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In this paper, we prove the following result:

Theorem A. Let $G$ be a finite group, $p$ be a prime, $F$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p$. Let $M$ be an $F G$-module such that $C_{G}(M)$ is a p-group. Let $x$ be an element of $G$ such that $M(1-x)^{(p-1) / 2}=0$. Then $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.

Of course, it quickly follows that the corresponding result is true if $F$ is of characteristic $p$, but not algebraically closed, or if $F$ is a field of characteristic 0 and $x$ is a $p$-element having minimum polynomial of degree $(p-1) / 2$ or less on the $F G$-module $M$ such that $C_{G}(M)$ is a $p$-group.

The main idea behind the proof of Theorem A is to apply the ideas used in Nagao's proof of Brauer's second main theorem [1] in a situation when we are dealing with a $p$-element having a minimum polynomial of small degree.

We start with two general lemmas. The first is probably well known, and the second is a straightforward adaptation of Nagao's argument to our situation.

Lemma 1. Let $H$ be a finite group, $p$ be a prime. Then for any $x \in O_{p}(H)$ we have $O_{p^{\prime}}(H)=O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)$.

Proof. Certainly, we have $\left[O_{p^{\prime}}(H), x\right]=1_{H}, O_{p^{\prime}}(H) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)$. To prove the reverse inclusion, we may suppose that $O_{p^{\prime}}(H)=1_{H}$, and we do so. Let $A=O_{p}\left(C_{H}(x)\right), \quad B=O_{p}(H)$. Then $A \times\langle x\rangle$ normalizes $B$, and $\left[A, C_{B}(x)\right] \leqslant O_{p},\left(C_{H}(x)\right) \cap O_{p}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)=1_{H}$. By a well-known lemma of J. G . Thompson, $[A, B]=1_{H}$.

Now let $E=E(H)$ (the central product of the components of $H$ ). Then $[E, x] \leqslant E \cap O_{p}(H)=1_{H}$, so $E \leqslant C_{H}(x)$. Hence $E$ and $O_{p}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)$ normalize each other, so $\left[E, O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)\right] \leqslant E \cap O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)$. Since $O_{p^{\prime}}(H)=1_{H}$, each component of $H$ has order divisible by $p$, so it quickly follows now that
$\left\{E, O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)\right]=1_{H} . \quad$ We now have $\left.\mid O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right), F^{*}(H)\right]=1_{H}$, so $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right) \leqslant Z(F(H))$, and $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(x)\right)=1_{H}$, since $O_{p^{\prime}}(H)=1_{H}$.

Lemma 2. Let $H$ be a finite group, $p$ be a prime, $x$ be an element of order $p$ in $H$. Let $M$ be an FH-module in the p-block B, where $F$ is a field of characteristic $p$ containing a splitting field for $H$ and its subgroups. Then we may write $M_{C_{H}(x)}=L \oplus N$, where $L$ has composition factors in p-blocks of $C_{H}(x)$ which are dominated by $B$ in the sense of the Brauer homomorphism and where $N_{(x)}$ is projective.

Proof. Let $\tau: Z(F H) \rightarrow Z\left(F C_{H}(x)\right)$ denote the Brauer homomorphism, let $e$ be the block idempotent of $Z(F H)$ associated with $B$, and let $f=e \tau$. Then $M_{C_{H}(x)}=M_{C_{H}(x)} f \oplus M_{C_{H}(x)}(e-f) \quad$ (because $\left.\quad M e=M\right)$. Let $L=M_{C_{H}(x)} f$, $N=M_{C_{H}(x)}(e-f)$. It only remains to prove that $N_{\langle x\rangle}$ is projective.

Now let $K$ be a class sum in $Z(F H)$. Then we may write $K=K \tau+U+x^{-1} U x+\cdots+x^{-(p-1)} U x^{p-1}$ for some $U$ in $F H$. Thus we may write $e=f+Z+x^{-1} Z x+\cdots+x^{-(p-1)} Z x^{(p-1)}$ for some $Z$ in $F H$. Now $N(e-f)=N$ (more precisely, $n(e-f)=n$ for each $n$ in $N$ ). The mapping $\phi: N \rightarrow N$ given by $n \phi=n Z(e-f)$ satisfies $i_{N}=\phi+x^{-1} \phi x \cdots+$ $x^{-(p-1)} \phi x^{(p-1)}$, and so, by the criterion of D. G. Higman, $N_{\langle x\rangle}$ is projective.

Proof of Theorem A. The proof is broken into a number of easy steps. We suppose that Theorem A is false, and that a pair $(G, M)$ has been chosen to violate the theorem with $|G|+\operatorname{dim}_{F}(M)$ as small as possible.

Step 1. By Lemma 1, we see immediately that $x \notin O_{p}(G)$.
Step 2. By the Hall-Higman theorem, $\left[O_{p},(G), x\right] \leqslant C_{G}(M)$, so that $O_{p^{\prime}}(G) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$, as $C_{G}(M)$ is a $p$-group. It only remains to prove that $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.

Step 3. $M$ is irreducible, and $C_{G}(M)=1_{G}$.
Proof of Step 3. Suppose that $M$ is not irreducible. Then, as $x \notin O_{p}(G)$, $x$ acts nontrivially on some $F G$-composition factor of $M$, say $V$.

Let $K=C_{G}(V), \bar{G}=G / K$ and ${ }^{-}$denote images in $\bar{G}$. Then $[G, x] \nless K$, since $V$ is irrcducible and $\bar{x}$ acts nontrivially on $V$. By the minimality of $(G, M), O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x})\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})$ (for $\operatorname{dim}_{F}(V)<\operatorname{dim}_{F}(M)$ ). Define the subgroup $U$ of $G$ by $\bar{U}=C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x})$. Then $U<G$, so by the minimality of $(G, M)$, $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{u}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(U), \quad$ so that $\quad O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{u}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(U)$. Now $\overline{O_{p},(U)} \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}},\left(C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{x}) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{G})\right.$.

Define the subgroup $T$ of $G$ by $\bar{T}=O_{p}(\bar{G})$. Suppose that $T\langle x\rangle \neq G$. Then $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{T(x)}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p}(T)$ by the minimality of $(G, M)$. Since $T \triangleleft G$, we have $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$, contrary to assumption. Thus $T\langle x\rangle=G$. By the Hall-Higman theorem $\bar{G}=\langle\bar{x}\rangle \times \bar{T}$, as $\bar{T}$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group. This contradicts the fact that $\bar{x}$ is not central in $\bar{G}$. Hence we are forced to
conclude that $M$ is irreducible. If $C_{G}(M) \neq 1_{G}$, the argument above again leads to a contradiction, so we have $C_{G}(M)=1_{G}$.

Step 4. Let $y=\left\langle O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)^{g}: g \in G\right\rangle$. Then $G=Y\langle x\rangle$, and $M_{Y}$ is irreducible.

Proof of Step 4. Suppose that $Y\langle x\rangle \neq G$. Then by the minimality of $(G, M)$ we have $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{Y(x)}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(Y) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$. Then we have $O_{p},\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p},\left(C_{Y(x\rangle}(x)\right) \leqslant O_{p},(Y) \leqslant O_{p},(G)$, contrary to assumption. Thus $G=Y\langle x\rangle$. We note also that $x$ has order $p$, since $C_{G}(M)=1_{G}$ and $M(1-x)^{(p-1) / 2}=0$. If $M_{Y}$ is not irreducible, then we may write $M_{r}=V \oplus V x \cdots \oplus V x^{p-1}$, where $V$ is some irreducible submodule of $M_{Y}$. This contradicts the fact that $x$ has minimum polynomial of degree $(p-1) / 2$ or less on $M$.

Step 5. Let $x$ have minimum polynomial degree $n$ on $M$. Then the trivial module occurs at least $n$ times as an $F C_{G}(x)$-composition factor of $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(M, M)_{C_{G}(x)}$.

Proof of Step 5. Consideration of the series $O<M(1-x)^{n-1}<$ $M(1-x)^{n-2} \cdots<M(1-x)<M$ shows that $M_{C_{G}(x)}$ has composition length at least $n$. Now if the Brauer character for $M_{C_{G}(x)}$ is $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} \phi_{j}$, then $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j} \geqslant n$, and the Brauer character for $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(M, M)_{c_{G}(x)}$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i} a_{j} \phi_{i} \bar{\phi}_{j}$, so that the trivial module occurs at least $\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{j}^{2}$ times as a composition factor of $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(M, M)_{C_{G}(x)}$, so certainly at least $n$ times.

Step 6. $x$ has minimum polynomial of degree at most $p-2$ on $\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(M, M)$.

Proof of Step 6. This can be verified directly, using a suitable basis for M.

Step 7. Let $\left\{e_{i}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k\right\}$ be the set of block idempotents of $Z(F G)$, where $e_{1}$ is the principal block idempotent. Let $V_{t}=\operatorname{Hom}_{F}(M, M) e_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{F}\left(V_{1}\right)=1$.

Proof of Step 7. By Brauer's third main theorem, Lemma 2, and Step 6, $V_{1 C_{G}(x)}$ has all its composition factors in the principal $p$-block of $C_{G}(x)$. Hence $O_{p},\left(C_{G}(x)\right)$ acts trivially on $V_{1}$. Thus $Y$ acts trivially on $V_{1}$ (recall that $\left.Y=\left\langle O_{p},\left(C_{G}(x)\right)^{g}: g \in G\right\rangle\right)$. Since $M_{Y}$ is irreducible, we have $\operatorname{dim}_{F}\left(V_{1}\right)=1$.

Step 8: The final contradiction. By Step 5 (since certainly $n>1$ ) and Step 7, the trivial module must occur as a composition factor of $V_{i C_{6}(x)}$ for some $i>1$. However, by Lemma 2, Brauer's third main theorem, and Step 6, $V_{i C_{G}(x)}$ does not have any composition factor in the principal block of $C_{G}(x)$. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem A.

## Some Corollaries and Applications

Let $G$ be a finite group, $p$ be a prime, $F$ be a field of characteristic $p$, and $V$ be a finite dimensional faithful $F G$-module. Let $P$ be a $p$-subgroup of $G$. Let $r$ be the dimension of the largest indecomposable summand of $V_{p}$. Then $[V, P ; r]=0$ (where $[V, P ; 0]=V,[V, P ; i+1]=[V, P ; i], P]$ for $i>0$ ).

Let $L_{i}(P)$ denote the $i$ th term of the lower central series of $P$. Lemma 3 is presumably a well-known result.

Lemma 3. For $i \geqslant 1$, we have $\left[V, L_{i}(P)\right] \leqslant[V, P ; i]$.
Proof. We use induction on $i$. The result is true for $i=1$. Suppose that the result has been established for $i=k$. Then we have

$$
\left[V, L_{k}(P), P\right] \leqslant[[V, P ; k], P]=[V, P ; k+1]
$$

Also, we have

$$
\left[P, V, L_{k}(P)\right] \leqslant[[V, P], P ; k]=[V, P ; k+1]
$$

By the three subgroups lemma,

$$
\left[L_{k}(P), P, V\right] \leqslant[V, P ; k+1]
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left[V, L_{k+1}(P)\right] \leqslant[V, P ; k+1]
$$

as required.
Another easy induction argument now yields

$$
\left[V, L_{i}(P) ; k\right] \leqslant[V, P ; i k] \quad \text { for } \quad i, k \geqslant 1
$$

Corollary 4. Let $j$ be an integer with $j \geqslant 2 r /(p-1)$. Then for any $x$ in $L_{j}(P)$ we have $O_{p},\left(C_{G}(x)\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.

Proof. We have $\left[V, L_{j}(P) ; \quad(p-1) / 2\right] \leqslant[V, P ; j((p-1) / 2)]=0$. In particular, each $x \in L_{j}(P)$ has minimum polynomial of degree $(p-1) / 2$ or less on $V$. By Theorem A, $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ for each $x \in L_{j}(P)$.

Remark. Statements corresponding to Corollary 4 can be made about elements of $P^{(k)}$ for sufficiently large $k$ by making use of the fact that $P^{(k)} \leqslant L_{2 k}(P)$ for $k \geqslant 0$.

We also remark that for $j$ as in Corollary 4, we may choose an element $z$ in $Z(P)^{\#} \cap L_{j}(P)$, if $L_{j}(P) \neq 1_{G}$. By the Hall-Higman theorem, for any
$x$ in $P$ we have $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right) \leqslant C_{G}(z)$. Thus $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(z)\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$ and $\left\langle O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(x)\right): x \in P\right\rangle \leqslant C_{G}(z)$.

Corollary 5. Let $y$ be a p-element of $G, Q$ b a $p$-subgroup of $G$ with $y \in C_{G}(Q)$, and let $W=C_{\nu}(Q)$. Then if $W(1-y)^{(p-1) / 2}=0$, we have

$$
O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(y)\right) \cap C_{G}(Q) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(Q)\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $H=C_{G}(Q)$. Then $W$ is $H$-invariant, and $C_{H}(W)$ is a $p$-group. Since $y \in H$, we may apply Theorem A to conclude that $O_{p^{\prime}}(H)=O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(y)\right)$. Now $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(y)\right) \cap C_{G}(Q) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(y)\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(H)=$ $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{G}(Q)\right)$.

Corollary 6. Let $H$ be a p-constrained finite group with $O_{D^{\prime}}(H)=1_{H}$, and such that $K=O_{p}(H)$ is elementary Abelian. Let $P \in \operatorname{Sylp}(H)$ be of nilpotence class $n$. Let $j$ be an integer $\geqslant 2 n /(p-1)$, and let denote images in $H / K$. Then for any $x$ in $L_{j}(P)$ we have $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{\vec{H}}(\bar{x})\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(\bar{H})$.

Proof. $\left[K, L_{j}(P) ;(p-1) / 2\right] \leqslant L_{j((p-1) / 2)}(P)=1_{H}$. Thus $\bar{x}$ has minimum polynomial of degree $(p-1) / 2$ or less on $K$ (regarded as a vector space). Since $\bar{H}$ is faithfully represented on $K$, the result now follows from Theorem A.

Corollary 7. Let $H$ be a finite group, $p$ be a prime, $M$ be a faithful FH-module, where $F$ is a field of characteristic $p, W$ be an Abelian $p$ subgroup of $H$ which is generated by elements having minimum polynomial of degree $(p-1) / 2$ or less on $M$. Then for any subgroup, $A$, of $W$, we have $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(A)\right)=O_{p^{\prime}}(H)$.

Proof. We use induction on $|H|$. If $W \leqslant Z(H)$, the corollary is obviously true. Suppose that $W \not \approx Z(H)$, and let $w \in W \backslash Z(H)$ be a generator of $W$ having minimum polynomial of degree $(p-1) / 2$ or less on $M$. By the Hall-Higman theorem, $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(A)\right) \leqslant C_{H}(w)$ for each subgroup $A$ of $W$. By induction, we have $O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(A)\right) \leqslant O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(w)\right)$ for each such subgroup (since $\left.\left|C_{H}(w)\right|<|H|\right)$. By Theorem A, $\quad O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(w)\right)=O_{D^{\prime}}(H)$. Also, by the Hall-Higman theorem, $O_{p^{\prime}}(H) \leqslant C_{H}(W)$. Thus $O_{p^{\prime}}(H)=O_{p^{\prime}}\left(C_{H}(A)\right)$ for each subgroup $A$ of $W$.

Remark. Let $H$ be a finite group, $p$ be a prime, and suppose that $M$ is a faithful $K H$-module, where $K$ is a field of characteristic 0 . Let $P \in \operatorname{Sylp}(H)$ and let $x \in P$ be an element having $(p-1) / 2$ or fewer eigenvalues on $M$. Let $W=\left\langle x^{g} \in P: g \in G\right\rangle$. Then $W$ is Abelian (for we may suppose that elements of $P$ are represented by monomial matrices, in which case all conjugates of $x$ in $P$ are represented by diagonal matrices). We can therefore apply Corollary 7 to the reduction $(\bmod p)$ of $M$.
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