Incidence, prevention, and management in spinal cord protection during TEVAR

Adnan Z. Rizvi, MD, and Timothy M. Sullivan, MD, Minneapolis, Minn

Until recently, patients with aneurysms of the thoracic and thoracoabdominal aorta had only one treatment option: open surgical repair. For those patients who could not tolerate an operation because of medical comorbidities, continued aneurysm enlargement and eventual rupture was a constant, yet unpredictable threat to their lives. Several studies have documented improved survival rates in those patients treated surgically.^{1,2}

Despite advances in surgical reconstruction and organ protection, the mortality rate for elective surgical repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm is 4% to 21%; advanced age, renal failure, and postoperative paraplegia are the most important risk factors predicting death at 30 days. In addition, for those patients aged \geq 79 with an emergency presentation, history of diabetes or congestive heart failure, 30-day mortality is 50%. For aneurysms isolated to the distal thoracic aorta, the risk of paraplegia is 0% to 4% and is dependent on the extent of aorta replaced.³ A substantial number of patients surviving the operation have prolonged, complicated courses secondary to renal, cardiac, and pulmonary dysfunction. Perhaps the most devastating complication of these complex procedures for patients and their surgeon is paraplegia.⁴

A myriad of techniques have been developed to protect the spinal cord during open surgical repair of the thoracic and thoracoabdominal aorta, including clamp-and-sew, distal aortic and visceral perfusion, complete cardiopulmonary bypass, profound hypothermia and circulatory arrest, direct spinal cord cooling, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) drainage, and the use of pharmacologic adjuncts; some of these principles may be useful in preventing paraplegia at the time of endovascular repair. When the thoracic aorta is crossclamped, spinal arterial perfusion pressure decreases while CSF pressure increases, resulting in decreased perfusion pressure.

In an important study of 1004 patients by Safi et al,⁵ immediate postoperative neurologic deficit occurred in 6.8% of patients operated on without the adjuncts of CSF

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2010 by the Society for Vascular Surgery.

doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2010.06.148

drainage and distal aortic perfusion, whereas only 2.4% of those operated on with adjuncts suffered this devastating complication. These authors also stressed the importance of reimplantation of intercostal arteries, especially in the vulnerable area between T9 and T12, which frequently gives rise to the anterior spinal artery. Relative hypertension in the immediate postoperative period (maintaining mean arterial pressure between 90 and 100 mm Hg) is also advocated. Other risk factors for paraplegia in their series included extent of aneurysmal disease, advanced age, emergency presentation, preoperative renal dysfunction, active smoking, and cerebrovascular disease. Delayed paraplegia has been noted as late as 2 weeks after surgery, and has been successfully treated by placement of a spinal drain.⁶

PARAPLEGIA AND PARAPARESIS IN THE ERA OF THORACIC ENDOVASCULAR AUERYSM REPAIR

Substantial controversy exists with respect to elective repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms with endografts in good-risk patients due to the excellent perioperative mortality and long-term durability of open surgical repair.⁷ The promise of endovascular repair of thoracic aortic aneurysms (TEVAR), however, is one of decreased perioperative mortality and morbidity, especially with respect to paraplegia, permanent renal failure and stroke, in a population of patients who heretofore did not have an alternative to open surgical therapy or expectant treatment. Although there is a paucity of well-controlled data on which to base definitive statements and clinical practice, a review of case series within the available literature allows for some general assumptions to be made.

In one of the first reported series by Dake et al⁸ in 1994, 13 patients were treated with homemade endovascular stent grafts during a 24-month period. All grafts were successfully deployed, no patients died, and no patients suffered stroke, paraplegia, or distal embolization; these results generated incredible enthusiasm for this novel procedure.

Subsequent reports in larger groups of patients were more sobering, however. The European Collaborators on Stent-Graft Techniques for Aortic Aneurysm Repair (EUROSTAR) and United Kingdom registries, reported in 2004 by Leurs et al,⁹ examined 443 patients who had undergone endovascular repair of a variety of pathologies involving the thoracic aorta, including degenerative aneurysms, aortic dissections, anastomotic aneurysms, and traumatic ruptures. In the entire cohort, 11 instances of paraplegia or paresis occurred, for an incidence of 2.5%. Ten of

From the Department of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Minneapolis Heart Institute at Abbott Northwestern Hospital.

Competition of interest: none.

Reprint requests: Adnan Z. Rizvi, MD, Department of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery, Minneapolis Heart Institute, 920 E. 28th St, Ste 300, Minneapolis, MN 55407(e-mail: adnan.rizvi@allina.com).

The editors and reviewers of this article have no relevant financial relationships to disclose per the JVS policy that requires reviewers to decline review of any manuscript for which they may have a competition of interest.

J Vasc Surg 2010;52:86S-90S

^{0741-5214/\$36.00}

the 11 patients with this particular complication were in the group that had an atherosclerotic aneurysm. One patient in the aortic dissection group and no patients in the false aneurysm or traumatic rupture groups were affected. Given the heterogeneous nature of the patient population and practice variability across the 62 participating centers, there are no reported data regarding the use of spinal drains.

Chiesa et al¹⁰ reported 103 patients treated electively for thoracic aortic lesions, 88 (85%) of which were atherosclerotic aneurysms. Preoperative CSF drainage was used in seven patients (based on data extrapolated from their experience with open surgical repair), including those with aneurysms involving critical intercostal arteries at T8 to T12, those requiring coverage of a long segment of the thoracic aorta (>20 cm), and in patients with prior repair of an abdominal aortic aneurysm. Four patients (4%) had delayed neurologic deficit that completely resolved after the institution of CSF drainage, systemic steroid therapy, and pharmacologic support of blood pressure. Univariate analysis identified only a perioperative mean arterial blood pressure of <70 mm Hg as a significant predictor of spinal cord ischemia (P < .0001).

Greenberg et al¹¹ prospectively evaluated their results in 100 patients treated with investigational Zenith devices (Cook, Bloomington, Ind) at Cleveland Clinic. Of note, a spinal drain was placed in 84% of their patients preoperatively. Acute spinal cord ischemia (SCI) was noted in 7.4% of 81 patients treated for atherosclerotic aneurysms, although only two of these six patients had a permanent deficit. No paraplegia or paraparesis developed in patients with aneurysm or other indications who were treated for chronic dissection.

Finally, Makaroun et al¹² reported results from a multicenter trial of the Gore TAG thoracic endograft (W. L. Gore and Associates, Flagstaff, Ariz) in which 139 patients underwent successful implantation of the device. Spinal drains were not routinely placed before the procedures. Temporary or permanent spinal cord deficit developed in four patients (3%). Paraplegia was found in one patient immediately after the procedure that did not resolve after placement of a spinal drain. In a second patient, symptoms developed 6 hours after surgery associated with an episode of hypotension. Symptoms improved—but did not abate entirely—after stabilization of blood pressure and placement of a spinal drain. Symptoms in the third and fourth patients developed on the first postoperative day, and both were ambulating at the time of their discharge.

Mortality and the incidence of paraplegia/paraparesis after thoracic aortic endografting, compiled from the peer reviewed literature (and reporting at least 20 cases) are listed in Table I. The weighted average mortality at 30 days was 6.6% in this complex patient group (range, 0% to 19%). Similarly, there was a broad range in the incidence of SCI, with an average of 3.9% in 5349 patients (range, 0% to 13.3%).

A review of these citations suggests that there is no consensus regarding the use of CSF drains in patients undergoing TEVAR; some centers use spinal drains selec-

Rizvi and	Sullivan	87S
-----------	----------	------------

 Table I. Mortality and incidence of

 paraplegia/paraparesis after endovascular repair of

 thoracic aortic pathology (1999-2009)

Author, year	Nø.	30-day mortality No. (%)	Paraplegia/ paraparesis No. (%)
			1NO. (70)
Mitchell, 1999	103	9 (9)	3 (3)
Won, 2001	23	0	0
Taylor, 2001	37	3 (8)	0
White, 2001	26	1(4)	1(4)
Gravereaux, 2001	53	0	3 (5.6)
Cambria, 2002	28	1(3.5)	0
Thompson, 2002	46	2 (4.3)	0
Criado, 2002	47	$1 (2.1)^{a}$	0
Lepore, 2002	43	3 (7)	3 (6.9)
Usui, 2002	24	0	3 (12.5)
Ellozy, 2003	84	5 (6)	3 (3.6)
Bell, 2003	67	5 (7.4)	3 (4.5)
Chabbert, 2003	47	4(8.5)	0
Krohg-Sorensen, 2003	20	2(10)	0
Lambrechts, 2003	26	0	0
Schoder, 2003	28	0	0
Matravers, 2003	24	2 (7)	0
Lamme, 2003	21	0	0
Orend, 2003	74	7 (9.5)	2(2.7)
Neuhauser, 2004	31	6 (19)	2 (6)
Bortone, 2004	132	4(4)	0
Brandt, 2004	22	1 (4.5)	1(4.5)
Leurs, 2004	443	41 (9)	11(2.5)
Hansen, 2004	59	10 (16.9)	1(1.7)
Makaroun, 2005	139	2(1.5)	4 (3)
Chiesa, 2005	103	$\frac{1}{1}$ (1)	4(4)
Greenberg, 2005	100	17 (17)	6(6)
Melissano, 2005	45		$\frac{1}{4}$ (2)
Chiesa, 2005	103	2(2)	4(4)
Iyer, 2006	70 186	1(2.9)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & (0) \\ 7 & (2,7) \end{array} $
Morales, 2007	606	15 (8) 60 (9.9)	7(3.7) 15(2.5)
Buth, 2007 Khoynezhad, 2007	153	15(9.8)	8(5.2)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	133	3(2)	3(3.2) 3(2)
Kawaharada, 2007 Sandroussi, 2007	65	3(2) 3(4.6)	$\frac{3(2)}{2(3)}$
Qu, 2008	87	8 (9.2)	$\frac{2}{3}(3)$
Amabile, 2008	67	6 (8.9)	5(3) 5(7.5)
Feezor, 2008	326	24(7.4)	33 (10)
Misfeld, 2008	56	3(5.4)	2 (3.6)
Pearce, 2008	127	17 (13.3)	17 (13.3)
Hnath, 2008	127	Not reported	5(4.1)
Siegenthaler, 2008	21	1 (4.8)	1(4.8)
Matsumura, 2008	160	3(1.9)	9 (5.6)
Fairman, 2008	195	4(2.1)	17 (8.7)
Kim, 2009	72	0(0)	0(0)
Preventza, 2009	346	Not reported	14(4)
Nakamura, 2009	36	0 (0)	1(2.8)
Kische, 2009	180	9(5)	5(2.8)
Nienaber, 2009	72	2 (2.9)	2(2.9)
Chaikof, 2009	197	12 (6)	4 (2)
Cambria, 2009	59 ^b	7 (11.9)	1 (1.7)
Total	5349	$322(6.6)^{c}$	209 (3.9)

^aAn additional patient died of aortic rupture after 30 days.

^bAll 59 patients presented with acute aortic pathology.

^cTwo studies with mortality not recorded were not included in overall mortality calculation.

 Table II. Indications for the use of cerebrospinal drains in patients requiring thoracic endografts

- Anticipated endograft coverage of T9 to T12 (location of anterior spinal artery)
- 2. Coverage of a long segment of thoracic aorta (>20 cm)
- Compromised collateral pathways; for example, previous infrarenal aortic aneurysm repair, occluded hypogastric arteries, coverage of the left subclavian artery without revascularization
- 4. Symptomatic spinal ischemia in a patient who did not have a drain placed preoperatively
- 5. Extensive aneurysmal disease

tively in high-risk anatomic situations, while others use them only after patients become symptomatic in the postoperative period. The use of this important adjunct must be individualized and must also be based on its safety and ease of use in the individual institution. Placement of a spinal drain is not entirely benign, but with well-trained personnel, placement and management of a spinal drain can be performed with minimal morbidity and mortality.

In a recent review by Estera et al¹³ spanning 15 years and 1107 patients, CSF drain placement for open thoracoabdominal surgery showed a technical success rate of 99.8% and a drain-related complication rate of 1.5%. Subdural hematoma developed in five patients (0.4%); however, since implementing a limited CSF drainage protocol, this complication has not developed in any patients.

Certain clinical situations may prevent the placement of a spinal drain, such as patients with symptomatic or ruptured thoracic aortic pathology or patients with prior lumbar spine surgery. Indications for placing a spinal drain, based on our current practice, are listed in Table II. The drain remains in place for 24 hours in an intensive care unit setting, and is then removed. While in place, it is allowed to drain to maintain a CSF pressure of 10 mm Hg. Table III outlines our institution's protocol for managing CSF drains after TEVAR.

RISK FACTORS FOR DEVELOPING SCI AFTER TEVAR

Numerous groups have tried to identify patient or procedural variables that may increase the risk of SCI during TEVAR. Preventza et al¹⁴ reviewed 346 consecutive TEVAR procedures spanning 8 years. TEVAR was performed for atherosclerotic aneurysms in 45.9%, acute and chronic dissections in 31.5%, penetrating ulcers in 8.9%, and miscellaneous lesions in 13.6%. Fourteen patients (4%) developed paraparesis (1.7%) or paraplegia (2.3%). CSF drainage was used in seven of eight paraplegic patients. Paraplegia after TEVAR was associated with female gender, long segment coverage, and aneurysmal disease of the thoracic aorta.

Khoynezhad et al¹⁵ reviewed 153 patients who underwent TEVAR for various pathologies. This cohort had an overall paraplegia rate of 5.2% (8 patients), with permanent deficit in four patients. On univariate analysis, aneurysmal

Table III. Minneapolis Heart Institute at Abbott Northwestern Hospital: Spinal cord pressure monitoring and cerebrospinal fluid drainage protocol after thoracic aortic procedures

Vital signs and monitoring parameters

- Every hour for 8 hours then Q 2 hours
- If the head of bed (HOB) is >15 degrees assess neurologic status prior to elevating the HOB and Q 15 minutes while the HOB is elevated
- Spinal cord assessment should include hip flexion, feet dorsi/ plantarflexion
- Spinal cord pressure (SCP) monitoring
 - Monitor SCP and spinal cord perfusion pressure Q hourly
 Maintain SCP ≤10 mm Hg. If SCP elevated, place HOB
 - flat and ensure drain is patent
 Maintain spinal cord perfusion pressure >60 mm Hg and mean arterial pressure (MAP) >80 mm Hg
 - Use Neo-Synephrine IV infusion as necessary
- Activity
- HOB 1 to 15 degrees, OK to raise HOB for meals
- Do not change HOB position without consultation with vascular surgery
- Nursing
- No anticoagulation while drain is in place
- Continuous CSF draining
- If CSF output >20 mL/h × 2 hours or >150 mL over 8 hours, clamp drain and notify neurointerventional radiology
 If patient is intubated, clamp drain during positioning or suctioning
- Notify vascular surgery
 - Changes in neurological function
 - Patient complains of a headache, clamp the drain and notify surgeon
 - CSF output >20 mL/h × 2 hours or >150 mL over 8 hours, clamp drain and notify surgeon
 - SCP >10 mm HG or SCPP <60 mm Hg for more than 10 minutes
 - MAP <80 mm Hg
 - CSF leak at insertion site
 - New blood in the lines
 - New signs of meningeal irritation
 - Temperature >38.5° C
 - INR >1.5
 - Hemoglobin <10 mg/dL
 - Platelet count <100,000
- Pneumatic compression device

Prophylaxis antibiotics until the drain is out

- Ancef or vancomycin IV
- Laboratory
- INR 4 hours after drain placement and daily until drain is out
- Hgb and platelet count 4 hours after drain placement and daily

pathology, use of an iliac conduit, and coverage of a hypogastric artery were highly associated with a spinal cord injury after TEVAR.

From the EUROSTAR registry, Buth et al¹⁶ found an overall paraplegia or paraparesis rate of 2.5% in 606 patients treated with TEVAR for various thoracic aortic pathologies. Their multivariate regression analysis showed four factors were associated with a higher incidence of SCI: left subclavian artery coverage without revascularization, renal failure, concomitant open abdominal aortic surgery, and use of three or more stent grafts to treat the lesion.

Finally, Feezor et al¹⁷ reviewed their results of TEVAR in 326 patients to determine if the extent of coverage of the aorta was a significant risk factor. SCI developed in 33 patients (10%), and these patients tended to be older $(72.7 \pm 10.6 \text{ vs } 64.7 \pm 15.8 \text{ years})$ and had longer intraoperative procedure times. An analyses of the amount of aorta covered found that patients who developed SCI had a greater absolute length (260 \pm 40.9 vs 185.8 \pm 81.6 mm) as well as proportionate length (88.8% \pm 12.1% vs 67.6% \pm 24%) of thoracic aorta covered compared with patients without an SCI after TEVAR. Conversely, the mean length of uncovered aorta proximal to the celiac artery was much less in patients who developed SCI compared with those that did not $(17.3 \pm 21.8 \text{ vs } 63.1 \pm 62.9 \text{ mm})$. A similar finding was seen in a study by Amabile et al¹⁸ examining 67 patients who underwent TEVAR from 2000 to 2005. SCI developed in five (7.5%) patients after TEVAR. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that >205 mm of aortic coverage with a stent graft was the only significant predictor for SCI.

In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Cheng et al¹⁹ comparing TEVAR with open surgery for descending thoracic aortic disease, 42 studies with 5888 patients were reviewed. The overall incidence of SCI was 3.4% in the TEVAR group and 8.2% in the open surgery group, which was highly statistically significant. Interestingly, the odds ratio for paraplegia did not differ by the type of study (prospective vs retrospective design, historic vs concurrent control, or consecutive vs nonconsecutive patient recruitment). In addition, their meta-regression analysis did not show any difference in the incidence of SCI by year of study or year of patient recruitment, suggesting that the overall incidence of SCI after TEVAR has not changed significantly with time.

The relationship between coverage of the left subclavian artery and spinal cord injury appears to be significant. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Rizvi et al²⁰ of 51 manuscripts, only 8 described left subclavian artery coverage and SCI (See the article by Drs Matsumura and Rizvi, Fig 3). This meta-analysis found SCI had developed in 27 of 673 patients (4%). The authors documented that left subclavian artery coverage without revascularization during TEVAR resulted in a nonsignificant increase in risk of SCI compared with those who underwent left subclavian artery revascularization before TEVAR. Analyzing the 15 patients who developed paraplegia/paraparesis in the EUROSTAR Registry, they found that the incidence of left subclavian artery coverage without revascularization was 40% in the patients who developed SCI compared with 19% in those patients who did not develop SCI, which was significantly higher (odds ratio, 2.82; 95% confidence interval, 1.00-8.08).¹⁶

STRATEGIES TO REDUCE SCI AFTER TEVAR

Adjuncts to reduce the incidence of SCI after open thoracoabdominal aortic surgery have been well described. A similar approach has been used in patients undergoing TEVAR who are at high risk for SCI. Cheung et al²¹ reviewed their experience with selective lumbar CSF drainage and somatosensory evoked-potential monitoring in a subset of patients they determined were at high risk (prior AAA repair or significant aneurysm extent) for SCI after TEVAR. Paraplegia or paraparesis occurred in 5 of 75 patients (6.6%). Two patients had detectable somatosensory evoked-potential loss after stent deployment. Four of the five with this complication had complete recovery, and one had nearly complete recovery, after blood pressure augmentation or CSF drainage, or both. They concluded that early detection and aggressive intervention to increase the spinal cord perfusion pressure are effective in decreasing the magnitude of SCI after TEVAR in patients at high risk for paraplegia.

Weigang et al²² came to a similar conclusion in their study of 31 patients undergoing TEVAR, all of whom had preoperative CSF drainage, somatosensory-evoked potential monitoring, and avoidance of hypotension. During the procedure, 11 of 31 patients (35%) demonstrated changes in evoked-potentials that were managed with CSF drainage and blood pressure augmentation. Paraparesis developed in one patient (3.2%) at 25 days after TEVAR.

In an important study from the Albany group, Hnath et al²³ examined their institution's results regarding the use of CSF drainage in 121 patients who underwent TEVAR. They instituted a protocol of routine placement of a CSF drain and augmentation of the blood pressure to maintain a mean arterial blood pressure >90 mm Hg in 56 patients compared with 65 patients who did not have routine CSF drainage or blood pressure augmentation. The incidence of SCI after the protocol was initiated was 0% in the group with routine CSF drainage vs 8% in the group without routine CSF drainage (P < .05). Although their data would suggest that mandatory CSF drainage in all patients undergoing TEVAR could substantially reduce the incidence of SCI, further subset analysis determined that patients at risk for SCI who did not undergo CSF drainage included prior AAA repair and those with extensive thoracic aortic coverage and coverage of the left subclavian artery without revascularization.

Coverage of the important intercostal arteries between T9 and T12 during TEVAR is inevitable in patients with extensive disease of the thoracic aorta. A novel stent graft design has been described by Shimamoto et al²⁴ based on a fenestrated Inoue thoracic stent graft. In a canine model, they described five successful deployments of a thoracic stent graft with a fenestrated side-branch consisting of a small caliber Dacron graft and a 3-mm diameter bare-metal coronary stent into the T 11 intercostal artery. At 12 months after angiography, all fenestrated stents remained patent with a mean in-stent stenosis of 33%.

CONCLUSIONS

The mechanisms of SCI and stroke after endovascular repair or thoracic aortic pathologies are likely multifactorial and remain poorly defined. A thorough knowledge of the etiology of these complications after open surgical repair of thoracic and thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms is essential as we attempt to eliminate them after TEVAR. Particular attention to anatomic factors that may place patients at increased risk for SCI—underlying aortic pathology being treated, extent of aorta to be covered with an endograft, compromise of collateral pathways to the anterior spinal artery (ie, planned coverage of the left subclavian artery or diseased hypogastric arteries), and prior infrarenal aortic surgery—may allow for modifications in technique to decrease their incidence. Adjuncts to decrease the risk of SCI, such as CSF drainage, augmentation of blood pressure, and use of somatosensory evoked-potentials, are useful in patients deemed at high risk for developing SCI.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: AR, TS Analysis and interpretation: AR, TS Data collection: AR, TS Writing the article: AR, TS Critical revision of the article: AR, TS Final approval of the article: AR, TS Statistical analysis: AR Obtained funding: Not applicable Overall responsibility: AR

REFERENCES

- Bickerstaff LK, Pairolero PC, Hollier LH, Melton LJ, Van Peenen HJ, Cherry KJ, et al. Thoracic aortic aneurysms: a population-based study. Surgery 1982;92:1103-8.
- Safi HJ, Miller CC 3rd, Huynh TT, Estrera AL, Porat EE, Winnerkvist AN, et al. Distal aortic perfusion and cerebrospinal fluid drainage for thoracoabdominal and descending thoracic aortic repair: ten years of organ protection. Ann Surg 2003;238:372-380; discussion 380-1.
- Coselli JS, LeMaire SA, Conklin LD, Adams GJ. Left heart bypass during descending thoracic aortic aneurysm repair does not reduce the incidence of paraplegia. Ann Thorac Surg 2004;77:1298-1303; discussion 1303.
- Huynh TT, Miller CC 3rd, Estrera AL, Sheinbaum R, Allen SJ, Safi HJ. Determinants of hospital length of stay after thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. J Vasc Surg 2002;35:648-53.
- Safi HJ, Bartoli S, Hess KR, Shenaq SS, Viets JR, Butt GR, et al. Neurologic deficit in patients at high risk with thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysms: the role of cerebral spinal fluid drainage and distal aortic perfusion. J Vasc Surg 1994;20:434-44; discussion 442-3.
- Safi HJ, Miller CC 3rd, Azizzadeh A, Iliopoulos DC. Observations on delayed neurologic deficit after thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair. J Vasc Surg 1997;26:616-22.
- Elkouri S, Gloviczki P, McKusick MA, Panneton JM, Andrews JC, Bower TC, et al. Endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms: initial experience with 100 consecutive patients. Mayo Clin Proc 2003; 78:1234-42.
- Dake MD, Miller DC, Semba CP, Mitchell RS, Walker PJ, Liddell RP. Transluminal placement of endovascular stent-grafts for the treatment of descending thoracic aortic aneurysms. N Engl J Med 1994; 331:1729-34.
- 9. Leurs LJ, Bell R, Degrieck Y, Thomas S, Hobo R, Lundbom J. Endovascular treatment of thoracic aortic diseases: combined experience

from the EUROSTAR and United Kingdom Thoracic Endograft registries. J Vasc Surg 2004;40:670-9; discussion 679-80.

- Chiesa R, Melissano G, Marrocco-Trischitta MM, Civilini E, Setacci F. Spinal cord ischemia after elective stent-graft repair of the thoracic aorta. J Vasc Surg 2005;42:11-7.
- Greenberg RK, O'Neill S, Walker E, Haddad F, Lyden SP, Svensson LG, et al. Endovascular repair of thoracic aortic lesions with the Zenith TX1 and TX2 thoracic grafts: intermediate-term results. J Vasc Surg 2005;41:589-96.
- Makaroun MS, Dillavou ED, Kee ST, Sicard G, Chaikof E, Bavaria J, et al. Endovascular treatment of thoracic aortic aneurysms: results of the phase II multicenter trial of the GORE TAG thoracic endoprosthesis. J Vasc Surg 2005;41:1-9.
- Estrera AL, Sheinbaum R, Miller CC, Azizzadeh A, Walkes JC, Lee TY, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid drainage during thoracic aortic repair: safety and current management. Ann Thorac Surg 2009;88:9-15; discussion.
- Preventza O, Wheatley GH 3rd, Williams J, Ramaiah V, Rodriguez-Lopez J, Diethrich EB. Identifying paraplegia risk associated with thoracic endografting. Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann 2009;17:568-72.
- Khoynezhad A, Donayre CE, Bui H, Kopchok GE, Walot I, White RA. Risk factors of neurologic deficit after thoracic aortic endografting. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;83:S882-9; discussion S890-2.
- 16. Buth J, Harris PL, Hobo R, van Eps R, Cuypers P, Duijm L, et al. Neurologic complications associated with endovascular repair of thoracic aortic pathology: Incidence and risk factors. A study from the European Collaborators on Stent/Graft Techniques for Aortic Aneurysm Repair (EUROSTAR) registry. J Vasc Surg 2007;46:1103-10; discussion 1110-1.
- Feezor RJ, Martin TD, Hess PJ Jr., Daniels MJ, Beaver TM, Klodell CT, et al. Extent of aortic coverage and incidence of spinal cord ischemia after thoracic endovascular aneurysm repair. Ann Thorac Surg 2008;86:1809-14; discussion 1814.
- Amabile P, Grisoli D, Giorgi R, Bartoli JM, Piquet P. Incidence and determinants of spinal cord ischaemia in stent-graft repair of the thoracic aorta. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2008;35:455-61.
- Cheng D, Martin J, Shennib H, Dunning J, Muneretto C, Schueler S, et al. Endovascular aortic repair versus open surgical repair for descending thoracic aortic disease a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:986-1001.
- Rizvi AZ, Murad MH, Fairman RM, Erwin PJ, Montori VM. The effect of left subclavian artery coverage on morbidity and mortality in patients undergoing endovascular thoracic aortic interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Vasc Surg 2009;50:1159-69.
- Cheung AT, Pochettino A, McGarvey ML, Appoo JJ, Fairman RM, Carpenter JP, et al. Strategies to manage paraplegia risk after endovascular stent repair of descending thoracic aortic aneurysms. Ann Thorac Surg 2005;80:1280-8; discussion 1288-9.
- 22. Weigang E, Hartert M, Siegenthaler MP, Beckmann NA, Sircar R, Szabo G, et al. Perioperative management to improve neurologic outcome in thoracic or thoracoabdominal aortic stent-grafting. Ann Thorac Surg 2006;82:1679-87.
- 23. Hnath JC, Mehta M, Taggert JB, Sternbach Y, Roddy SP, Kreienberg PB, et al. Strategies to improve spinal cord ischemia in endovascular thoracic aortic repair: outcomes of a prospective cerebrospinal fluid drainage protocol. J Vasc Surg 2008;48:836-40.
- 24. Shimamoto T, Marui A, Nagata Y, Sato M, Saito N, Takeda T, et al. A novel approach to prevent spinal cord ischemia: Inoue stent graft with a side branch of small caliber for the reconstruction of the artery of Adamkiewicz. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg;139:655-9.

Submitted Jun 10, 2010; accepted Jun 17, 2010.