

# Matrix-Isomorphic Maximal $Z$ -Orders

A. W. Chatters

*University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW, United Kingdom*

*Communicated by A. W. Goldie*

Metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

We construct many pairwise non-isomorphic maximal  $Z$ -orders  $A$  and  $B$  which have isomorphic  $n$  by  $n$  matrix rings for every positive integer  $n \neq 1$ . In most cases  $A$  also has the property that every one-sided ideal of  $M_2(A)$  is principal but not every one-sided ideal of  $A$  is principal. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Maximal  $Z$ -orders are some of the most natural and well behaved of all non-commutative rings. Yet even for these rings the isomorphism type of  $M_2(A)$  does not determine that of  $A$ . Examples are already known of non-isomorphic orders  $A$  and  $B$  in a finite-dimensional central simple algebra such that  $M_2(A) \cong M_2(B)$  [2, 3, 10]. But the examples in [2] and [3], although numerous, are not maximal orders; those in [10] are maximal orders but they are relatively complicated and the underlying commutative ring is the ring of integers of a four-dimensional algebraic number field.

As in [8] we say that rings  $A$  and  $B$  are matrix-isomorphic if  $M_n(A) \cong M_n(B)$  for every positive integer  $n \neq 1$ . We shall show that, given a positive integer  $r$ , there are  $r$  matrix-isomorphic pairwise non-isomorphic maximal  $Z$ -orders (Theorem 4.4). The most difficult part of such constructions is usually that of showing that the rings are not isomorphic, but in this case there is a sufficient condition which is easy to check numerically (Theorem 4.3). The same construction gives an infinite family of maximal  $Z$ -orders  $A$  such that every one-sided ideal of  $M_2(A)$  is principal but not every one-sided ideal of  $A$  is principal; a slightly different construction gives infinitely many such  $A$  with a stably free non-free two-sided ideal (Section 6).

2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MAXIMAL  $Z$ -ORDER  $S$ 

The ring  $S$  which we shall construct is a maximal  $Z$ -order in a division algebra of generalised rational quaternions. We shall show that  $S$  has the additional property that every two-sided ideal is principal, and this will be useful when we consider in Section 3 the question of determining when the endomorphism rings of two maximal right ideals of  $S$  are isomorphic. We shall use  $Z$  and  $Q$  to denote respectively the ring of rational integers and the field of rational numbers.

Throughout the rest of this paper,  $w$  will denote the prime number with  $w \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $D$  be the rational division algebra of generalised quaternions with basis  $1, i, j, ij$  where  $i^2 = -1$ ,  $j^2 = -w$ , and  $ij = -ji$ . Set  $k = ij$ . A typical element  $x$  of  $D$  has the form  $x = a + bi + cj + dk$  for unique elements  $a, b, c, d$  of  $Q$ . The conjugate  $x^*$ , trace  $\text{Tr}(x)$ , and norm  $N(x)$  of  $x$  are defined by  $x^* = a - bi - cj - dk$ ,  $\text{Tr}(x) = x + x^* = 2a$ , and  $N(x) = xx^* = x^*x = a^2 + b^2 + w(c^2 + d^2)$ .

Set  $u = (1 + j)/2$ . Then  $u^2 = \text{Tr}(u)u - N(u) = u - (1 + w)/4$  where  $(1 + w)/4 \in Z$ . Set  $S = Z[i, u]$ , i.e.,  $S$  is the subring of  $D$  generated by  $i$  and  $u$ . It is easy to check that the additive group of  $S$  is free Abelian of rank 4 with  $Z$ -basis  $1, i, u, iu$ . It is routine to check that the discriminant  $D(S)$  of  $S$  has the value  $D(S) = -w^2$ ; one way of doing this is to use the formula  $D(S) = \det(\text{Tr}(x_i x_j))$  where  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  form a  $Z$ -basis for  $S$ . It is well known that  $S$  is a maximal  $Z$  order in  $D$  (see for instance Section 105 of [5]), but this is also a consequence of the following more precise result.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be as above and let  $I$  be a non-zero ideal of  $S$ . Then either  $I = zS$  or  $I = zjS$  for some non-zero  $z \in Z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be a prime number with  $p \neq w$ . Then  $p$  does not divide  $D(S)$ , so that  $S/pS$  is a semi-simple  $Z/pZ$ -algebra. Also  $j \notin pS$ , so that  $iu - ui = ij \notin pS$ . Thus  $S/pS$  is a semi-simple four-dimensional  $Z/pZ$ -algebra which is not commutative. Therefore  $S/pS \cong M_2(Z/pZ)$ . In particular,  $pS$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $S$  containing  $p$ .

Now let  $P$  be any maximal ideal of  $S$  which contains  $w$ ; we shall show that  $P = jS$ . We have  $j^{-1}ij = -i$  and  $j^{-1}kj = -k$ , from which it follows readily that  $j^{-1}Sj = S$ . Thus  $jS = Sj$  and  $(jS)^2 = wS$ . Therefore  $jS \subseteq P$ . We have  $2u - 1 = j$ . Hence  $u - (1 + w)/2 \in jS$ . Therefore  $S/jS = (Z/wZ)[x]$ , where  $x$  is the image of  $i$  in  $S/jS$ . But  $x^2 = -1$  and  $-1$  is not a square in  $Z/wZ$ . Therefore  $(Z/wZ)[x]$  is a field. Hence  $jS$  is a maximal ideal of  $S$  and  $P = jS$ .

This shows that the maximal ideals of  $S$  are  $jS$  and  $pS$  for all primes  $p \neq w$ , and for such  $p$  we have  $S/pS \cong M_2(Z/pZ)$ . The result now follows by a standard argument.

### 3. ENDOMORPHISM RINGS OF MAXIMAL RIGHT IDEALS OF $S$

Throughout this section  $w$  and  $S$  will be as in Section 2, and  $p$  and  $q$  will denote prime numbers with  $p \neq w \neq q$ . Note that we do allow  $p = q$ , and also that we allow  $p = 2$  or  $q = 2$ . Let  $K$  and  $L$  be maximal right ideals of  $S$  containing  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. We shall show that we always have  $M_2(\text{End}_S(K)) \cong M_2(S) \cong M_2(\text{End}_S(L))$ , and that it is almost true that  $\text{End}_S(K) \cong \text{End}_S(L)$  as rings if and only if  $K \cong L$  as right  $S$ -modules (see 3.6 for the precise result).

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime number with  $p \neq w$  and let  $K$  be a maximal right ideal of  $S$  which contains  $p$ . Set  $A = \text{End}_S(K)$ . Then  $M_2(A) \cong M_2(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Recall from the proof of 2.1 that  $S/pS \cong M_2(Z/pZ)$ . Thus both  $S/K$  and  $K/pS$  are simple right  $S/pS$ -modules so that  $S/K \cong K/pS$ . But  $S$  is a maximal  $Z$ -order and so is hereditary (see for instance [1, Theorem 2.9] or [9, Theorem 21.4]). Hence  $K$  is projective and Schanuel's lemma gives  $K \oplus K \cong S \oplus pS \cong S \oplus S$ . Therefore  $M_2(A) = M_2(\text{End}(K)) \cong M_2(\text{End}(S)) \cong M_2(S)$  as rings.

*Remark 3.2.* By using more sophisticated methods it can be shown that, with the notation of 3.1, we have  $M_n(A) \cong M_n(S)$  for every positive integer  $n \neq 1$ ; thus  $A$  and  $S$  are matrix-isomorphic as defined in [8]. One way of doing this is to apply Eichler's theorem [9, Theorem 34.9] to the ring  $M_2(S)$  to show that every maximal right ideal of  $M_2(S)$  is principal, and hence that  $K \oplus S \cong S \oplus S$ . Of course 3.1 is trivial if  $A \cong S$ , but we shall show that this is not always the case.

**COROLLARY 3.3.**  *$A$  is a maximal  $Z$ -order.*

*Proof.* Because  $K$  is a non-zero right ideal of the integral domain  $S$ , we can identify  $\text{Hom}_S(K, S)$  with  $K^* = \{d \in D : dK \subseteq S\}$ . Also because  $K$  is projective, we have  $KK^* = \{d \in D : dK \subseteq K\}$ . We shall identify  $A$  with  $KK^*$ . Thus  $A$  is a  $Z$ -order in  $D$ , and its maximality follows readily from the fact that  $M_2(A) \cong M_2(S)$ .

From now on we shall identify  $\text{Hom}_S(K, S)$  with  $K^*$  and  $A$  with  $KK^*$  as in the proof of 3.3.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *With the notation of 3.1 we have  $A \cong S$  if and only if the maximal right ideal  $K$  is principal.*

*Proof.* If  $K = xS$  for some  $x$  then  $x \neq 0$  and  $x^{-1}Ax = S$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $f: S \rightarrow A$  is an isomorphism of rings. Because  $D$  is the quotient ring of both  $S$  and  $A$ , we can extend  $f$  to an

automorphism  $g$  of  $D$ . But  $g$  acts as the identity function on the centre  $Q$  of  $D$ . It follows from the Skolem–Noether theorem that there is a non-zero element  $x$  of  $D$  such that  $g(d) = x^{-1}dx$  for all  $d \in D$ . Because  $D$  can be formed from  $S$  by inverting the non-zero elements of  $Z$ , we can suppose without loss of generality that  $x \in S$ . We have  $A = g(S) = x^{-1}Sx$ . Hence  $K = AK = x^{-1}SxK$ , i.e.,  $xK = SxK$ , i.e.,  $xK$  is a two-sided ideal of  $S$ . Therefore by 3.1 we have  $xK = aS$  for some  $a$  and hence  $K$  is principal.

*Notation 3.5.*  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers with  $p \neq w \neq q$ ;  $K$  and  $L$  are maximal right ideals of  $S$  containing  $p$  and  $q$  respectively;  $K^* = \{d \in D : dK \subseteq S\}$ ;  $L^* = \{d \in D : dL \subseteq S\}$ ;  $A = KK^*$ ;  $B = LL^*$ . Recall that, as in the proof of 3.3, we can identify  $A$  with  $\text{End}_S(K)$  and  $B$  with  $\text{End}_S(L)$ .

**THEOREM 3.6.** *With the notation of 3.5 we have  $A \cong B$  as rings if and only if  $K$  is isomorphic as a right  $S$ -module to either  $L$  or  $j^{-1}Lj$ .*

*Proof.* Note that conjugation by  $j$  induces an automorphism of  $S$ . Thus the “if” part of the statement is easy to prove.

Suppose that  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism of rings. As in the proof of 3.4, there is a non-zero element  $x$  of  $S$  such that  $f(a) = x^{-1}ax$  for all  $a \in A$ . Hence  $B = x^{-1}Ax$ , so that  $L = BL = x^{-1}AxL$ . Thus  $AxL = xL$ . Suppose that  $xL$  is not contained in  $K$ . Then  $K + xL = S$ . But  $AK = K$  and  $AxL = xL$ . Therefore  $AS = S$ , i.e.,  $A \subseteq S$ . But  $A$  is a maximal  $Z$ -order, by 3.3. Therefore  $A = S$ , so that  $K = AK = SK$ . This is a contradiction because  $K$  is not a two-sided ideal of  $S$ .

This shows that  $xL \subseteq K$ . Hence  $K^*xL \subseteq S$  so that  $K^*xL$  is a non-zero two-sided ideal of  $S$ . We apply Theorem 2.1 and have two cases to consider. Suppose first that  $K^*xL = zS$  for some non-zero  $z \in Z$ . Then  $KK^*xL = KzS = zK$ , i.e.,  $zK = AxL = xL$ . Therefore  $K \cong L$  as right  $S$ -modules. Second, suppose that  $K^*xL = zjS$  for some non-zero  $z \in Z$ . Then  $xL = AxL = KK^*xL = KzjS = zKSj = zKj = zjj^{-1}Kj$ , so that  $L \cong j^{-1}Kj$  and  $K \cong j^{-1}Lj$ .

#### 4. A SIMPLE NUMERICAL TEST

In Section 3 we showed that, with the notation of 3.5, we have  $M_n(\text{End}(K)) \cong M_n(\text{End}(L))$  for all positive integers  $n \neq 1$ ; and a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\text{End}(K) \cong \text{End}(L)$  was given in 3.6. However, the condition in 3.6 is not easy to check in practice. The main aim of this section is to use 3.6 to derive a simple numerical condition which guarantees in particular cases that  $\text{End}(K)$  is not isomorphic to  $\text{End}(L)$  (but which is far from being a necessary condition).

The next result is well known, but for the reader's convenience we shall sketch a proof in the particular case which we need.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $x$  be a non-zero element of  $S$ . Then  $S/xS$  has  $(N(x))^2$  elements.*

*Proof.* Because the additive group of  $S$  is free Abelian of rank 4, we can fix  $Z$ -bases  $u_1, \dots, u_4$  for  $S$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_4$  for  $xS$  such that for all  $t$  we have  $v_t = r_t u_t$  for some positive integer  $r_t$ . Set  $r = r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4$ . Then  $S/xS$  has  $r$  elements. Let  $C$  be the 4 by 4 diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4$ . Then  $\det(C) = r$ . We can think of  $C$  as being the matrix corresponding to the mapping  $c : S \rightarrow S$  given by  $c(u_t) = v_t$  for all  $t$ . Let  $B$  be the matrix corresponding to the mapping  $b : S \rightarrow S$  defined by  $b(s) = xs$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then  $b^{-1}c$  is an automorphism of the additive group  $S$ , so that the determinant of the corresponding matrix is a unit of  $Z$ . Therefore  $\pm \det(B) = \det(C) = r$ . But  $\det(B)$  is the determinant of the image of  $x$  under the regular representation of  $D$  in  $M_4(Q)$ , and  $N(x)$  is the determinant of the image of  $x$  under the reduced representation of  $D$  in  $M_2(Q(i))$ . Therefore  $\det(B) = (N(x))^2$ , so that  $(N(x))^2 = \det(C) = r$ .

LEMMA 4.2. *With the notation of 3.5 suppose that  $f : K \rightarrow L$  is an isomorphism of right  $S$ -modules, and let  $x$  be a non-zero element of  $K$ . Then  $N(x)/p = N(f(x))/Q$ .*

*Proof.* We shall use  $|X|$  to denote the number of elements in a set  $X$ . Because  $S/K$  is a simple  $S/pS$ -module with  $S/pS \cong M_2(Z/pZ)$ , we have  $|S/K| = p^2$ . Hence by 4.1 we have  $(N(x)/p)^2 = |S/xS|/p^2 = |S/K| \cdot |K/xS|/p^2 = |K/xS| = |f(K)/f(xS)| = |L/f(x)S| = |S/L| \cdot |L/f(x)S|/q^2 = |S/f(x)S|/q^2 = (N(f(x))/q)^2$ .

THEOREM 4.3. *With the notation of 3.5 suppose that  $S$  has no element of norm  $pq$ . Then  $A$  is not isomorphic to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A \cong B$ . Then by 3.6 we know that  $K$  is isomorphic to either  $L$  or  $j^{-1}Lj$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that there is a right  $S$ -module isomorphism  $f : K \rightarrow L$ . Taking  $x = p$  in 4.2 gives  $p = N(p)/p = N(f(p))/q$ , i.e.,  $N(f(p)) = pq$ ; this is the desired contradiction.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let  $n$  be any positive integer. Then there is a division algebra  $D$  of generalised rational quaternions and  $n$  pairwise non-isomorphic maximal  $Z$ -orders  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $D$  such that  $M_r(A_s) \cong M_r(A_t)$  for all  $s$  and  $t$  and for all positive integers  $r \neq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  be, in increasing order, the first  $n$  primes which are congruent to  $3 \pmod{4}$ . We fix a prime number  $w$  such that  $w \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $w \geq 4p_n^2$ . With this choice of  $w$ , let  $S$  be as in Section 2. By

4.3 it is enough to show that if  $s \neq t$  then  $S$  has no element of norm  $p_s p_t$ . Suppose to the contrary that there is an element  $x$  of  $S$  such that  $N(x) = p_s p_t$  with  $s \neq t$ . We have  $x = (a + bi + cj + dk)/2$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $N(x) = (a^2 + b^2 + w(c^2 + d^2))/4$ . Thus  $a^2 + b^2 + w(c^2 + d^2) = 4N(x) = 4p_s p_t < 4p_n^2$ . Hence  $a^2 + b^2 + w(c^2 + d^2) < w$ , so that  $c = d = 0$ . This gives  $4p_s p_t = a^2 + b^2$ , which is a contradiction because  $4p_s p_t$  is not the sum of two squares.

## 5. TWO EXAMPLES

We know that every two-sided ideal of  $S$  is principal. We shall now give two examples in each of which we construct a second maximal  $Z$ -order  $A$  with  $M_2(A) \cong M_2(S)$  and  $A$  not isomorphic to  $S$ ; in the first example every ideal of  $A$  is principal, but in the second example  $A$  has a non-principal ideal.

**EXAMPLE 5.1.** Take  $w = 23$  and let  $S$  be as in Section 2. Set  $x = (1 + j)/2$  and  $K = 3S + xS$ . We have  $N(x) = 6$  and  $x \notin 3S$ . Because  $S/3S \cong M_2(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$  it follows that  $K$  is a maximal right ideal of  $S$ . As in the proof of 3.3 set  $A = KK^*$ . Then  $M_2(A) \cong M_2(S)$  by 3.1. No element of  $S$  has norm 3, so that  $K$  is not principal. Hence  $A$  is not isomorphic to  $S$  (3.4).

It remains to show that every ideal of  $A$  is principal, and it is enough to do this for the maximal ideals of  $A$ . The maximal ideals of  $S$  are  $pS$  for primes  $p \neq w$ , together with  $jS$  where  $(jS)^2 = wS$ . Because  $M_2(A) \cong M_2(S)$  it follows that the maximal ideals of  $A$  are  $pA$  for primes  $p \neq w$ , together with a unique maximal ideal  $M$  such that  $M^2 = wA$ . But  $jK = Kj \subseteq K$  so that  $j \in A$ . Also  $j^{-1}AjK = j^{-1}AKj = j^{-1}Kj = j^{-1}jK = K$ , so that  $j^{-1}Aj \subseteq A$ . It follows that  $jA = Aj$ . Thus  $jA$  is a two-sided ideal of  $A$  with  $(jA)^2 = wA$ . Therefore  $M = jA$ .

**EXAMPLE 5.2.** Take  $w = 43$  and let  $S$  be as in Section 2. Set  $x = (1 + 2i + j)/2$ ,  $K = 3S + xS$ , and  $A = K/K^*$ . As in 5.1 we find that  $K$  is a maximal right ideal of  $S$  and that  $M_2(A) \cong M_2(S)$ . Also as in 5.1 there is a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $A$  such that  $M^2 = wA$ . But this time we shall show that  $M$  is not principal.

With the aim of obtaining a contradiction we suppose that  $M = vA$  for some  $v \in A$ . The isomorphism between  $M_2(A)$  and  $M_2(S)$  induces an isomorphism between  $M_2(A/M)$  and  $M_2(S/jS)$ . Hence  $A/M$  has the same number of elements as  $S/jS$ , namely  $w^2$ . Because  $M = vA$  it follows that  $N(v) = w$ . But  $vK \subseteq K$  so that  $3v \in S$ . Hence  $3v = (a + bi + cj + dk)/2$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $a^2 + b^2 + w(c^2 + d^2) = 4N(3v) = 36w$  where  $w = 43$ . Thus 43 divides  $a^2 + b^2$ . Because  $-1$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}/43\mathbb{Z}$  it follows that 43 divides both  $a$  and  $b$ . But  $a^2 + b^2 \leq$

36.43. Hence  $a = b = 0$ . We have  $c^2 + d^2 = 36$ , so that either  $c^2 = 36$  and  $d = 0$  or  $c = 0$  and  $d^2 = 36$ . Hence without loss of generality we have either  $v = j$  or  $v = k$ . Note that  $K$  contains  $vx$ ,  $xj$ , and  $xk$ . Also,  $3 \in K$ , so that the norm of every element of  $K$  is divisible by 3. If  $v = j$  then  $K$  contains  $xj - jx = 2k$ , which is a contradiction because  $N(2k) = 172$ . If  $v = k$  then  $K$  contains  $kx + xk = k$ , which is again a contradiction.

## 6. CONNECTION WITH GOLDIE'S QUESTION

In [7, Theorem B], Goldie showed that if  $R$  is a prime ring in which every one-sided ideal is principal then  $R \cong M_n(S)$  for some positive integer  $n$  and some integral domain  $S$ , and the question arose naturally as to whether every one-sided ideal of  $S$  has to be principal. It has been known for a long time that the answer is "No": the best-known examples are due to Swan [10] where the ring  $S$  is a maximal order over the ring of integers of a four-dimensional extension of  $Q$ , and to Webber [11] where  $S$  is the first Weyl algebra.

The construction in Section 2 gives a further infinite family of examples all of which are maximal  $Z$ -orders. Let  $w$  and  $S$  be as in Section 2. Because  $S$  is a maximal  $Z$ -order it follows from Eichler's theorem [9, Theorem 34.9] that every one-sided ideal of  $M_2(S)$  is principal. But every one-sided ideal of  $S$  is principal if and only if  $w = 3$  or  $w = 7$ ; this follows immediately from Hey's formula for the class number of  $S$  (see [6]). Without using Hey's formula it is easy to show directly that, if  $w \geq 11$ , then the only elements of  $S$  which have norm 2 are  $1 + i$ ,  $1 - i$ , and their negatives; hence  $(1 + i)S$  is the only principal maximal right ideal of  $S$  which contains 2, and the other two maximal right ideals of  $S$  which contain 2 are therefore not principal.

Perhaps an even more interesting infinite family of examples among maximal  $Z$ -orders is the following. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be distinct odd primes which are congruent to  $3 \pmod{4}$ ; set  $i^2 = -1$  and  $j^2 = -pq$ ; and let  $T$  be the ring of all generalised quaternions of the form  $(a + bi + cj + dk)/2$  where  $a, b, c, d$  are integers which are either all even or all odd. Then  $T$  is a maximal  $Z$ -order (see for instance [5, Sect. 105]). As in the last paragraph, every one-sided ideal of  $M_2(T)$  is principal. Set  $P = pT + jT$ . It is easy to check that  $jT = Tj$ , so that  $P$  is a two-sided ideal of  $T$ . Also  $P^2$  contains both  $p^2$  and  $j^2 = -pq$ , from which it follows that  $P^2 = pT$ . Hence if  $P = xT$  for some  $x$  then  $N(x) = p$ ; but  $T$  has no elements of norm  $p$ . Therefore  $P$  is a non-principal two-sided ideal of  $T$ . Because

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & P \\ T & T \end{pmatrix}$$

is a principal right ideal of  $M_2(T)$  it is isomorphic to  $M_2(T)$ . It follows that  $P \oplus T \cong T \oplus T$  as right  $T$ -modules, so that  $P$  is a stably free non-free two-sided ideal of  $T$ . The case in which  $p = 3$  and  $q = 7$  was studied by more elementary methods in Section 3 of [4].

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Part of this work was done while the author was a visitor at Rutgers University, and he expresses his thanks for the hospitality and facilities provided by the Rutgers Mathematics Department.

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander and O. Goldman, Maximal orders, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **97** (1960), 1–24.
- [2] A. W. Chatters, Matrices, idealisers, and integer quaternions, *J. Algebra* **150** (1992), 45–56.
- [3] A. W. Chatters, Non-isomorphic rings with isomorphic matrix rings, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* **36** (1993), 339–348.
- [4] A. W. Chatters and M. M. Parmenter, Stably free modules over rings of generalized integer quaternions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **38** (1995), 408–411.
- [5] L. E. Dickson, “Algebras and Their Arithmetics,” Stechert, New York, 1938.
- [6] M. Eichler, Über die Idealklassenzahl total definitiver Quaternionenalgebren, *Math. Z.* **43** (1938), 102–109.
- [7] A. W. Goldie, Non-commutative principal ideal rings, *Arch. Math.* **13** (1962), 214–221.
- [8] T. Y. Lam, A lifting theorem, and rings with isomorphic matrix rings, in “Five Decades as a Mathematician and Educator—On the 80th Birthday of Prof. Y. C. Wong,” World Sci. Press, Singapore, 1994.
- [9] I. Reiner, “Maximal Orders,” Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [10] R. G. Swan, Projective modules over group rings and maximal orders, *Ann. of Math.* **76** (1962), 55–61.
- [11] D. B. Webber, Ideals and modules of simple Noetherian hereditary rings, *J. Algebra* **16** (1970), 239–242.