



King Saud University

Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences

www.ksu.edu.sa
www.sciencedirect.com

 الجمعية السعودية لعلم الحياتة
 SAUDI BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* on green beans



A.S. Al-Hazmi *, S.N. Al-Nadary

Department of Plant Protection, King Saud University, P.O Box 2460, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

Received 25 December 2014; accepted 13 April 2015

Available online 21 April 2015

KEYWORDS

 Interaction;
Meloidogyne spp.;
Phaseolus vulgaris;
Rhizoctonia;
 Root-knot nematodes;
 Root rot;
 Synergism

Abstract The interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* (race 2) and *Rhizoctonia solani* (AG 4) in a root rot disease complex of green beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) was examined in a greenhouse pot experiment. Three week-old seedlings (cv. Contender) were inoculated with the nematode and/or the fungus in different combinations and sequences. Two months after last nematode inoculation, the test was terminated and data were recorded. The synchronized inoculation by both pathogens (N + F) increased the index of *Rhizoctonia* root rot and the number of root galls; and suppressed plant growth, compared to controls. However, the severity of root rot and suppression of plant growth were greater and more evident when inoculation by the nematode preceded the fungus (N → F) by two weeks. Nematode reproduction (eggs/g root) was adversely affected by the presence of the fungus except by the synchronized inoculation. When inoculation by nematode preceded the fungus, plant growth was severely suppressed and roots were highly damaged and rotted leading to a decrease of root galls and eggs.

© 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Green beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is a very important summer vegetable crop in Saudi Arabia, and grown in the open fields and greenhouses mainly for its green pods. The crop is frequently attacked by *Meloidogyne javanica* (Treub), Chitwood and *Meloidogyne incognita* (Kofoid & White) Chitwood (Al-Hazmi, 1985; Al-Hazmi et al., 1995). Green

beans are also very susceptible to *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn (Hall, 1991). In a field survey of fungal pathogens associated with green beans in the central region of Saudi Arabia, 17 species of pathogenic fungi were recorded (Al-Osaimi, 2005). Among these fungi, *R. solani* was found to be the second most common species and the most severe on green beans. The fungus *R. solani* was found frequently associated with the root-knot nematodes. Interaction between these two pathogens in our field soils might play a very damaging role in our green bean fields.

Since the first recorded case of nematode–fungus interaction in 1892 (Atkinson, 1892), interest in such interactions and their damages to many economic crops has been attracting many scientists. Interactions between the root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* species) and the root rot fungus *R. solani* have been studied and documented in several host crops including

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: asalhazmi@ksu.edu.sa (A.S. Al-Hazmi).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

green beans. Several reviews on the subject have been published (Powell, 1971; Back et al., 2002; Shahzad and Ghaffar, 1992; Mai and Abawi, 1987; Evans and Haydock, 1993).

Several reports indicated that *Rhizoctonia*-root rot was more severe in the presence of root-knot nematodes, including the root rot disease complex caused by *R. solani* and *M. incognita* on green beans (France and Abawi, 1994; Mokbel et al., 2007; Abuzar, 2013; Ali and Venugopal, 1992; Batten and Powell, 1971; Chahal and Chhabra, 1984; Shahzad and Ghaffar, 1995; Sharma and Gill, 1979; Anwar and Khan, 2002; Reddy et al., 1979; Bhagwati et al., 2007). Most of these reports indicate a synergistic interaction between these two important pathogens. *Rhizoctonia*-root rot generally affects seedlings, but fungus can also infect mature plants and induce root rot leading to plant wilt and finally death of infected plants.

The objective of this present study was to evaluate the interaction of *M. incognita* (race-2) and a local isolate of *R. solani* in a root rot disease complex of green beans (cv. Contender) under the greenhouse conditions.

2. Materials and methods

This greenhouse experiment consisted of individual, concomitant and sequential inoculation of *M. incognita* (race-2) and *R. solani* (AG4). The test included six treatments, namely: (1) *M. incognita* alone (N); (2) *R. solani* alone (F); (3) both pathogens simultaneously (N + F); (4) nematode first and then fungus two weeks later (N → F); (5) fungus first and then nematode two weeks later (F → N); and (6) control (non-inoculated seedlings) (Table 1).

The nematode inoculum consisted of eggs which were extracted in 0.05% sodium hypochlorite (Hussy and Barker, 1973) from a pure greenhouse culture of *M. incognita* (race-2) on tomato plants. The egg suspension was immediately washed several times with sterilized distilled water, and then, adjusted to contain 1200 eggs/ml of the suspension. The nematode inoculum used was 12,000 eggs/seedling.

A pure culture, on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA), of *R. solani* originally isolated from green bean plants from local fields, was obtained from the Mycology Unit (Dr. Saleh El-Hussaini), laboratory of fungal plant diseases, Department of Plant Protection, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The fungus was, then, maintained on PDA in petri plates (at 25–27 °C) for a week. For inoculum

preparation, 250 ml conical flasks, each containing about 10 g of barley grains soaked overnight in sterilized distilled water, were used. The media in flasks were autoclaved for 30 min in two consecutive days. After the flasks were cooled, each one was inoculated with a small block (5 mm diam.) taken from the periphery of the 7-day-old cultures on PDA. The flasks were, then, incubated at 27 ± 2 °C for two weeks. During incubation, the flasks were shaken twice a day to ensure the proper growth of fungal mycelium on the barley seeds. The fungal-colonized barley seeds were used as inoculum at the rate of 15 g/seedling.

The green bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivar used in this study was “Contender” which is known to be susceptible to both *M. incognita* (race-2) and the fungus *R. solani*. Uniform 3-week-old seedlings were transplanted singly into sterilized plastic pots (14 cm diam.) containing a steam-sterilized mixture (1500 g soil) of equal parts of sand, soil, and peat moss. The seedlings were fertilized with Hogland’s solution and left in the greenhouse for two weeks before treatments.

At inoculations with nematode and/or fungus, each seedling was inoculated with 12,000 eggs and/or 15 g of the fungus inoculum on barley grains depending on the designated treatment (Table 2). Non-inoculated seedlings served as control. The nematode egg inoculum, suspended in 10 ml of water, was equally distributed through three small holes made in the soil around the seedling stem and deep enough to contact the roots. Inoculation with the fungus was made by distributing and mixing the fungal inoculum thoroughly with the soil surface of the designated pots. Each treatment was replicated five times, and treatments were arranged on a bench in the greenhouse (25–27 °C) in a completely randomized design. All seedlings were irrigated and fertilized with Hogland’s solution as needed.

Sixty days after inoculation, plants were uprooted, washed under tap water and growth parameters were recorded. Nematode infection was determined by the number of root galls and host growth, whereas the nematode reproduction was determined by the number of eggs on roots. Gall index (Taylor and Sasser, 1978) and reproduction factor (RF) of the nematode (Oostenbrink, 1966) were calculated. Root rot of each root system was determined according to four categories of root system necrosis: 0 = none; 1 = less than 25%; 2 = 26–50%; 3 = 51–75%; 4 = 76 = 100% (Aoyagi et al., 1998). Disease severity of root rot was also calculated according to the formula by Aoyagi et al. (1998).

Table 1 Effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* (N) and *Rhizoctonia solani* (F) singly and combined on the severity of root rot of green beans.

Treatment*	% root area infected	Root rot dis. index (0–4)**	% Severity of root rot***
F	51 bc	2.2 c	52 bc
N + F	58 b	2.8 b	58 b
N → F	84 a	3.6 a	82 a
F → N	42 c	2.0 c	42 c

Values are means of five replicates. Means, in each column, followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly, different at $P \leq 0.05$.

* Sequences: N + F = simultaneous inoculation, N → F = nematode applied 2 weeks before fungus, F → N = fungus applied 2 weeks before nematode.

** On a scale of 0–4, where 0 = healthy; 1 = 1–25%; 2 = 26–50%; 3 = 51–75%, and 4 = 76–100% root area is infected (Aoyagi et al., 1998).

*** % disease severity = $\frac{\sum \text{Disease index} \times \text{No. plants in each category of the index}}{\text{Higher value of the index} \times \text{No. of all inoculated plants}} \times 100$ (Aoyagi et al., 1998).

Table 2 Effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* (N) and *Rhizoctonia solani* (F) singly and combined on host response of green beans.

Treatment*	Total plant weight (g)	% Change	No. of root galls/g root	% Change
Control	9.7 a	–	0.00	–
N	5.9 b	–38.6	91.0 c	–
F	6.6 b	–32.0	–	–
N + F	5.3 b	–45.8	192.0 a	+111.0
N → F**	2.18 c	–78.0	71.2 c	–22.0
F → N	5.4 b	–44.4	143.0 b	+57.2

Values are means of five replicates. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$.

* Sequences: N + F = simultaneous inoculation, N → F = nematode applied 2 weeks before fungus, F → N = fungus applied 2 weeks before nematode.

** Plants in this treatment were severely damaged.

Data were statistically analyzed (SAS, 2013), and treatment means were separated by protected Fisher's least significant difference (LSD).

3. Results

Our results showed that root rot indices and disease severity (%) increased ($P \leq 0.05$) in plants inoculated with both pathogens (N + F) compared to the plants inoculated with the fungus alone (F) (Table 1). However, the greatest root rot disease was observed when the nematode preceded the fungal inoculation by two weeks

(N → F). This last increase was significantly higher than that of the simultaneous inoculation (N + F). The reciprocal treatment (F → N) did not increase the root rot disease compared to treatment of fungal alone (F) (Table 1).

The total fresh weights of plants inoculated with either or both pathogens were reduced ($P \leq 0.05$) compared to the non-inoculated plants (Table 2). This suppression of plant growth was more ($P \leq 0.05$) severe (–78.0%) when the nematode preceded the fungal inoculation by two weeks (N → F). In fact, plants in this treatment (N → F) were severely damaged and their very small roots were severely rotted and, subsequently, had lesser galls and egg production (Table 2). Number of root galls in the other two treatments (N + F, and F → N) were higher than the nematode alone (N) treatment (Table 2).

Table 3 Effects of *Rhizoctonia solani* (F) on reproduction of *Meloidogyne incognita* (N) on green beans.

Treatment*	Eggs/g of root	Reproduction factor (RF)**
N	241.07 a	129.6 a
N + F	236.07 a	131.0 a
N → F	18.52 c	11.0 c
F → N	57.68 b	30.4 b

Values are means of five replicates. Means, in each column, followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at $P \leq 0.05$.

* Sequences: N + F = simultaneous inoculation, N → F = nematode applied 2 weeks before fungus, F → N = fungus applied 2 weeks before nematode.

** RF = Pf/Pi .

Reproduction of *M. incognita* was adversely affected ($P \leq 0.05$) when the fungus preceded the nematode inoculation (F → N) (Table 3). In the reciprocal treatment (N → F), the roots were severely damaged and had fewer eggs. The concomitant inoculation with both pathogens (N + F) showed similar nematode reproduction to that caused by the nematode alone (N) (Table 3).

4. Discussion

Our results showed that the index of root rot caused by *R. solani* has increased in the presence of the root-knot nematode *M. incognita*. However, the severity of the root rot disease was more ($P \leq 0.05$) pronounced when the inoculation by the nematode preceded the fungus by two weeks (N → F). This increase of root rot indicates that the infection by both pathogens, whether simultaneously or the nematode first, would result in a synergistic interaction leading to a greater plant damage ($1 + 1 > 2$). This was supported by the reciprocal treatments when the fungus preceded the nematode (F → N) where the severity of the root rot was not significant compared to the infection by the fungus alone. The present results support previous similar reports of synergistic effects of *R. solani* and *M. incognita*, on different crops, such as mung beans (Shahzad and Ghaffar, 1995), cardamom (Ali and Venugopal, 1992), sunflower plants (Mokbel et al., 2007), cotton (Carter, 1981), okra (Bhagawati et al., 2007; Safiuddin and Shahab, 2012; Safiuddin et al., 2014), tomato (Chahal and Chhabra, 1984), chili (Abuzar, 2013), potato (Sharma and Gill, 1979), eggplant (El-Nagdi and Abd-El-Khair, 2008), grapes (Walker, 1994) and on other vegetables and field crops (Shahzad and Ghaffar, 1995). The increase of root rot severity in the presence of *M. incognita* may be due to the fact that the infection by this endo-parasitic nematode (*M. incognita*), whether simultaneously (N + F) or prior to the fungal infection (N → F), causes physiological and anatomical great changes in the root tissues leading to predisposing the plants to increased fungal infection (Carter, 1981; Powell, 1968; Porter and Powell, 1967; Batten and Powell, 1971). Giant cells induced by *Meloidogyne* spp. often make suitable sites for the pathogenic and non-pathogenic soil-borne fungi (Mai and Abawi, 1987; Mayol and Bergeson, 1970). All these changes caused by the nematode may explain the great increase of root rot and damage in the plants infected first by the nematode (N → F) compared to the plants in the other two treatments of fungus alone (F) or fungus first (F → N). Similar findings were reported by other researchers (Reddy et al., 1979; Shahzad and Ghaffar, 1992, 1995; Ali and Venugopal, 1992).

Root galls increased in both the synchronized treatment (N → F) and when the fungal preceded the nematode inoculation (F → N). This might be attributed to the increase of the penetration rate by J2 into the roots (Tu and Cheng, 1971). However, the plants inoculated with the nematode first (N → F) were much greatly damaged and their roots were severely rotted. Therefore, these roots became unsuitable for juvenile penetration and nematode development, and have much less galls and eggs.

Growth of plants inoculated with the nematode and/or the fungus was suppressed (32–78%), supporting similar previous findings (Reddy et al., 1979). However, the greatest suppression of growth (78%) was observed when the nematode preceded the fungal inoculation. Undoubtedly, the pathogenic physiological

alterations caused by the nematode and/or the fungus are the main factor for this growth suppression. It has been also reported that green beans infected with *M. incognita* contain much less quantities of chlorophyll, carbohydrates and nitrogenous compounds; and show low capacity to absorb water and nutrients from soil, resulting in a growth and yield decrease (Melakeberhan et al., 1985; Wilcox and Loria, 1986).

The reproduction of *M. incognita* (eggs and RF) was suppressed by the presence of the fungus *R. solani*, especially in the two sequential treatments (F → N, and N → F). This finding agrees with previous similar reports on the adverse effects of several soil-borne fungi on the reproduction of *Meloidogyne* spp. on several crops (Mokbel et al., 2007; Al-Hazmi, 1985; France and Abawi, 1994; Griffin and Thyr, 1988; Sharma and Gill, 1979; Powell, 1971; Mousa and Hague, 1988). The great damage on roots caused by *R. solani* may have affected the nematode feeding process within the root tissues and, subsequently, adversely affected the nematode reproduction. Furthermore, the toxic metabolites produced by many pathogenic fungi may have deteriorated the giant cells which are necessarily for the nematode feeding and, then, reproduction, as well as the juvenile movement (Fattah and Webster, 1989; Mokbel et al., 2007). These effective damages on nematode biology may explain the decrease of a number of eggs produced by the nematode.

Development of a successful strategy to manage this nematode/fungus disease complex should depend primarily on an applicable integrated disease management including suitable different methods to suppress the population of both pathogens in our field soils.

Acknowledgement

The authors are greatly thankful to Dr. Saleh M. El-Hussaini for providing the pure culture of a local isolate of *Rhizoctonia solani* used in this study. The authors also gratefully acknowledge their appreciation to the Agriculture Research Center at the College of Food and Agricultural Sciences and Deanship of Scientific Research, King Saud University for supporting this work.

References

- Abuzar, S., 2013. Antagonistic effects of some fluorescent *Pseudomonas* strains against root rot fungi (*Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum*) and root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne incognita*) on chili (*Capsicum annum*). *World Appl. Sci. J.* 27, 1455–1460.
- Al-Hazmi, A.S., 1985. Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* in a root rot disease complex of French beans. *Phytopathol. Z.* 113, 311–316.
- Al-Hazmi, A.S., Al-Yahya, F.A., Abdulraziq, A.T., 1995. Occurrence, distribution and plant associations of plant nematodes in Saudi Arabia. *Res. Bult. No. 52, Agric. Res. Cent., Coll. Agric., King Saud University.*, 45 pp.
- Ali, S.S., Venugopal, M.N., 1992. Interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* in damping off or rhizome rot disease of cardamon seedlings. *Nematol. Mediterr.* 20, 65–66.
- Al-Osaimi, M., 2005. Pathogenic fungi associated with green beans in Riyadh and Al-Qassim regions, Saudi Arabia (M. Sc thesis). Dept. of plant protection, College of food and Agricultural Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (in Arabic).
- Anwar, A., Khan, F.A., 2002. Studies on the interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Prot. Sci.* 10, 128–130.
- Aoyagi, T., Kageyama, K., Hyakumachi, M., 1998. Characterization and survival of *Rhizoctonia solani* AG2-2 LP associated with large patch disease of *Zoysia* grass. *Plant Dis.* 82, 857–863.
- Atkinson, G.F., 1892. Some Diseases of Cotton. Alabama Polytech. Inst. Agric.-Exp. Stat. Bull. 41, 61–65.
- Back, M.A., Haydock, P.P.J., Jenkinson, P., 2002. Disease complexes involving plant parasitic nematodes and soil-borne pathogens. *Plant Pathol.* 51, 683–697.
- Batten, C.K., Powell, N.T., 1971. The *Rhizoctonia-Meloidogyne* disease complex in flue-cured tobacco. *J. Nematol.* 3, 164–169.
- Bhagawati, B., Das, B.C., Sinha, A.K., 2007. Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* on okra. *Ann. Plant Protect. Sci.* 1, 533–535.
- Carter, W., 1981. The effect of *Meloidogyne incognita* and tissue wounding on severity of seedling disease of cotton caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. *J. Nematol.* 13, 374–376.
- Chahal, P.P.K., Chhabra, H.K., 1984. Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* with *Rhizoctonia solani* on tomato. *Ind. J. Nematol.* 14, 56–57.
- El-Nagdi, W.M.A., Abd-El-Khair, H., 2008. Biological control of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* in eggplant. *Nematol. Medit.* 36, 85–92.
- Evans, K., Haydock, P.P.J., 1993. Interactions of nematodes with root-rot fungi. In: Khan, W. (Ed.), *Nematodes Interactions*. Chapman and Hall, London, UK, pp. 104–133.
- Fattah, F.A., Webster, J.M., 1989. Ultrastructural modifications of *Meloidogyne javanica* induced giant cells caused by fungal culture filtrates. *Rev. Nematol.* 12, 197–210.
- France, R.A., Abawi, G.S., 1994. Interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* on selected bean genotypes. *J. Nematol.* 26, 467–474.
- Griffin, G.D., Thyr, B.D., 1988. Interaction between *Meloidogyne hapla* and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *medicaginis* on Alfalfa. *Phytopathology* 78, 421–425.
- Hall, R., 1991. Compendium of bean diseases. The Amer. Phytopathol. Soc., St. Paul, Minnesota, pp. 73.
- Hussey, R.S., Barker, K.R., 1973. A comparison of methods of collecting inocula of *Meloidogyne* spp., including a new technique. *Plant Dis. Rep.* 57, 1025–1028.
- Mai, W.F., Abawi, G.S., 1987. Interactions among root-knot nematodes and *Fusarium* wilt fungi on host plants. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 25, 317–338.
- Mayol, P.S., Bergeson, G.B., 1970. The role of secondary invader in *Meloidogyne incognita* infection. *J. Nematol.* 2, 80–83.
- Melakeberhan, H., Brook, R.C., Webster, T.M., Auria, J.M.O., 1985. The influence of *Meloidogyne incognita* on the growth, physiology, and nutrient content of *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Physiol. Plant Pathol.* 26, 259–268.
- Mokbel, A.A., Ibrahim, I.K.A., Shehata, M.R.A., El-Saedy, M.A.M., 2007. Interaction between certain root rot disease fungi and root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* on sunflower plants. *Egypt. J. Phytopathol.* 35, 1–11.
- Mousa, E.M., Hagne, N., 1988. Influence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *glycines* on the invasion and development of *Meloidogyne incognita* on soybean. *Rev. Nematol.* 11, 437–439.
- Oostenbrink, M., 1966. Major Characteristics of the Relation Between Nematodes and Plants. *Landbouwhogeschool Wageningen* 66–4, 46 pp.
- Porter, D.M., Powell, N.T., 1967. Influence of certain *Meloidogyne* species on *Fusarium* wilt development in flue-cured tobacco. *Phytopathology* 57, 282–285.
- Powell, N.T., 1968. Disease complex in tobacco involving interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* and Soil-borne fungal pathogens. In: *Proc. First. Int. Cong. Plant Pathol.* London.

- Powell, N.T., 1971. Interactions between nematodes and fungi in disease complexes. *Ann. Rev. Phytopathol.* 9, 253–274.
- Reddy, P.P., Singh, D.B., Sharma, S.R., 1979. Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* in a root rot disease complex of French bean. *Ind. Phytopathol.* 32, 651–652.
- Safiuddin, Shahab, S., 2012. Interactive effect of root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita* and root rot fungus, *Rhizoctonia solani*, on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Protec.* 45, 660–666.
- Safiuddin, Tiyagi, S.A., Rizvi, R., Mahmood, I., 2014. Biological control of disease complexes involving *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* on growth of okra through microbial inoculants. *J. Microbiol. Biotech. Res.* 4, 46–51.
- SAS, 2013. SAS User's guide: Statistics Version (13.1). SAS Institute Inc., Cary, N. C., USA.
- Shahzad, S., Ghaffar, A., 1992. Root-knot and root rot disease complex and their control. In: Dwiwedi, K. (Ed.), *Recent Advances in Nematology*. Bioved Research Society, Allahabad, India, pp. 169–180.
- Shahzad, S., Ghaffar, A., 1995. Effects of *Meloidogyne incognita* on colonization of *Rhizoctonia solani* on mung bean roots. *Pak. J. Nematol.* 13, 35–40.
- Sharma, N.K., Gill, J.S., 1979. Interaction between *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani* on potato. *Ind. J. Phytopathol.* 32, 293–299.
- Taylor, A.L., Sasser, J.N., 1978. *Biology, identification and control of root-knot nematodes (Meloidogyne species)*. North Carolina State University Graphics, Raleigh, NC, USA, 111.
- Tu, C.C., Cheng, Y.H., 1971. Interaction of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Macrophomina phaseolina* in kenaf root rot. *J. Nematol.* 3, 39–42.
- Walker, G.E., 1994. Growth of grapevine rootings in soil from a field nursery naturally infested with *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. *S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic.* 15, 26–32.
- Wilcox, D.A., Loria, R., 1986. Water relations, growth and yield in two snap bean cultivars infected with root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne hapla* (Chitwood). *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 11, 34–38.