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#### Abstract

In this paper we show using a purely combinatorial argument that a finitely generated infinite group such that $f_{E}(n) \leqslant a n^{s}$, where $a$ is a constant, admits for every $\epsilon$ a sequence $\left\{g_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$ of non-unit elements whose centralizer contains more than $i^{1 / 2-\epsilon}$ elements of length less than $i$. Of course, the interest of this result is in the fact that it excludes the possibility that the group is a pure torsion group, since otherwise the existence of the sequence $\left\{g_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$ is obvious. As an application of this result, we show that, in the case where $r<3 / 2$, there exists an element whose centralizer has finite index in $G$. © 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $E$ a finite generating system. For $g \in G$ define the $E$-length of $g$ as the minimal length of an expression of $g$ as a product of elements in $G$ and denote it by $l_{E}(g)$. Denote by $f_{E}(n)$ the function that gives the number of elements of $G$ whose length is less or equal than $n$. We will say that $G$ has polynomial growth if there exist $a, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $f_{E}(n) \leqslant a n^{s}$. It can be shown that the polynomiality of growth does not depend on the choice of the generating system, so that having polynomial growth is an intrinsic property of a group. This notion was introduced by J. Milnor [4] in order to study the relationship between curvature and the growth of the volume of the spheres in a Riemannian manifold. A famous result of M. Gromov [1] states that a group of polynomial growth contains a subgroup of finite index that is nilpotent.

In this paper we study the relationships between growth and conjugacy. We show using a combinatorial argument that if $G$ is a finitely generated infinite group of polynomial

[^0]growth then, for every $\epsilon$ and for arbitrarily large $n$, we can find an element $g \in G$ whose centralizer grows locally more than $n^{1 / 2-\epsilon}$, that is $f_{E}^{C_{G}(g)}(n) \geqslant n^{1 / 2-\epsilon}$. If, moreover, $r<3 / 2$, we will see that there exists an element whose centralizer has finite index.

## 2. First definitions and lemmas

Throughout this paper we will suppose that $G$ is a finitely generated infinite group of polynomial growth, as defined in Section 1, and $E$ a finite generating subset. We will suppose that we have chosen an order on $E$. By $G_{n}$ we will denote the set of the elements of $G$ whose length is less than $n$, by $G_{m, n}$ the set of the elements whose length is greater or equal than $m$ and less than $n$, and by $V_{n, x}$ the set $G_{x n,(x+1) n}$. As usual, for $g \in G, g^{S}$ will denote the set of the elements of $G$ of the form $s^{-1} g s$, with $s \in S$. If $H<G$, we will denote by $f_{E}^{H}(n)$ the function that gives the number of elements of $H$ whose $E$-length is less or equal than $n$. Notice that $H$ needs not to be finitely generated.

For short, since no confusion can arise from the context, we will adopt the convention of writing $C(g)$ for $C_{G}(g)$ (the centralizer of $g$ in $\left.G\right), l(g)$ for $l_{E}(g), f(n)$ for $f_{E}(n)$, and $f^{H}(n)$ for $f_{E}^{H}(n)$. Moreover, by $\log (n)$ we will mean $\log _{2}(n)$.

Definition 2.1. Set $S=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mid \exists n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad f(n) \leqslant n^{t}, \forall n>n_{0}\right\}$. As, by hypothesis, $S \neq \emptyset$, we denote by $r$ the infimum of $S$. We will call it the degree of growth of $G$ relative to $E$.

An immediate property of $r$ is the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. For every $\epsilon>0$ we have $f(n) \leqslant n^{r+\epsilon}$ for sufficiently large $n$ and $f(n)>n^{r-\epsilon}$ for infinitely many $n$.

Here and in the following, $\epsilon$ will be positive.
Lemma 2.3. Let $x, y \in G$ then $|l(x y)-l(x)| \leqslant l(y)$.
Proof. Immediate.
Lemma 2.4. Let $A \subseteq G_{n}$ and $g \in G$. Then $\left|g^{A}\right| \geqslant|A| /\left(f^{C(g)}(2 n)\right)$.
Proof. If $a, b \in A$ then $g^{a}=g^{b}$ only if $a=b x$, with $x \in C(g)$ and $l(x) \leqslant 2 n$, since $x=b^{-1} a$.

## 3. Intervals of rapid growth

The condition $f(n) \leqslant a n^{s}$ does not say much on the cardinality of the sets $V_{n, i}$, whose variation can in principle be very erratic. Nevertheless, we can give an estimate on the set of the indices $i$ such that $V_{n, i}$ does not increase or decrease too rapidly w.r.t. $V_{n, i-1}$.

In the following two sections, we will suppose $r \geqslant 1$. In this section, we will suppose also that $n$ is fixed.

Definition 3.1. Let $c>1$ and $h>0$. We will say that an interval $[h, k]$ is an increasing (respectively decreasing) $c$-interval if, for every $i$ in $\left[h, k\right.$ ] we have $c<\left|V_{n, i+1}\right| /\left|V_{n, i}\right|$ (respectively $c<\left|V_{n, i-1}\right| /\left|V_{n, i}\right|$ ). We will say that it is a maximal increasing or decreasing interval, if it is maximal w.r.t. this property.

Definition 3.2. Set $C=\bigcup[h, k]$, where $[h, k]$ are the maximal increasing 2-intervals. Set $D=\bigcup[h, k]$, where $[h, k]$ are the maximal decreasing 2-intervals. Set $T=(C \cup D)$ and $I=\mathbb{N} \backslash T$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $i \in I$. Then $\left|V_{n, i+1}\right| /\left|V_{n, i}\right| \leqslant 2$ and $\left|V_{n, i-1}\right| /\left|V_{n, i}\right| \leqslant 2$.
Corollary 3.4. Let $i \in I$. Then $\left|G_{(i-1) n,(i+2) n}\right| /\left|V_{n, i}\right| \leqslant 5$.
Proof. Immediate, by Lemma 3.3, since $G_{(i-1) n,(i+2) n}=V_{n, i-1} \cup V_{n, i} \cup V_{n, i+1}$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $[h, k]$ be a maximal increasing (respectively decreasing) 2-interval. Then $k+1 \in I$ (respectively $h-1 \in I$ ).

Proof. Let [ $h, k$ ] be increasing. Then $2<\left|V_{n, k+1}\right| /\left|V_{n, k}\right|$ and $\left|V_{n, k}\right| /\left|V_{n, k+1}\right|<1 / 2$, which implies that $k+1$ cannot belong to a decreasing 2 -interval. On the other hand, by the maximality of $[h, k], k+1$ cannot belong to an increasing 2 -interval. Let $[h, k]$ be decreasing. Then $2<\left|V_{n, h-1}\right| /\left|V_{n, h}\right|$, which implies that $h-1$ does not belong to an increasing interval. It cannot belong to a decreasing interval either, by the maximality of [ $h, k]$.

Lemma 3.6. Let $G$ be infinite. Let $\epsilon<1 / 4$. Then, for any integer sequence $l_{n, \epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n^{1-4 \epsilon} \leqslant l_{n, \epsilon} \leqslant n^{1-2 \epsilon}$ one has $f\left(n l_{n, \epsilon}\right) \leqslant n^{2 r-\epsilon}$ for sufficiently large $n$.

Proof. Choose $n$ sufficiently large and $l_{n, \epsilon}$ so that $n^{1-4 \epsilon} \leqslant l_{n, \epsilon} \leqslant n^{1-2 \epsilon}$. For every $\delta>0$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
f\left(n l_{n, \epsilon}\right) \leqslant\left(n l_{n, \epsilon}\right)^{r+\delta} \leqslant n^{r+\delta} n^{(1-2 \epsilon)(r+\delta)}=n^{2 r+2 \delta-2 \epsilon r-2 \epsilon \delta}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$. Since $r \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
2 \delta_{0}-2 \epsilon r-2 \epsilon \delta_{0} \leqslant-\frac{3}{2} \epsilon
$$

for some sufficiently small $\delta_{0}$. Then

$$
f\left(n l_{n, \epsilon}\right) \leqslant n^{2 r-3 \epsilon / 2} \leqslant n^{2 r-\epsilon}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$.

Definition 3.7. Set $I_{n, \epsilon}=I \cap\left[1, l_{n, \epsilon}\right]$ and $T_{n, \epsilon}=T \cap\left[1, l_{n, \epsilon}\right]$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\epsilon<1 / 8$ and let $l_{n, \epsilon}$ be as in Lemma 3.6. There exists a constant $c$ such that if $[h, k] \subseteq\left[1, l_{n, \epsilon}\right]$ is a 2 -interval (increasing or decreasing), then $k-h \leqslant c \log \left(l_{n, \epsilon}\right)$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $[h, k]$ is increasing. Since $\left|V_{n, h}\right| \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
2^{k-h} \leqslant 2^{k-h}\left|V_{n, h}\right| \leqslant\left|V_{n, k}\right| .
$$

But $\left|V_{n, k}\right| \leqslant f\left(n^{2}\right) \leqslant n^{2 r+\delta}$, where $\delta$ is a constant, for sufficiently large $n$, by Lemma 2.2. Then $2^{k-h} \leqslant n^{2 r+2 \delta}$ and

$$
k-h \leqslant(2 r+2 \delta) \log (n) \leqslant \frac{c}{2} \log (n)=c \log \left(n^{1 / 2}\right) \leqslant c \log \left(n^{1-4 \epsilon}\right) \leqslant c \log \left(l_{n, \epsilon}\right)
$$

for some constant $c$, for $\epsilon<1 / 8$ and for sufficiently large $n$, by Lemma 3.6. The proof is analogous if $[h, k]$ is decreasing.

Lemma 3.9. For every $\epsilon$ and for sufficiently large $n$ there exists a constant $d$ such that $l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} /\left(d \log \left(l_{n, \epsilon}\right)\right) \leqslant\left|I_{n, \epsilon}\right|$.

Proof. Consider $T_{n, \epsilon}$. Suppose that, for sufficiently large $n$, one has $\left|T_{n, \epsilon}\right| \leqslant l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}$. Then, since, by definition, $\left[1, l_{n, \epsilon}\right] \backslash T_{n, \epsilon} \subseteq I_{n, \epsilon}$, we have $l_{n, \epsilon}-l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} \leqslant\left|I_{n, \epsilon}\right|$, and then we have the claim, since $l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} /\left(c \log \left(l_{n, \epsilon}\right)\right) \leqslant l_{n, \epsilon}-l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}$, for sufficiently large $n$. Otherwise $l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}<\left|T_{n, \epsilon}\right|$. In this case consider that, by Lemma 3.8, $T_{n, \epsilon}$ contains at least $l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} /\left(c \log \left(l_{n, \epsilon}\right)\right)$ increasing or decreasing 2-intervals. By Lemma 3.5, this implies that $I_{n, \epsilon}$ contains at least $l_{n, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} /\left(2 c \log \left(l_{n, \epsilon}\right)\right)$ elements.

## 4. The growth of the conjugacy classes

Throughout this section, we will suppose that $G$ is infinite.
Lemma 4.1. Let $i \in I_{n, \epsilon}$. Then, there exists a constant $c$ such that, for sufficiently large $n$, for every $s \in V_{n, i}$ there are at least $c\left\lfloor\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2} /\left|V_{i, n}\right|\right\rfloor$ elements $p \in G_{n}$ such that $l\left(s^{-1} p s\right) \leqslant n$.

Proof. Consider the function $f_{s}: G_{n / 4} \times G_{n / 4} \rightarrow G_{(i-1) n,(i+2) n}$ defined by $f_{s}(x, y)=$ $x s y$. (By Lemma 2.3, if $s \in V_{n, i}$ and $x, y \in G_{n / 4}$ then $x s y \in G_{(i-1) n,(i+2) n}$.) There is at least one element $m \in f\left(G_{n / 4} \times G_{n / 4}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|f_{s}^{-1}(m)\right| \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}}{\left|f\left(G_{n / 4} \times G_{n / 4}\right)\right|}\right\rfloor \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}}{\left|G_{(i-1) n,(i+1) n}\right|}\right\rfloor \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{1}{5} \frac{\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}}{\left|V_{n, i}\right|}\right\rfloor \geqslant c\left\lfloor\frac{\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}}{\left|V_{n, i}\right|}\right\rfloor
$$

where $c$ is a constant. Define the set $L_{m}$ as

$$
L_{m}=\left\{g \in G_{n / 4} \mid f_{s}\left(g, h_{g}\right)=m, \text { for some } h_{g} \in G_{n / 4}\right\} .
$$

Let $\left(x, h_{x}\right) \in f_{s}^{-1}(m)$ and consider the set $L_{m}^{-1} x$. Since, for every fixed $x_{0}$, the function $f_{s}\left(x_{0}, y\right)$ is injective, we have $\left|L_{m}\right|=\left|f_{s}^{-1}(m)\right|$, and then $\left|L_{m}^{-1} x\right|=\left|f_{s}^{-1}(m)\right|$. Let us show that, for $s \in V_{n, i}$ and $p \in L_{m}^{-1} x$, we have $l\left(s^{-1} p s\right) \leqslant n$. Since $p \in L_{m}^{-1} x$, then there exist $g_{p}, h_{g_{p}} \in G_{n / 4}$ such that $p=g_{p}^{-1} x$ and $g_{p} s h_{g_{p}}=x s h_{x}$. By this relation, we have $l\left(s^{-1} p s\right)=l\left(s^{-1} g_{p}^{-1} x s\right)=l\left(h_{g_{p}} h_{x}^{-1}\right) \leqslant n$ since $h_{x}, h_{g} \in G_{n / 4}$. Finally, note that $L_{m}^{-1} x \subseteq G_{n}$ since $x, g_{p} \in G_{n / 4}$. This proves the claim.

Corollary 4.2. Denote by $P_{n, i}$ the set of the pairs $(x, y) \in G_{n} \times V_{n, i}$ such that $x^{y} \in G_{n}$. Then $\left|P_{n, i}\right| \geqslant c\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}-c\left|V_{n, i}\right|$ for sufficiently large $n$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 we have $\left|P_{n, i}\right| \geqslant c\left\lfloor\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2} /\left|V_{n, i}\right|\right\rfloor\left|V_{n, i}\right|$, and, since $\lfloor x / y\rfloor \geqslant$ $x / y-1$, we have $\left|P_{n, i}\right| \geqslant c\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}-c\left|V_{n, i}\right|$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\epsilon<1$. Set $P_{n}^{\epsilon}=\bigcup_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon / 16}} P_{n, i}$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{n_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$ such that $\left|P_{n_{i, \epsilon}}\right| \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{2 r+1-\epsilon}$.

Proof. For short, we set $\epsilon^{\prime}=\epsilon / 16$. For any fixed $n$, the sets $P_{n, i}$ are disjoint, then $\left|P_{n}^{\epsilon}\right|=\sum_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon} \mid}\left|P_{n, i}\right|$. The sets $V_{n, i}$ are disjoint too, then

$$
\left|\bigcup_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}} V_{n, i}\right|=\sum_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\left|V_{n, i}\right| \leqslant f\left(n l_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right)
$$

since $\bigcup_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}} V_{n, i} \subseteq G_{n l_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}}$. Then, by Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 4.2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{n}^{\epsilon}\right| & =\sum_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\left|P_{n, i}\right| \geqslant c \sum_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\left(\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}-\left|V_{n, i}\right|\right)=c\left|I_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right|\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}-c \sum_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\left|V_{n, i}\right| \\
& \geqslant c\left|I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right|\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}-c f\left(n l_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right) \geqslant c\left|I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right|\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}-c n^{2 r-\epsilon^{\prime}} \\
& =c\left|I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right|\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2}-c n^{2 r-\epsilon / 16}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\epsilon<1$ and then $\epsilon^{\prime} \leqslant 1 / 2$, by Lemmas 3.9 and 3.6 we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left|I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right|\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2} & \geqslant \frac{c l_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}}{d \log \left(l_{n, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right)}\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2} \geqslant \frac{c n^{1-4 \epsilon^{\prime}}}{d \log \left(n^{1-2 \epsilon^{\prime}}\right)}\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-2 \epsilon^{\prime}} \frac{c n^{1-4 \epsilon^{\prime}}}{d \log (n)}\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2} \geqslant \frac{c n^{1-4 \epsilon^{\prime}}}{d \log (n)}\left|G_{n / 4}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let $\left\{n_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$ be a sequence such that $f\left(n_{i, \epsilon} / 4\right) \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{r-\epsilon / 8}$, which exists by Lemma 2.2. We have:

$$
\frac{c n_{i, \epsilon}^{1-4 \epsilon^{\prime}}}{d \log \left(n_{i, \epsilon}\right)}\left|G_{n_{i, \epsilon} / 4}\right|^{2} \geqslant \frac{c n_{i, \epsilon}^{1-4 \epsilon^{\prime}}}{d \log \left(n_{i, \epsilon}\right)} n_{i, \epsilon}^{2 r-\epsilon / 4}=\frac{c n_{i, \epsilon}^{2 r+1-\epsilon / 2}}{d \log \left(n_{i, \epsilon}\right)} \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{2 r+1-3 \epsilon / 4}
$$

for sufficiently large $n_{i, \epsilon}$. Then

$$
\left|P_{n}^{\epsilon}\right| \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{2 r+1-3 \epsilon / 4}-c n^{2 r-\epsilon / 16} \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{2 r+1-\epsilon},
$$

again, for sufficiently large $n_{i, \epsilon}$. Then, up to eliminating a finite number of elements of $\left\{n_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$ and renumbering it, we have the claim.

Lemma 4.4. For every $\epsilon$, there exist two sequences $\left\{g_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$ and $\left\{m_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$ such that $f^{C\left(g_{i, \epsilon}\right)}\left(2 m_{i, \epsilon}^{2}\right)>m_{i, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}$.

Proof. Again for short we set $\epsilon^{\prime}=\epsilon / 2$. Let $P_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{\epsilon^{\prime}}}^{\prime}$ and $n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}$ be as in Lemma 4.3. Now, $P_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}^{\epsilon^{\prime}}$ can be regarded as a subset of $G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}} \times \bigcup_{i \in I_{n, \epsilon^{\prime} / 16}} V_{n, i}$, so that we can define an equivalence relation on $P_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}^{\epsilon^{\prime}}$ as $(x, y) \equiv\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow x=x^{\prime}$. There are obviously at most $\left|G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\epsilon}}}\right|$ classes on $P_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}} \epsilon^{\prime}}^{\prime}$; then there is an equivalence class with more than $\left|P_{n_{i, \epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime}}^{\epsilon^{\prime}}\right| /\left|G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\right|$ elements. Let $\left(g_{i, \epsilon}, h_{i, \epsilon}\right)$ be a representative of this class. Therefore the set $B=\left\{x \in G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}} l_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}, \epsilon^{\prime}}} \mid\right.$ $\left.g_{i, \epsilon}^{x} \in G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\right\}$ has more than $\left|P_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{\epsilon^{\prime}}}\right| /\left|G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\right|$ elements. Now

$$
|B| \geqslant \frac{\left|P_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}} \epsilon^{\prime}}\right|}{\left|G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\right|} \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{r+1-3 \epsilon / 4}
$$

since, by applying Lemma 4.3 , we have $\left|P_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}} \epsilon^{\prime}}\right| \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{2 r+1-\epsilon / 2}$ and $\left|G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\right| \leqslant n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{r+\epsilon / 4}$, by Lemma 2.2, for sufficiently large $n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}$. Now, since $g_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}^{B} \subseteq G_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}$, we have $\left|g_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}^{B}\right| \leqslant$ $\left|G_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}\right| \leqslant n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{r+\epsilon / 4}$, for sufficiently large $n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, we have $f^{C(g)}\left(2 n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \geqslant$ $|B| /\left|g_{n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}}^{B}\right| \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}^{1-\epsilon}$, for sufficiently large $n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}$. Then we have the claim by setting $m_{i, \epsilon}=n_{i, \epsilon^{\prime}}$.

Now we can give the result on the centralizers.
Lemma 4.5. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group of polynomial growth. Suppose that there exists a finite generating subset $E$ such that the degree of growth of $G$ relative to $E$ is greater or equal than 1. Then, for every $\epsilon$, there exist a sequence $\left\{g_{i, \epsilon}\right\}, g_{i, \epsilon} \in G$, and an increasing sequence $\left\{n_{i, \epsilon}\right\}, n_{i, \epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f^{C\left(g_{i, \epsilon}\right)}\left(n_{i, \epsilon}\right) \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{1 / 2-\epsilon \epsilon}$.

Proof. Consider $g_{i, \epsilon}$ and $n_{i, \epsilon}=2 m_{i, \epsilon}^{2}$, where $m_{i, \epsilon}$ and $g_{i, \epsilon}$ are as in Lemma 4.4. We have $f^{C\left(g_{i, \epsilon}\right)}\left(n_{i, \epsilon}\right) \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}=\left(2 n_{i, \epsilon}^{2}\right)^{(1-\epsilon) / 2} \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{1 / 2-\epsilon}$, for sufficiently large $n_{i, \epsilon}^{1 / 2-\epsilon}$.

This, together with the characterization of the case where $r<1$ (see [3,5] or [2]), gives a combinatorial proof of the following result.

Theorem 4.6. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group, $E$ a finite generating subset and $a$, s two positive constants such that $f_{E}(n) \leqslant a n^{s}$. Then, for every $\epsilon$, there exist a sequence $\left\{g_{i, \epsilon}\right\}$, $g_{i, \epsilon} \in G$, and an increasing sequence $\left\{n_{i, \epsilon}\right\}, n_{i, \epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $f^{C\left(g_{i, \epsilon}\right)}\left(n_{i, \epsilon}\right) \geqslant n_{i, \epsilon}^{1 / 2-\epsilon}$.

## 5. The growth of the subgroups

In this section, we apply Theorem 4.6 to the case of a group having a degree of growth relative to a finite generating subset $E$ which is less than $3 / 2$. In order to do it, we give a result based on a local condition on the growth of the subgroups of a group of polynomial growth.

Definition 5.1. As usual, denote by $E^{*}$ the set of the finite sequences of symbols of the set $E$ and denote by $|w|$ the length of $w \in E^{*}$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and $G / H$ be the set of its right cosets. Let denote $\phi_{H}: G / H \rightarrow E^{*}$ the map which associates to a coset $x$ the element $w \in E^{*}$ affording its minimal representative in $G$ w.r.t. the length and the lexicographic order $<_{E}$ induced by the order on $E$. We will say that $w$ is the minimal representative of $x$. For short, we will also say that $w$ is minimal and that $|w|$ is the length of $x$.

Lemma 5.2. The set $\phi(G / H)$ is closed under taking prefixes.
Proof. Let $x \in G / H$ and let $\Phi_{H}(x)=e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a}}$, with $e_{n_{1}}, \ldots, e_{n_{a}} \in E$ be its minimal representative. The claim is equivalent to saying that, if $a>1$, then $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}}$ is minimal. In order to show it, let $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$ be the minimal representative of $H e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}}$. We have that $a-1 \leqslant a^{\prime}$ since otherwise $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}} e_{n_{a}}$ would be a representative of $x$ of length at most $a-1$. On the other hand, $a^{\prime} \leqslant a-1$ since $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}}$ is a representative of $H e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}}$ of length $a-1$ and $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$ is minimal. Then $a^{\prime}=a-1$. Now, $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a}}$ and $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}} e_{n_{a}}$ belong to the same right coset by the definition of $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$ and by the above they have both length $a$. Then, since $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a}}$ is minimal, $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a}} \leqslant E e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}} e_{n_{a}}$ and then $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}} \leqslant E e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$. Again, by the definition of $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$, we have that $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}}$ and $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$ belong to the same right coset. Then, since $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}}$ has length $a^{\prime}$ and it is less or equal to $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$ w.r.t. the lexicographic order, and since $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}$ is minimal, we have $e_{m_{1}} \cdots e_{m_{a^{\prime}}}=$ $e_{n_{1}} \cdots e_{n_{a-1}}$.

Lemma 5.3. If $H$ has infinite index in $G$, then for every $n$ there exists an element of $\phi_{H}(G / H)$ of length $n$.

Proof. Since $\phi_{H}(G / H) \subseteq E^{*}$ is injective, then, since [ $G: H$ ] is infinite, we can find an increasing sequence $\left\{n_{i}\right\}, i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_{i} \in G / H$ of length $n_{i}$. Now, define $P \subseteq E^{*}$ as the set of the prefixes of the elements $\phi_{H}\left(x_{i}\right)$. By

Lemma 5.2, we have $P \subseteq \phi_{H}(G / H)$. Moreover, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and for every $k \in[1, i]$, $\phi_{H}\left(x_{i}\right)$ has a prefix of length $k$. Then the set $\phi_{H}^{-1}(P)$ yields the claim.

The Splitting Lemma in [1, p. 59] can be stated as follows.

Lemma 5.4. Let $a, r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$be such that $f_{E}(n) \leqslant a n^{r}, s>r-1$, and let $H$ be a finitely generated subgroup of $G$. Then, if $f^{H}(n) \geqslant n^{s}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, H has finite index in $G$.

The following is a local version of the Splitting Lemma, in which $H$ is not necessarily finitely generated.

Lemma 5.5. Let $a, r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$be such that $f_{E}(n) \leqslant a n^{r}, s>r-1$. Fix $n_{0}$ such that $n_{0}^{s-r+1}>a 2^{r}$. Then, if $H<G$ is such that $f^{H}(n) \geqslant n^{s}, \forall n \leqslant n_{0}, H$ has finite index in $G$.

Proof. We argue by contradiction and assume that $H$ has infinite index. Then by Lemma 5.3, $G_{n_{0}}$ contains $n_{0}$ representatives of distinct right cosets of $H$. Let denote them $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n_{0}}$. Now,

$$
\left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{0}} H_{n_{0}} g_{i}\right|=n_{0}\left|H_{n_{0}}\right| \geqslant n_{0}^{s+1} .
$$

But

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{0}} H_{n_{0}} g_{i} \subseteq G_{2 n_{0}} .
$$

Then we have $n_{0}^{s+1} \leqslant\left|G_{2 n_{0}}\right| \leqslant a 2^{r} n_{0}^{r}$, contradicting the assumption on $n_{0}$.

Now we can show the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.6. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and $E$ a finite generating subset such that $f_{E}(n) \leqslant a n^{r}$, with $r<3 / 2$. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that $[G: C(g)]<\infty$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon^{\prime}$ be such that $f_{E}(n) \leqslant a n^{3 / 2-\epsilon^{\prime}}$. Let $\epsilon<\epsilon^{\prime}$ and let $n_{0}$ be as in Lemma 5.5, where $r=3 / 2-\epsilon^{\prime}$ and $s=1 / 2-\epsilon$. Let the sequences $\left\{g_{i, \epsilon}\right\}, g_{i, \epsilon} \in G$, and $\left\{n_{i, \epsilon}\right\}, n_{i, \epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$, be as in Theorem 4.6 and let $i_{0}$ be such that $n_{i_{0}, \epsilon}>n_{0}$. Now, by Theorem 4.6, we have $f^{C\left(g_{i_{0}, \epsilon}\right)}\left(n_{i_{0}, \epsilon}\right) \geqslant n_{i_{0}, \epsilon}^{1 / 2-\epsilon}$. Then, since $n_{i_{0}, \epsilon}>n_{0}$, by Lemma 5.5 we have that $C\left(g_{i_{0}, \epsilon}\right)$ has finite index in $G$.
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