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In this paper we give a complete description of the linear groups over $\mathbf{F}_{2}$ generated by transvections and free of non-trivial unipotent normal subgroups. Recall that for a transvection $T, \operatorname{Ker}(T-1)$ is a hyperplane $H$ and $\operatorname{Im}(T-1)$ is a line $\langle x\rangle$; we call $H$ the axis of $T$ and $\langle x\rangle$ the center of $T$. If $T \in G$, we say $H$ is an axis for $G,\langle x\rangle$ is a center for $G,\langle x\rangle$ is a center for $H$, and $H$ is an axis for $\langle x\rangle$. Recall also that a transformation $T$ is unipotent if $T-1$ is nilpotent, and that a group of transformations is unipotent if each of its elements is. Suppose $V$ decomposes into $V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$ with respect to a group $G$ generated by transvections on $V$. Then if $G_{i}$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by transvections centered in $V_{i}$, we see easily that $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$, $G_{i} \mid V_{j}=1$ for $j \neq i, G_{i} \cong G \mid V_{i}$ and $G_{i}$ is generated by transvections. Hence in the remainder of this paper we will assume that $V$ is indecomposable with respect to $G$, unless it is explicitly designated otherwise.

Our point of departure is the following theorem of J. E. McLaughlin [5].
Theorem 1. Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $n \geqslant 2$ over a finite field $K$, and let $G \leqslant S L(V)$ be indecomposable on $V$, generated by transvections, and free of unipotent normal subgroups $\neq\{1\}$. Then there is a decomposition $A \oplus W_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{m} \oplus X$ of $V$ such that with respect to this decomposition, $T \in G$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(a) & =a \quad \text { for all } \quad a \in A \\
T\left(w_{i}\right) & =\delta_{i}(T)\left(w_{i}\right)+\theta_{i}(T)\left(w_{i}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad w_{i} \in W_{i} \\
T(x) & =\alpha(T)(x)+\sum_{i-1}^{m} \epsilon_{i}(T)(x)+x \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in X,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $\delta_{i}(T) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(W_{i}, A\right)$, the $\theta_{i}(T) \in G L\left(W_{i}\right), \alpha(T) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(X, A)$, the $\epsilon_{i}(T) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(X, W_{i}\right)$ and the $\theta_{i}$ are irreducible representations generated by transvections.

We indicate briefly the proof of this theorem. Let $W$ be the subspace of $V$ spanned by the centers for $G$ and let $A$ be the intersection of the axes for $G$.

Then it may be shown that $A \leqslant W$. Write $V=A \oplus W^{\prime} \oplus X$ where $X$ is a complement for $W$ in $V$ and $W^{\prime}$ is a complement for $A$ in $W$. Then if $T \in G, T(a)=a$ for $a \in A, T(w)=\delta(T) w+\bar{T} w$ for $w \in W^{\prime}$ and $T(x)=$ $\alpha(T) x+\epsilon(T) x+x$ for $x \in X$, where $\bar{T} \in G L\left(W^{\prime}\right), \delta(T) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(W^{\prime}, A\right)$, $\alpha(T) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(X, A)$ and $\epsilon(T) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{K}\left(X, W^{\prime}\right)$. The map from $T \in G$ to its associated $\bar{T} \in G L\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ is a homomorphism, and its kernel is clearly unipotent, and so is trivial. Thus we may write $\delta(T)=\delta(\bar{T}), \alpha(T)=\alpha(\bar{T})$, and $\epsilon(T)=$ $\epsilon(\bar{T})$.

Now consider the transvections $\bar{T}$ on $W^{\prime}\left(W^{\prime} \cong W / A\right)$ induced by $G$. For $\langle x\rangle$ a center for $G$, let $d(x)$ be the dimension of the intersection of all axes for $\langle x\rangle$. There is a natural action of $G$ on its centers and axes, for if $T$ has center $\langle x\rangle$ and axis $H, S T S^{-1}$ has center $\langle S x\rangle$ and axis $S H$. Clearly, if $\langle x\rangle$ and $\langle y\rangle$ are centers in the same $G$-orbit, then $d(x)=d(y)$. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be a $G$-orbit of centers such that for $\langle x\rangle \in \Gamma_{1}, d(x)$ is minimal. Let $G_{1}$ be the group on $W^{\prime}$ generated by the transvections centered in $\Gamma_{1}$, and let $G_{1}{ }^{*}$ be the group on $W^{\prime}$ generated by the transvections centered outside $\Gamma_{1}$. Then $G=G_{1} \times G_{1}{ }^{*}$. Let $W_{1}$ be the subspace spanned by the centers for $G_{1}$ and let $A_{1}$ be the intersection of the axes for $G_{1}$. Define $W_{1}{ }^{*}$ and $A_{1}{ }^{*}$ similarly for $G_{1}^{*}$. Then $W_{1}=A_{1}{ }^{*}, A_{1}=W_{1}^{*}$ and $W^{\prime}=W_{1} \oplus A_{1}=$ $W_{1}{ }^{*} \oplus A_{1}{ }^{*}$. Moreover $G_{1}$ acts faithfully on $W_{1}, G_{1} \mid A_{1}=1$, and $G_{1}$ is a group generated by transvections having no unipotent normal subgroups $\neq$ $\{1\} . G_{1}$ is now transitive on its centers and so is irreducible on $W_{1}$. Iterating this construction we obtain the decomposition of the theorem.

We observe further that if $G_{i}$ is the subgroup of $G$ consisting of those $T \in G$ for which $\theta_{j}(T)=1$ for $j \neq i$, then $G=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{m}$ and $G_{i}$ induces an irreducible group $\bar{G}_{i}$ on $W_{i}$ generated by transvections and free of unipotent normal subgroups $\neq\{1\}$. Moreover $\dot{G}_{i} \cong \bar{G}_{i}$ and $G_{i} \mid W_{j}=1$ for $j \neq i$. So $\theta_{i} \mid G_{i}$ is an isomorphism, and for $T \in G_{i}, \theta_{i}(T)$ determines $\alpha(T)$ and $\delta_{j}(T), \epsilon_{j}(T)$ for all $j$.

McLaughlin has determined all the irreducible subgroups of $S L_{n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ generated by transvections [4]. $S L_{n}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$ is itself irreducible and generated by transvections. For $n \geqslant 4$ and even we also have the sympletic group $S p_{n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$, the orthogonal group of maximal index $\mathbf{O}_{n}\left(1, \mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ for $n \neq 4$, the orthogonal group of non-maximal index $\mathbf{O}_{n}\left(-1, \mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$, and the symmetric groups $S_{n+1}$ and $S_{n+2}$. We know [2, Lemma 4, p. 441; 6, sections 13 and 14; 7, sections 4 and 5] that for each of these groups $G$, the $F_{2}$-dimension of the first cohomology group $H^{1}(G, V)$ is at most one, where $V$ is an $n$-dimensional $\mathrm{F}_{2}$-space. (Here, as in [3, p. 130-131], $H^{1}(G, V)$ is represented as $\operatorname{Der}(G, V) / \operatorname{Inn}(G, V)$, where $\operatorname{Der}(G, V)=\{\delta: G \rightarrow V \mid \delta(T S)=T(\delta(S))+\delta(T)$ for all $T, S \in G\}$ is a vector space under pointwise addition and scalar-multiplication, and $\operatorname{Inn}(G, V)=\{\delta \in \operatorname{Der}(G, V) \mid$ there exists $v \in V$ with $\delta(T)=(T-1) v$ for all $T \in G\}$ is a subspace of $\operatorname{Der}(G, V)$. The elements of $\operatorname{Der}(G, V)$ are derizations,
and the elements of $\operatorname{Inn}(G, V)$ are inner derivations.) From this point we will always assume the ground field is $F_{2}$.

Continuing the notation of Theorem 1 , let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$ be a basis for $A$. For $T \in G_{i}$ and $w \in W_{i}, T w=\bar{T} w+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \delta_{j i}(\bar{T})(w) b_{j}$, where $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}_{i}$ and $\delta_{j i}(\bar{T}) \in W_{i}{ }^{*}$. We check easily that $\delta_{j i} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}^{*}\right)$. Suppose $H^{1}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}^{*}\right)=$ $\left\langle\delta^{(i)}\right\rangle$. Then for $j=1, \ldots, r, \delta_{j i}(\bar{T})=\lambda_{j i} \delta^{(i)}(\bar{T})+\psi_{j i}(\bar{T}-1)$ for each $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}_{i}$, where $\lambda_{j i} \in \mathbf{F}_{2}$ and $\psi_{j i} \in W_{i}^{*}$. Let $W_{i}^{\prime}=\left\langle w+\sum_{i} \psi_{j i}(w) b_{j} \mid w \in W_{i}\right\rangle$. Then $W_{i}^{\prime}$ is a complement for $A \oplus \sum_{j \neq i} \oplus W_{i} \oplus X$ in $V$, and $W_{i}^{\prime} \equiv W_{i}$ modulo A. Let $w^{\prime} \in W_{i}^{\prime}$, say $w^{\prime}=w+\sum \psi_{j i}(w) b_{j}$ for $w \in W_{i}$. Then for $T \in G_{i}$, $T\left(w^{\prime}\right)=T w+\sum_{j} \psi_{j i}(\bar{T} w) b_{j}+\delta^{(i)}(\bar{T})(w) \sum_{j} \lambda_{j i} b_{j}$. For $T \in G_{i}$, define $\bar{T}^{\prime} \in G L\left(W_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ by $\bar{T}^{\prime}\left(w+\sum \psi_{j i}(w) b_{j}\right)=\bar{T} w+\sum \psi_{j i}(\bar{T} w) b_{j}$ for $w \in W_{i}$, and define $\delta^{(i)^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}^{\prime *}\right)$ by $\delta^{(i)^{\prime}}(\bar{T})\left(w+\sum \psi_{j i}(w) b_{j}\right)=\delta^{(i)}(\bar{T})(w)$ for $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}_{i}$ and $w \in W_{i}$. Let $a_{i}=\sum \lambda_{j i} b_{j}$. Then $T\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\bar{T}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+\delta^{(i)}(\bar{T})\left(w^{\prime}\right) a_{i}$ for $T \in G_{i}$ and $w^{\prime} \in W_{i}^{\prime}$. (Note that if the $\delta_{j i}$ are inner for all $j$, then $a_{i}=0$.)

Change notation by omitting the "primes". Then $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle \oplus W_{1} \oplus \cdots$ $\oplus W_{m} \oplus X$ is stable for $G$ and has a stable complement in $V$, namely the complement for $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$ in $A$. Since $V$ is indecomposable, $A=\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\rangle$ and $\operatorname{dim} A \leqslant m$.

Now, for $x \in X$ and $T \in G_{i}, T x \equiv x+\epsilon_{i}(\bar{T})(x)$ modulo $A$, where $\epsilon_{i}(\bar{T}) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X, W_{i}\right)$ Let $\epsilon_{i, x}(\bar{T})=\epsilon_{i}(\bar{T})(x)$. Then $\epsilon_{i, x}$ induces a derivation from $\bar{G}_{i}$ to $\bar{W}_{i}=\left(W_{i}+A\right) / A$. Suppose $H^{1}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, \bar{W}_{i}\right)=\left\langle\epsilon^{(i)}\right\rangle$, and suppose that for $T_{i} \in G_{i}, x \in X$ and $i=1, \ldots, m, \epsilon_{i, x}\left(T_{i}\right) \equiv \phi_{i}(x) \epsilon^{(i)}\left(\bar{T}_{i}\right)+\left(\bar{T}_{i}-1\right)\left(w_{i}(x)\right)$ modulo $A$, with $\phi_{i} \in X^{*}$ and $w_{i} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(X, W_{i}\right)$. Let

$$
X^{\prime}=\left\langle x-\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_{j}(x) \mid x \in X\right\rangle .
$$

Then for $x^{\prime} \in X^{\prime}$, say $x^{\prime}=x-\sum w_{j}(x)$ for $x \in X$, and for $T_{i} \in G_{i}, T_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \equiv$ $x^{\prime}+\phi_{i}(x) \epsilon^{(i)}\left(T_{i}\right)$ modulo $A$. Define $\phi_{i}{ }^{\prime} \in X^{\prime *}$ by $\phi_{i}{ }^{\prime}\left(x+\sum w_{j}(x)\right)=\phi_{i}(x)$ for $x \in X$. Then $T_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \equiv x^{\prime}+\phi_{i}{ }^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \epsilon^{(i)}\left(\bar{T}_{i}\right)$ modulo $A$. (Note that if the $\epsilon_{i, x}$ are inner for all $x \in X, \phi_{i}=0$.)

Again change notation by omitting the "primes". Suppose $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{i}$. Then $(T-1)(x) \in A$ for every $T \in G$. If $A$ contains the center of a transvection $R$, then $(R-1)(v) \in A$ and $(R-1)^{2}=0$, so $R$ is unipotent. Since $G \mid A=1, G$ centralizes every transvection centered in $A$, and $\langle R\rangle$ is a unipotent normal subgroup. Hence $R=1$ and $A$ contains no centers. Thus $(T-1)(x)=0$ for all $T \in G$ and $x \in X \cap A=\{0\}$. Therefore $X^{*}=$ $\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\right\rangle$ and $\operatorname{dim} V / W=\operatorname{dim} X \leqslant m$. Thus we see that if we know the $G_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, we have an upper bound on the degree of the representation of $G$. To summarize,

Theorem 2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and modifying the choices of the $W_{i}$ and $X$ as above, there exist spanning sets $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n t}$
for $A$ and $X^{*}$ rsspectively such that $T_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=\bar{T}_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)+\delta^{(i)}\left(\bar{T}_{i}\right)\left(w_{i}\right) a_{i}$ and $T_{i}(x) \equiv x+\phi_{i}(x) \epsilon^{(i)}\left(\bar{T}_{i}\right)$ modulo $A$, where $H^{1}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}{ }^{*}\right)=\left\langle\delta^{(i)}\right\rangle$ and $H^{1}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}\right)=\left\langle\epsilon^{(i)}\right\rangle$, for $w_{i} \in W_{i}, x \in X$ and $T_{i} \in G_{i}$.

Suppose that $G$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Continuing our earlier notation, a transvection $T \in G$ induces a transvection $\bar{T}$ on $W / A$. Since, by our construction, $T$ is centered in $W_{i}+A$ for some $i, \bar{T}$ must be in $\bar{G}_{i}$ for some $i$. Each of the groups $\bar{G}_{i}$ has a single conjugacy class of transvections. so every transvection in $\bar{G}_{i}$ comes from a transvection in $G$, and so from a transvection in $G_{i}$. Hence if $\bar{T}_{i} \in \bar{G}_{i}$ is a transvection, then $T_{i} \in G_{i}$ is a transvection.

Consider the group $G_{i}$ acting on the subspace $V_{i}=A \oplus W_{i} \oplus X$. Dropping the subscripts, with respect to this decomposition of $V, T \in G$ has the matrix

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \delta(\bar{T}) & \alpha(\bar{T}) \\
0 & \bar{T} & \epsilon(\bar{T}) \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Modifying the choices of $W$ and $X$ as above and choosing appropriate bases for $A$ and $X$, we may assume that $\delta(T)$ has at most one nonzero row and $\epsilon(T)$ has at most one non-zero column for all $T \in G$. If $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(\bar{G}, W)=0$, we can choose $\epsilon=0$ and $\delta=0$, so $V$ decomposes with respect to $G$. Since we have assumed that $V$ is indecomposable, $\bar{G}$ must be one of the irreducible groups over $F_{2}$ having non-inner derivations. By [ 2 , Lemma 4, p. 441; 6, sections 13 and 14; 7, sections 4 and 5], $\bar{G}$ must be one of $S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right), S p_{2 n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 3$, or $S_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 6$, even.
Suppose one of $\delta, \epsilon$ is identically zero, say $\epsilon$ is. Then every $T \in G$ has the form

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \delta(\bar{T}) & \alpha(\bar{T}) \\
0 & \bar{T} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|,
$$

with $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}, W^{*}\right)$ non-inner. Since whenever $\bar{T}$ is a transvection, $T$ is, we must have $\alpha(T)=0$ for every transvection $\bar{T}$. But since $\bar{G}$ is generated by transvections and since $\alpha(\bar{T} \bar{S})=\alpha(\bar{T})+\alpha(\bar{S})$ for $\bar{T}, \bar{S} \in \bar{G}, \alpha=0$.
Suppose $\bar{G} \cong S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. In [2, Lemma 4, p. 441], a derivation from $S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ to its standard module is found to have one of two forms. One of these is shown to be inner. The other, call it $\delta$, is not shown to be inner (or non-inner); but it is described so explicitly that it is clear that there are transvections $\bar{T} \in S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ for which $\left.\mid{ }_{0}^{1}{ }^{\delta(\bar{T}} \bar{T}\right) \mid$ is not a transvection. Hence $\bar{G} \cong S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ cannot occur.
Suppose $\bar{G} \cong S p_{2_{n}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 3$, so $G$ is isomorphic as a linear group to
the group $G^{\prime}$ of transformations on the $(2 n+1)$-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_{2^{2}}$-space $\langle a\rangle \oplus W$ having matrix representations of the form $\left.\mid{ }_{0}^{1}{ }^{\delta(T)} T\right) \mid$ for $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}=$ $S p(W)$ and $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(S p(W), W^{*}\right)$, non-inner. By [6, Theorem 10.4, p. 43; 7, Corollary to Theorem 1.10], we may assume $\delta(\bar{T})(w)=\sqrt{ }(Q(\bar{T}(w))+Q(w))$ for $w \in W$, where $Q$ is a quadratic form associated with the bilinear form $B$ on $W$ defining $S p(W)$. If $\bar{T}$ is a transvection with center $v \in W$, choose a basis $v=v_{1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}$ of $W$ such that $B\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=\delta_{j, 2 n-i+1}$. Then, by $[6$, Theorem 4.8, p. 13; 7, Thcorcm 1.6], for $w \in W, \delta(\bar{T})(w)=\sqrt{ }(1+Q(v)) B(v, w)$, so with respect to the $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$,

$$
T=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sqrt{ }(1+\underset{\sim}{(v)}) \\
& 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
& & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & 1 & 0 \\
& & & & & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

Clearly the rank of $T-1$ is one, so $T$ is a transvection whenever $\bar{T}$ is. Hence $G^{\prime}$ is a group generated by transvections. In fact, $G \cong G^{\prime} \cong \mathrm{O}_{2 n+1}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$ [6, Theorem 10.1, p. 41].
Now suppose $\bar{G} \cong S_{n-2}$ for $n \geqslant 8$, even. $S_{n-2}$ is regarded as a linear group in the following way [1]. Viewed as a permutation group on the letters $\{3, \ldots, n\}, S_{n-2}$ faithfully induces a linear group on an ( $n-2$ )-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-space $\left\langle x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ by $\pi\left(x_{k}\right)=x_{\pi(k)}$. If $\eta$ is a linear functional defined by $\eta\left(\sum_{k=3}^{n} \lambda_{k} x_{k}\right)=\sum_{k=3}^{n} \lambda_{k}$, and if $x_{0}=\sum_{k=3}^{n} x_{k}$, then $x_{0} \in \operatorname{Ker} \eta$ and $S_{n-2}$ acts faithfully on Ker $\eta \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$. We take $W$ to be Ker $\eta \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\bar{G}$ to be the group on $W$ induced by $S_{n-2}$. (Note that if $n=8, \vec{G}=S p(W)$.) Then we may suppose $G$ is isomorphic as a linear group to $G^{\prime}$, the group of transformations on the ( $2 n+1$ )-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-space $W \oplus\langle x\rangle$ having the matrix representations $\left|\begin{array}{c}\bar{T} \in(\bar{T}) \\ 1\end{array}\right|$ for $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}$ and $\epsilon \in \operatorname{Der}(\bar{G}, W)$, non-inner. By $[6$, p. 81; 7 , Theorem 5.2], we may assume that $\epsilon(\bar{T})=(\bar{T}-1) \bar{x}_{3}$, where $\bar{x}_{3}$ is the coset of $x_{3}$ in $\operatorname{Ker} \eta \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}$. Write $x_{i j}=x_{i}+x_{j}$, and write $\bar{x}_{i j}$ for the coset of $x_{i j}$ in Ker $\eta \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$. Then $\bar{x}_{34}, \bar{x}_{45}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{n-2, n-1}$ is a basis for $W . S_{n-2}$ is generated by the transpositions $(i, i+1), i=3, \ldots, n$. If $\bar{T}$ is induced by $(i, i+1), i>3, \epsilon(\bar{T})-0$ and $T$ is clearly a transvection. If $\bar{T}$ is induced by (34), then $\bar{T}\left(\bar{x}_{34}\right)=\bar{x}_{34}, \bar{T}\left(\bar{x}_{45}\right)=\bar{x}_{34}+\bar{x}_{45}$, and $\epsilon(\bar{T})=\bar{x}_{34}$, so $T$ has the matrix

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
& 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & 1 & 0 \\
& & & & & 1
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Clearly the rank of $T-1$ is one, so $T$ is a transvection. Thus $G^{\prime}$ is generated by transvections. In fact, if we let $S_{n}$ act on Ker $\eta^{\prime} \mid\left\langle x_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where $\eta^{\prime}$ is a linear
functional on the $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-space $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ defined by $\eta^{\prime}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} x_{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}$ and $x_{0}^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}$, then $S_{n}$ preserves the bilinear form $B$ defined on Ker $\eta^{\prime} \mid\left\langle x_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ by $B\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} x_{j}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} x_{k}\right)=\sum_{k \neq j} \lambda_{j} \mu_{k}$. By [6, p. 79; 7, Theorem 5.2], $\left\langle\bar{x}_{12}, \bar{x}_{23}\right\rangle^{\perp}$ and $W$ are isomorphic as $S_{n-2}$-modules and we see that $G \cong G^{\prime} \cong\left(S_{n}\right)_{\bar{x}_{12}} \mid\left\langle\bar{x}_{12}\right\rangle^{\perp}$ as linear groups.

Suppose now that neither $\epsilon$ nor $\delta$ is zero, so every element of $G$ has the form

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \delta(\bar{T}) & \alpha(\bar{T}) \\
0 & \bar{T} & \epsilon(\bar{T}) \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

with $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}$, an irreducible group generated by transvections, $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}, W^{*}\right)$ non-inner, and $\epsilon \in \operatorname{Der}(\bar{G}, W)$ non-inner.

Suppose first that $\bar{G} \cong S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$. If $\delta$ is the derivation from $S L_{3}(V)$ to $V^{*}$ of [2, Lemma 4, p. 441] referred to earlier, and $\epsilon \in \operatorname{Der}\left(S L_{3}(V), V\right)$ is its dual, then we see again that there are transvections $\bar{T} \in S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ for which

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \delta(\bar{T}) & \alpha(\bar{T}) \\
0 & \bar{T} & \epsilon(\bar{T}) \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

cannot be a transvection. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{G}} \cong S L_{3}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ cannot occur in this situation either.

Now suppose $\bar{G} \cong S p_{2 n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ with $n \geqslant 3$. Then $G$ is isomorphic as a linear group to the group $G^{\prime}$ of transformations on the ( $2 n+2$ )-dimensional space $\langle a\rangle \oplus W \oplus\langle x\rangle$ having the form

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \delta(T) & \alpha(\bar{T}) \\
0 & \bar{T} & \epsilon(\bar{T}) \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

where $\bar{T} \in S_{p}(W)$ and we may assume by $[6$, Theorem 10.4, p. 43; 7, Corollary to Theorem 1.10] that $\delta(\bar{T})(w)=\sqrt{ }(Q(\bar{T}(w))+Q(w))=B(u(\bar{T}), \bar{T}(w))$ and $\epsilon(\bar{T})=u(\bar{T}) \in W$. As before, $Q$ is a quadratic form associated with the bilinear form $B$ on $W$ defining $S p(W)$. We see that $\alpha(\bar{T} \bar{S})=\alpha(\bar{T})+\alpha(\bar{S})+$ $B(u(\bar{T}), \bar{T} u(\bar{S}))$ for $\bar{T}, \bar{S} \in \bar{G}$. If $d$ is the extension of the Dickson Invariant on $0(Q)$ to $S p(B)$ defined in [6, Theorem 6.1, p. 28; 7, Theorem 1.11], then $L=d+\alpha$ is a homomorphism from $S p(W)$ to the additive group of $\mathbf{F}_{2}$. Since $S p(W)$ is simple, $L=0$ and $\alpha=d$. Hence $G^{\prime} \cong \mathbf{O}_{2 n+2}^{+}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)_{\langle v\rangle}$ for $v$ non-singular in $V$, and $G^{\prime}$ is not generated by transvections. We should note that $0_{2 n+2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)_{\langle v\rangle}$ is generated by transvections, but it contains the unipotent normal subgroup generated by the orthogonal transvection with center $\langle v\rangle$.

Now suppose $\bar{G} \cong S_{n-2}$ for $n \geqslant 8$, even. Then again, $G \cong G^{\prime}$, a group on $\langle a\rangle \oplus W \oplus\langle x\rangle$ whose elements have the form

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \delta(\bar{T}) & \alpha(\bar{T}) \\
0 & \bar{T} & \epsilon(\bar{T}) \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

with $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}$, the group induced by $S_{n-2}$ on $W=\operatorname{Ker} \eta \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle, \delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}, W^{*}\right)$ non-inner, and $\epsilon \in \operatorname{Der}(\bar{G}, W)$ non-inner. As noted before, $W$ is isomorphic as an $S_{n-2}$-module to $U=\left\langle\bar{x}_{12}, \bar{x}_{23}\right\rangle^{\perp}\left(\leqslant \operatorname{Ker} \eta^{\prime} \mid\left\langle x_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$. To be more explicit, suppose $\phi: W \rightarrow U$ is defined by $\phi\left(\bar{x}_{i, i+1}\right)=z_{i, i+1}, i=3, \ldots, n-2$, where $z_{34}=\bar{x}_{12}+\bar{x}_{34}$ and $z_{i, i+1}=\bar{x}_{i, i+1}, i=4, \ldots, n-2$. The $z_{i, i+1}$ form a basis for $U . U$ is not stable for $S_{n-2}=\left(S_{n}\right)_{x_{1}, x_{2}}$ but $\left\langle\bar{x}_{12}\right\rangle^{\perp}=\left\langle\bar{x}_{12}\right\rangle \oplus U$ is. However $U$ may be regarded as an $S_{n-2}$-module via $\bar{T}: u \rightarrow \bar{T}^{*}(u)$, where $\bar{T}(u)=\delta_{0}(\bar{T})(u) \bar{x}_{12}+\bar{T}^{*}(u)$, and $\bar{T}^{*} \in G L(U)$ for $\bar{T} \in S_{n-2}, u \in U$. Then $\bar{T}\left(\bar{x}_{i, i+1}\right)=\bar{T}^{*}\left(z_{i, i+1}\right)$. By [6, Theorem 14.2, p. 78; 7, Theorem 5.2], we may assume $\delta(\bar{T})=\delta_{0}(\bar{T})$ and $\epsilon(\bar{T})=\epsilon_{0}(\bar{T})$, where $\epsilon_{0}$ is defined by $(\bar{T}+1)\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right)-$ $\alpha_{0}(\bar{T}) \bar{x}_{12}+\epsilon_{0}(\bar{T})$. Then we see that $L=\alpha+\alpha_{0}$ is a homomorphism from $S_{n-2}$ to the additive group of $\mathbf{F}_{2}$, so either $L=0$ or $\operatorname{Ker} L=A_{n-2}$. If $L=0$, then $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$ and $G \cong G^{\prime} \cong\left(S_{n}\right)_{\bar{x}_{12}}$, where we identify $a \in A$ with $\bar{x}_{12}$ and $x \in X$ with $\bar{x}_{23}$. If $\bar{T} \in \bar{G}$ is induced by a transposition in $S_{n-2}$ fixing $3, \epsilon(\bar{T})=0, \delta(\bar{T})=0$ and $\alpha(\bar{T})=0$, so $T$ is clearly a transvection. If $\bar{T}$ is induced by (34), then $T\left(z_{34}\right)=z_{34}, T\left(z_{45}\right)=\bar{x}_{12}+z_{34}+z_{45}$, and $(\bar{T}+1)\left(\bar{x}_{3}\right)=\bar{x}_{12}+z_{34}$, so the matrix of $T$ is

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
& 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
& & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & & 1 & 0 \\
& & & & & & 1
\end{array}\right|
$$

with respect to the decomposition $\left\langle\bar{x}_{12}\right\rangle \oplus U \oplus\left\langle\bar{x}_{23}\right\rangle$ and the basis $z_{i, i+1}$, $i=3, \ldots, n-2$, for $U$. Clearly the rank of $T-1$ is one, so $T$ is a transvection and $G$ is generated by transvections. If Ker $L=A_{n-2}$, then for every transvection in $\bar{G}$ (induced by a transposition in $S_{n-2}$ ), $L(\bar{T})=1$. Referring to the above discussion we see that $T$ cannot then be a transvection, so $G$ is not generated by transvections.

To summarize, we have
Theorem 3. If $G=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{m}$ is a linear group on the $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-space $V=A \oplus W_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{m} \oplus X$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1, then either $\bar{G}_{i} \cong S_{p_{2 n}}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 3$ and $G_{i} \cong \mathbf{O}_{2 n+1}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$; or $G_{i} \cong S_{n-2}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)$ for $n \geqslant 8$, even, and $G_{i} \cong\left(S_{n}\right)_{\bar{x}_{12}} \mid\left\langle\bar{x}_{12}\right\rangle^{\perp}$ or $G_{i} \cong\left(S_{n}\right)_{\bar{x}_{12}}$. Equivalently, if for
$T_{i} \in G_{i}, w_{i} \in W_{i}$, and $x \in X, T_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)=\bar{T}_{i}\left(w_{i}\right)+\delta^{(i)}\left(\bar{T}_{i}\right)\left(w_{i}\right) a_{i}$ and $T_{i}(x) \equiv$ $x+\phi_{i}(x) \epsilon^{(i)}\left(\bar{T}_{i}\right)$ modulo $A$, with $H^{1}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}^{*}\right)=\left\langle\delta^{(i)}\right\rangle, H^{1}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}\right)=\left\langle\epsilon^{(i)}\right\rangle$, $a_{i} \in A$ and $\phi_{i} \in X^{*}$ as in Theorem 2 , then either $\bar{G}_{i} \cong S p_{2 n}\left(\mathbf{F}_{2}\right)(n \geqslant 3)$ and $a_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}$ are neither both zero nor both non-zero; or $\bar{G}_{i} \cong S_{n}(n \geqslant 6$, even $)$ and $a_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}$ are not both zero.

Clearly a group $G=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{m}$, with the $G_{i}$ as in Theorem 3, is generated by transvections. However, one may still ask, when is such a group indecomposable?

Theorem 4. Using the notation of Theorem 3, $V$ decomposes with respect to $G$ if and only if there is a partition $I, J$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$
A=\left\langle a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle a_{j} \mid j \in J\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
X^{*}=\left\langle\phi_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\phi_{j} \mid j \in J\right\rangle
$$

Proof. First we show that if $V$ decomposes into $U \oplus W$ with respect to $G$, then for each $i, i=1, \ldots, m, W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \leqslant U$ or $W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \leqslant W$. Suppose $T \in G_{i}$ is a transvection $\neq 1$. Then since $U$ and $W$ are stable for $T$, the center of $T$ must lie in $U$ or in $W$; say the center is $u \in U$. Then for all $S \in G, S T S^{-1}$ is centered in $U$. Since the transvections in $G_{i}$ form a single conjugacy class in $G_{i}, U$ must contain all centers for $G_{i}$. Since $T$ stabilizes $W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle$ and $T \neq 1, u \in W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle, u \notin A$. Suppose first that $u \in W_{i}$. Then since $W_{i}$ is spanned by the centers for $\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i} \leqslant U$ and so $\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \oplus W_{i} \leqslant$ $U$. Now suppose that $u=w_{i}+a_{i}, w_{i} \in W_{i}$. For $S \in G_{i}, S T S^{-1}$ has center $S w_{i}+a_{i}$, so the space $W_{i}^{\prime}$ spanned by the centers for $G_{i}$ is $\left\langle w+a_{i}\right| w$ a center for $\left.\bar{G}_{i}\right\rangle$. Clearly if $w$ and $v$ are centers for $\bar{G}_{i}, w+v \in W_{i}^{\prime}$. By Theorem 3 we need consider only two cases.
(a) If $\bar{G}_{i} \cong S p\left(W_{i}\right)\left(\operatorname{dim} W_{i} \geqslant 6\right)$, then every non-zero line (vector) of $W_{i}$ is a center, so $W_{i} \leqslant W_{i}{ }^{\prime}$, and $W_{i}{ }^{\prime}=W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \leqslant U$.
(b) If $\bar{G}_{i} \cong S_{n}\left(n \geqslant 6\right.$, even), the centers are the $\bar{x}_{i j}, i \neq j$. Clearly $\bar{x}_{i j}=\bar{x}_{i k}+\bar{x}_{k j}, k \neq i, j$. So again $W_{i}^{\prime}=W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle \leqslant U$.

Thus if $V$ decomposes into $U \oplus W$ with respect to $G$, there is a partition $I, J$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in I}\left(W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right) \leqslant U$ and $\sum_{j \in J}\left(W_{j} \oplus\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle\right) \leqslant W$. Clearly $A=(A \cap U) \oplus(A \cap W)=\left\langle a_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle a_{j} \mid j \in J\right\rangle$. Likewise, $X=(X \cap U) \oplus(X \cap W)$. For $T \in G, T-1$ maps $X \cap U$ into $U$ and maps $X \cap W$ into $W$. But if $T$ is centered in $U, \operatorname{Im}(T-1) \leqslant U$, so for $x \in X \cap W$, $(T-1) x \in U \cap W=\{0\}$ and $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{i}$. Hence $X \cap W \leqslant \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{i}$. Similarly, $\quad X \cap U \leqslant \bigcap_{j \in J} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{j}$. Since $\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{k}=\{0\}, \quad X=$ $\bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{i} \oplus \bigcap_{j \in J} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{j}$, and so $X^{*}=\left\langle\phi_{j} \mid j \in J\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\phi_{i} \mid i \in I\right\rangle$.

For the converse, suppose the partition $I, J$ exists and let $U=$ $\sum_{i \in I}\left(W_{i} \oplus\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right) \oplus \bigcap_{j \in J} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{j}, W=\sum_{j \in J}\left(W_{j} \oplus\left\langle a_{j}\right\rangle\right) \oplus \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{i}$.

Corollary. If $G=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{m}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 , then the following values of $r=\operatorname{dim} A$ and $s=\operatorname{dim} X$ cannot occur: (i) $r=s=m$, (ii) $r=m, s=0$, (iii) $r=0, s=m$, (iv) $r=s=0$.

Finally, we have the question: suppose $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are linear groups on $V$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1 ; under what conditions are they isomorphic as linear groups?

Theorem 5. Suppose $G=G_{1} \times \cdots \times G_{m}$ and $G^{\prime}=G_{1}{ }^{\prime} \times \cdots \times G_{m}{ }^{\prime}$ are indecomposable groups on $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ respectively, generated by transvections and having no unipotent normal subgroups $\neq\{1\}$, and suppose $\operatorname{dim} V=\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}$ and $\bar{G}_{i} \cong \bar{G}_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ as linear groups, $i=1, \ldots, m$. Suppose further that the spanning sets $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}\left(\right.$ resp. $a_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{m}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\left.\phi_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \ldots, \phi_{m}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ for $A$ and $X^{*}$ (resp. $A^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime *}$ ) are chosen as in Theorem 2. Then $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are isomorphic as linear groups if and only if
(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{\pi(i)}=0$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} a_{i}^{\prime}=0$, and
(ii) $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \phi_{\pi(i)}=0$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \phi_{i}^{\prime}=0$, for all $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{F}_{2}$, where $\pi$ is a permutation of $1, \ldots, m$ such that $\pi(i)=j$ only if $\bar{G}_{i} \cong \bar{G}_{j}{ }^{\prime}$.

The proof of Theorem 5 requires several lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $V$ be an $\mathbf{F}_{2}$-space of dimension at least 6 with a nondegenerate alternate bilinear form $B$, and let $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}(S p(V), V), \delta$ non-inner. Then $d=u_{Q}$ for some quadratic form $Q$ associated with $B$, where $u_{Q}$ is defined by

$$
B\left(u_{Q}(T), T(v)\right)=\sqrt{ }(Q(T(v))+Q(v))
$$

for all $v \in V$. In particular, there is an element $T \in S p(V)$ with $T+1$ nonsingular and $\delta(T)=0$.

Proof. Choose $0(\epsilon, Q) \leqslant S p(V)$, where $\epsilon=1$ if the index of $Q$ is maximal and $\epsilon=-1$ otherwise. By [6, Section 13; 7, Section 4] $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}(S p(V), V)$ is one and $u_{Q}$ is non-inner, so there is $v_{0} \in V$ such that $\delta(T)=u_{Q}(T)+(T+1)\left(v_{0}\right)$ for all $T \in S p(V)$. Suppose first that $v_{0}$ is singular. Let $S$ be the symplectic transvection centered at $v_{0}$ (i.e.: $S(v)=v+B\left(v_{0}, v\right) v_{0}$ ), so $u_{Q}(S)=$ $\sqrt{ }\left(Q\left(v_{0}\right)+1\right) v_{0}=v_{0}$. Since $u_{Q} \mid \mathbf{O}(Q)=0, T \in 0(Q)$ implies $u_{o}\left(S T S^{-1}\right)=$ $\left(S T S^{-1}+1\right) u_{O}(S)$, and so $\delta \mid O(Q)^{S}=0\left(O^{S}=S O S^{-1}\right)$. Let $u^{\prime}=u_{O S-1}$. $u^{\prime}$ is also non-inner, so there exists $z_{0} \vDash V$ such that $\delta(T)=u^{\prime}(T)+(T+1)\left(w_{0}\right)$ for all $T \in S p(V)$. Then $\delta \mid O(Q)^{s}=0$ and $u^{\prime} \mid O(Q)^{s}=0$ imply that $w_{0}$ is a fixed point of $O(Q)^{S}$. But $O(Q)^{S}$ is irreducible, so $w_{0}=0$ and $\delta=u^{\prime}$. $Q S^{-1}$ is then the quadratic form appearing in the statement of the lemma.

Now suppose that $v_{0}$ is non-singular. There are two cases to consider.
(a) $\epsilon=+1$. Choose $w_{0} \in V$ such that $\left\langle v_{0}, w_{0}\right\rangle$ is a hyperbolic plane. Since $\epsilon=1$, the index of $Q \mid\left\langle v_{0}, v_{0}\right\rangle$ is one, so we may assume $v_{0}=u_{0}+w_{0}$, where $u_{0}, w_{0}$ is a hyperbolic pair of singular vectors. Choose a symplectic basis of singular vectors $x_{1}=u_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}=w_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}$ for $V$, with $B\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}$. Define a quadratic form $Q^{\prime}$, associated with $B$ on $V$, by $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=Q^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)=0, i=2, \ldots, n$ and $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=Q^{\prime}\left(y_{1}\right)=1$. Let $u^{\prime}=u_{Q^{\prime}}$. Then there exists $v \in V$ such that $u_{0}(T)+u^{\prime}(T)=(T+1)(v)$ for all $T \in S p(V)$. If $T$ is a symplectic transvection with center $x_{i}$ (resp. $y_{i}$ ), $i=2, \ldots, n$, then $u_{Q}(T)=u^{\prime}(T)$, so $(T+1)(v)=0$. That is, $B\left(v, x_{i}\right)=$ $B\left(v, y_{i}\right)=0, i=2, \ldots, n$. If $T$ is a symplectic transvection with center $x_{1}$ (resp. $y_{1}$ ), then $u^{\prime}(T)=0, u_{Q}(T)=x_{1}$ (resp. $y_{1}$ ). Thus $B\left(x_{1}, v\right)=$ $B\left(y_{1}, v\right)=1$. So we see $v=x_{1}+y_{1}=u_{0}+w_{0}=v_{0}$, and so $\delta=u^{\prime}$ and $Q^{\prime}$ is the quadratic form specified by the lemma.
(b) $\epsilon=-1$. Again form the hyperbolic pair $v_{0}, w_{0}$ and let $u_{0}=v_{0}+w_{0}$. Since $O(Q)$ is irreducible we may choose $w_{0}$ to be non-singular, so $Q \mid\left\langle v_{0}, w_{0}\right\rangle^{\perp}$ is of maximal index. Form the symplectic basis $x_{1}=u_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}=w_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}$ with $B\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$ and $x_{i}, y_{i}$ singular for $i=2, \ldots, n$. Define $Q^{\prime}$ associated with $B$ on $V$ by $Q^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=Q^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and let $u^{\prime}=u_{Q^{\prime}}$. As in (a) we find that $u^{\prime}(T)+u_{0}(T)=(T+1)\left(v_{0}\right)$, so $\delta=u^{\prime}$.

Now, by [6, Theorem 10.3, p. 43; 7, Theorem 1.10], if $Q$ is the form specified in the lemma, there is $T \in O(Q)$ such that $T+1$ is non-singular.

Lemma 2. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1, if $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}(S p(V), V)$ is non-inner, then $\langle\delta(T) \mid T \in S p(V)\rangle=V$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 we may assume $\delta=u_{Q}$ for a suitable $Q$. Thus if $T$ is a symplectic transvection whose center $v$ is singular with respect to $Q$, $\delta(T)=V$. Therefore $\langle\delta(T) \mid T \in S p(V)\rangle$ contains all singular vectors. Since $O(Q)$ is irreducible, Lemma 2 follows.

Lemma 3. In our earlier notation, if $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(S_{n}, H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ is non-inner ( $n \geqslant 6$, even), then there is $T \in S_{n}$ with $T+1$ non-singular on $H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\delta(T)=0$.

Proof. Recall that $S_{n}$ acts on $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ by $T\left(x_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{x}_{T_{(i)}}$ for $T \in S_{n}$. If $\eta$ is the linear functional on $\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ defined by $\eta\left(\sum \lambda_{i} x_{i}\right)=\sum \lambda_{i}$, then $x_{0}=\sum x_{i} \in H=\operatorname{Ker} \eta . S_{n}$ acts faithfully on $H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$. Let $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(S_{n}, H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ be non-inner. As in the proof of Theorem 3, we may assume that there is $\bar{v}_{0} \in H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle\left(v_{0} \in H\right)$ such that $\delta(T)=\delta_{0}(T)+(T+1)\left(v_{0}\right)$ for all $T \in S_{n}$, where $\delta_{0}(T)$ is the coset of $(T+1)\left(x_{1}\right)$ in $H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$. Thus $\delta(T)$ is the coset of $(T+1)(x)$ in $H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$, where $x \notin H$. Clearly $\delta \mid\left(S_{n}\right)_{x}=0$. Suppose $x=\sum_{i \in I} x_{i}$. Write $I=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\}$ and let $J=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{t}\right\}$ be the complement $I^{C}$ of $I$ in
$\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $T=\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{s}\right)\left(j_{1} \cdots j_{t}\right) \in\left(S_{n}\right)_{x}$, so $\delta(T)=0$. Since $x \notin H_{3}$ $s$ is odd, and so $s+t=n$ implies $t$ is odd. Suppose $y \in H$ with $T(y) \equiv y$ modulo $\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$; say $y=\sum_{k \in K} x_{k}$, with \#K even. Let $T_{I}=\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{s}\right), T_{J}=$ $\left(j_{1} \cdots j_{t}\right) . T(y) \equiv y$ modulo $\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$ implies $T_{l}(y)=y$ or $y+x_{0}, T_{J}(y)=y$ or $y+x_{0}$. If $T_{l}(y)=y+x_{0}=\sum_{l \notin K} x_{k}$, then $T_{l}(K)=K^{C}$, so $K^{C} \leqslant I$ and $K \leqslant I$. But then $I=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $x=x_{0} \in H$, which is impossible. Similarly $T_{J}(y)=y+x_{0}$ implies $J=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $x=0 \in H$. So we must have $T_{I}(y)-T_{J}(y) \sim y$, and thus either $I \cap K=\phi$ or $I \leqslant K$, and either $J \cap K=\phi$ or $J \leqslant K$. Since $s$ and $t$ are odd and $\# K$ is even, either $K=I \cup J$ and $y=x_{0}$, or $K=\phi$ and $y=0$. In any case $y \equiv 0$ modulo $\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$ and $T+1$ is non-singular on $H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$.

Lemma 4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3, if $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(S_{n}, H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ is non-inner, then $\left\langle\delta(T) \mid T \in S_{n}\right\rangle=H /\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$.

Proof. Let $\delta \in \operatorname{Der}\left(S_{n}, H \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle\right)$ be non-inner, and let $W=\left\langle\delta(T) \mid T \in S_{n}\right\rangle$. As before, we may suppose $\delta(T)$ is the cosct of $(T+1)(x)$ in $H \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$, with $x=\sum \alpha_{i} x_{i} \notin H$. Since $x \neq x_{0}$, there is an $i$ with $\alpha_{i}=0$. If $\alpha_{j} \neq 0, j \neq i$, then $((i j)+1)(x)=x_{i j}$, so $\bar{x}_{i j} \in W$. If $\alpha_{j}=0, \alpha_{k} \neq 0,((j k)+1)(x)=x_{j i}$ and $\bar{x}_{i k} \in W,((i k)+1)(x)=x_{i k}$ and $\bar{x}_{i k} \in W$, and so $\bar{x}_{i j} \in W$. Thus $\bar{x}_{i j} \in W$ for all $j$ and so $W=H \mid\left\langle x_{0}\right\rangle$.

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 5. Let $G$ and $G$ ' be as in the statement of the theorem. Referring to the construction of the spanning sets $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ and $\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{m}$ for $A$ and $X^{*}$ in the proof of Theorem 2, we note that if $A$ has basis $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$ then $a_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j i} b_{j}$ where $\lambda_{j i} \neq 0$ if and only if $\delta_{j i} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}^{*}\right)$ is non-inner. Also $\phi_{j}(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $\epsilon_{j, x} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{j}\right)$ is non-inner. Thus if $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ is a basis of $X$ and $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{s}$ is the dual basis of $X^{*}$, then $\phi_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{s} \mu_{k j} \chi_{k}$ where $\mu_{k j} \neq 0$ if and only if $\epsilon_{j, k}=$ $\epsilon_{j, x_{k}} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{j}\right)$ is non-inner.

With respect to the decompositions $V=A \oplus W_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{m} \oplus X$ and $V^{\prime}-A^{\prime} \oplus W_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{m}^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime}$ of $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ respectively, choose bases $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ of $V$ and $V^{\prime}$ respectively such that if $\bar{G}_{i} \cong \bar{G}_{j}^{\prime}$ as linear groups, then $\bar{G}_{i}=\bar{G}_{j}^{\prime}$ as matrix groups with respect to the bases of $W_{i}$ and $W_{j}^{\prime}$ respectively. Suppose a non-singular $C \in \operatorname{Hom}_{F_{2}}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$, written as a matrix with respect to the bases $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$, intertwines the elements of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$. That is, suppose $C T=S C$ for $T \in G, S \in G^{\prime}$. As matrices, $C=\left(C_{i j}\right)_{i, j=0, \ldots, m+1}$,

$$
T=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & \delta_{1}(T) & \cdots & \delta_{m}(T) & \alpha(T) \\
& T_{1} & & & \epsilon_{1}(T) \\
& & \ddots & & \vdots \\
& & & T_{m} & \epsilon_{m}(T)
\end{array}\right| \quad S=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \delta_{1}{ }^{\prime}(S) & \cdots & \delta_{m}{ }^{\prime}(S) \\
& S_{1} & & \alpha^{\prime}(S) \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
& & & \\
\epsilon_{m}^{\prime}(S) \\
& & & \\
& &
\end{array}\right|
$$

Then $C T=S C$ gives the matrix relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_{k}{ }^{\prime}(S) C_{k 0}+\alpha^{\prime}(S) C_{m+1,0}=0  \tag{1}\\
C_{00} \delta_{i}(T)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_{k i}^{\prime}(S) C_{k i}=C_{0 i}\left(T_{i}+1\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, m  \tag{2}\\
C_{00} \alpha(T)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{0 k} \epsilon_{k}(T)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_{k}^{\prime}(S) C_{k, m+1}+\alpha^{\prime}(S) C_{m+1, m+1}  \tag{3}\\
\left(S_{j}+1\right) C_{j, 0}=\epsilon_{j}^{\prime}(S) C_{m+1,0}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m  \tag{4}\\
C_{j 0} \delta_{i}(T)+C_{j i} T_{i}=S_{j} C_{j i}+\epsilon_{j}^{\prime}(S) C_{m+1, i}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, m  \tag{5}\\
\left(S_{j}+1\right) C_{j, m+1}=\epsilon_{j}^{\prime}(S) C_{m+1, m+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{j k} \epsilon_{k}(T)+C_{j 0} \alpha(T), j=1, \ldots, m  \tag{6}\\
C_{m+1.0} \delta_{i}(T)=C_{m+1, i}\left(T_{i}+1\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, m  \tag{7}\\
C_{m+1,0} \alpha(T)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{m+1, k} \epsilon_{k}(T)=0 \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Relation (4) and Lemmas 1 and 3 imply $C_{j 0}=0, j=1, \ldots, m$. Likewise, (7) implies $C_{m+1, i}=0, i=1, \ldots, m$. Lemmas 2 and 4 and relation (4) (or (7)) imply $C_{m+1,0}=0$. If $C_{j i}$ is of rank $r$, then relation (5) implies $\bar{G}_{j}^{\prime}$ has a stable subspace of dimension $r$. Since the $\bar{G}_{j}^{\prime}$ are irreducible, $C_{j i}$ must be nonsingular or zero. Again using (5), $C_{j i}$ is non-singular only if $\bar{G}_{i} \cong \bar{G}_{j}^{\prime}$. Since we've assumed that for $\bar{G}_{i} \cong \bar{G}_{j}^{\prime}, \bar{G}_{i}=\bar{G}_{j}^{\prime}$ as matrix groups, $C_{j i}$ non-singular implies $C_{j i}=1$. Suppose $C_{j i}=1, C_{j k}=1, k \neq i$. Then (5) implies $T_{k}=$ $S_{j} C_{j k}=S_{j}$ and $T_{i}=S_{j} C_{i i}:=S_{j}$, so $T_{i}=T_{k}$. But for $T \in G_{i}, T \neq 1$, $T_{i} \neq 1$ and $T_{k}=1$ when $k \neq i$. So $C_{j i}=1$ implies $C_{j k}=0$ for $k \neq i$. Similarly $C_{j i}=1$ implies $C_{k i}=0$ for $k \neq j$. Thus $\left(C_{u, v}\right)_{u, v=1, \ldots, m}$ is a "permutation matrix" with $C_{j i}=1$ only if $\bar{G}_{i} \cong \bar{G}_{j}$.

If we modify the choice of the basis $\mathscr{B}$ by changing the bases for $A$ and $X$ and permuting the $W_{i}$, we may assume $C_{i i}=1$ for $i=0, \ldots, m+1$ and $C_{i j}=0$ for $i \neq j, i, j=1, \ldots, m$. So finally we have the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\delta_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\prime}\right)(T)=C_{0 i}\left(T_{i}+1\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, m \\
\alpha(T)+\alpha^{\prime}(T)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{0 k^{\prime} \epsilon_{k}}(T)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \delta_{k}^{\prime}(S) C_{k, m+1} \\
\left(\epsilon_{j}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{j}\right)(T)=\left(T_{j}+1\right) C_{j, m+11}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
\end{gather*}
$$

Write

$$
\left(\delta_{i}+\delta_{i}^{\prime}\right)(T)=\left|\begin{array}{c}
\delta_{1 i}+\delta_{1 i}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
\delta_{r i}+\delta_{r i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|(T)
$$

and

$$
C_{0 i}=\left|\begin{array}{c}
c_{1 i} \\
\vdots \\
c_{r i}
\end{array}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

and $\left(\epsilon_{j}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{j}\right)(T)=\left(\left(\epsilon_{j 1}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{j 1}\right), \ldots,\left(\epsilon_{j \varepsilon}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{j s}\right)\right)(T)$ and $C_{j, m+1}=\left(c_{j 1}, \ldots, c_{j s}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$, where $r=\operatorname{dim} A$ and $s=\operatorname{dim} X$. Then we have the relations $\left(\delta_{k i}+\delta_{k i}^{\prime}\right)\left(T_{i}\right)=c_{k i}\left(T_{i}+1\right)$ for $k=1, \ldots, r, i=1, \ldots, m$, and $\left(\epsilon_{j k}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{j k}\right)\left(T_{j}\right)=\left(T_{j}+1\right) c_{j k}$ for $k=1, \ldots, s, j=1, \ldots, m$, where $\delta_{k i}$, $\delta_{k i}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}^{*}\right), c_{k i} \in W_{i}^{*}$, and $\epsilon_{j k}^{\prime}, \epsilon_{j k} \in \operatorname{Der}\left(\bar{G}_{j}, W_{j}\right), c_{j k} \in W_{j}$. That is, $\delta_{k i} \equiv \delta_{k i}^{\prime}$ modulo $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}^{*}\right)$ and $\epsilon_{j k}^{\prime} \equiv \epsilon_{j k}$ modulo $\operatorname{Inn}\left(\bar{G}_{i}, W_{i}\right)$. Hence if $\left\{a_{i}\right\},\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{\phi_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ are the spanning sets for $A, X^{*}$ and $A^{\prime}, X^{\prime *}$ choscn as in Theorem 2, then up to a change of bases for $A$ and $X$ and a permutation of the indices $1, \ldots, m, a_{i}=a_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\phi_{i}=\phi_{i}{ }^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Thus we have proved Theorem 5.

Corollary. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 5, if $\operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{dim} A^{\prime}=$ $m-1,1$, or 0 and $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}=1$ or 0 , then $G_{\pi(i)} \cong G_{i}^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, m$, as linear groups for some permutation $\pi$ of $1, \ldots, m$ implies $G \cong G^{\prime}$ as linear groups. Dually, if $\operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{dim} A^{\prime}=1$ or 0 and $\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}=m-1$, 1 , or 0 , then $G_{\pi(i)} \cong G_{i}{ }^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, m$, as linear groups implies $G \cong G^{\prime}$ as linear groups.

Note, however, that the proposition (stated as a conjecture in [6, p. 94]), '' $G_{i} \cong G_{i}$ 'as linear groups for $i=1, \ldots, m, \operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{dim} A$ ' and $\operatorname{dim} X=$ $\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}$ implies $G \cong G^{\prime}$ as linear groups" is false. For example, suppose $m=4, \operatorname{dim} A=\operatorname{dim} A^{\prime}=2, \operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}=0, G_{i} \cong G_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 4$ and $G_{i} \not \approx G_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. Choose the $a_{i}$ satisfying $A=\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle, a_{1}=a_{3}$, $a_{4}=a_{1}+a_{2}$ and the $a_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ satisfying $A^{\prime}=\left\langle a_{1}{ }^{\prime}, a_{3}{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle, a_{1}{ }^{\prime}=a_{2}{ }^{\prime}, a_{4}{ }^{\prime}=$ $a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then Theorem 5 implies $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ cannot be isomorphic as linear groups since $a_{1}+a_{3}=0, a_{1}^{\prime}+a_{3}^{\prime} \neq 0$.
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