

## Note

---

# On the number of partially abelian square-free words on a three-letter alphabet

Robert Cori\*

*LaBRI, Université Bordeaux I, 351 Cours de la Libération, F-33405 Talence Cedex, France*

Maria Rosaria Formisano

*Istituto di Matematica, R. Cacciopoli, Università di Napoli, Italy*

Communicated by D. Perrin  
Received March 1990

### 0. Introduction

Generalizing the notion of square in the free monoid  $A^*$ , we have introduced in [4] the notion of a square with respect to a commutation relation  $\sim_\theta$ . These commutation relations are generated by the relations  $ab \sim_\theta ba$  for the pairs  $(a, b)$  belonging to a subset  $\theta$  of  $A \times A$ . They were introduced by Cartier and Foata [3] and extensively studied since Mazurkiewicz [9] pointed out their power as a formal model for concurrent computations.

Considering the combinatorial aspect of a commutation  $\theta$ , we have defined a  $\theta$ -square in  $A^*$  as a nonempty word  $fg$  such that  $f \sim_\theta g$ . Note that a  $\theta$ -square is an ordinary square when  $\theta = \emptyset$ , and an abelian square when  $\theta = A \times A$ . We have considered the subset  $L_2(A, \theta)$  of words not containing a  $\theta$ -square as a factor and we have proved that  $L_2(A, \theta)$  is infinite for almost all alphabets  $A$  and commutations  $\theta$ . In this note we restrict the alphabet  $A$  to having three letters  $A = \{a, b, c\}$  and the relation  $\theta$  to have only one pair  $ac \sim_\theta ca$ . We prove that the number  $\alpha_n$  of words of length  $n$  in  $L_2(A, \theta)$  is bounded by a polynomial in  $n$ . This result is to be compared

\* Work done while the author was visiting professor at the Università "La Sapienza", Rome, Italy.

with the one obtained by Brandenburg [1] when  $\theta = \emptyset$ , proving that the number of square-free words of length  $n$  of  $\{a, b, c\}^*$  is an exponential function of  $n$ .

Our proof is based on the bounds obtained by Restivo and Salemi [10] and by Kobayashi [7] for the number of overlapping-free words.

## 1. Notations and definitions

We follow Lothaire [8, 4]. We fix the alphabet  $A$  to be  $A = \{a, b, c\}$  in all of the paper. The *length* of a word  $w$  of  $A^*$  will be denoted by  $|w|$ , and the number of occurrences of the letter  $x \in A$  in  $w$  by  $|w|_x$ . A word  $u$  is a *factor* of  $w$  if  $w = wuw''$  for some  $w', w'' \in A$ . A word  $w$  is *square-free* if whenever  $uu$  is a factor of  $w$  then  $u$  is the empty word. We consider the subset  $\theta = \{(a, c), (c, a)\}$  of  $A \times A$ , and the congruence  $\sim_\theta$  of  $A^*$  generated by the relation  $ac \sim_\theta ca$ .

The following proposition, a consequence of [5, Proposition 1.1], characterizes the pair of words  $f, g$  equivalent under  $\sim_\theta$ . It may also be used as a definition of  $\sim_\theta$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Two words  $f, g \in \{a, b, c\}^*$  are such that  $f \sim_\theta g$  if and only if there exist decompositions of  $f$  and  $g$*

$$f = f_1 b f_2 b \dots f_p b f_{p+1}, \quad g = g_1 b g_2 b \dots g_p b g_{p+1}$$

satisfying

$$\forall i = 1, \dots, p+1 \quad f_i, g_i \in \{a, c\}^* \quad \text{and} \quad |f_i|_a = |g_i|_a, |f_i|_c = |g_i|_c.$$

A nonempty word  $w$  is a  $\theta$ -square if  $w = fg$   $f \sim_\theta g$  and it is  $\theta$ -square-free if none of its factors is a  $\theta$ -square. Note that if  $w$  is  $\theta$ -square-free then it is also square-free.

Carpi and De Luca [2] have defined a closely related but different notion of square-free trace.

We denote by  $L_2(\theta)$  the set of  $\theta$ -square-free words and by  $L_2 = L_2(\emptyset)$  the set of square-free words. Note that these two sets coincide for the words of length strictly less than 6, and  $bacbca$  is a square-free word of length 6 which is a  $\theta$ -square thus not  $\theta$ -square-free.

We denote by  $M_2$  the subset of  $L_2$  consisting of all the square-free words not containing  $aba$  or  $cbc$  as a factor

$$M_2 = L_2 \setminus A^* \{aba, cbc\} A^*.$$

We denote by  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  respectively the number of words of length  $n$  of  $L_2(\theta)$  and  $M_2$

$$\alpha_n = \text{card}(L_2(\theta) \cap A^n), \quad \beta_n = \text{card}(M_2 \cap A^n).$$

## 2. Relations between $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$

The following lemma is a consequence of [4, Proposition 3.2]; here we give a simple proof of it.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f$  be a word of  $M_2$  of length  $|f| \geq 2$ , and let  $g$  be given by  $f = xgy$ ,  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $g \in L_2(\theta)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be as in the statement. We first show that if  $g = g'bhb g''$  with  $h \in \{a, c\}^*$  then  $h \in \{a, c, aca, cac\}$ . Since  $f$  is square-free so is  $h$ , hence  $h \in \{a, c, ac, ca, aca, cac\}$ . Thus we have only to rule out the cases  $h = ac$  and  $h = ca$ . By symmetry we may only consider  $h = ac$ . Assume  $g = g'bacbg''$ ; then  $f$  has  $x'bacby'$  as a factor for some  $x', y' \in A$ . Since  $f \in M_2$ ,  $x' \neq a$  and  $y' \neq c$ , we have  $x' \neq b$  and  $y' \neq b$  then  $cbacba$  is a factor of  $f$  in contradiction with the assumption that  $f$  is square-free.

Assume now that  $g$  has a factor which is a  $\theta$ -square; then  $g = g'uv g''$  and  $u \sim_\theta v$ . By Proposition 1 we have

$$u = u_1bu_2 \dots u_pbu_{p+1}, \quad v = v_1bv_2 \dots v_pbv_{p+1},$$

$u_i, v_i \in \{a, c\}^*$  and  $|u_i|_x = |v_i|_x$  for  $x \in \{a, c\}$ . By the above remark we get  $u_i = v_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, p$ , and  $u_{p+1}v_1 \in \{a, c, aca, cac\}$ . Since  $f$  is square-free  $u_1 \neq v_1$  or  $u_{p+1} \neq v_{p+1}$  (or both). If  $u_{p+1}$  or  $v_1$  is empty then  $u = v$  and  $f$  is not square-free. We may thus assume  $u_1 = ac$  and  $v_1 = ca$  or  $u_{p+1} = ac$  and  $v_{p+1} = ca$ . Since  $u_2 = v_2$ , one of  $u_1bu_2$  or  $v_1bv_2$  has a factor in  $\{aba, cbc\}$  in the first case and the same holds for  $u_{p-1}bu_p$  or  $v_{p-1}bv_p$  in the second case in contradiction with  $f \in M_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f \in L_2(\theta)$  be of length greater than 7 and let  $f = ugv$ ,  $u, v \in A^2$ ; then  $g \in M_2$ .*

**Proof.** Assume  $aba$  is a factor of  $g$ ; since  $f$  is square-free, one of  $bcabac$ ,  $cabacb$ ,  $acabacab$ ,  $bacabaca$  is a factor of  $f$  contradicting  $f \in L_2(\theta)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *The numbers  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  satisfy the following inequalities:*

- (i)  $\beta_n \leq 4\alpha_{n-2}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ;
- (ii)  $\alpha_n \leq 9\beta_{n-4}$ ,  $n \geq 7$ .

**Proof.** (i): Consider the mapping from  $A^2A^*$  into  $A^*$  given by

$$xgy \rightarrow g, \quad x, y \in A.$$

By Lemma 1 the image of  $M_2$  is a subset of  $L_2(\theta)$ . Any element  $g$  of  $A^*$  is the image of nine different elements  $xgy$ , if  $xgy$  is an element of  $M_2$  then  $x$  must be different from the first letter of  $g$  and  $y$  must be different from the last. Thus any element of  $L_2(\theta) \cap A^{n-2}$  is the image of at most four elements of  $M_2 \cap A^n$  giving the first inequality.

(ii): Consider the mapping from  $A^4A^* \rightarrow A^*$  given by

$$ugv \rightarrow g, \quad u, v \in A^2.$$

Let us show that, for any  $g \in M_2$ ,  $|g| \geq 3$  there are at most nine pairs  $(u, v)$  such that  $ugv \in L_2(\theta)$ . Since  $L_2(\theta) \subset L_2$  it will be sufficient to show that, for any square-free

word  $f$ ,  $|f| > 2$ , there are at most three words  $u \in A^2$  such that  $uf$  is square-free. Let  $xy$  be the first two letters of  $f$ ,  $f = xyg$ , and let  $z$  be the letter of  $A$  such that  $z \neq x$ ,  $z \neq y$ ; then, for  $u_1, u_2 \in A$ ,  $u_1u_2f \in L_2$  implies that  $u_1u_2$  is one of the words  $xz$ ,  $yz$  or  $zy$ .

Hence any word  $g$  of  $M_2$  of length  $n - 4$  is the image of at most nine different words of  $L_2(\theta)$  of length  $n$ , giving the second inequality.  $\square$

### 3. Square-free words versus overlapping-free words

Let  $A_0$  be the alphabet consisting of the two letters  $\{0, 1\}$ , a word  $w$  of  $A_0^*$  is overlapping-free if it contains no factor of the form  $xuxux$  with  $u \in A_0^*$  and  $x \in A_0$ . Let  $c_n$  be the number of overlapping-free words of length  $n$ . Kobayashi [7] proved the following bounds for  $c_n$ :

$$c_1 n^{1.155} < c_n < c_2 n^{1.587}.$$

We will reduce the enumeration of words in  $M_2$  to that of overlapping-free words using the following morphism  $\varphi$  from  $A^*$  into  $A_0^*$ ;

$$\varphi(a) = 011, \quad \varphi(b) = 01, \quad \varphi(c) = 0.$$

This morphism is well-known since it allows to build the Thue-Morse sequence on the alphabet  $A$  from that on  $A_0^*$ .

It is clear that  $\varphi$  is one-to-one and that the image  $\varphi(A^*)$  consists of all words beginning with the letter 0 and which do not contain 111 as a factor.

The following proposition is contained in the paper of Thue [11] and is given as an exercise in [8]; here we give a proof of it in order to be complete.

**Proposition 3.** *For any word  $f$  of  $M_2$ ,  $\varphi(f)$  is overlapping-free. Conversely, if  $w$  is an overlapping-free word of length greater than 6 beginning with the letter 0, then there exists a unique word  $g$  of  $M_2$  and letters  $x, y$  of  $A$  such that  $\varphi(xgy) = w$ .*

**Proof.** The first part is obtained using the following observation: if  $u \in \varphi(A^*)$  is such that  $u = v0w$  then there exist a unique  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $\varphi(f) = v$ ,  $\varphi(g) = 0w$ . This observation rules out from  $\varphi(f)$  (for  $f \in M_2$ ) the overlappings  $0u0u0$  since they would come from a square of  $f$ .

A similar argument holds for the overlappings of the form  $1u1u1$  when  $u$  begins with the letter 1, since two 1s must be preceded by a 0. When the overlapping  $10v10v1$  is a factor of  $\varphi(f)$ , the hypothesis that  $f$  is square-free implies that this overlapping is followed by a 1, and that  $v$  ends with a 0. We thus obtain the following decomposition for  $f$ :

$$f = f'gbgaf'' \quad \text{with } \varphi(f') \in \{0, 1\}^*1$$

Hence,

$$f' \in \{a, b, c\}^*\{a, b\}.$$

The contradiction is then obtained considering the cases  $|g|=0$ ,  $|g|=1$ , and  $|g|\geq 2$  and using the fact that  $aba$  and  $cbc$  are not factors of  $f$ .

To prove the converse part, we first remark that any overlapping-free word  $u$  does not contain  $\underline{111}$  as a factor. Assuming that it begins with  $\underline{0}$  implies the existence of an  $f \in A^*$  such that  $\varphi(f) = u$ . If  $u$  is of length greater than 6 then  $f$  is of length greater than 2 and  $f = xgy$ ,  $g \in A^*$ ,  $x, y \in A$ . Assume that  $g$  contains a square factor  $g = g'hhg''$ ; then  $f$  contains  $hhz$  as a factor for a certain  $z$  of  $A$  and then  $u = \varphi(f)$  contains an overlapping  $\varphi(h)\varphi(h)\underline{0}$ .

It remains to prove that  $g$  does not contain  $aba$  or  $cbc$  as a factor; the first is ruled out by remarking that  $10101$  is an overlapping factor of  $\varphi(aba)$ , the second possibility implies that  $f$  has  $acbca$  as a factor and  $1001001$  is an overlapping factor of  $\varphi(acbca)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *The numbers  $\beta_n$  of words of  $M_2$  of length  $n$ , and  $c_n$  of overlapping-free words of length  $n$  satisfy the following inequalities:*

- (i)  $\forall n \exists k \in \{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\}$  such that  $\beta_n \leq \frac{3}{2}c_k$ .
- (ii)  $c_{2n} \leq 2\beta_{n-2}$ .

**Proof.** (i) We first prove that, for any  $f \in M_2$ ,  $|f|_a = |f|_c + \varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . This is done by induction on  $|f|$ . Of course, this identity is trivial for  $|f| \leq 3$  since  $aa$  or  $cc$  is not a factor of  $f$ . Consider a word  $f$ ,  $|f| > 3$ ; then  $f = f'u$  where  $u \in \{b, ac, ca, abc, cba\}$ . The inductive hypothesis applied to  $f'$  gives the result.

For any  $f \in M_2$ ,  $\varphi(f)$  is overlapping-free and

$$|\varphi(f)| = 3|f|_a + 2|f|_b + |f|_c = 2|f| + |f|_a - |f|_c.$$

By the above remark we obtain

$$|\varphi(f)| = 2|f| + \varepsilon \quad \text{where } \varepsilon = \{0, 1, -1\}.$$

Now  $\varphi$  is one-to-one and as  $\varphi(f)$  begins with the letter  $\underline{0}$  we get

$$\beta_n \leq \frac{1}{2}(c_{2n-1} + c_{2n} + c_{2n+1}).$$

The first inequality is then obtained by choosing  $k$  such that  $c_k$  is maximal among  $\{c_{2n-1}, c_{2n}, c_{2n+1}\}$ .

(ii) Let  $w$  be an overlapping-free word of length  $2n$  beginning with a  $\underline{0}$ ; then, by Proposition 3, there exists a unique  $g \in M_2$  and letters  $x, y \in A$  such that  $\varphi(xgy) = w$ . By the same remark above  $xgy$  is of length  $n$ , hence  $|g| = n - 2$  and there are only two possible choices for  $x$  and  $y$  since  $\varphi(w)$  is overlapping-free.  $\square$

#### 4. Consequences

The two following results are consequences of the propositions obtained in the preceding sections. The first one is an enumerative result on the number of  $\theta$ -square-free words, the second one is a nonexistence theorem on  $\theta$ -square-free morphisms.

**Theorem 1.** *The number  $\alpha_n$  of  $\theta$ -square-free words of length  $n$  satisfies*

$$c'_1 n^{1.15} \leq \alpha_n \leq c'_2 n^{1.587}.$$

**Proof.** Use Kobayashi's result for  $c_n$ , then apply Propositions 2 and 4.  $\square$

A morphism  $\psi$  from  $\{a, b, c\}^*$  into itself is  $\theta$ -square-free if, for any  $\theta$ -square-free word  $f$ ,  $\psi(f)$  is also  $\theta$ -square-free. Of course, the identity and the morphism  $\psi$  given by

$$\psi(b) = b, \quad \psi(a) = c, \quad \psi(c) = a$$

are  $\theta$ -square-free; let us call them *elementary*. They are the only two permutations of the letters  $\{a, b, c\}$  generating a square-free morphism, since for the other permutations  $bacbca$  is the image of a  $\theta$ -square-free word.

**Theorem 2.** *Any  $\theta$ -square-free morphism from  $\{a, b, c\}^*$  into itself is elementary.*

**Proof.** Let  $\psi$  be a  $\theta$ -square-free morphism, consider any square-free word  $f$  of length not greater than 5;  $f$  is also  $\theta$ -square-free, hence  $\psi(f)$  is square-free. By a characterization due to Crochemore [6] this proves that  $\psi$  is a square-free morphism, thus  $\psi(g)$  is square-free for any square-free word  $g$ .

The next step in the proof is to show that if two letters among  $\{a, b, c\}$  have images by  $\psi$  of length greater than 1 then neither  $aba$  nor  $cbc$  can be a factor of  $\psi(f)$  for a  $\theta$ -square-free word  $f$ . By the hypothesis on the lengths of images of letters we may assume that the square-free word  $f$  such that  $\psi(f)$  has  $aba$  or  $cbc$  as a factor is of length 2. Let  $f = xy$ , with  $x \neq y$ , be such a word; consider the letter  $z$  such that  $x \neq z$ ,  $z \neq y$  and the word  $g = yzxyzy$ . This word is  $\theta$ -square-free for any  $x, y, z$ . Let  $u = \psi(g)$  we have

$$u = \psi(y)\psi(z)\psi(xy)\psi(z)\psi(y),$$

$$u = u'abau'' \quad \text{with } |u'| \geq 3, |u''| \geq 3$$

and  $u$  contains a  $\theta$ -square, a contradiction by Lemma 2.

Now, if two letters  $x, y$  have images of length 1 then either  $\psi$  is not a square-free morphism or  $\psi$  is elementary: if  $x'$  and  $y'$  are the images of  $x, y$  then  $\psi(z)$  begins and ends with the same letter  $z'$ ; if  $\psi(z)$  is of length greater than 1, then  $\psi(z) = z'u'z'v'u' \in \{x', y'\}^*$ ; consider  $u \in \{x, y\}$  such that  $\psi(u) = u'$ ; then  $uz$  is square-free and  $\psi(uz)$  is not, a contradiction.

We have thus obtained that any  $\theta$ -square-free morphism which is not elementary maps the set of square-free words  $L_2$  into  $M_2$  but this is not compatible with the following facts:

- the number of words of length  $n$  of  $L_2$  has an exponential growth [1];
- the number  $\beta_n$  is bounded by a polynomial in  $n$  (Theorem 1).  $\square$

**References**

- [1] F. Brandenburg, Uniformly growing  $k$ th power-free homomorphisms, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **23** (1983) 145–149.
- [2] A. Carpi and A. De Luca, Square-free words on partially commutative free monoids, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **22** (1986) 125–131.
- [3] P. Cartier and D. Foata, *Propriétés Combinatoires de Commutations et Réarrangements*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **5** (Springer, Berlin, 1969).
- [4] R. Cori and M.R. Formisano, Partially abelian square-free words, *RAIRO Inform. Théor.*, to appear.
- [5] R. Cori and D. Perrin, Automates et commutations partielles, *RAIRO Inform. Théor.* **19** (1985) 232.
- [6] M. Crochemore, Sharp characterisations of square-free morphisms, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **18** (1982) 221–226.
- [7] Y. Kobayashi, Enumeration of irreducible binary words, to appear.
- [8] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on Words* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983).
- [9] A. Mazurkiewicz, Concurrent program schemes and their interpretations, Tech. Report, DIAMI-PB 76, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, 1977.
- [10] A. Restivo and A. Salemi, On weakly square-free words, *Bull EATCS* **21** (1983) 49–56.
- [11] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen, *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat-Nat. Kl., Christiana.* **1** (1912) 1–67.