JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 73, 156-166 (1981)

The Number of Generators of a Colength *N* Ideal in a Power Series Ring

DAVID BERMAN*

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Communicated by D. Buchsbaum

Received August 27, 1980

If I is an ideal in $R = k[[x_1,...,x_r]]$, the colength of I is defined to be $\dim_k R/I$. Given N a positive integer, and an ordered system of parameters $x_1,...,x_r$, I will define an ideal I_N , intuitively the ideal of colength N closest to an ideal of the form $m^j = (x_1,...,x_r)^j$ for an appropriate j. If $N = \dim_k R/m^j$ for some j, the ideal $I_N = m^j$ and has generators all of the same degree; otherwise I_N has generators in adjacent degrees. Always there exists j such that $m^j \supseteq I_N \supseteq m^{j+1}$.

In the main theorem, Theorem 1, I show that if I is any colength N graded ideal in R, then the number of generators of $I \leq$ the number of generators of I_N . The number of generators of I refers to the minimal number of homogeneous generators. Since an ideal of finite colength contains a power of the maximal ideal, this result is true for the polynomial ring as well as for the power series ring.

If I is any colength N ideal in R and I^* is the associated graded ideal of I, then the number of generators of $I \leq$ the number of generators of I^* and the colength $I^* = N$. Hence, the theorem implies that the number of generators of any colength N ideal \leq the number of generators of I_N .

The proof of the main theorem relies on a result of Macaulay [3] which reduces the theorem to the case where I is an ideal of colength N generated by monomials. In that case, by pulling out and filling in appropriate monomials in I, a new monomial ideal I' is obtained which has the same colength as I and more generators, and is in a sense "nearer" to I_N . The theorem then follows by induction. Figures are included illustrating the proof of Theorem 1 in the case r = 2, 3, and 4.

Proposition 2 describes asymptotic bounds for the number of generators of m^s in terms of the colength N of m^s . First, if r is held fixed and $s \to \infty$, then

$$\# \text{gens } m^s \cong (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)}.$$

* This paper was part of the author's Ph.D. thesis written at the University of Texas. Austin, 1978 under the supervision of Anthôny Iarrobino. Second, if s is held fixed and $r \to \infty$, then

#gens
$$m^{s} \cong (s/\sqrt[s]{s!})^{-s/(s-1)} N^{s/(s-1)}$$
.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 is Theorem 3, giving a sharp upper bound on the number of generators of an ideal I of colength N in R; the bound is

#gens
$$I \leq (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)}(1+\varepsilon),$$

when $N \ge N(r, \varepsilon)$. Previously, upper bounds of the form $cN^{(1-1/r)}$ were obtained on the number of generators of a colength N ideal in R, by Briançon and Iarrobino [2]. The result of this paper is the first in which the upper bound is sharp. Boratynski *et al.* in [1] have bounded the number of generators of a colength N ideal in a local Cohen-Macaulay ring R. In case $R = K[[x_1,...,x_r]]$, their result is that #gens $I \le (r!/\sqrt[r]{r!})N^{(1-1/r)} + (r-1)$. For a general survey of related problems concerning the number of generators of ideals in local rings, see the book by Sally [4].

I now describe the ideal I_N . Order the monomials in R lexicographically: If $m = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r}$, $m' = x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_r^{j_r}$, then m < m' if

(i) deg
$$m < \deg m'$$

or

(ii) deg $m = \text{deg } m', m \neq m'$, and if *l* is the smallest positive integer such that $i_l \neq j_l$, then $i_l > j_l$.

Let R_j denote the vector space spanned by all degree j forms in R, and W(j, d) denote the vector space spanned by the first d degree j monomials in the order. If N is a positive integer then there exists a positive integer j such that

$$\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \# R_l \leqslant N < \sum_{l=0}^{j} \# R_l.$$

Let

$$t = \left(\sum_{l=0}^{j} \# R_l\right) - N.$$

Define

$$I_N = (W(j, t), m^{j+1}).$$

Then

colength
$$I_N = \dim R/I_N = \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \#R_l + (\#R_j - t)$$

= $\left(\sum_{l=0}^{j} \#R_l\right) - t = N.$

THEOREM 1. If I is any colength N graded ideal, the number of generators of $I \leq the$ number of generators of I_N . (Special case: If $N = \dim_k R/m^j$, then $I_N = m^j$ and has $\dim_k R_j$ generators.)

Proof. Step 1: Reduction to ideals generated by monomials.

Consider graded ideals I with fixed dimension type $T = t_0, ..., t_j, ..., and$ colength N, where $t_j = \dim_k I_j$, with $I_j = I \cap R_j$. Let $s_I(j) =$ number of deg jgenerators of I in a minimal generating set. Then $t_{j+1} = \dim R_1 I_j + s_I(j+1)$ by linear algebra. Macaulay shows in [3] that for vector spaces of forms, V, of degree j with fixed dimension t_j , the dimension of $R_1 V$ is minimal if $V = W(j, t_j)$. It follows that $t_{j+1} \ge \dim R_1 W(j, t_j)$ and that the total number of generators of I, $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} s_I(j)$, is maximal among I of dimension type T, for $I_T = \overset{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} W(j, t_j) = W(j+1, \dim R_1 W(j, t_j)) \subseteq W(j+1, t_{j+1})$. Thus, to prove the theorem, I need only show that the number of generators of $I_T \le$ the number of generators of I_N , for all dimension types T of colength N.

Step 2: Induction over the partially ordered set of types.

Partially order the dimension types so that T < S if

(i) initial degree $I_T <$ initial degree I_S ,

(ii) initial degree I_T = initial degree I_S , and the number of generators of I_T of initial degree > the number of generators of I_S of initial degree.

Observe that if $T_N =$ dimension type of I_N and T = dimension type of any colength N ideal, then $T \leq T_N$; also there are only finitely many possible dimension types T for colength N ideals.

The proof of Theorem 1 is by descending induction, starting at T_N , on the partially ordered set $\{T | T \text{ is the dimension type of a colength } N \text{ ideal}\}$. Suppose, by way of induction, that $T < T_N$ is a fixed dimension type and that for all T with $T < T' \leq T_N$, the number of generators of $I_{T'} \leq$ the number of generators of I_N .

Let

a = last monomial of initial degree in I_T ;

b = first monomial among those of largest degree not in I_T .

Since $T < T_N$, deg $a < \deg b$. Suppose

$$a = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}, \qquad i_n \neq 0$$
$$b = x_1^{i_1'} x_2^{i_2'} \cdots x_m^{i_m'}, \qquad i_m' \neq 0.$$

or

Case 1. $n \leq m$.

In this case, modify the ideal I_T by pulling out the monomial a and filling in the monomial b. The result is an ideal $I_{T'}$ of type T' with T < T' and colength N. As a result of pulling out a, r - n + 1 new generators are created for $I_{T'}$:

$$x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}x_n^{i_n+1}, x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}x_{n+1}, \dots, x_1^{i_1}\cdots x_n^{i_n}x_r.$$

As a result of filling in b, r - m + 1 old generators are lost for $I_{T'}$:

 $x_1^{i_1'}\cdots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}'}x_m^{i_m'+1}, x_1^{i_1'}\cdots x_m^{i_m'}x_{m+1}, \dots, x_1^{i_1'}\cdots x_m^{i_m'}x_r.$

Therefore, if $n \leq m$,

#gens $I_{T'} = \#$ gens I_T + change in #gens

from pulling a + change in #gens from filling b

$$=$$
 #gens $I_T + ((r - n + 1) - 1) + (1 - (r - m + 1))$

$$=$$
 #gens $I_T + (m - n) \ge$ #gens I_T .

Now by the induction hypothesis for T', #gens $I_T \leq \#$ gens I_N .

Note. If R = k[[x, y]], then always $n \le m = 2$. The possible ideals I_T , where T is the type of a colength 10 ideal in k[x, y] are displayed in Fig. 1.

Case 2. n > m (cf. Figs. 2, 3).

It is always true that deg $a \leq \deg b$, and deg $a = \deg b \Rightarrow I_T = I_N$. Suppose deg $a < \deg b$. Because of the choice of I_T , all monomials of degree equal degree of a, but which are less than a, are in I_T . Thus all monomials of degree equal degree of b which are less than $ax_r^{\deg b - \deg a}$ are in I_T . Therefore, $b > ax_r^{\deg b - \deg a}$; and since the degrees of b and $ax_r^{\deg b - \deg a}$ are equal, it must be true that their x_1 exponents satisfy $i'_1 \leq i_1$. Therefore deg $a < \deg b$ and $i'_1 \leq i_1 \Rightarrow i_2 + i_3 + \cdots + i_n \leq i'_2 + i'_3 + \cdots + i'_m$. Now let l denote the largest integer less than or equal to m satisfying

$$i_{l} + i_{l+1} + \dots + i_{n} \leqslant i'_{l} + i'_{l+1} + \dots + i'_{m}.$$
(1)

It follows from the choice of l, that

 $i_{l+1} + \dots + i_n > i'_{l+1} + \dots + i'_m$ if l < m, (2)

or

$$i_{l+1} + \dots + i_n > 0$$
 if $l = m$. (2')

I treat first the case l < m and later the case l = m.



FIG. 1. The ideals I_T , where T is the type of a colength 10 ideal in k[[x, y]], x < y. Explanation: All types in one horizontal level are less than all types in the level directly below. An arrow $A \rightarrow B$ indicates that B was obtained from A by pulling out one monomial and filling in another. Generators are circled.

Case 2a; l < m. In the first case modify the ideal I_T as follows to get an ideal $I_{T'}$, $T < T' \leq T_N$. Pull out all monomials M which satisfy

$$x_{1}^{i_{1}}\cdots x_{l-1}^{i_{l-1}}x_{l}^{(i_{l}+\cdots+i_{n})-(i_{l+1}^{\prime}+\cdots+i_{m}^{\prime})}x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}^{\prime}}\cdots x_{m}^{i_{m}^{\prime}} \leqslant M \leqslant x_{1}^{i_{1}}\cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} = a.$$
 (3)

By (1) and (2) $i_l < (i_l + \dots + i_n) - (i'_{l+1} + \dots + i'_m) \leq i'_l$ so that the left-hand monomial in (3) is well defined and is less than a. Fill in all monomials M' which satisfy

$$b = x_1^{i_1'} \cdots x_m^{i_m'} \leqslant M' \leqslant x_1^{i_1'} \cdots x_{l-1}^{i_{l-1}'} x_l^{(i_l'+\cdots+i_m')-(i_{l+1}+\cdots+i_n)} x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$
 (4)



 I_{τ} = (x²,xy²,xyz,xz²,y³,y²z,m⁴)

FIG. 2. The critical case n > m in k[[x, y, z]], x < y < z. Explanation: In k[[x, y, z]], n > m forces m = 2, n = 3, thus $a = x^{i_1}y^{i_2}z^{i_3}$, $b = x^{i_1}y^{i_2}$; \overline{M} is the set $y^{i_3} \leq \overline{M} \leq z^{i_3}$. In the diagram a = xz, $b = y^3$. To get I_T , from I_T we pull out the constant x-power row of monomials in I_T including a and fill in part of the constant x-power row of monomials in I_T starting with b. I_T , has the property that #gens $I_T \geq \#$ gens I_T (in the diagram both have eight generators). Generators are circled and other monomials in I are triangled off.

By (1) and (2) $i_l \leq (i'_l + \dots + i'_m) - (i_{l+1} + \dots + i_n) < i'_l$ so that the right-hand monomial in (4) is well defined and is greater than b.

Dividing each term in (3) by $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_l^{i_l}$ shows that we pulled all monomials $M = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_l^{i_l} \overline{M}$ with

$$x_{l}^{(i_{l+1}+\cdots+i_n)-(i_{l+1}'+\cdots+i_m')} x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}'} \cdots x_m^{i_m'} \leq \overline{M} \leq x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$
 (5)

Dividing each term in (4) by $x_{l_1}^{i'_1} \cdots x_{l_{-1}}^{i'_{l-1}} x_l^{(i'_1+\cdots+i'_m)-(i_{l+1}+\cdots+i_n)} = c$ shows that we filled in all monomials $M' = c\overline{M}$ with \overline{M} satisfying (5). Hence the number of monomials lost equals the number of monomials added, so colength $I_{T'} =$ colength $I_T = N$.

I now show that the number of generators of $I_{T'}$ equals the number of generators of I_T . Let a' denote the left-hand monomial in (3) and b' denote the right-hand monomial in (4). Since all monomials of degree equal degree of a which are less than a are in I_T , the new generators of degree, deg a + 1, in I_T , will be all monomials M with

 $x_r \cdot (\text{first monomial before } a') < M \leq x_r \cdot a,$



FIG. 3. The critical case n > m in k[[w, x, y, z]], w < x < y < z. There are three subcases: (1) m = 2, n = 3; $a = w^{l_1} x^{l_2} y^{l_3}$; $b = w^{l_1} x^{l_2}$. (2) m = 2, n = 4; $a = w^{l_1} x^{l_2} y^{l_3} z^{l_4}$; $b = w^{l_1} x^{l_2}$. (3) m = 3, n = 4; $a = w^{l_1} x^{l_2} y^{l_3}$; $b = w^{l_1} x^{l_2} y^{l_3}$. Only the monomials having the same degree as a and b and the same constant w power respectively are diagramed for each ideal. These are the only monomials that change from I_T to $I_{T'}$. Set $a = w^{l_1} \overline{a}$, $b = w^{l_1} \overline{b}$. Always it is true that deg $\overline{a} < \deg \overline{b}$. Subcase (3) splits into two subsubcases. (a) There is enough room to switch a single constant w, constant x power row. (b) There is not enough room.

or

$$x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{l-1}^{i_{l-1}} x_{l}^{(i_{l}+\cdots+i_{n})-(i_{l+1}^{i}+\cdots+i_{m}^{i})} x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}}} \cdots \\ \times x_{m-2}^{i_{m-2}^{i_{m-1}}} x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}} x_{r}^{i_{m-1}} x_{r} < M \leqslant x_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} x_{r}.$$
(6)

Since all monomials of degree equal degree of b which are less than b are in I_T and since all monomials of degree equal degree b + 1 are in I_T , the generators lost from those of I_T will be all monomials M' of degree, deg b + 1, with

 $x_r \cdot (\text{first monomial before } b) < M' \leq x_r \cdot b',$

or

$$x_{1}^{i_{1}'} \cdots x_{m-2}^{i_{m-2}'} x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}'+1} x_{m}^{i_{m}'-1} x_{r} < M' \leqslant x_{1}^{i_{1}'} \cdots \times x_{l-1}^{i_{l-1}'} x_{l}^{(i_{l}'+\cdots+i_{m}')-(i_{l+1}+\cdots+i_{n})} x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}'} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} x_{r}.$$
(7)

Dividing each term in (7) by $x_1^{i'_1} \cdots x_{l-1}^{i'_{l-1}} x_l^{(i'_l+\cdots+i'_m)-(i_{l+1}+\cdots+i_n)} = c'$ shows that the generators lost will be all monomials $M' = c'\overline{M}'$ with

$$x_{l+1}^{(i_{l+1}+\cdots+i_n)-(i_{l+1}^{\prime}+\cdots+i_m^{\prime})}x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}^{\prime}}\cdots x_{m-2}^{i_{m-2}^{\prime}}x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}+1}x_m^{i_m-1}x_r < \bar{M}^{\prime} \leq x_{l+1}^{i_{l+1}}\cdots x_n^{i_n}x_r$$
(8)

Dividing each term in (6) by $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_l^{i_l}$ shows that the new generators are all monomials $M = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_l^{i_l} \overline{M'}$ with $\overline{M'}$ satisfying (8). Therefore the number of new generators equals the number of generators lost. Hence the number of generators of I_T , equals the number of generators of I_T . By the induction hypothesis applied to $I_{T'}$, the number of generators of $I_T \leqslant$ the number of generators of I_N .

Case 2b; l = m. The remaining case is when l = m; i.e., $i_m + \cdots + i_n \leq i'_m$. Then pull out all monomials M which satisfy

$$x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}} x_m^{i_m + \cdots + i_n} \leqslant M \leqslant x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} = a.$$

Fill in all monomials M' that satisfy

$$b = x_1^{i_1'} \cdots x_m^{i_m'} \leqslant M' \leqslant x_1^{i_1'} \cdots x_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}'} x_m^{i_m' - (i_{m+1} + \cdots + i_n)} x_{m+1}^{i_{m+1}} \cdots x_n^{i_n}.$$

Proceeding as before we find colength $I_{T'} = \text{colength } I_T = N$ and number of generators of $I_{T'}$ equals the number of generators of I_T . Now use the induction hypothesis again to get the number of generators of $I_T \leq \text{the}$ number of generators of I_N .

I now give asymptotic bounds for the number of generators of m^s in terms of the colength N of m^s . An idea of the constants involved is given by $r/\sqrt[r]{r!} < e$ and $\lim_{r\to\infty} r/\sqrt[r]{r!} = e$.

PROPOSITION 2. Given $m^s \subset k[[x_1,...,x_r]]$, colength $m^s = N$.

(A) If r is held fixed and $s \to \infty$, then

#gens $m^{s} \cong (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)}$.

In fact $(r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} \leq \# \text{gens } m^s \leq (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} [1+(r-1)/2s].$

(B) If s is held fixed and $r \to \infty$, then

#gens
$$m^{s} \cong (s/\sqrt[s]{s!})^{-s/(s-1)} N^{s/(s-1)}$$
.

In fact

$$(s/\sqrt[s]{s!})^{-s/(s-1)}N^{s/(s-1)} \leqslant \# \text{gens } m^s \leqslant (s/\sqrt[s]{s!})^{-s/(s-1)}N^{s/(s-1)} [1+(s-1)/2r].$$

Proof of A.

$$N = {\binom{r+s-1}{s-1}} = \frac{(r+s-1)!}{r!(s-1)!}$$

#gens $m^s = {\binom{r+s-1}{s}} = \frac{(r+s-1)!}{(r-1)!s!}$ $\Rightarrow \frac{rN}{s} =$ #gens m^s

#gens $m^{s}/(r/\sqrt[s]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} = (rN/s)/(r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} = \frac{(Nr!)^{1/r}}{s}$

$$=\frac{1}{s}\left(\frac{(r+s-1)!}{(s-1)!}\right)^{1/r}=\frac{1}{s}\left((r+s-1)\cdot(r+s-2)\cdot\cdots\cdot(r+s-r)\right)^{1/r}.$$

Now if $l_1,..., l_r$ are positive numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^r l_i = K$, K fixed, then $\prod_{i=1}^r l_i$ is a maximum when $l_i = K/r$, $\forall i = 1,...,r$. (This is easily seen if r = 2, and the general case follows from the r = 2 case.) Thus

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{s} \left((r+s-1) \cdot (r+s-2) \cdot \dots \cdot (r+s-r) \right)^{1/r}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{s} \left(\left(\frac{(r+s-1) + (r+s-2) + \dots + s}{r} \right)^r \right)^{1/r}$$

$$= \frac{1}{s} \left(\frac{r^2 + rs - (r(r+1)/2)}{r} \right) = 1 + \left(\frac{r-1}{2s} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$1 \leq \# \text{gens } m^s / (r / \sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} \leq 1 + [(r-1)/2s].$$
(9)

It follows that if r is held fixed and $s \to \infty$

#gens
$$m^s \cong (r/\sqrt{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)}$$
.

Proof of B. Since N = (r+s-1)!/r!(s-1)! and #gens $m^s = (r+s-1)!/(r-1)!s!$, interchanging r and s has the effect of interchanging N and #gens m^s . Thus by (9),

$$1 \leq N/((s/\sqrt[s]{s!})) \# gens m^s)^{(1-1/s)} \leq 1 + [(s-1)/2r].$$

Therefore,

$$1 \leq \#\text{gens } m^{s} / ((s/\sqrt[s]{s!})^{-s/(s-1)} N^{s/(s-1)} \leq \{1 + [(s-1)/2r]\}^{-s/(s-1)}$$

This means that if s is held fixed and $r \to \infty$,

$$\#\text{gens } m^s \cong (s/\sqrt[s]{s!})^{-s/(s-1)} N^{s/(s-1)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 is a sharp upper bound on the number of generators of a graded ideal I of colength N in $R = k[[x_1,...,x_r]]$.

THEOREM 3. Let I be a graded ideal of colength N. Then

$$\#$$
gens $I \leq (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} (1 + \varepsilon(N, r))$

where $\varepsilon(N, r)$ is a function of N and r, and for fixed r, $\lim_{N\to\infty} \varepsilon(N, r) = 0$.

Proof. Let s(N) be the unique positive integer such that $m^{s(N)-1} \not\supseteq I_N \supset m^{s(N)}$. From the definition of I_N , it is clear that $\#\text{gens } m^{s(N)-1} \leqslant \#\text{gens } I_N \leqslant \#\text{gens } m^{s(N)}$, and also that colength $m^{s(N)-1} < N \leqslant$ colength $m^{s(N)}$. Thus if we set N' = colength $m^{s(N)}$, then $N' - N < \#\text{gens } m^{s(N)-1}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1 and Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \#\text{gens } I \leqslant \#\text{gens } I_N \leqslant \#\text{gens } m^{s(N)} \leqslant (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N'^{(1-1/r)} \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{2s(N)}\right) \\ &= (r/\sqrt[r]{r!})(N + (N' - N))^{(1-1/r)} \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{2s(N)}\right) \\ &\leqslant (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) \left(N + (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{2s(N) - 2}\right)\right)^{(1-1/r)} \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{2s(N)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)} \left(1 + (r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{-1/r} \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{2s(N)-2} \right) \right)^{(1-1/r)} \times \left(1 + \frac{r-1}{2s(N)} \right).$$

By the binomial theorem, the last line equals

$$(r/\sqrt[r]{r!}) N^{(1-1/r)}(1+\varepsilon(N,r)),$$

where $\varepsilon(N, r)$ is a function of N and r, and for fixed r, $\lim_{N \to \infty} \varepsilon(N, r) = 0.$

References

- 1. M. BORATYNSKI, D. EISENBUD, AND D. REES, On the number of generators of ideals in local Cohen-Macaulay rings, preprint.
- 2. J. BRIANÇON AND A. IARROBINO, Dimension of the punctual Hilbert scheme, J. Algebra 55(1978), 536-544.
- 3. F. S. MACAULAY, Some properties of enumeration in the theory of modular systems, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 26 (1927), 531-555.
- 4. J. D. SALLY, "Number of Generators of Ideals in Local Rings," Dekker, New York, 1978.