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#### Abstract

The Cauchy problem for the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equation in $R^{3}$ is considered. It is shown that the solution exists on a time interval independent of the viscosity $v$ and tends as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ to the solution of the limiting equation, provided that the initial velocity field and the external force field are sufficiently smooth and small at infinity (in the sense that they belong to the Sobolev space over $R^{3}$ of order 3 ). Such a result is not altogether new but the proof, which depends on the theory of nonlinear evolution equation in Hilbert space, is simpler than the existing one due to Swann.


## 1. Introduction

The following results are proved in Swann [1] (see the original for more precise statements). The Cauchy problem for the NavierStokes equation in $R^{3}$ has a unique classical solution $u_{\nu}$ on a time interval $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ independent of the viscosity $\nu$, if the initial velocity field and the external force field are sufficiently smooth and decay sufficiently fast at infinity. As $\nu \rightarrow 0, u_{\nu}$ converges on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ to a limit $u_{0}$, which is a unique classical solution of the limit equation for the same data.

Swann's proof makes use of the Schauder fixed-point theorem combined with certain estimates related to linear evolution equations. In the present paper, we shall give a simplified proof using elementary results on nonlinear evolution equations of a familiar type, although our results are not exactly the same as Swann's.

We write the Navier-Stokes equation as an abstract evolution equation (see Kato-Fujita [2], Fujita-Kato [3], Kato [4])

$$
\begin{align*}
d u / d t+\nu A u & =F u+b(t), \quad t>0,  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0) & =a .
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]Here the unknown $u(t)$ (velocity field) takes values in the real Hilbert space $H_{\sigma}$, which is the subspace of $L^{2}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ consisting of all solenoidal (div $u=0$ ) vectors; $\nu>0$ is the (kinematic) viscosity; $A=-\Delta$ (negative Laplacian) is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator in $H_{\sigma}$; $F$ is a bilinear operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
F u=F(u, u), \quad F(u, v)=-P(u \cdot \operatorname{grad}) v, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ onto $H_{\sigma} ; b(t) \in H_{\sigma}$ is the projection of the given external force to $H_{\sigma}$; and $a \in H_{\sigma}$ is the initial velocity.

We shall denote by (1.1) $)_{0}$ the Eq. (1.1) for $v=0$.
It was shown in [2] that (1.1) has a unique strong solution $u_{\nu}$ for $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T_{\nu}$ if $a \in D\left(A^{1 / 4}\right)$ and $b(t) \in H_{\sigma}$ is Hölder continuous in $t$. [ $D(S)$ denotes the domain of the operator $S$. Actually [2] dealt with the flow in a bounded domain of $R^{3}$, but the same proof applies to the present case.] Here $T_{\nu}>0$ depends on $\nu$ and perhaps tends to 0 with $\nu$. (1.1) implies a uniform estimate of the form $\left\|u_{\nu}(t)\right\| \leqslant$ $\|a\|+\int_{0}^{i}\|b(s)\| d s$, but it is difficult to extend the solution $u_{\nu}$ beyond the interval $\left[0, T_{v}\right]$ since we have no similar estimate for $\left\|A^{1 / 4} u_{v}(t)\right\|$ (cf. [4]).

To obtain a solution that exists on an interval independent of $\nu$, we shall assume that $a$ and $b(t)$ belong to $D\left(A^{m / 2}\right)=H_{a}{ }^{m}$ with a positive integer $m$. [ $H_{o}{ }^{m}$ denotes the subspace, consisting of all solenoidal vectors, of the Sobolev space $H^{m}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ of order $m$ and exponent 2 . We denote by $\left\|\|_{m} \text { and (, }\right)_{m}$ the Sobolev $m$-norm and the associated inner product.] It should be noted that $H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$ reduces $A$, and that $P$ may be regarded also as the orthogonal projection of $H^{m}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ onto $H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$.

The main result of this paper is given by the following theorem. Here we denote by $C[0, T ; X]$ the set of all $X$-valued continuous functions on the closed interval $[0, T]$, by $A C[0, T ; X]$ the set of all $X$-valued absolutely continuous functions on $[0, T]$, and so on.

Theorem. Let $a \in H_{o}{ }^{m}$ and $b \in L^{1}\left[0, T ; H_{o}{ }^{m}\right]$, where $m \geqslant 3$ and $T>0$.
(i) There exists $T_{0}>0, \quad T_{0} \leqslant T$, depending on $\|a\|_{m}$ and $\|b(\cdot)\|_{m}$ but not on $\nu$, such that (1.1) has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{v} \in C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}\right] \cap A C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right] \cap L^{1}\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m+1}\right] . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\left\{u_{\nu}\right\}$ is bounded in $C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m}\right]$ for all $\nu>0$.
(ii) For each $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right], u_{0}(t)=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0} u_{\nu}(t)$ exists strongly in $H_{\sigma}^{m-1}$ and weakly in $H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$, uniformly in $t . u_{0}$ is a unique solution of $(1.1)_{0}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}\right] \cap A C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks. 1. Since $m \geqslant 3$, it is obvious that $u_{v}$ and $u_{0}$ are classical solutions of (1.1) and (1.1) $)_{0}$, respectively.
2. The solutions are smoother if $b$ is. For example, we have $u_{0} \in C^{1}\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right]$ if $b \in C\left[0, T ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right]$ in addition to the assumption of the theorem. In this case, it is interesting to note, $u_{0}$ is partly better behaved than $u_{v}$, for $d u_{v} / d t$ need not be continuous in $H_{\sigma}^{m-1}$ at $t=0$.
3. Similar results were obtained, by a different method, by Ebin and Marsden [5] for flows in a compact manifold (without boundary) of arbitrary dimension. For flows in $R^{2}$, the existence of solutions $u_{\nu}$ and $u_{0}$ and the convergence $u_{v} \rightarrow u_{0}$ for all time $t \geqslant 0$ are known; see Golovkin [6], McGrath [7], Ladyzhenskaya [8]. It is straightforward to generalize our theorem to higher dimensions, with $m$ increased accordingly.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem, Part (i)

To prove the theorem, we need the following estimates for the nonlinear operator $F$.

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|F(u, v)\|_{m} \leqslant c\|u\|_{m}\|v\|_{m+1}, \quad m \geqslant 2, \quad u \in H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}, \quad v \in H_{\sigma}^{m+1} .  \tag{2.1}\\
&\left|(F(u, v), v)_{m}\right| \leqslant c^{\prime}\|u\|_{m}\|v\|_{m}^{2}, \quad m \geqslant 3, \quad u, v \text { as above. }  \tag{2.2}\\
&\left|(F(u, v), v)_{2}\right| \leqslant c^{\prime}\|u\|_{3}\|v\|_{2}^{2}, \quad u, v \in H_{\sigma}{ }^{3} . \tag{2.2'}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $c$ and $c^{\prime}$ may depend on $m$. These inequalities will be proved in Section 4.

We first construct a local solution $u_{v}$ of (1.1). This can be done by successive approximation as in [2], or by the fixed-point theorem for a contraction map. We shall give the details in Section 5, and state the result here. The local solution $u_{v}$ belongs to the class (1.3) with $T_{0}$ replaced by a $T_{v}>0$ depending on $\nu,\|a\|_{m}$ and $\|b(\cdot)\|_{m}$.

To show that $u_{v}$ can be extended to a solution on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ with $T_{0}>0$ independent of $\nu$, we deduce an estimate for $\left\|u_{v}(t)\right\|_{m}$ inde-
pendent of $\nu$. Taking the $m$-inner product of (1.1) with $u_{\nu}(t)$ and using (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(d / d t)\left\|u_{v}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\nu\left(A u_{v}, u_{v}\right)_{m} \leqslant c^{\prime}\left\|u_{v}\right\|_{m}^{3}+\|b(t)\|_{m}\left\|u_{v}\right\|_{m}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\nu}$ stands for $u_{\nu}(t)$. Since the second term on the left of (2.3) is nonnegative, we obtain

$$
(d / d t)\left\|u_{v}\right\|_{m} \leqslant c^{\prime}\left\|u_{v}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\|b(t)\|_{m}, \quad\left\|u_{\nu}(0)\right\|_{m}=\|a\|_{m}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\nu}(t)\right\|_{m} \leqslant \phi(t), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is the solution of the scalar initial-value problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \phi(t) / d t=c^{\prime} \phi(t)^{2}+\|b(t)\|_{m}, \quad \phi(0)=\|a\|_{m} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|b(t)\|_{m}$ is integrable in $t$ by hypothesis, $\phi$ exists as a continuous function on a certain interval $\left[0, T_{0}\right], T_{0}>0$; obviously $T_{0}$ and $\phi$ are independent of $\nu$.
(2.4) is true for $t \in\left[0, T_{\nu}\right]$. If $T_{\nu}<T_{0}$, we can solve (1.1) for $t \geqslant T_{\nu}$ with the initial value $u_{\nu}\left(T_{\nu}\right) \in H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$, to continue the solution $u_{\nu}$ to an interval $\left[0, T_{\nu}+T_{\nu}{ }^{\prime}\right]$, in which (2.4) is true. Since $T_{v}{ }^{\prime}>0$ can be determined depending only on $\nu,\left\|u\left(T_{\nu}\right)\right\|_{m}$, and $\|b(\cdot)\|_{m}$, it is easy to see that, after a finite number of such extensions, $u_{v}$ can be continued as a solution on $\left[0, T_{0}\right]$ with the estimate (2.4) throughout. This completes the proof of part (i) of the theorem. [The uniqueness follows from the uniqueness of the local solution.]

For later use we note the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu \int_{0}^{t}\left(A u_{\nu}(s), u_{\nu}(s)\right)_{m} d s \leqslant \psi(t), \quad t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is a continuous scalar function depending on $\|a\|_{m}$ and $\|b(\cdot)\|_{m}$ but not on $\nu$. (2.6) is obtained by integrating (2.3) and using (2.4).

## 3. Proof of the Theorem, Part (ii)

For simplicity write $u_{1}=u_{\nu_{1}}, u_{2}=u_{\nu_{2}}$, where $\nu_{1}<\nu_{2}$. Taking the difference of the corresponding equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
(d / d t)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)+\nu_{1} A\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)+\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right) A u_{2} \\
=F\left(u_{1}-u_{2}, u_{1}\right)+F\left(u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Write $w=u_{1}-u_{2}$ and take the ( $m-1$ )-inner product of (3.1) with $w(t)$. Noting that $A \geqslant 0$ and using (2.1) and (2.2) or (2.2'), we obtain (note that $m \geqslant 3$ )
$\frac{1}{2}(d / d t)\|w\|_{m-1}^{2} \leqslant\left(\nu_{2}-v_{1}\right)\left(A u_{2}, w\right)_{m-1}+\left(c\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{m}+c^{\prime}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{m}\right)\|w\|_{m-1}^{2}$.
But $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{m},\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{m}$ are uniformly bounded by (2.4). Hence

$$
(d / d t)\|w\|_{m-1} \leqslant \nu_{2}\left\|A u_{2}\right\|\left\|_{m-1}+K\right\| w \|_{m-1},
$$

where $K$ is a constant independent of $\nu$, and so (note $w(0)=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\|w(t)\|_{m-1} & \leqslant \nu_{2} e^{K t} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|A u_{2}(s)\right\|_{m-1} d s \\
& \leqslant\left(\nu_{2} t\right)^{1 / 2} e^{K t}\left(\nu_{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|A u_{2}(s)\right\|_{m-1}^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|A u_{2}\right\|_{m-1}^{2}=\left\|(A+1)^{-1 / 2} A u_{2}\right\|_{m}^{2} \leqslant\left\|A^{1 / 2} u_{2}\right\|_{m}^{2}=\left(A u_{2}, u_{2}\right)_{m}$, the right member of (3.2) tends to zero as $\nu_{2} \rightarrow 0$ by (2.6).

Thus $u_{0}(t)=\lim _{v \rightarrow 0} u_{\nu}(t) \in H_{\sigma}^{m-1}$ exists in ( $m-1$ )-norm, uniformly for $t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$. Clearly, $u_{0}(\cdot)$ is continuous in ( $m-1$ )-norm. Since, however, $\left\|u_{v}(t)\right\|_{m} \leqslant \phi(t)$ by (2.4), it follows easily that $u_{0}(t) \in H_{o}{ }^{m}$, $u(t) \rightharpoonup u_{0}(t)$ (weak convergence) in $H_{a}{ }^{m}$ uniformly in $t,\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|_{m} \leqslant \phi(t)$, and that $u_{0}(\cdot)$ is weakly continuous in $H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$.

Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F u_{v}(t) \rightharpoonup F u_{0}(t) \text { in } H_{\sigma}^{m-1}, \text { uniformly for } t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $F u_{0}(\cdot)$ is weakly continuous in $H_{\sigma}^{m-1}$. To see this, we note that $F u_{\nu}(\cdot)$ is strongly continuous and uniformly bounded in $H_{o}^{m-1}$ by (1.3) and (2.1). Thus (3.3) follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F u_{v}(t), f\right)_{m-1} \rightarrow\left(F u_{0}(t), f\right)_{m-1}, \text { uniformly for } t \in\left[0, T_{0}\right], \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any sufficiently smooth function $f \in H_{o}^{m-1}$. (3.4) in turn follows from the convergence $u_{v}(t) \rightarrow u_{0}(t)$ in ( $m-1$ )-norm and the identity

$$
(F u, f)_{m-1}=-((u \cdot \operatorname{grad}) u, f)_{m-1}=(u u, \operatorname{grad} f)_{m-1}
$$

obtained by an integration by parts; note that $I I^{m-1}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is a Banach algebra.

We shall now show that $u_{0}$ is a solution of $(1.1)_{0}$. To this end, we integrate (1.1) on $\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right] \subset\left(0, T_{0}\right]$, obtaining

$$
u_{\nu}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u_{\nu}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\iota^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(-\nu A u_{\nu}+F u_{\nu}+b\right) d t .
$$

Take the ( $m-1$ )-inner product of this equality with a smooth function $f \in H_{o}^{m-1}$ and go to the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$. Since (3.4) is true and $\nu\left(A u_{v}, f\right)_{m-1}=\nu\left(u_{v}, A f\right)_{m-1} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t$, we obtain

$$
\left(u_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right), f\right)_{m-1}=\int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime}}\left(F u_{0}+b, f\right)_{m-1} d t .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}\left(t^{\prime \prime}\right)-u_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=\int_{t^{\prime}}^{t^{\prime \prime}}\left(F u_{0}+b\right) d t ; \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

note that $F u_{0}(t) \in H_{\sigma}^{m-1}$ is weakly continuous in $t$ and $b(t) \in H_{\sigma}{ }^{m} \subset H_{\sigma}^{m-1}$ is integrable in $t$. Furthermore, going to the limit shows that (3.5) is true even if $t^{\prime}-=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0} \in A C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right] \cap L^{\infty}\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}\right], \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u_{0}$ is a solution of $(1.1)_{0}$.
It is convenient at this point to prove the uniqueness of the solution of (1.1) $)_{0}$ within the class (3.6). Suppose we have two solutions $u$, $v$. Then $w=u-v$ satisfies $d w / d t=F(w, v)+F(u, w)$. Hence,

$$
\frac{1}{2}(d / d t)\|w\|_{m-1}^{2} \leqslant\left(c\|v\|_{m}+c^{\prime}\|u\|_{m}\right)\|w\|_{m-1}^{2}
$$

by (2.1) and (2.2) or (2.2'). Since $c\|v\|_{m}+c^{\prime}\|u\|_{m} \leqslant$ const by assumption and $w(0)=0$, we must have $\|w\|_{m-1}=0$ and $w=0$. This proves the uniqueness.

To complete the proof of (ii), it remains to show that $u_{0} \in C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{o}{ }^{m}\right]$. Since $\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|_{m} \leqslant \phi(t)$ as shown above and since $\phi(0)=\|a\|_{m}$, we have lim sup $t_{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|u_{0}(t)\right\|_{m} \leqslant\|a\|_{m}=\left\|u_{0}(0)\right\|_{m}$. Since $u_{0}$ is weakly continuous in $H_{o}{ }^{m}$, it follows that $u_{0}$ is strongly continuous in $H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$ at $t=0$. To prove the same result at any $t_{0} \in\left[0, T_{0}\right]$, let $v$ be the solution of the Cauchy problem for (1.1) $)_{0}$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ with the initial value $u_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)$. By the result just proved, $v$ is continuous in $H_{a}{ }^{m}$ at $t=t_{0}$. But $u_{0}$ coincides with $v$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ by the uniqueness proved above. Hence $u_{0}$ is right-continuous at $t_{0}$. Furthermore, since (1.1) is reversible in time $t$ (in an obvious sense), $u_{0}$ must be left-continuous as well. Thus $u_{0} \in C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{o}{ }^{m}\right]$ as we wished to show.

To prove the assertion of Remark 2, it suffices to note that $F u_{0} \in C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right]$ by (2.1) and $u_{0} \in C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{a}{ }^{m}\right]$. Hence $d u_{0} / d t$ belongs to $C\left[0, T_{0} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right]$ if $b$ does.
4. Proof of (2.1), (2.2), and (2.2')

In this section we denote by $c$ a constant which may differ at each occurrence.

The proof of (2.1) is easy. Since $P$ has norm one in any $H^{m}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ and since $H^{m}\left(R^{3}\right)$ is a Banach algebra for $m \geqslant 2$, we have

$$
\|F(u, v)\|_{m} \leqslant\|(u \cdot \operatorname{grad}) v\|_{m} \leqslant c\|u\|_{m}\|\operatorname{grad} v\|_{m} \leqslant c\|u\|_{m}\|v\|_{m+1} .
$$

To prove (2.2) and (2.2'), we may assume $u, v \in C_{0}{ }^{\infty}\left(R^{3}\right)^{3}$ in view of (2.1). 'Then

$$
\begin{align*}
(F(u, v), v)_{m} & =-((u \cdot \operatorname{grad}) v, v)_{m} \\
& =-\sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant m}\left(D^{\alpha}(u \cdot \operatorname{grad}) v, D^{\alpha} v\right)_{0}, \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $D^{\alpha}$ are multiindexed derivatives in $x$ and $(,)_{0}$ is the $L^{2}$-inner product. Application of the Leibniz rule gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha}(u \cdot \operatorname{grad}) v=(u \cdot \mathrm{grad}) D^{\alpha} v+\sum_{0<\beta \leqslant \alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha, \beta}\left(D^{\beta} u \cdot \operatorname{grad}\right) D^{\alpha-\beta} v . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution to (4.1) of the first term of (4.2) is zero, as is seen by an integration by parts using div $u=0$. The contribution of each of the remaining terms satisfies (2.2) or (2.2') separately. This is easily seen by using the Schwarz inequality and the following lemma.

Lemma. Let $0<\beta \leqslant \alpha$. Then

$$
\left\|\left(D^{\beta} u \cdot \operatorname{grad}\right) D^{\alpha-\beta} v\right\|_{0} \leqslant \begin{array}{ll}
c\|u\|_{3}\|v\|_{\alpha \mid}, & |\beta|=1,2,  \tag{4.3}\\
c\|u\|_{|\beta|}\|v\|_{|\alpha|-|\beta|+3}, & |\beta| \geqslant 3 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. We use the well-known estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f g\|_{0} \leqslant c\|f\|_{2}\|g\|_{0}, \quad\|f g\|_{0} \leqslant c\|f\|_{1}\|g\|_{1} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|\beta|=1$, then we have $\left\|D^{\beta} u\right\|_{2} \leqslant\|u\|_{3}$ and $\left\|\operatorname{grad} D^{\alpha-s} v\right\|_{0} \leqslant\|v\|_{|\alpha|}$. If $|\beta|=2$, then $\left\|D^{\beta} u\right\|_{1} \leqslant\|u\|_{3}$ and $\left\|\operatorname{grad} D^{\alpha-\beta} v\right\|_{1} \leqslant\|v\|_{|\alpha|}$. If $|\beta| \geqslant 3$, then $\left\|D^{\beta} u\right\|_{0} \leqslant\|u\|_{|\beta|}$ and $\left\|\operatorname{grad} D^{\alpha-\beta} v\right\|_{2} \leqslant\|v\|_{|\alpha|-|\beta|+3}$. In each case we have (4.3) by (4.4).

## 5. Construction of the Local Solution of (1.1)

Since $\nu$ is fixed throughout this section, we may assume $\nu=1$ without loss of generality.

We first construct a local solution of the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \equiv G u(t)=e^{-t A} a+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A}[F u(s)+b(s)] d s \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end we shall use the method of contraction map.
For simplicity we write $X_{j}=C\left[0, T^{\prime} ; H_{\sigma}{ }^{j}\right], Y_{j}=L^{1}\left[0, T^{\prime} ; H_{\sigma}{ }^{j}\right]$, $j=1,2, \ldots$, and set $Z=X_{m} \cap Y_{m+1}$. Here $T^{\prime}>0$ is to be determined later. For the norm in $Z$ we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{Z}=\max \left(K^{-1}\|v\|_{X_{m}}, L^{-1}\|v\|_{Y_{m+1}}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K, L>0$ are also to be determined later.
First we note that $u, v \in Z$ implies $F(u, v) \in Y_{m}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(u, v)\|_{Y_{m}} \leqslant c\|u\|_{X_{m}}\|v\|_{Y_{m+1}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

this follows directly from (2.1).
Next we compute $G u-G v$ for $u, v \in Z$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G u(t)-G v(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t-s) A}[F(u-v, u)+F(v, u-v)] d s \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e^{-t A}$ has norm one as an operator in $H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|G u-G v\|_{X_{m}} & \leqslant\|F(u-v, u)\|_{Y_{m}}+\|F(v, u-v)\|_{Y_{m}} \\
& \leqslant c\|u-v\|_{X_{m}}\|u\|_{Y_{m+1}}+c\|v\|_{X_{m}}\|u-v\|_{Y_{m+1}} \\
& \leqslant c K L\left(\|u\|_{Z}+\|v\|_{Z}\right)\|u-v\|_{Z}, \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used (5.3) and (5.2).
On the other hand, $e^{-t A}$ has norm $t^{-1 / 2} e^{t}$ as an operator from $H_{\sigma}{ }^{m}$ to $H_{\sigma}^{m+1}$. It follows from (5.4) that $\|G u(\cdot)-G v(\cdot)\|_{m+1}$ is majorized by the convolution of $t^{-1 / 2} e^{t}$ and $\|F(u-v, u)\|_{m}+\|F(v, u-v)\|_{m}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G u-G v\|_{r_{m+1}} \leqslant 2 e^{T^{\prime}} T^{\prime 1 / 2} c K L\left(\|u\|_{Z}+\|v\|_{z}\right)\|u-v\|_{Z} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now assume that $K$ and $L$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\gamma K \quad \text { where } \quad \gamma=2 e^{T^{T}} T^{\prime / 1 / 2} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling (5.2) and comparing (5.5) and (5.6), we thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G u-G v\|_{Z} \leqslant c L\left(\|u\|_{Z}+\|v\|_{z}\right)\|u-v\|_{z} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar (and simpler) computation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G 0\|_{Z} \leqslant\left(\|a\|_{m}+B\right) \gamma L^{-1}, \quad B=\int_{0}^{T}\|b(t)\|_{m} d t \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results show that $G$ maps $Z$ into itself, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G u\|_{z} \leqslant\left(\|a\|_{m}+B\right) \gamma L^{-1}+c L\|u\|_{Z}^{2} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want $G$ to map the unit ball $S$ of $Z$ into itself. This is achieved by choosing $L$ so that $\left(\|a\|_{m}+B\right) \gamma L^{-1}+c L=1$. Such an $L>0$ exists if

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 c \gamma\left(\|a\|_{m}+B\right)<1 \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

indeed we can then take

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=(2 c)^{-1}\left\{1-\left[1-4 \gamma c\left(\|a\|_{m}+B\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right\}<(2 c)^{-1} . \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma$ is given by (5.7), condition (5.11) is satisfied by choosing $T^{\prime}$ sufficiently small.
With such choices of $T^{\prime}, L$, and $K, G$ maps $S$ into $S$. At the same time, we see from (5.8) and (5.12) that $G$ is a strict contraction on $S$. Therefore $G$ has a unique fixed point $u$ in $S$, which is a solution of the integral equation (5.1).

For this $u$ we have $F u \in Y_{m}$ by (5.3). Since $b \in Y_{m}$, we have $F u+b \in Y_{m}$. It follows from the well-known property of $e^{-l A}$ (see, e.g., [2]) that, if we denote by $v(t)$ the integral on the right of (5.1),

$$
A v(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left[A^{1 / 2} e^{-(t-s)}\right]\left[A^{1 / 2}(F u+b)\right] d s
$$

is in $Y_{m-1}$; note that $A^{1 / 2}(F u+b) \in Y_{m-1}$ by $F u+b \in Y_{m}$ and $\left\|A^{1 / 2} e^{-l A}\right\| \leqslant t^{-1 / 2}$. Thus, $d v / d t=-A v+F u+b \in Y_{m-1}$ and so $v \in A C\left[0, T^{\prime} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right]$. On the other hand $e^{-t A} a \in A C\left[0, T^{\prime} ; H_{\sigma}^{m-1}\right]$ too, with

$$
\left\|(d / d t) e^{-t A} a\right\|_{m-1}=\left\|A e^{-t s} a\right\|_{m-1} \leqslant t^{-1 / 2}\left\|A^{1 / 2} a\right\|_{m-1} \leqslant t^{-1 / 2}\|a\|_{m}
$$

Thus, $u$ is a solution of (1.1) satisfying (1.3) locally (i.e., with $T_{0}$ replaced by $T^{\prime}$ ).

The uniqueness of the local solution can be proved by a standard method (cf. [2]).
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