

Some Remarks on Index and Generalized Loewy Length of a Gorenstein Local Ring

Mitsuyasu Hashimoto* and Akira Shida†

Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku.

metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

Received March 29, 1995

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, the word “ring” will mean commutative noetherian ring with 1.

Let R be a Gorenstein local ring. M. Auslander introduced the notion of a δ -invariant $\delta_R(M)$ for a finitely generated R -module M . It is defined as the smallest integer μ such that there exists an epimorphism $X \oplus R^\mu \rightarrow M$ with X a maximal Cohen–Macaulay module which has no non-zero free summand. In studying the δ -invariant, Ding [10–13] studied the notion of index. The index of R , denoted by $\text{index}(R)$, is defined as the smallest positive integer such that $\delta_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^n) > 0$. He compared $\text{index}(R)$ with the generalized Loewy length $\ell\ell(R)$ of R , which is defined as the minimum of the Loewy lengths of $R/\mathfrak{x}R$ for all systems of parameters \mathfrak{x} of R , and he conjectured (and proved for some special cases) that $\text{index}(R) = \ell\ell(R)$ in general (see Definition 2.7 and Remark 3.1).

In this paper, we first remark that the generalized Loewy length is not stable even under a finite étale extension, but stable under completion (Section 3). We need at least to assume that the residue field of R is infinite to study Ding’s conjecture.

Next, we prove that the minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation, which is indispensable when we study δ -invariants, of a finitely generated module M over a Cohen–Macaulay local ring R is preserved by an extension by

* Current address: Nagoya University College of Medical Technology, 1-1-20 Daikominami, Higashi-ku, Nagoya 461, Japan. E-mail address: hasimoto@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp.

† E-mail address: a-shida@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp.

a Gorenstein local homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ under the assumption $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, M) = 0$ ($i > 0$) (Proposition 4.3). If R is Gorenstein moreover, then the higher delta invariants are also preserved (Corollary 4.6).

This unifies two known important cases: the flat case and the case $RS = R/xR$ with x both R -regular and M -regular. We note that many of the notions and the results on flat morphisms have been generalized to the situation of finite flat dimension [4, 5, 3].

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) and (S, \mathfrak{n}) be Gorenstein local rings, and $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a local homomorphism of finite flat dimension (i.e., the flat dimension of S as an R -module is finite). Recently, the second author proved the following.

THEOREM 1.1 [17, (3.7)]. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be as above. Then we have*

$$\text{index}(R) \leq \text{index}(S).$$

This can be seen as a sort of flat descent (generalized to the finite flat dimension context). A good property “index $\leq n$ ” of S is inherited by R for any n . In Section 5, assuming S is R -flat, we prove $\text{index}(S) \leq \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F)$ ($F := S/\mathfrak{m}S$), which can be seen as a counterpart of the theorem above. This is our main theorem.

Furthermore, this inequality shows that if the closed fiber F is a regular local ring, we have $\text{index}(R) = \text{index}(S)$ as might be expected.

We cannot expect that the fiber ring $F = S/\mathfrak{m}S$ has a small index even if S has a small index. This can be seen by the following example: $R = k[[x^t]] \subset k[[x]] = S$, where t is an arbitrary positive integer. However, if S is artinian, then we have an inequality: $\ell\ell(R) + \ell\ell(F) - 1 \leq \ell\ell(S) \leq \ell\ell(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F)$. This will be proved in Section 6 for artinian rings which are not necessarily Gorenstein.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Unless otherwise specified, we assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with the canonical module K_R . By \hat{R} we mean the completion of R with respect to the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . For an R -module M , \hat{M} denotes $\hat{R} \otimes_R M$. For a proper ideal I of R , we denote the associated graded module $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} I^i M / I^{i+1} M$ by $\text{Gr}_I M$. Let (S, \mathfrak{n}) be a local ring, and $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ a homomorphism. We say that φ is *local* when $\varphi(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{n}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring, X a maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -module, and Y (resp. Z) a finitely generated R -module of finite injective (resp. projective) dimension. Then we have (a) $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, Y) = 0$ for $i > 0$; (b) $\text{Tor}_i^R(Z, X) = 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Proof. Part (a) is noted in [18]. Part (b) is a slight generalization of [16, (2.6)], and follows easily from [8]. ■

Let M be a finitely generated R -module. A sequence of finitely generated R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.2}$$

is called a *Cohen–Macaulay approximation* if X is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -module, Y is of finite injective dimension, and the sequence is exact. The Cohen–Macaulay approximation (2.2) is said to be *minimal* when X and Y have no non-zero direct summand in common through f . It is said to be *right minimal* when g is right minimal, that is, for any non-isomorphic map $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$, we have $g \circ \varphi \neq g$.

The next lemma and its corollary seem to be well known, but we state them with proofs. The complete case (which is essential) is found in [19].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let*

$$\mathbb{A} := 0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0$$

be a sequence of finitely generated R -modules. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (**rmin**) \mathbb{A} is a right minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of M .
- (**min**) \mathbb{A} is a minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of M .
- (**rmin**) $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}$ is a right minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of \widehat{M} .
- (**min**) $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}$ is a minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of \widehat{M} .

Proof. It is easy to see that $\widehat{\mathbb{A}}$ is a Cohen–Macaulay approximation if and only if \mathbb{A} is a Cohen–Macaulay approximation. Thus, the problem is the minimality.

(**rmin**) \Rightarrow (**min**). Assume that $X = X_0 \oplus X_1$ and $0 \neq X_0 \subset \text{Im } f$. We define $\varphi: X \rightarrow X$ by $\varphi(x_0 + x_1) = x_1$ for $x_0 \in X_0$ and $x_1 \in X_1$. Then, we have $g \circ \varphi = g$, and φ is not isomorphic.

(**min**) \Rightarrow (**rmin**). See Proposition 4.3 below.

(**rmin**) \Rightarrow (**rmin**). We may assume $\widehat{R} = R$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{A}$. Assume that $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(X)$ is not an isomorphism, and $g \circ \varphi = g$. We have $X \neq 0$, and hence $M \neq 0$ by minimality of \mathbb{A} . We set Λ to be the sub- R -algebra of $\text{End}_R(X)$ generated by R and φ . Note that Λ is commutative, and is module finite over R . As φ preserves the kernel of g , we may and shall regard Y and M as Λ -modules so that f and g are Λ -linear. Note that $\varphi \in \Lambda$ acts as an identity map on M . By Nakayama’s lemma, φ is not contained in the radical of Λ , since $\varphi(M) = M$ and $M \neq 0$. As φ is not a

unit in Λ (since φ is not an isomorphism on X), we have that Λ is not local. It follows that Λ has a non-trivial idempotent, say $e \neq 0, 1$, since R is henselian. With replacing e with $1 - e$ if necessary, we may assume that the image of e in $\Lambda/(1 - \varphi)\Lambda$, which is local, is a unit. As e acts as an automorphism on M , it is easy to see that $X_0 := \text{Im}(1 - e) = \text{Ker } e$ is a non-zero direct summand of X which is contained in $\text{Im } f$.

(rmin) \Rightarrow **(rmin)**. Assume that $\varphi \in \text{End}_R(X)$ is non-isomorphic and that $g \circ \varphi = g$. Then, $\hat{\varphi}$ is non-isomorphic and $\hat{g} \circ \hat{\varphi} = \hat{g}$. ■

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module. Then, there exists a minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of M , uniquely up to isomorphism.*

Proof. A Cohen–Macaulay approximation of M exists, see [1]. Removing non-zero common direct summands through f if any, we obtain a minimal one from this. The uniqueness of the right minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation is shown by a standard comparison argument in [1]. ■

For an R -module M , we define the f -rank of M , denoted by $f\text{-rank}_R M$, to be the number $\max\{i \mid R^i \text{ is a direct summand of } M\}$.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring with K_R , and let $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be the minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of M over R . We define the δ -invariant of M , denoted by $\delta_R(M)$, as $f\text{-rank}_R X$. And we define the *index* of a Gorenstein local ring R , denoted by $\text{index}(R)$, as the integer $\min\{n \mid \delta_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^n) \neq 0\}$. For a nonnegative integer n , the δ -invariant of the n th syzygy $\Omega_R^n(M)$ of M is denoted by $\delta_R^n(M)$, and we call it the n th δ -invariant of M .

PROPOSITION 2.6 [10]. *Let R be a Gorenstein local ring and $M(\neq 0) \in \text{mod}(R)$. Then*

- (a) *If $\text{pd}_R M$ is finite, then $\delta_R(M) = \mu(M)(> 0)$, where $\mu(M)$ is the minimal number of generators of M .*
- (b) *If $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then $\delta_R(M) \geq \delta_R(N)$.*

Next we define the generalized Loewy length.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let M be an R -module of finite length. The *Loewy length* of M , denoted by $\ell\ell_R(M)$, is the smallest integer n such that $\mathfrak{m}^n M = 0$. We define the *generalized Loewy length* of R , which is also denoted by $\ell\ell(R)$, as the minimum of all integers $\ell\ell_R(R/(\mathbf{x}))$, where \mathbf{x} is a system of parameters of R .

3. REMARKS ON GENERALIZED LOEWY LENGTH

Remark 3.1. Ding proved that $\text{index}(R) \leq \ell\ell(R)$ for any Gorenstein local ring R [11]. He conjectured that $\text{index}(R) = \ell\ell(R)$ for the arbitrary Gorenstein local ring R . He claimed that the conjecture is true when the associated graded ring $\text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$ of R is a Cohen–Macaulay ring [12]. He also claimed that the conjecture is true when R is gradable and $\text{depth Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R \geq \dim R - 1$ [13]. These are certainly true when R/\mathfrak{m} is infinite.

If we drop the condition on the residue field, there is a counterexample to the conjecture.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_2$, and $K = \mathbb{F}_4$, where \mathbb{F}_q is the q -element field. When we set $S = k[[x, y]]$, $f = xy(x + y) \in S$, and $R = S/(f)$, then we have

$$4 = \ell\ell(R) > \ell\ell(K \otimes_k R) = \text{index}(K \otimes_k R) = \text{index}(R) = 3.$$

Proof. As the rings in consideration are hypersurfaces, we have that indices equal to multiplicities [10], and we have $\text{index}(K \otimes_k R) = \text{index}(R) = 3$. Let $\omega \in K \setminus k$, and set $z = x - \omega y$. Then, we have $\ell\ell((K \otimes_k R)/(z)) = 3$. Hence, we have $\ell\ell(K \otimes_k R) = 3$. As we have $\ell\ell(R/(x - y^2)) = 4$, we have $\ell\ell(R) \leq 4$.

It remains to show that $\ell\ell(R) > 3$. Assume the contrary. Then, there exists some R -regular element $z \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^3 \subset (z)$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)R$. We set $r = \max\{i \mid z \in \mathfrak{m}^i\}$. It is easy to see that $r \leq 2$.

If $r = 2$, then $z\bar{R}$ is annihilated by \mathfrak{m}^2 , where $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{m}^4$. Hence, we have

$$3 = l_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^2) \geq l_R(\bar{R}z) \geq l_R(\mathfrak{m}^3\bar{R}) = l_R(\mathfrak{m}^3/\mathfrak{m}^4) = 3.$$

This shows $z\bar{R} = \mathfrak{m}^3\bar{R}$, and hence $z \in \mathfrak{m}^3$. This contradicts $r = 2$.

Consider the case $r = 1$. Take any preimage $\zeta \in S$ of z . Letting an appropriate element in $\text{GL}_2(k)$ act on S , we may assume that $\zeta = x - g(x, y)$ with $g \in ((x, y)S)^2$ without loss of generality. As $R/(z)$ is a hypersurface, it suffices to show that $l_R(R/(z)) \geq 4$ to lead to a contradiction. When we set $R' = S/(\zeta)$, then R' is a discrete valuation ring, and $R/(z) = R'/(\bar{f})$, where $\bar{f} = \bar{x}\bar{y}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})$ is the image of f in R' . On the other hand, we have $\bar{y} \in (x, y)R'$, $\bar{x} + \bar{y} \in (x, y)R'$, and $\bar{x} = \bar{g} \in ((x, y)R')^2$. Hence, we have $\bar{f} \in ((x, y)R')^4$ and we have $l(R'/(\bar{f})) \geq 4$. ■

As we have seen, the generalized Loewy length is not stable under a finite étale extension. However, it is stable under completion.

LEMMA 3.3. *We have $\ell\ell(R) = \ell\ell(\hat{R})$.*

Proof. If \mathbf{x} is a system of parameters of R such that $\ell\ell(R) = \ell\ell_R(R/\mathbf{x}R)$, then we have $\ell\ell(\hat{R}) \leq \ell\ell_{\hat{R}}(\hat{R}/\mathbf{x}\hat{R}) = \ell\ell_R(R/\mathbf{x}R) = \ell\ell(R)$. So it suffices to show $\ell\ell(R) \leq \ell\ell(\hat{R})$.

Let $n = \ell\ell(\hat{R})$, and take a system of parameters $(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_d) \subset \hat{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^n \hat{R} \subset (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_d)$, where $d = \dim R$. Then for each \hat{x}_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$), we can choose an element $x_i \in R$ such that $x_i - \hat{x}_i \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \hat{R}$. We claim that $\mathfrak{m}^n \subset (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$. In fact since $\mathfrak{m}^n \hat{R} \subset (\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots, \hat{x}_d) \subset (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \hat{R} + \mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \hat{R}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^n \hat{R} \subset \bigcap_{i>0} ((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \hat{R} + \mathfrak{m}^{n+i} \hat{R}) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \hat{R}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^n = \mathfrak{m}^n \hat{R} \cap R \subset (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d) \hat{R} \cap R = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$$

as desired. ■

4. A GORENSTEIN HOMOMORPHISM AND MINIMAL COHEN–MACAULAY APPROXIMATIONS

Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a flat local homomorphism of Gorenstein local rings. If $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a (minimal) Cohen–Macaulay approximation over R , then so is $0 \rightarrow S \otimes_R Y \rightarrow S \otimes_R X \rightarrow S \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$. In particular, the (higher) δ -invariants are preserved by this base extension. In this section, we generalize this to the context of morphisms of finite flat dimension (Proposition 4.3, Corollary 4.6). The case $S = R/xR$ with x both R - and M -regular [2, (5.1); 20, (1.8)], as well as the flat case [20, (1.5), (1.7)], has been well known.

First, we introduce the notion of a Gorenstein local homomorphism (see [4]). Let $\varphi: (R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a local homomorphism. We say that φ is a *Gorenstein local homomorphism* if φ is of finite flat dimension (i.e., $\text{fd}_R S < \infty$), and $\mu_R^i = \mu_S^{i+s}$ for some s and arbitrary $i \geq 0$, where $\mu_R^i = \dim_k \text{Ext}_R^i(k, R)$ is the i th Bass number. Next, we define a Cohen factorization which was introduced in [6].

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $\varphi: (R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a local homomorphism of local rings. We say φ is *factorizable* if it can be decomposed as $\varphi = \sigma\tau$ with $\tau: R \rightarrow T$ flat and $T/\mathfrak{m}T$ a regular local ring, $\sigma: T \rightarrow S$ surjective, and T a complete local ring; often we shall refer to such a situation by saying that $\varphi = \sigma\tau$ is a *Cohen factorization*.

It is known that if S is a complete local ring, then there exists a Cohen factorization (see [6, (1.1)]). Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a local homomorphism, and $\varphi = \sigma\tau$ its Cohen factorization. Then, φ is of finite flat dimension if and only if so is σ [6, (3.3)]. Note also that, if φ is module finite, then we have

$$\text{fd}_R S < \infty \Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}_i^R(S, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0 \text{ for } i \gg 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{pd}_R S < \infty.$$

By [4, (4.2), (4.6)], φ is Gorenstein if and only if so is σ .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a local homomorphism of Cohen–Macaulay local rings. We assume that $\text{fd}_R S < \infty$. Let X be a maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -module. Then we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, X) = 0$ for $i > 0$. We also have $S \otimes_R X$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay S -module.*

Proof. We may assume that S is complete. If S is R -flat, then the assertion is obvious. Consider the general case. Take a Cohen factorization $\varphi = \sigma\tau$. As the lemma is true for τ , we may replace φ by σ , and we may assume that φ is surjective. Now, the vanishing $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, X) = 0$ ($i > 0$) is Proposition 2.1(b). As for the last assertion, the same proof in [17, (3.1)] works. ■

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a Gorenstein local homomorphism of Cohen–Macaulay local rings, and M a finitely generated R -module. Furthermore, we assume that R has the canonical module K_R , and $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. If $0 \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f} X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is the minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of M over R , then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow S \otimes_R Y \xrightarrow{S \otimes_R f} S \otimes_R X \rightarrow S \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.4}$$

is the minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of $S \otimes_R M$ over S .

Remark 4.5. When φ is surjective and $S = R/I$, then, for $r \geq 0$, we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, M) = 0$ ($i > r$) if and only if $\text{depth}_R(I, M) \geq \text{pd}_R S - r$ (depth sensitivity of perfect ideals, see [9]). In particular, the Tor-independence assumption $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, M) = 0$ ($i > 0$) above is equivalent to $\text{depth}_R(I, M) = \text{pd}_R S$. Generalizing this to the imperfect case, the second author proved the following, which we only state the result here: Let R be a (not necessarily Cohen–Macaulay) ring, I an ideal of R of finite projective dimension, and $S = R/I$. If M is a finitely generated R -module with $M/IM \neq 0$, then we have

$$\sup\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(S, M) \neq 0\} = \sup\{\text{pd}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} S_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}(M/IM)\}.$$

COROLLARY 4.6. *In the proposition, assume moreover that R is Gorenstein. Then we have*

$$\text{f-rank}_S(S \otimes_R X) = \text{f-rank}_R X. \tag{4.7}$$

In particular, we have $\delta_S^n(S \otimes_R M) = \delta_R^n(M)$.

Proof. The equality (4.7) follows from the proposition and [17, (3.1)]. As we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, M) = 0$ ($i > 0$) by assumption, we have $\Omega_S^n(S \otimes_R M) \cong S \otimes_R \Omega_R^n(M)$, and we have $\delta_S^n(S \otimes_R M) = \delta_R^n(M)$. ■

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Since it is sufficient to prove that

$$0 \rightarrow \hat{S} \otimes_R Y \xrightarrow{\hat{S} \otimes_R f} \hat{S} \otimes_R X \rightarrow \hat{S} \otimes_R M \rightarrow 0$$

is the minimal Cohen–Macaulay approximation of $\hat{S} \otimes_R M$, we may assume that S is complete.

We proceed in several steps.

Step 0. Note that $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, K_R) = 0$ for $i > 0$ by Lemma 4.2. We have that $S \otimes_R K_R \cong K_S$, since φ is Gorenstein [4, (5.1)].

Step 1. Since $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, M) = 0$ for $i > 0$ by assumption and $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, X) = 0$ by Lemma 4.2, we have $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, Y) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Step 2. We show that $S \otimes_R Y$ is of finite injective dimension over S . First note that a module Y is of finite injective dimension if and only if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} I_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} I_0 \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} Y \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}$$

such that I_j is a finite direct sum of K_R ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n$).

As the modules in (4.8) are Tor-independent of S by Step 0 and Step 1, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow S \otimes_R I_n &\xrightarrow{S \otimes_R \varphi_n} S \otimes_R I_{n-1} \xrightarrow{S \otimes_R \varphi_{n-1}} \cdots \\ &\xrightarrow{S \otimes_R \varphi_1} S \otimes_R I_0 \xrightarrow{X \otimes_R \varphi_0} S \otimes_R Y \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Here $S \otimes_R I_j$ is a finite direct sum of K_S by Step 0. Thus, we conclude that $S \otimes_R Y$ is of finite injective dimension as an S -module.

Step 3. We know from Step 2 that $S \otimes_R Y$ is of finite injective dimension. Since $S \otimes_R X$ is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay S -module by Lemma 4.2, and (4.4) is an exact sequence by $\text{Tor}_1^R(S, M) = 0$, we have that (4.4) is a Cohen–Macaulay approximation of $S \otimes_R M$ over S .

Step 4. Next, we show that the canonical homomorphism

$$S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(K_R, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R K_R, S \otimes_R Y) \tag{4.9}$$

given by $a \otimes f \mapsto a \cdot (S \otimes_R f)$ for $a \in S$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_R(K_R, Y)$ is surjective. As this is obvious when S is R -flat, we may assume that φ is surjective (consider the Cohen-factorization of φ) so that $S = R/I$ for a proper ideal I of R of finite projective dimension. Note that

$$0 \rightarrow I \otimes_R Y \rightarrow Y \rightarrow S \otimes_R Y \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.10}$$

is exact since $\text{Tor}_1^R(S, Y) = 0$, and that $\text{Tor}_i^R(I, Y) \cong \text{Tor}_{i+1}^R(S, Y) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Let \mathbb{F} be a finite free resolution of I . Then, as we have that $F \otimes_R Y \rightarrow I \otimes_R Y$ is quasi-isomorphic and that each term of $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R Y$ is of finite injective dimension, we have that the injective dimension of $I \otimes_R Y$ is finite. From the exact sequence (4.10), we obtain an exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(K_R, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(K_R, S \otimes Y) \rightarrow 0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(K_R, I \otimes_R Y)$$

by Proposition 2.1(a). This shows that the map (4.9) is surjective.

Step 5. By an argument similar to Step 4, we also have that the canonical map

$$S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(X, K_R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R X, S \otimes_R K_R) \tag{4.11}$$

is surjective.

Step 6. Lastly, we prove that the sequence (4.4) is minimal. Assume the contrary. Then there exist $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R X, S \otimes_R K_R)$ and $\tau \in \text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R K_R, S \otimes_R Y)$ such that $\sigma \circ (S \otimes_R f) \circ \tau$ is the identity map of $S \otimes_R K_R$.

By Step 4 and Step 5, we can write $\sigma = \sum_i a_i (S \otimes_R \sigma_i)$ and $\tau = \sum_j b_j (S \otimes_R \tau_j)$ for some $\sigma_i \in \text{Hom}_R(X, K_R)$, $\tau_j \in \text{Hom}_R(K_R, Y)$, and $a_i, b_j \in S$. As we have $\sigma \circ (S \otimes_R f) \circ \tau = \text{id}$, we have $S \otimes_R (\sum_i \sum_j a_i b_j (\sigma_i \circ f \circ \tau_j)) = 1$ in $\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R K_R, S \otimes_R K_R) \cong S$. This shows that, at least for one (i, j) , we have that $\sigma_i \circ f \circ \tau_j \in \text{Hom}_R(K_R, K_R) = R$ is not contained in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , because φ is local. This shows that Y and X have the common direct summand K_R through f , and this is a contradiction. ■

5. MAIN THEOREM

Our main result in this paper is the following.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $\varphi: (R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a flat local homomorphism of Gorenstein local rings, and $F = S/\mathfrak{m}S$ be the closed fiber. Then we have*

$$\text{index}(S) \leq \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F).$$

Proof. We proceed in two steps.

Step 1. We first prove Theorem 5.1 for $d = \dim F = 0$. Let $f = \ell\ell(F)$ and $r = \text{index}(R)$. By definition of Loewy length, we have $\mathfrak{n}^f \subset \mathfrak{m}S$, and it follows $\mathfrak{n}^{fr} \subset \mathfrak{m}^r S$. Since there is an epimorphism $S/\mathfrak{n}^{fr} \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{m}^r S \cong S \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^r$, we know that $\delta_S(S/\mathfrak{n}^{fr}) \geq \delta_S(S/\mathfrak{m}^r S)$ by Proposition 2.6. But Corollary 4.6 shows $\delta_S(S/\mathfrak{m}^r S) = \delta_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^r) > 0$, and this shows that $\text{index}(S) \leq \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F)$.

Step 2. Next we prove Theorem 5.1 in general. Let $f = \ell\ell(F)$. Then we can find a system of parameters $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_d \in F$ such that $\ell\ell(\bar{F}) = \ell\ell(F)$, where $\bar{F} = F/(\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_d)F$. We set $\bar{S} = S/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)S$, where x_i is a preimage of \bar{x}_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$), and define the local homomorphism $\psi: R \rightarrow \bar{S}$ as the composite $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} S \rightarrow \bar{S}$, where the second arrow is the natural map. Then x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d is an S -regular sequence and ψ is flat by Corollary of Theorem 22.5 in [15].

By Step 1, we have $\text{index}(\bar{S}) \leq \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(\bar{F})$. Thus we know that $\text{index}(S) \leq \text{index}(\bar{S}) \leq \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(\bar{F}) = \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F)$ by Theorem 1.1.

COROLLARY 5.2. *Let $\varphi: (R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a flat local homomorphism of Gorenstein local rings and $F = S/\mathfrak{m}S$ a regular local ring. Then we have*

$$\text{index}(R) = \text{index}(S).$$

Proof. This is clear because of Theorems 5.1 and 1.1 and the fact $\ell\ell(F) = 1$.

In what follows, k denotes a field.

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let r and f be positive integers, and set

$$R = k[[X]]/(X^r) \subset S = k[[X, Y]]/(X^r, Y^f).$$

Then, S is R -flat, and the closed fiber is $F = k[[Y]]/(Y^f)$. Then $\text{index}(R) = r$, $\text{index}(S) = r + f - 1$, and $\ell\ell(F) = f$. Therefore, we have $\text{index}(S) \leq \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F)$, and equality holds if and only if $r = 1$ or $f = 1$.

EXAMPLE 5.4. With the same r, f , and R as in (5.3), we set $S = k[[X, Y]]/(X^r, X - Y^f) \cong k[[Y]]/(Y^{fr})$. Then, S is flat over R , and we get $F = k[[Y]]/(Y^f)$ and $\text{index}(S) = fr$. Therefore, we have $\text{index}(S) = \text{index}(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F)$.

We say that a \mathbb{Z} -graded k -algebra $R = \bigoplus_i R_i$ is *homogeneous* when R is generated by finite degree one elements over $R_0 = k$. The *Hilbert series* $H_R(t)$ of R is defined by $H_R(t) := \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim_k R_i t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. It is known that $Q_R(t) := (1 - t)^d H_R(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, where $d = \dim R$. The degree of $Q_R(t)$ is denoted by $s(R)$. In [14], a graded analogue of δ -invariants, indices, and generalized Loewy lengths are discussed. Herzog [14] proved that for a homogeneous Gorenstein k -algebra R , we have $\text{index}(R) = s(R) + 1 = \ell\ell(R)$, provided k is infinite. This result is generalized by Ding in [13].

EXAMPLE 5.5. Let R and F be Gorenstein homogeneous k -algebras. Then, $S := R \otimes_k F$ is flat over R with the fiber F , and we have $\text{index}(S) = \text{index}(R) + \text{index}(F) - 1$.

Proof. We may extend the base field k if necessary, and may assume that k is infinite by Corollary 5.2. Obviously, we have $H_S(t) = H_R(t)H_F(t)$. The equality follows from this and Herzog's theorem.

6. LOEWY LENGTHS OF ARTINIAN MODULES

In this section, R is a local ring which is not necessarily Cohen–Macaulay. Let $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$ be an ideal of R . We set $G = \text{Gr}_I R$, and let \mathfrak{M} denote the graded maximal ideal of G . As for G -modules, we only consider graded ones. The Loewy length $\ell\ell_G(H)$ of an artinian G -module is the smallest integer n such that $\mathfrak{M}^n H = 0$ (if one prefers local rings, then he or she might consider $G_{\mathfrak{M}}$). For an R -module M and $m \in M$, we denote the initial form of m in $\text{Gr}_I M$ by $\text{in}_I(m)$.

LEMMA 6.1. *Let M be an artinian R -module. Then we have $\ell\ell_G(\text{Gr}_I M) \leq \ell\ell_R(M)$.*

Proof. There exists some $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\text{in}_I(x_1), \dots, \text{in}_I(x_r)$ generates \mathfrak{M} . We set $\ell\ell(\text{Gr}_I M) = s + 1$. Then, there exists some $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_s \leq r$ and $m \in M$ such that $\text{in}_I(x_{i_1}) \cdots \text{in}_I(x_{i_s}) \cdot \text{in}_I(m) \neq 0$. This shows

$$\text{in}(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} m) = \text{in}_I(x_{i_1}) \cdots \text{in}_I(x_{i_s}) \cdot \text{in}_I(m) \neq 0,$$

and hence $x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_s} m \neq 0$. ■

LEMMA 6.2. *Let M be an artinian R -module. If $I = \mathfrak{m}$, then we have $\ell\ell_G(\text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} M) = \ell\ell_R(M)$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathfrak{M}^s \text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} M = 0$. Then we have $\mathfrak{m}^s M / \mathfrak{m}^{s+1} M = 0$, and hence $\mathfrak{m}^s M = 0$. From this and Lemma 6.1, the result follows. ■

PROPOSITION 6.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) and (S, \mathfrak{n}) be local rings, and $R \rightarrow S$ a flat local homomorphism with the artinian closed fiber $F = S/\mathfrak{m}S$. For an artinian R -module M , we have*

$$\ell\ell_R(M) + \ell\ell(F) - 1 \leq \ell\ell_S(S \otimes_R M) \leq \ell\ell_R(M) \cdot \ell\ell(F).$$

Proof. We set $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$, $R' = \text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} R$, $M' = \text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}} M$, and $S' = \text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}S} S$. The graded maximal ideals of R' and S' are denoted by \mathfrak{m}' and \mathfrak{n}' , respectively. Then, $S' \cong F \otimes_k R'$ is flat over R' , and we have an S' -isomorphism $\text{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m}'S'}(S \otimes_R M) \cong F \otimes_k M'$. We set $f = \ell\ell(F)$ and $r = \ell\ell_R(M)$.

Then, we have

$$(\mathfrak{m}')^{f+r-2}(F \otimes_k M') \supset \mathfrak{m}^{f-1}F \otimes_k (\mathfrak{m}')^{r-1}M' \neq 0$$

by Lemma 6.2. Hence, we have

$$\ell\ell_S(S \otimes_R M) \geq \ell\ell_{S'}(\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathfrak{m},S}(S \otimes_R M)) > f + r - 2$$

by Lemma 6.1, and the first inequality follows.

As we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^{rf}(S \otimes_R M) \subset \mathfrak{m}^r(S \otimes_R M) = 0,$$

the second inequality is obvious. ■

COROLLARY 6.4. *Let $R \rightarrow S$ be a flat homomorphism of artinian local rings with the fiber F . Then, we have*

$$\ell\ell(R) + \ell\ell(F) - 1 \leq \ell\ell(S) \leq \ell\ell(R) \cdot \ell\ell(F).$$

Examples can be found in Section 5.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Professor S. Goto for suggesting to us the possibility of an inequality for indices which involves the fiber ring. Our special thanks are also due to Professor Y. Yoshino and Professor K. Yoshida for valuable advice.

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and R. O. Buchweitz, The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations, *Mém. Soc. Math. France* **38** (1989), 5–37.
2. M. Auslander, S. Ding, and Ø. Solberg, Liftings and weak liftings of modules, *J. Algebra* **156** (1993), 273–317.
3. L. L. Avramov, Locally complete intersection homomorphisms and vanishing of André-Quillen homology, in “Commutative Algebra: Extended Abstracts of an International Conference, Jul. 27–Aug. 1, 1994. Vechta, Germany” (W. Bruns, J. Herzog, M. Hochster, and U. Vetter, Eds.), pp. 20–24, Vechtaer Universitätsschriften, Vol. **13**, 1994.
4. L. L. Avramov and H.-B. Foxby, Locally Gorenstein homomorphisms, *Amer. J. Math.* **114** (1992), 1007–1047.
5. L. L. Avramov and H.-B. Foxby, Cohen-Macaulay properties of ring homomorphisms, *Adv. Math.*, to appear.
6. L. L. Avramov, H.-B. Foxby, and B. Herzog, Structure of local homomorphisms, *J. Algebra* **164** (1994), 121–145.
7. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, “Cohen-Macaulay Rings,” Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1993.

8. D. A. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, What makes a complex exact?, *J. Algebra* **25** (1973), 259–268.
9. D. A. Buchsbaum and D. S. Rim, A generalized Koszul complex. II. Depth and multiplicity, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1964), 197–225.
10. S. Ding, Cohen-Macaulay approximation and multiplicity, *J. Algebra* **153** (1992), 271–288.
11. S. Ding, A note on the index of Cohen-Macaulay rings, *Comm. Algebra* **1** (1993), 53–71.
12. S. Ding, The associated graded ring and the index of a Gorenstein local ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120** (1994), 1029–1033.
13. S. Ding, Auslander's δ -invariants of Gorenstein local rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **122** (1994), 649–656.
14. J. Herzog, On the index of a homogeneous Gorenstein ring, *Contemp. Math.* **159** (1994), 95–102.
15. H. Matsumura, "Commutative Ring Theory," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
16. R. Y. Sharp, Finitely generated modules of finite injective dimension over certain Cohen-Macaulay rings, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **25** (1971), 303–328.
17. A. Shida, On indices of local rings along local homomorphism, *Comm. Algebra*, in press.
18. Y. Yoshino, "Cohen-Macaulay Modules over Cohen-Macaulay Rings," Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
19. Y. Yoshino, Cohen-Macaulay approximations, in "Proc. 4th Symposium on Representation Theory of Algebras, Izu, Japan, 1993," pp., 119–138. [In Japanese]
20. Y. Yoshino, On the higher delta-invariants of a Gorenstein local ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press.