Sustainable Environment Research 26 (2016) 287-290

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sustainable Environment Research

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/sustainableenvironment-research/

### 中華民國 環境 CIEnvE

**Technical Note** 

# Improvement of aqueous nitrate removal by using continuous electrocoagulation/electroflotation unit with vertical monopolar electrodes





## Monireh Majlesi <sup>a, b</sup>, Seyed Mohsen Mohseny <sup>c</sup>, Mahdieh Sardar <sup>b</sup>, Sohrab Golmohammadi <sup>d</sup>, Amir Sheikhmohammadi <sup>e, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Environmental and Occupational Hazards Control Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran City 11369, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran City 11369, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom City 37491, Iran

<sup>d</sup> Department of Environmental Engineering, Kurdistan Rural Water and Wastewater Company, Kurdistan City 22541, Iran

e Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Students Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran City 11369, Iran

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 20 January 2015 Received in revised form 18 August 2015 Accepted 6 October 2015 Available online 4 October 2016

Keywords: Electro-Coagulation-Flotation Nitrate Al anode/cathode

#### SUMMARY

The present study is to investigate removal of nitrate from water solutions by the Electro-Coagulation-Flotation (ECF) process in continuous operation under different conditions. Experimental results indicated that the maximum nitrate removal was achieved by aluminum–aluminum electrode arrangement as anode–cathode. The increase in current density from 0.4 to 3.2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> increased nitrate removal efficiency from 55 to 96% under optimum conditions of time and pH during the ECF. Continuous operation of the ECF reactor led to an increase of nitrate removal from 37% at a detention time of 10 min–96% at a detention time of 30 min. Mean energy consumption and current efficiency were found to be about 2.66 kWh g<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub> and 160%, respectively.

© 2016 Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering, Taiwan. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Pollution of water resources by nitrate occurs due to industrial wastewater containing nitrate, domestic wastewater, fertilizers in agricultural, discharges from animal operations, wastewater treatment facilities, septic systems and commercial activities [1–3]. It causes eutrophication problems of rivers, lakes and seas, destroys water quality and presents potential hazards on human health. The WHO guideline value for nitrate is 50 mg  $L^{-1}$  [4]. It needs to be regulated in water basically because excess levels of nitrate effects on health by forming methemoglobinoma in children, hypertension, thyroid disability and carcinogenicity hazard of nitrosamine [5]. Current nitrate removal techniques include ion exchange, biological denitrification, chemical treatment, reverse osmosis, electrodialysis, catalytic denitrification, hybrid systems based on flyash adsorption, membrane filtration, and electrocoagulation [6–9]. The use of chemical coagulation is limited due to its disadvantages, including generation of large quantities of sludge, the hazardous waste categorization of metal hydroxides, and high costs associated with chemical treatments. Recently wastewater treatment using the electrochemical technologies to remove a large number of pollutants has gained prominence [10-12].

Electro-Coagulation-Flotation (ECF) process is characterized by easy operation, reduction of sludge production, and no need to handle chemicals. It has been applied efficiently to various water treatment processes [13]. ECF includes the generation of coagulants via the electro-dissolution of a sacrificial anode, which usually consists of iron or aluminum. The metal ion generation takes place at the anode; hydrogen gas is released from the cathode [14–16]. Also, hydrogen gas would help to float the flocculated particles out of the water. The aim of this paper is to investigate parameters affecting energy consumption in the removal of nitrate from the water by ECF in a continuous flow. The process was examined under different values of current density, pH and time, in order to determine optimum operating conditions. The electrode materials used in this study were iron and aluminum.

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* amir.sheikh123@yahoo.com (A. Sheikhmohammadi). Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.serj.2016.09.002

<sup>2468-2039/© 2016</sup> Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering, Taiwan. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 2. Materials and methods

In this study a laboratory-scale reactor  $24 \times 17 \times 18$  cm, made of Plexiglas sheets, was used. Reactor equipped with four blades made of aluminum or iron that installed in monopollar parallel. The electrode dimensions were  $150 \times 160 \times 10$  mm. Plates were installed in parallel configuration with 1.5 cm interspace. A magnet was placed in the bottom of the tank for mixing (300 rpm). Settling chamber was made from Plexiglas in 24 cm (depth)  $\times$  17 cm (width)  $\times$  53 cm (length) and 21.5 L in volume for 30 min contact time. Electrocoagulation and settling reactor were attached to each other in series. In settling chamber 3 valves were implanted for providing the 30 min contact time in which their location was determined previously.

Solution passes slowly through the settling chamber and finally, the treated water comes out from valve. In each run 500 mL of synthetic solution of  $KNO_3$  with initial  $NO_3^-$  concentration 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> was placed at the electrolytic cell. Then samples were tested using a UV Spectrophotometer (UV-1800 SHIMADZU) [17]. Electrode weights were recorded after each test. Four 12  $\times$  15 cm metal plates (electrodes) from wrought iron ST-12 and 3003 aluminum with 1 mm diameter were used for this study. To remove the influence of mass transfer rate of pollutants to the electrodes (iron or aluminum salts produce electrode passivation and cause a 50% increase in treatment time and power requirements), high mixing was ensured by a magnetic stirrer. To maintain the same experimental conditions, electrodes were polished with grinding papers and then rinsed with soapy water and deionized water after each experiment. A transformer was used to supply DC current with adjustable amperage (0-60 V, 0-0.6 A). Since electrode surface and electrode interspaces are constant, by dissolving sodium chloride (increasing specific electrical conductivity of solution), voltage and electric current intensity were adjusted. Current level was adjusted for 0.4, 0.8, 1.6 and 3.2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. A schematic of the electrochemical reactor is shown in Fig. 1. Experiments were done at 20 °C.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The effects of various parameters (electrode materials, pH, current density and detention time) were investigated on the removal of nitrate by ECF. The results obtained from this study are presented



Fig. 1. A schematic diagram of the electrochemical reactor.

and discussed below. The conditions and runs relating to the ECF experiments are shown in Table 1.

#### 3.1. Effect of electrode materials

Fig. 2 presents the effects of anode and cathode electrode materials and arrangement on the removal of nitrate by ECF process. As indicated in Fig. 2a, Al–Al as anode–cathode electrodes has a pronounced influence on ECF process. The nitrate removal percentages to anode–cathode electrode arrays of Fe–Fe, Fe–Al, Al–Fe, Al–Al, were obtained to be 87, 89, 92 and 96% respectively. It was found that Al–Al as anode–cathode electrodes has the highest efficiency on the removal of nitrate under the selected conditions. Rosie et al. used the Al–Al as anode–cathode electrodes in electrochemical process for leachate treatment. They observed a high removal efficiency by Al electrodes [18]. Shivayogimath and Watawati [19] also reached a similar result with present study.

#### 3.2. Effect of pH

To determine the effect of pH on removal efficiency, pH changed from 3 to 11. The nitrate removal efficiency was achieved 96% in pH 7.0 and detention time of 30 min. It was no observed significant different in acidic and alkaline pHs; the removal efficiencies for pH values of 3 and 11 were close to 96%. This finding supports that variations of pH has no effect on the nitrate removal by ECF.

#### 3.3. Effect of current density and detention time

Another important parameter influencing the performance and economy of the ECF is the density of current applied at the electrodes. It determines the coagulant dosage rate, bubble production rate, size and growth of the flocs. From Fig. 2b results, it is found that increasing applied current density between electrodes increases the anode weight loss resulting in increases in coagulant generation. This finding is in agreement with most other researchers [6,20–22]. The theoretical amount of loss in anode (Al) weight was calculated by Eq. (1). This calculation was based on the comparison of experimental weight loss of Al electrodes (m exp) during ECF process with theoretical amount of Al dissolution (m theo) according to the Faraday's law.

$$\Delta m_{theo} = \frac{M I t_{EC}}{n F} \tag{1}$$

where M is the molar mass of the aluminum, (g  $mol^{-1}$ ), n the number of electron moles and F is the Faraday constant.

Fig. 2c shows variations of current density  $(0.4-3.2 \text{ mA cm}^{-2})$  versus detention time (5-30 min) under the conditions given in Table 1. As presented in Fig. 2c, the removal efficiency of nitrate was 59% for current density of 0.4 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at detention time 30 min. Further increase in current density to 3.2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> increased removal efficiency to 73% after 20 min of detention time. This is consistent with Faraday law (Eq. (2)), in which an increase in the current density and time, the anode dissolution rate increases that will increase Al<sup>3+</sup> release from anode:

$$C_{Al} = \frac{M_w l t_{EC}}{ZFV} \tag{2}$$

where C<sub>Al</sub>, Z, F, V, and M<sub>w</sub> are the theoretical concentration of Al<sup>3+</sup> (g m<sup>-3</sup>), the chemical equivalence, Faraday's constant (96,487 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), volume of reactor (m<sup>3</sup>), and molecular weight of aluminum (g mol<sup>-1</sup>), respectively. And I is current (A) and t<sub>EC</sub> the applied electrolysis time (s). Also with increasing in current density,

#### Table 1

The runs and	l operational	conditions c	of ECF	experiments.
--------------	---------------	--------------	--------	--------------

Run	Operation mode	Experiment	Operational conditions				
			Material (anode-cathode)	pН	Current density (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Detention time (min)	
1	Continuous	Effect of electrodes material	Al, Fe	7	3.2	30	
2	Continuous	Effect of pH	Al—Al	3-11	3.2	30	
3	Continuous	Effect of current density and detention time	Al—Al	7	0.4-3.2	5-60	



**Fig. 2.** The effect of anode–cathode electrode materials on the removal of nitrate (a); nitrate removal and anode (Al) weight loss as a function of current densities applied in the ECF (b); the effect of current density on the removal of nitrate (c); the effect of detention time on the energy consumption and the removal efficiency of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (d).

Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> flocs generation and bubble generation rate also increase with the bubble size decreasing.

#### 3.4. The main mechanism of nitrate removal by ECF process

The main cathode electrochemical reactions involved in the reduction of nitrate are presented in the following [23]:

$$NO_3^- + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow NO_2^- + 2OH^-$$
 (3)

$$NO_3^- + 3H_2O + 5e^- \to (1/2) N_2 + 6OH^-$$
(4)

$$NO_2^- + 5H_2O + 6e^- \rightarrow NH_3 + 70H^-$$
 (5)

 $NO_2^- + 4H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow NH_2OH + 5OH^-$  (6)

 $2NO_2^- + 4H_2O + 6e^- \rightarrow N_2 + 8OH^-$ (7)

 $2NO_2^- + 3H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow N_2O + 6OH^-$ (8)

$$NO_2^- + H_2O + 2e^- \to NO + 2OH^-$$
 (9)

$$N_2O + 5H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow 2NH_2OH + 4OH^-$$
 (10)

$$2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^-$$
 (11)

By applying electrical current to the electrodes, anode oxidation and cathode reduction reactions takes place in the electrolyte. Nitrate in the vicinity of the cathode is reduced and is mainly converted to products such as nitrite, ammonia and nitrogen. Also water adjacent to the cathode electrode is reduced and the tiny bubbles of hydrogen gas produced. Best case, is electrochemical reduction of nitrate to nitrogen gas. If electrolyte solution to be acidic, byproducts of nitrate reduction include: nitrites, ammonia, hydrazine and hydroxylamine. But if the electrolyte is alkaline or neutral, byproducts of the electrochemical reduction include: nitrates, nitrites and ammonia. Since hydroxide ion is produced in the cathode reaction, pH electrolyte rises quickly, and most products are nitrite and ammonia. When aluminum is used as the anode and cathode electrodes, Al<sup>3+</sup> and hydrogen gas are produced due to oxidation at the anode and water reduction at cathode respectively, in accordance with the following reactions:

 $Al_{(s)} \rightarrow Al^{3+} + 3e^- \tag{12}$ 

 $2H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow H_{2(g)} + 2OH^-$  (13)

Aluminum reduced nitrate to nitrite, ammonia and nitrogen gas according to the following reactions:

$$3NO_3^- + 2AI + 3H_2O \rightarrow 3NO_2^- + 2AI(OH)_{3(s)}$$
 (14)

 $NO_{2}^{-} + 2AI + 5H_{2}O \rightarrow 3NH_{3} + 2AI(OH)_{3(s)} + OH^{-}$ (15)

$$2NO_{2}^{-} + 2AI + 4H_{2}O \rightarrow N_{2(g)} + 2AI(OH)_{3(s)} + 2OH^{-}$$
(16)

Overall

$$3NO_3^- + 6Al + 12H_2O \rightarrow NH_3 + N_{2(g)} + 6Al(OH)_{3(s)} + 3OH^-$$
 (17)

Finally, due to the presence of hydroxide ions in solution, Al<sup>3+</sup> will form aluminum hydroxide.

$$Al^{3+} + 3H_2O \rightarrow Al(OH)_{3(s)} + 3H^+$$
 (18)

#### 3.5. Electric energy consumption

The important economical parameters in ECF are electrical energy consumption (E) and the current efficiency ( $\phi$ ) which are the major operating cost of the electrochemical process. The plot of E versus detention time is shown in Fig. 2d. The minimum amount of energy consumption was 2.66 kWh g<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at a current density of 3.2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for a 30 min reaction time that was calculated using the following equation:

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{C}} \tag{19}$$

where E is the electrical energy in Wh, and U = the cell voltage in volt (V). The  $\phi$  is expressed by comparison of m exp with m theo during ECF process and is calculated by Eq. (20) [24–26].

$$\emptyset = \frac{\Delta m_{exp}}{\Delta m_{theo}} 100 \tag{20}$$

The specific electrical energy consumption (SEEC) was calculated as a function of aluminum electrodes weight consumption during EC in kWh  $g^{-1}$  Al using Eq. (21):

$$SEEC = \frac{nFU}{(3.6)10^3 M \varnothing} 100$$
 (21)

These calculations were carried out after optimizing the operational parameters in ECF process [25,26]. The calculated values were 2.66 (kWh g<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), 160% and 0.98 (kWh g<sup>-1</sup> Al) for E,  $\phi$  and SEEC, respectively.

#### 4. Conclusions

ECF process was tested for the removal of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from water solutions. The effect of operational parameters such as pH, current density and detention time on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> removal efficiency was investigated and optimized. It was observed that the Al–Al electrode arrangement gives the highest performance for the removal of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> under the conditions studied. Continuous operation of the ECF process led to removal efficiency of 96% of nitrate at a detention time of 30 min, pH 7.0 and current density 3.2 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Mean energy consumption,  $\Phi$  and SEEC were figured at about 2.66 kWh g<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, 160% and 0.98 kWh g<sup>-1</sup> Al, respectively. Therefore, it can

be concluded that the ECF with the Al–Al electrode arrangement is an efficient, reliable and therefore promising technique for the treatment of  $NO_3^-$  in water solutions.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Students Research Office, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences for the financial grant for this research (project number 8225).

#### References

- [1] Mondal N, Saxena V, Singh V. Occurrence of elevated nitrate in groundwaters of Krishna delta, India. Afr J Environ Sci Tech 2008;2:265–71.
- [2] Emamjomeh MM, Sivakumar M. Review of pollutants removed by electrocoagulation and electrocoagulation/flotation processes. J Environ Manage 2009;90:1663–79.
- [3] Emamjomeh MM, Sivakumar M. Denitrification using a monopolar electrocoagulation/flotation (ECF) process. J Environ Manage 2009;91:516–22.
- [4] Ayyasamy PM, Shanthi K, Lakshmanaperumalsamy P, Lee SJ, Choi NC, Kim DJ. Two-stage removal of nitrate from groundwater using biological and chemical treatments. J Biosci Bioeng 2007;104:129–34.
- [5] Ghafari S, Hasan M, Aroua MK. Bio-electrochemical removal of nitrate from water and wastewater – a review. Bioresour Technol 2008;99:3965–74.
- [6] Yuksel E, Sengil IA, Ozacar M. The removal of sodium dodecyl sulfate in synthetic wastewater by peroxi-electrocoagulation method. Chem Eng J 2009;152:347–53.
- [7] Chou WL, Wang CT, Huang KY. Investigation of process parameters for the removal of polyvinyl alcohol from aqueous solution by iron electrocoagulation. Desalination 2010;251:12–9.
- [8] Cho JH, Lee JE, Ra CS. Effects of electric voltage and sodium chloride level on electrolysis of swine wastewater. J Hazard Mater 2010;180:535–41.
- [9] Kabdasli I, Vardar B, Arslan-Alaton I, Tunay O. Effect of dye auxiliaries on color and COD removal from simulated reactive dyebath effluent by electrocoagulation. Chem Eng J 2009;148:89–96.
- [10] Kim TH, Park C, Shin CB, Kim S. Decolorization of disperse and reactive dyes by continuous electrocoagulation process. Desalination 2002;150:165–75.
- [11] Mollah MYA, Pathak SR, Patil PK, Vayuvegula M, Agrawal TS, Gomes JAG, et al. Treatment of Orange II azo-dye by electrocoagulation (EC) technique in a continuous flow cell using sacrificial iron electrodes. J Hazard Mater 2004;109:165–71.
- [12] Asselin M, Drogui P, Brar SK, Benmoussa H, Blais JF. Organics removal in oily bilgewater by electrocoagulation process. J Hazard Mater 2008;151:446–55.
- [13] Linares-Hernández I, Barrera-Díaz C, Roa-Morales G, Bilyeu B, Ureña-Núñez F. Influence of the anodic material on electrocoagulation performance. Chem Eng J 2009;148:97–105.
- [14] El-Naas MH, Al-Zuhair S, Al-Lobaney A, Makhlouf S. Assessment of electrocoagulation for the treatment of petroleum refinery wastewater. J Environ Manage 2009;91:180–5.
- [15] Emamjomeh MM, Sivakumar M. Fluoride removal by a continuous flow electrocoagulation reactor. J Environ Manage 2009;90:1204–12.
- [16] Khansorthong S, Hunsom M. Remediation of wastewater from pulp and paper mill industry by the electrochemical technique. Chem Eng J 2009;151: 228–34.
- [17] APHA. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 21st ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association; 2005.
- [18] Jotin R, Ibrahim S, Halimoon N. Electro coagulation for removal of chemical oxygen demand in sanitary landfill leachate. Int J Environ Sci 2012;3:107–10.
- [19] Shivayogimath CB, Watawati C. Treatment of solid waste leachate by electrocoagulation technology. Int J Res Eng Technol 2013;2:266–9.
- [20] Apaydin O, Kurt U, Gonullu MT. An investigation on the treatment of tannery wastewater by electrocoagulation. Global Nest J 2009;11:546–55.
- [21] Abdelwahab O, Amin NK, El-Ashtoukhy ESZ. Electrochemical removal of phenol from oil refinery wastewater. J Hazard Mater 2009;163:711–6.
- [22] Aoudj S, Khelifa A, Drouiche N, Hecini M, Hamitouche H. Electrocoagulation process applied to wastewater containing dyes from textile industry. Chem Eng Process 2010;49:1176–82.
- [23] Li M, Feng CP, Zhang ZY, Lei XH, Chen RZ, Yang YN, et al. Simultaneous reduction of nitrate and oxidation of by-products using electrochemical method. J Hazard Mater 2009;171:724–30.
- [24] Farhadi S, Aminzadeh B, Torabian A, Khatibikamal V, Fard MA. Comparison of COD removal from pharmaceutical wastewater by electrocoagulation, photoelectrocoagulation, peroxi-electrocoagulation and peroxiphotoelectrocoagulation processes. J Hazard Mater 2012;219:35–42.
- [25] Yazdanbakhsh AR, Kashefiasl M, Zareh H, Agaiani E, Sardar M, Sheikhmohammadi A. Thickening of biological sludge by Electro-Coagulation-Flotation process. Int J Electrochem Sci 2015;10:3746–56.
- [26] Yazdanbakhsh AR, Massoudinegad MR, Eliasi S, Sheikh Mohammadi A. The influence of operational parameters on reduce of azithromyin COD from wastewater using the peroxi-electrocoagulation process. J Water Proc Eng 2015;6:51–7.

290