3 \rangle; \\ \begin{cases} x \in R & (0,g^4) \in (R,S) \longrightarrow (x,g^4+x) \in (S,R) \\ x \in S & (g^2,g) \in (S,R) \longrightarrow (g^2+x,g+x) \in (R,S) \\ x \in S & (g^3,g^4) \in (R,S) \longrightarrow (x,g^6+x) \in (R,S) \\ x \in S & (g^3,g^4) \in (R,S) \longrightarrow (g^3+x,g^4+x) \in (S,R) \\ \end{cases} \langle g^6 \rangle. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the system A_1 forms an *EDTS*(8) on F_8 indeed. Furthermore, each C_k , \mathcal{D}_k or C'_r , \mathcal{D}'_r , $k \in Z_7$, $r \in Z_7^*$, is also an *EDTS*(8) on F_8 . And, C'_0 (and \mathcal{D}'_0) is an *EDTS*(8, 2) on F_8 with the hole $\{0, g^5\}$.

 $(3) \{ (F_8, \mathcal{B}_x) : x \in F_8 \} \cup \{ (F_8, \mathcal{C}_k) : k \in Z_7 \} \cup \{ (F_8, \mathcal{D}_k) : k \in Z_7 \} \text{ forms an } LEDTS(8). \text{ In fact,}$

$$\begin{aligned} &(g^{j+3},g^{j+1},g^{j+4}) = g^{j}(g-1,g,g+g^{2}) \in g^{j} \cdot O(1,g^{2}) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{5}(2), \\ &(g^{j+2},g^{j+6},g^{j+1}) = g^{j}(g^{6}-1,g^{6},g^{6}+g^{5}) \in g^{j} \cdot O(1,g^{5}) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{5}(5), \\ &(1+x,x,g^{3}+x) \in O(1,g^{3}) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{5}(3), \qquad (g^{2}+x,x,g^{6}+x) \in g^{2} \cdot O(1,g^{4}) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{5}(4), \\ &(g^{3}+x,x,g^{4}+x) \in g^{3} \cdot O(1,g) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{5}(1), \qquad (g^{2}+x,x,g+x) \in g^{2} \cdot O(1,g^{6}) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{5}(6). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the D_5 -triples in A_0 , A_1 and A_2 appear in all D_5 -orbit families $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_5(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_7^*$. For $1 \le i \le 4$, the D_i -triples in A_0 , A_1 and A_2 appear in all D_i -orbit families $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_i$.

(4) { $(F_8, \mathcal{B}'_x) : x \in F_8$ } \cup { $(F_8, \mathcal{C}'_k) : k \in Z_7$ } \cup { $(F_8, \mathcal{D}'_k) : k \in Z_7$ } forms an *LEDTS*(8, 2). In fact, the distinction between the collections (4) and (3) lies only in removing two blocks for each procedure

 $\mathscr{B}_0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}_0', \qquad \mathscr{B}_{g^5} \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}_{g^5}', \qquad \mathscr{C}_0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}_0', \qquad \mathscr{D}_0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}_0'.$

However, the removed eight blocks form just an *LEDTS*(2) on the hole $\{0, g^5\}$.

Lemma 4.4. There exists an LEDTS(10).

Construction. Construct an *LEDTS*(10) on $X = Z_9 \cup \{u\}$ as follows, where $u \notin Z_9$ is a fixed element.

\mathcal{A}_u :	uuu (0550	u4 02	2803	1(mod	l 9)							
\mathcal{A}_0 :	0 u u 7 8 u	u00 24 u	171 36 <i>1</i> 1	422 15 <i>1</i> 1	233	544	355	666 11 8 7	737	818 321	13/	570	825
	380	160	405	8 4 3	012	568	746	063	286	647	752	207	048
\mathcal{B}_0 :	u 1 u	070	112	226	335	484	551	663	767	828	2.47	500	710
	38 <i>u</i> 187	74 <i>u</i> 215	20 <i>u</i> 086	65 <i>u</i> 831	<i>u</i> 4 5 6 1 0	<i>u</i> 6 8 0 5 2	<i>u</i> 0 3 4 1 6	u 7 2 4 2 3	785 537	564 014	247 340	580 362	/13
\mathcal{C}_0 :	u u 4	0 0 u	110	822	343	744	5 u 5	266	771	886			
	7 u 8 1 5 6	1 u 3 4 2 7	3 u 6 6 5 7	6 u 2 3 2 5	4 u 1 7 6 3	2 u 0 0 4 6	8 u 7 6 1 4	172 830	854 381	705 518	0 2 1 5 2 3	037 608	450 248.

Define $A_k = A_0 + k$, $B_k = B_0 + k$ and $C_k = C_0 + k$, where $k \in Z_9$. Then, $\{(X, A_k), (X, B_k), (X, C_k) : k \in Z_{10}\} \bigcup \{(X, A_u)\}$ is an *LEDTS*(10) desired.

Proof. First, it is not difficult to check that A_0 (or \mathcal{B}_0 , \mathcal{C}_0 , A_u) forms an *EDTS*(10). Furthermore, in order to show the collection $\{(X, \mathcal{A}_k), (X, \mathcal{B}_k), (X, \mathcal{C}_k) : k \in Z_{10}\} \bigcup \{(X, \mathcal{A}_u)\}$ forms an *LEDTS*(10) indeed, we list the following two tables. The first table shows the orbits of the triples containing u in every block set.

	$D_1 \sim D_4$	(u, x, x+d)	(x, x+d, u)	(x, u, x+d)
\mathcal{A}_{u}	(u, u, u)			d = 4
\mathcal{A}_0	(*, u, u), (u, *, *)	d = 5, 6, 7, 8	d = 1, 2, 3, 4	
\mathscr{B}_0	(u, *, u)	d = 1, 2, 3, 4	d = 5, 6, 7, 8	
\mathcal{C}_0	(*, *, u), (u, u, *), (*, u, *)			d = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8

The second table shows the orbits of the triples not containing u in every block set, where A_u (or A_0 , B_0 , C_0) in the position (i, j) means that there exists some block in A_u (or A_0 , B_0 , C_0) belonging to the orbit O(i, j).

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	
1	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	
2	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{A}_{u}	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	
3	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{A}_{u}	\mathcal{C}_0	
4	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	
5	\mathcal{A}_{u}	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	
6	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	
7	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	
8	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	\mathcal{C}_0	\mathscr{B}_0	\mathcal{A}_0	

Lemma 4.5. There exists an LEDTS(10, 4).

Proof. Suppose $\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4} \notin Z_6$ where $\overline{0}$ is only an auxiliary symbol. Let us construct an *LEDTS*(10, 4) on $X = Z_6 \cup \{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\}$ with the hole $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\}$ as follows. Define

$$\begin{split} S_0 &= \{(1,2), (3,4), (5,0)\}, \qquad S_1 &= \{(0,4), (1,5), (2,3)\}, \qquad S_2 &= \{(2,0), (3,1), (4,5)\}, \\ S_3 &= \{(4,2), (5,3), (0,1)\}, \qquad S_4 &= \{(1,4), (2,5), (0,3)\}. \end{split}$$

For $i \in Z_5^*$ and $j \in Z_5$, denote

$$\begin{split} &\bar{i}S_j = \{(\bar{i}, x, y), (y, x, \bar{i}) : (x, y) \in S_j\}, \\ &\bar{0}S_j = \{(\bar{i}, x, y), (y, y, x) : (x, y) \in S_j\}, \\ &\bar{0}S_j = \{(x, x, y), (y, y, x) : (x, y) \in S_j\}, \\ &\bar{0}S_j' = \{(x, y, y), (y, x, x) : (x, y) \in S_j\}. \end{split}$$

Then, define ten families of extended transitive triples on X where the subscripts are taken in Z_5 .

 $\mathcal{B}_k = \{ \overline{i} S_{i+k} : i \in Z_5 \}, \qquad \mathcal{B}'_k = \{ \overline{i} S'_{i+k} : i \in Z_5 \}, \quad k \in Z_5.$

And, construct three families of extended transitive triples on *X*:

\mathcal{A}_0^0 :	$1\overline{1}\overline{1}$	$3\overline{2}\overline{2}$	$0\overline{3}\overline{3}$	$4\overline{4}\overline{4}$	$\overline{3}00$	$\overline{2}$ 11	212	$\overline{4}$ 3 3	$\overline{1}44$	535	$0\overline{1}\overline{2}$	$3\overline{1}\overline{3}$
	$5\overline{1}\overline{4}$	$4\overline{2}\overline{3}$	$1\overline{2}\overline{4}$	$2\overline{3}\overline{4}$	$4\overline{1}0$	$2\overline{2}0$	531	340	$\overline{2}\overline{1}2$	$\overline{3}\overline{1}5$	$\overline{4}\overline{1}1$	$\overline{3}\overline{2}3$
	425	432	213	524	134	044	013	245	342	150	052	431
\mathcal{A}_0^1 :	$\overline{1}\overline{1}3$	$\overline{2}\overline{2}1$	$\overline{3}\overline{3}2$	$\overline{4}\overline{4}4$	030	$11\overline{1}$	$22\overline{3}$	$33\overline{4}$	$44\overline{2}$	515	$\overline{1}\overline{2}0$	$\overline{1}\overline{3}4$
	141	$\overline{2}\overline{3}3$	$\bar{2}\bar{4}5$	$\overline{3}\overline{4}0$	$4\overline{1}2$	$1\frac{1}{2}2$	435	$0\overline{4}2$	$3\overline{2}\overline{1}$	$5\overline{3}\overline{1}$	$2\overline{4}\overline{1}$	032
	542	143	015	224	331	443	014	250	354	213	410	532
\mathcal{A}_0^2 :	$\overline{1}4\overline{1}$	$\overline{2} 5 \overline{2}$	313	$\overline{4}0\overline{4}$	030	111	$2\overline{2}2$	343	$4\bar{4}4$	555		
	102	123	154	243	$\overline{2}$ 1 $\overline{4}$	334	013	320	332	243	$\overline{2} \ 3 \ \overline{1}$	351
	421	342	412	453	012	135	531	054	140	241	520	425.

Let $\mathcal{A}_x^j = \mathcal{A}_0^j + x$ for $x \in Z_6$ and $j \in Z_3$. It is not difficult to check that each \mathcal{A}_x^j forms an *EDTS*(10) on *X*, and each \mathcal{B}_k (or \mathcal{B}_k') forms an *EDTS*(10, 4) on *X* with the holes $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\}$. So, the collection $\{(X, \mathcal{A}_x^j) : x \in Z_6, j \in Z_3\} \cup \{(X, \mathcal{B}_k) : k \in Z_5\} \cup \{(X, \mathcal{B}_k') : k \in Z_5\}$ is an *LEDTS*(10, 4) desired.

Lemma 4.6. There exists an LEDTS(12).

Proof. We construct an *LEDTS*(12) on $X = Z_{10} \cup \{u, v\}$.

\mathcal{A}_0^0 :	и 6 и	v 4 v	000	511	227	3 v 3	144	559	466	677	8 u 8	299	
Ū	u 1 v	07 u	u 5 7	2 u 4	9 u 2	3 u 0	1 u 9	4 u 3	238	805	583	372	490
	v 5 u	6 0 v	v 8 7	8 v 9	9 v 1	5 v 2	2 v 0	7 v 6	781	695	341	170	745
	963	864	098	621	256	012	135	036	482	739	168	947	504
\mathcal{A}_0^1 :	u u 3	<i>v v</i> 6	020	114	252	331	445	5 5 u	668	7 7 v	887	909	
Ū	u v 2	34 u	8 O u	69 u	7 1 u	u 4 0	u 8 5	u 1 9	u 7 6	046	158	073	423
	2 v u	36 v	94 v	5 1 v	08 v	v 0 1	v 5 9	v 3 8	v 7 4	610	839	291	862
	172	248	926	841	657	305	750	327	564	953	798	163	497
\mathcal{A}_0^2 :	6 u u	9 v v	u 0 0	151	202	393	414	545	866	727	v 8 8	099	
Ū	3 u v	0 5 u	84 u	97 u	2 1 u	u 5 6	u 9 2	u 7 1	u 3 8	128	573	374	698
	v u 4	7 8 v	46 v	52v	10 v	v 9 1	v 0 3	v 6 2	v 7 5	430	580	429	823
	631	904	081	067	965	136	264	179	859	325	760	487	
\mathcal{B}_0 :	иии	vuv	006	0 u 4	0 v 5	013	310	(mod	10);				
\mathcal{B}_1 :	v v v	иυи	044	0 u 5	0 v 6	023	320	(mod	10);				
\mathcal{B}_2 :	u u v	v v u	055	0 u 6	0 v 4	029	031	(mod	10);				
\mathcal{B}_3 :	uvv	vuu	007	0 u 1	0 v 3	082	095	(mod	10).				

Let $\mathcal{A}_{x}^{j} = \mathcal{A}_{0}^{j} + x$ for $x \in Z_{10}$ and $j \in Z_{3}$. It is not difficult to check that each \mathcal{A}_{x}^{j} (or $\mathcal{B}_{k}, k \in Z_{4}$) forms an *EDTS*(12) on X and they are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, the collection $\{(X, \mathcal{A}_{x}^{j}) : x \in Z_{10}, j \in Z_{3}\} \bigcup \{(X, \mathcal{B}_{k}) : k \in Z_{4}\}$ is an *LEDTS*(12) desired.

Lemma 4.7. There exists an LEDTS(14).

Proof. We construct an *LEDTS*(14) on $X = Z_{13} \cup \{u\}$, where 10, 11, 12 are written in $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$.

Let $A_x^j = A_0^j + x$ for $x \in Z_{13}$ and $j \in Z_3$. It is not difficult to check that each A_x^j (or A_u) forms an *EDTS*(14) on X and they are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, the collection $\{(X, A_x^j) : x \in Z_{13}, j \in Z_3\} \bigcup \{(X, A_u)\}$ is an *LEDTS*(14) desired.

Lemma 4.8. There exists an LEDTS(16).

Proof. We construct an *LEDTS*(16) on $X = Z_{15} \cup \{u\}$, where 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 are written in $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$, $\overline{3}$, $\overline{4}$.

A_u : uu	u 055	0 u 0	034	068 4	130 8	60 (m	nod 15)	•				
\mathcal{A}_0^0 : (i)	u u 7	$\frac{0}{2}\frac{u}{2}$	$\frac{411}{2}$	622	133	144	505	266	$\overline{2}77$	$\overline{4}88$	979	$\overline{0} \ 0 \ \overline{0}$
(ii)	3 I I 1 u 5	22u 3u9	323 4u6	844 8u1	$\frac{7}{3}u\frac{2}{4}$	<u>0</u> u 2	$56\overline{4}$	343	292	452	683	234
	$01\overline{4}$	$\overline{0} \overline{2} 4$	$\overline{1}\overline{3}6$	9 <u>1</u> 5	023	$47\overline{4}$	078	812	319	032	712	$04\overline{1}$
	069	621	357	713	894	035	$\overline{2}58$	$1\overline{0}\overline{1}$	$\overline{4}9\overline{0}$	380	$0\overline{0}67$	$\overline{4}\overline{1}\overline{2}$
(iii)	{cba : a	$bc \in (ii$)}.									
A_0^1 : (i)	u 4 u	$0\overline{1}0$	141	$2\overline{1}2$	313	$4\overline{1}4$	595	766	677	898	$99\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}\overline{0}\overline{0}$
	$\overline{1}\overline{1}9$	$\overline{0} \overline{2} \overline{2}$	343	$\overline{4}\overline{4}\overline{4}$								
(ii)	3 4 u	7 9 u	26 u	5 1 u	<u>0</u> <u>3</u> u	18 u	0 2 u	$\overline{2} 2 5$	$7\overline{1}\overline{2}$	050	173	012
	$\overline{4}38$	$07\overline{4}$	801	$\overline{4}56$	682	921	457	239	469	930	370	$9\overline{0}\overline{4}$
	135	036	$\overline{2} \overline{3} 3$	$\overline{2}\overline{4}4$	583	278	048	$16\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}\overline{4}1$	$6\overline{1}\overline{3}$	$\overline{3}\overline{4}2$	$24\overline{0}$
(iii)	{cba : a	bc ∈ (ii)}.									
A_0^2 : (i)	<u>1</u> u u	006	115	229	353	$44\overline{4}$	551	660	770	858	992	$\overline{0}\overline{0}7$
	u 1 1	$\overline{2}\overline{2}\overline{3}$	$\overline{3}\overline{3}\overline{2}$	$\overline{4}\overline{4}4$								
(ii)	2 1 u	7 3 u	5 0 u	49 u	$\overline{4}8 u$	3 6 u	$\overline{2} \overline{0} u$	092	$0\overline{3}\overline{4}$	612	382	018
	071	$14\overline{0}$	827	119	$27\overline{4}$	$\overline{0}\overline{3}8$	$1\overline{2}\overline{4}$	937	716	574	$\bar{0}$ 5 9	331
	$2\overline{0}0$	522	$39\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$ 3 $\overline{1}$	$\overline{3}5\overline{1}$	6 0 3	968	403	$6\overline{4}5$	432	$\overline{1}48$	$6\bar{2}4$
	$\overline{1}\overline{4}\overline{0}$											
(iii)	{cba : a	$bc \in (ii)$)}.									

Let $A_x^j = A_0^j + x$ for $x \in Z_{15}$ and $j \in Z_3$. It is not difficult to check that each A_x^j (or A_u) forms an *EDTS*(16) on X and they are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, the collection $\{(X, A_x^j) : x \in Z_{15}, j \in Z_3\} \bigcup \{(X, A_u)\}$ is an *LEDTS*(16) desired.

Lemma 4.9. There exists an LEDTS(18).

Proof. Construct an *LEDTS*(18) on $X = Z_{16} \cup \{u, v\}$, where 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 are written in $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$, $\overline{3}$, $\overline{4}$, $\overline{5}$.

A_0^0 : (i)	и7 и	$v \overline{1} v$	$00\overline{5}$	110	224	313	442	575	$6\overline{2}6$	7 0 7	883	909
	$\overline{0}\overline{0}1$	$\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{4}$	$\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2}$	338	$\overline{4}\overline{4}\overline{1}$	$\overline{5}\overline{5}0$						
(ii)	45 u	$\overline{0} \overline{2} u$	<u>3</u> 0 u	6 1 u	39 u	4 2 u	18 u	<u>5</u> u v	$4\overline{3}\overline{5}$	$\overline{4}19$	$\overline{4}\overline{5}\overline{2}$	585
	68 v	25 v	$\overline{3} \overline{4} v$	90 v	$\overline{2} 1 v$	$4\overline{0}v$	37 v	050	303	672	012	$07\bar{4}$
	235	$34\overline{4}$	$56\overline{4}$	$\overline{5} 1 \overline{1}$	$9\overline{1}4$	$\overline{1}\overline{3}5$	925	135	036	048	$0\overline{1}\overline{2}$	$2\overline{2}\overline{3}$
	369	$\overline{4} 8 \overline{0}$	173	579	$2\overline{0}\overline{1}$	461	892	$\overline{2}47$	8 2 3	$\overline{5}6\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}78$	
(iii)	{ <i>cba</i> : <i>c</i>	$bc \in (ii)$	i)}									
A_0^1 : (i)	1 u u	0 v v	<i>u</i> 0 0	v 1 1	822	3 v 3	484	5 u 5	366	$\overline{1}77$	288	$\overline{4}99$
	$\overline{0}6\overline{0}$	$7\overline{1}\overline{1}$	$\overline{2}$ 1 $\overline{2}$	633	$9\overline{4}\overline{4}$	$\overline{5}6\overline{5}$	u 1 v	v 8 u	0 u 8	8 v 0		
(ii)	2 u 3	6 u 9	7 u 2	4 u 1	$\overline{0} u \overline{4}$	$\overline{3} u \overline{5}$	033	241	015	$02\overline{4}$	$04\overline{2}$	$05\overline{1}$
	7 v 0	<u>3</u> v 2	$\overline{1} v \overline{2}$	4 v 6	$\overline{4}v5$	v 9 5	$2\overline{1}\overline{5}$	$\overline{2}\overline{5}\overline{0}$	473	927	594	$\overline{0}$ 0 9
	857	130	$\overline{5}4\overline{4}$	$\overline{3}1\overline{1}$	$\overline{4}3\overline{2}$	$\overline{4}6\overline{1}$	615	836	735	825	502	$17\overline{4}$
	$\overline{1} \otimes \overline{0}$	<u>3</u> 9 <u>2</u>	$\overline{4}8\overline{3}$	$\overline{3}4\overline{0}$	706	918	553	$\overline{1}$ 3 9	$26\overline{2}$			
(iii)	{ <i>cba</i> : <i>c</i>	$bc \in (ii)$	i)}									
A_0^2 : (i)	u u 7	<i>v v</i> 9	200	$1\overline{4}1$	022	313	$4\overline{4}4$	551	656	7 7 u	818	99 <i>v</i>
	$\overline{0}$ 5 $\overline{0}$	$\overline{1}\overline{1}5$	$\overline{5} \overline{2} \overline{2}$	$\overline{4}\overline{3}\overline{3}$	$\overline{3}\overline{4}\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}\overline{5}\overline{5}$						
(ii)	u 6 8	<i>u</i> 0 3	u 9 3	u 5 4	u 2 2	u 4 5	u 0 1	1 v u	$06\overline{1}$	$17\overline{1}$	$1\overline{3}\overline{5}$	0 0 3
	v 8 1	$v \overline{0} \overline{2}$	v 3 4	v 0 5	v 7 5	v 6 3	$v\overline{4}2$	014	489	$0\overline{4}\overline{5}$	082	259
	901	$\overline{1}\overline{5}2$	583	$69\overline{5}$	8 0 5	$\overline{1}\overline{3}3$	126	079	324	535	$6\overline{2}\overline{4}$	238
	270	$46\overline{0}$	392	$7\overline{2}\overline{3}$	$4\overline{1}\overline{2}$	745	367	$\overline{4}78$	521	$\overline{4}9\overline{1}$	$\overline{4}$ 3 $\overline{0}$	
(iii)	{cba : c	ıbc ∈ (ii	i)}									

\mathscr{B}_0	:	и	uυ	v	v u	0	08	C) u 6	0	$v \overline{0}$	0	13	0	49	3	10	9	40)	mod	16;
\mathcal{B}_1	:	и	v v	v	ии	0	88	C	<i>v</i> 6	0	иŌ	0	23	0	59	3	20	9	50)	mod	16;
\mathcal{B}_2	:	(i)	u u	и	19	и	2 0) u	31	u	42	u	53	и	$6\overline{4}$	и	7	5 u	и	8	0	
			v u	v	и 9	1	иŌ	02	u 1	3	u 2	4	и 3	5	u 4	6	и	57	0	8	и	
	(ii)	0 v	4	00	$\overline{2}$	01	7	02	25	71	0	52	0	mo	d 1	6;					
\mathcal{B}_3	:	(i)	v v	v	91	и	$\overline{0}$ 2	2 u	13	8 u	24	u	35	и	$\overline{4}6$	и	5	7 u	и	0	8	
			uυ	и	и 1	9	u 2	20	и З	$\overline{1}$	и 4	2	и 5	3	и 6	4	и	75	8	0	и	
	(ii)	0 v	2	0 0	4	06	57	03	35	53	0	76	0	mo	d 1	6.					

Let $\mathcal{A}_x^j = \mathcal{A}_0^j + x$ for $x \in Z_{16}$ and $j \in Z_3$. It is not difficult to check that each \mathcal{A}_x^j or each \mathcal{B}_k $(k \in Z_4)$ is the block set of an *EDTS*(18) on *X* and they are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, the collection $\{(X, \mathcal{A}_x^j) : x \in Z_{16}, j \in Z_3\} \bigcup \{(X, \mathcal{B}_k) : k \in Z_4\}$ is an *LEDTS*(18) desired.

5. Existence of LEDTS(6t + 2)

Lemma 5.1 ([10]). There exist a $PDGDD(3^3 : 2)$ and a $PDGDD(3^5 : 2)$.

Lemma 5.2. There exists a $PECS^*(3^3:0)$.

Proof. Let *g* be the primitive element of the field F_9 , and $g^2 = 1 + 2g$. We will construct a *PECS*^{*}(3³ : 0), which consists of

(1) 27*EDGDD*($2^{1}1^{6}$)*s*, denoted by \mathcal{A}_{x}^{j} , $x \in F_{9}$, $j \in Z_{3}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{x}^{j} = \mathcal{A}_{0}^{j} + x$;

(2) $4DGDD(3^3)s$, denoted by \mathcal{B}_k , $k \in I_4$.

Now, construct these \mathcal{A}_0^j and \mathcal{B}_k as follows.

(1) For each \mathcal{A}_0^j , the point set is $F_9 \setminus \{0\}$, the long group is $G_0 = \{g, g^5\}$, and the blocks are listed as follows, where the point g^a is briefly denoted by its index a.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}^0_0: & 0\,5\,0 \quad 2\,5\,2 \quad 3\,5\,3 \quad 4\,5\,4 \quad 6\,5\,6 \quad 7\,5\,7 \quad 0\,7\,6 \quad 2\,1\,0 \quad 1\,3\,6 \quad 6\,7\,0 \quad 0\,1\,2 \\ & 6\,3\,1 \quad 4\,0\,3 \quad 7\,3\,2 \quad 6\,4\,2 \quad 3\,0\,4 \quad 2\,3\,7 \quad 2\,4\,6 \quad 1\,4\,7 \quad 7\,4\,1 \end{split}$$

Clearly, each \mathcal{A}_x^j will be on $F_9 \setminus \{x\}$ with the long group $G_0 + x, x \in F_9$.

(2) For each \mathcal{B}_k , the point set is F_9 , the group set is $\{\{x, x+g, x+g^5\} : x = 0, 1, g^3\}$, and the blocks are listed as follows.

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{B}_1 = \{(0, 1, g^3) + i, (0, g^4, g^7) + i, i \in F_9\}; \\ &\mathcal{B}_3 = \{(0, g^2, g^3) + i, (0, g^6, g^7) + i, i \in F_9\}; \\ &\mathcal{B}_4 = \{(0, g^7, g^6) + i, (0, g^4, g^2) + i, i \in F_9\}; \end{split}$$

It is not difficult to verify that each \mathcal{A}_0^j forms an $EDGDD(2^11^6)$ on $F_9 \setminus \{0\}$, each \mathcal{B}_k forms a $DGDD(3^3)$ on F_9 , and all \mathcal{A}_x^j and \mathcal{B}_k ($x \in F_9, j \in Z_3, k \in I_4$) are mutually disjoint. Therefore, these designs form the desired $PECS^*(3^3:0)$ indeed.

Lemma 5.3. There exists a $PECS^*(3^5:0)$.

Proof. Take Z_{15} as the points. We will construct a *PECS*^{*}(3^5 : 0), which consists of

(1) 45*EDGDD*($2^{1}1^{12}$)*s*, denoted by A_{x}^{j} , $x \in Z_{15}$, $j \in Z_{3}$, where $A_{x}^{j} = A_{0}^{j} + x$;

(2) $4DGDD(3^5)s$, denoted by \mathcal{B}_k , $k \in I_4$.

Now, construct these \mathcal{A}_0^j and \mathcal{B}_k $(j \in \mathbb{Z}_3, k \in I_4)$ as follows.

(1) Each \mathcal{A}_0^j is on $Z_{15} \setminus \{0\}$ with the long group $G_0 = \{5, 10\}$. The blocks in \mathcal{A}_0^0 and \mathcal{A}_0^1 are listed as follows, where 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 are written in $\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}$. And, $\mathcal{A}_0^2 = (-\mathcal{A}_0^1)^{-1}$.

A_0^1 : (i)	$\bar{2}$ 1 1	$\bar{4}22$	<u>1</u> 33	644	466	377	988	899	311	$1\overline{2}\overline{2}$	733	$2\overline{4}\overline{4}$	
(ii)	261	382	$14\overline{4}$	$\overline{1}19$	$7\overline{2}4$	349	$\overline{2}\overline{3}8$	$\overline{1}\overline{2}6$	$\overline{0}\overline{1}7$	792	786	133	$25\overline{2}$
	$59\overline{4}$	$37\overline{4}$	$\overline{1}\overline{4}8$	$\overline{1}\overline{3}5$	$\overline{2}\overline{4}\overline{0}$	458	635	392	$\overline{0}$ 6 9	8 0 1	$4\overline{1}2$	$6\overline{3}\overline{4}$	034
	$\overline{3} 2 \overline{0}$	715											
<i></i>			• • •										

(iii) { $cba : abc \in (ii)$ }.

Clearly, each A_x^j will be on $Z_{15} \setminus \{x\}$ with the long group $G_0 + x$, $x \in Z_{15}$. (2) For each \mathcal{B}_k , the point set is Z_{15} , the group set is $\{\{x, x + 5, x + 10\} : 0 \le x \le 4\}$, and the blocks are listed as follows.

 \mathcal{B}_1 : 037 01 $\overline{3}$ 06 $\overline{4}$ 02 $\overline{1}$ (mod 15); \mathcal{B}_2 : 047 0 $\overline{2}\overline{3}$ 08 $\overline{4}$ 09 $\overline{1}$ (mod 15); \mathcal{B}_3 : 032 071 064 018 (mod 15); \mathcal{B}_4 : 091 042 034 028 (mod 15).

It is not difficult to verify that each \mathcal{A}_0^j forms an $EDGDD(2^11^{12})$ on $Z_{15} \setminus \{0\}$, each \mathcal{B}_k forms a $DGDD(3^5)$ on Z_{15} , and all \mathcal{A}_x^j and \mathcal{B}_k ($x \in Z_{15}, j \in Z_3, k \in I_4$) are mutually disjoint. Therefore, these designs form the desired *PECS**(3³ : 0) indeed.

Lemma 5.4. There exists a PECS(6^k : 2) for any integer k > 3.

Proof. From [6], for k > 3, there exists a 2-*FG*(3, ({3, 5}, {3, 5}, {4, 6}), 2^k). Furthermore, taking m = 3, g = 2, r = 0, s = 2and using Theorem 2.3, since

 $\exists PECS^*(3^k: 0) \text{ for } k \in \{3, 5\} \text{ by Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3},$ $\exists PDGDD(3^k : 2)$ for $k \in \{3, 5\}$ by Lemma 5.1, $\exists DF(3^k)$ for $k \in \{4, 6\}$ by Lemma 1.1,

we can get a $PECS(6^k : 2)$.

Theorem 5.1. There exists an LEDTS(6k + 2) for any integer $k \ge 0$.

Proof. For k = 0, 1, 2, there exists an *LEDTS*(6k + 2) by Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and 4.7. For k > 3, there exist a *PECS*($6^k : 2$), an LEDTS(8, 2) and an LEDTS(8) by Lemmas 4.3 and 5.4. Therefore, there exists an LEDTS(6k + 2) by Theorem 2.1.

6. Existence of LEDTS(6t + 4)

Lemma 6.1. There exists a $PECS(3^3 : 1)$.

Proof. Let g be the primitive element of the field F_9 , and $g^2 = 1 + 2g$. Take $u \notin F_9$. We will construct a *PECS*(3³: 1), which consists of

(1) 27*EDGDD*(4¹1⁶)s, denoted by A_x^j , $x \in F_9$, $j \in Z_3$, where $A_x^j = A_0^j + x$;

(2) one $DGDD(3^3)$, denoted by \mathcal{B} .

Now, give the constructions for these \mathcal{A}_0^j and \mathcal{B}_k as follows.

(1) For each \mathcal{A}_0^j , the point set is $F_9 \cup \{u\}$, the long group is $G_0 = \{0, g, g^5, u\}$, and the blocks are listed as follows, where the point g^a is briefly denoted by its index a and the point 0 is denoted 8, but the point u is kept.

\mathcal{A}_0^0 :	080	282	313	414	616	787	0 u 6	2 u 3	3 u 2	4 u 7	6 u 0	7 u 4
	834	736	638	564	024	267	357	425	530	652	017	120
	705	403	721	486								
\mathcal{A}_0^1 :	003	224	330	442	667	776	u 6 0	u 2 3	u 7 4	06 u	3 2 u	47 u
0	804	826	837	408	628	738	461	057	163	435	271	654
	520	314	725	170	536	012						
4 ² .	400	622	233	744	066	377	1130	1124	1167	031	4211	761
<i>e</i> • 0 ·	820	863	293	052	645	5/3	168	728	087	356	570	275
	020	471	104	172	045	242	400	120	007	220	570	275
	261	4/1	160	1/3	014	312.						

Clearly, each A_x^j will be on $F_9 \cup \{u\}$ with the long group $G_0 + x$, $x \in F_9$. Obviously, $G_0 + 0 = G_0 + g = G_0 + g^5$, $G_0 + 1 = G_0 + g^2 = G_0 + g^7$ and $G_0 + g^3 = G_0 + g^4 = G_0 + g^6$. (2) For \mathcal{B} , the point set is F_9 , the group set is $\{\{x, x + g, x + g^5\} : x = 0, 1, g^3\}$, and the blocks are

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(0, g^7, g^4) + i, (0, g^3, 1) + i : i \in F_9\}.$$

It is not difficult to verify that each \mathcal{A}_0^j forms an $EDGDD(4^11^6)$ on $F_9 \cup \{u\}$, the \mathcal{B} forms a $DGDD(3^3)$ on F_9 , and all \mathcal{A}_x^j and \mathcal{B} $(x \in F_9, j \in Z_3)$ are mutually disjoint. Therefore, these designs form the desired *PECS*(3³ : 1) indeed.

Lemma 6.2. There exists a $PECS(3^5 : 1)$.

Proof. Take $Z_{15} \cup \{u\}$ as the points, where $u \notin Z_{15}$. Denote $G_0 = \{0, 5, 10\}$ and $G_x = G_0 + x$, $0 \le x \le 4$. We will construct a $PECS(3^5:1)$, which consists of

- (1) 45*EDGDD*(4¹1¹²)*s*, denoted by \mathcal{A}_x^j , $x \in Z_{15}$, $j \in Z_3$, where $\mathcal{A}_x^j = \mathcal{A}_n^j + x$;
- (2) one $DGDD(3^5)$, denoted by \mathcal{B} .

Now, construct these \mathcal{A}_0^j ($j \in \mathbb{Z}_3$) and \mathcal{B} as follows.

(1) Each \mathcal{A}_0^j is on $Z_{15} \cup \{u\}$ with the long group $G_0 \cup \{u\}$, and the blocks are listed as follows, where 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 are written in $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$, $\overline{3}$, $\overline{4}$.

 \mathcal{A}_{0}^{0} : (i) 141 242 3 $\overline{0}$ 3 4 $\overline{3}$ 4 6 $\overline{4}$ 6 $\bar{2}8\bar{2}$ $7\bar{1}7$ 848 909 181 313 $\bar{4}\bar{3}\bar{4}$ (ii) $6u9 \overline{2}u\overline{3}$ $\overline{4} u 1$ $\overline{3}23$ $\overline{3}09$ $\overline{2}\overline{4}5$ $\overline{0}\overline{1}2$ 389 3u7 2u8 $4 u \overline{1}$ 257 $168 79\overline{2} 9\overline{1}1 58\overline{3}$ $\overline{1}\overline{2}0$ $\bar{1}\bar{4}3$ $1\overline{0}\overline{2}$ $4\overline{0}\overline{4}$ 467 $6 \overline{0} \overline{3}$ 048 942 $\overline{2}34$ 012 $78\overline{0}$ $26\overline{2}$ 459135 036 $17\overline{3}$ $07\overline{4}$ $56\overline{1}$ (iii) { $cba : abc \in (ii)$ } $\overline{2}\overline{2}\overline{3}$ $\overline{3}\overline{3}\overline{2}$ A_0^1 : (i) 117 229 334 446 664 771 881 992 $\overline{1}\overline{1}8$ $\bar{4}\bar{4}3$ $\overline{3}4u$ $\overline{3}\overline{4}8$ $\overline{1}\overline{4}\overline{0}$ 91u $\overline{4}2u$ 37 u 68 u $\overline{1}\overline{2}u \quad 04\overline{1}$ 894 381 $\bar{1}27$ (ii) $6\overline{1}3$ $24\overline{2}$ $7\overline{2}6$ $\overline{2}\overline{4}9$ $56\overline{4}$ 352 $47\overline{4}$ 933 403 159 451 $7\overline{3}5$ $\overline{0}\overline{2}1$ $\overline{0}\overline{3}6$ 069 078 $8\overline{2}5$ $\overline{3}1\overline{1}$ $8\overline{0}2$ $9\overline{0}7$ 261 $0.1\overline{4}$ $02\overline{3}$ $0.3\overline{2}$ (iii) { $cba : abc \in (ii)$ } A_0^2 : (i) $\bar{4}33$ 188 299 $8\overline{1}\overline{1}$ $\overline{3}\overline{2}\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}\,\overline{3}\,\overline{3}$ $3\bar{4}\bar{4}$ 711 922 466 177 644 $\overline{2} \overline{1} u$ $\overline{4}8\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}9\overline{2}$ $19u \quad 2\overline{4}u$ $73u \quad 4\overline{3}u \quad 86u$ 813 948 $\overline{0}79$ $\overline{3}6\overline{0}$ (ii) $\overline{1}36$ $27\overline{1}$ $4\overline{1}0$ $4\overline{2}2$ $1\overline{1}\overline{3}$ $\overline{3}39$ $\overline{0}34$ $\bar{4}\bar{0}\bar{1}$ 523 612 $7\overline{4}4$ $6\overline{4}5$ $59\overline{1}$ $\overline{2}1\overline{0}$ $\overline{2}58$ $\overline{0}28$ $\overline{3}57$ $1\bar{4}0$ $2\overline{3}0$ $3\bar{2}0$ 514 690 780 $\overline{2}67$

(iii) { $cba : abc \in (ii)$ }.

Clearly, each A_x^j will be on $Z_{15} \cup \{u\}$ with the long group $G_{\overline{x}}$, $0 \le \overline{x} \le 4$, $x \equiv \overline{x} \mod 5$. (2) For \mathcal{B} , the point set is Z_{15} , the group set is $\{G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4\}$, and the blocks are

 $\mathcal{B} = \{(0, 3, 4), (4, 3, 0), (0, 6, 8), (8, 6, 0) \mod 15\}.$

It is not difficult to verify that each \mathcal{A}_0^j forms an $EDGDD(4^11^{12})$ on $Z_{15} \cup \{u\}$, the \mathcal{B} forms a $DGDD(3^3)$ on Z_{15} , and all \mathcal{A}_x^j and $\mathcal{B}(x \in Z_{15}, j \in Z_3)$ are mutually disjoint. Therefore, these designs form the desired $PECS(3^5 : 1)$ indeed.

Lemma 6.3. There exists a PECS(6^k : 4) for any integer k > 3.

Proof. From [6], for k > 3, there exists a 2-*FG*(3, ({3, 5}, {3, 5}, {4, 6}), 2^k). Furthermore, taking m = 3, g = 2, r = 1 and using Theorem 2.2, since

 $\exists PECS(3^k : 1) \text{ for } k \in \{3, 5\} \text{ by Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2,}$

 $\exists DF(3^{k+1}) \text{ for } k \in \{3, 5\} \text{ and } \exists DF(3^k) \text{ for } k \in \{4, 6\} \text{ by Lemma 1.1},$

we can get a $PECS(6^k : 4)$.

Theorem 6.1. There exists an LEDTS (6k + 4) if and only if k > 1.

Proof. For k = 0, there does not exist *LEDTS*(4) by Lemma 4.1. For k = 1, 2, there exists an *LEDTS*(6k + 4) by Lemmas 4.4 and 4.8. For k > 3, there exist PECS(6^k : 4), LEDTS(10, 4) and LEDTS(14) by Lemmas 4.5, 4.7 and 6.3. Then, there exists an LEDTS (6k + 4) by Theorem 2.1.

7. Existence of LEDTS(6t)

Theorem 7.1. There exists an LEDTS(6k) for any integer k > 1.

Proof. Let $6k = 3^t m$, where $t \ge 1$, $m \equiv 2$, $4 \mod 6$. By Theorems 5.1 and 6.1, there exists an *LEDTS*(*m*) for any integer $m \ge 2$ and $m \neq 4$. Using Theorem 2.4, we can get an *LEDTS* (3^tm) for $(t, m) \neq (1, 2), (1, 4), (2, 2)$. However, from Lemmas 4.2, 4.6 and 4.9, we can get

 $LEDTS(3^1 \cdot 4) = LEDTS(12)$ and $LEDTS(3^2 \cdot 2) = LEDTS(18)$. $LEDTS(3^1 \cdot 2) = LEDTS(6),$

So, there exists an *LEDTS*(6*k*) for any integer k > 1.

8. Conclusion

Theorem 8.1. There exists an LEDTS(v) for any even v except v = 4.

Proof. We can get the conclusion by Theorems 5.1, 6.1 and 7.1 and Lemma 4.1.

References

- [1] F.E. Bennett, Extended cyclic triple systems, Discrete Math. 24 (1978) 139-146.
- [2] F.E. Bennett, N.S. Mendelsohn, On the existence of extended triple systems, Util. Math. 14 (1978) 249–267.
- [3] Wen-Chung Huang, Extended directed triple systems, Discrete Math. 306 (2006) 1351–1357.
- [4] Yong-Hyeon Han, Extended directed triple systems with a given automorphism, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 19 (2) (2004) 355-373.
- [5] D.M. Johnson, N.S. Mendelson, Extended triple systems, Aequationes Math. 8 (1972) 291-198.
- [6] L. Ji, A new existence proof for large sets of disjoint Steiner triple systems, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 112 (2005) 308–327.
 [7] H. Mohácsy, D. Ray-Chaudhuri, Candelabra systems and designs, J. Statist. Plann. Inference 106 (2002) 419–448.
 [8] Z. Tian, L. Ji, The spectrum for overlarge sets of directed triple systems, Sci. China Ser. A 50 (2007) 1369–1381.

- [9] X. Wang, The Structure of Large Sets of Disjoint Extended ordered triple, Dissertation for Master degree, Hebei Normal University, 2003.
- [10] J. Zhou, Y. Chang, L. Ji, The spectrum for large sets of pure directed triple systems, Sci. China Ser. A 49 (2006) 1103–1127.