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#### Abstract

In this work, a class of iterative Newton's methods, known as power mean Newton's methods, is proposed. Some known results can be regarded as particular cases. It is shown that the order of convergence of the proposed methods is 3 . Numerical results are given to verify the theory and demonstrate the performance.
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## 1. Introduction

Perhaps the most celebrated of all one-dimensional root-finding routines is the classical Newton's (CN) method, also called the Newton-Raphson method. This method requires the evaluation of both the function $f(x)$ and the derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$, at arbitrary points $x$. The classical Newton's method is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the classical Newton's method converges quadratically to simple zeros and linearly to multiple zeros.

Some annotations were more helpful for the understanding of the construction of Newton's method, for instance, the Newton-Leibniz formula and Taylor's expansion formula. To get a method with a higher order of convergence, some new variants of Newton's method have been proposed [1-3]. The methods given by Fernando et al. [1] and Özban [2] suggest a class of methods developed in this work.

The work is organized as follows. In Section 2, some fundamental concepts are given. In Section 3, first, we introduce some existing variants of Newton's method. Then, a class of Newton's methods based on the power mean is given, called the power mean Newton's (PN) methods. The cubic convergence of PN methods is shown in Section 4. In Section 5, some numerical results are discussed to demonstrate the convergence of this class of Newton's methods.
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## 2. Fundamental concepts

Definition 1 (See $[1,3])$. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{R}, x_{n} \in \mathcal{R}, n=0,1,2, \ldots$. Then, the sequence $x_{n}$ is said to converge to $\beta$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{n}-\beta\right|=0 .
$$

If, in addition, there exists a constant $c \geq 0$, an integer $n_{0} \geq 0$ and $p \geq 0$ such that for all $n>n_{0}$,

$$
\left|x_{n+1}-\beta\right| \leq c\left|x_{n}-\beta\right|^{p}
$$

then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is said to converge to $\beta$ with $q$-order at least $p$. If $p=1,2$, or 3 , the convergence is said to be linear, quadratic or cubic, respectively.

Let $e_{n}=x_{n}-\beta$ be the error in the $n$th iterate. The relation

$$
e_{n+1}=c e_{n}^{p}+O\left(e_{n}^{p+1}\right)
$$

is called the error equation for the method, $p$ being the order of convergence.
Definition 2. Let $a$ and $b$ be positive scalars. For a finite real number $\alpha$, the $\alpha$-power mean of $a$ and $b$ is defined as (see [4])

$$
m_{\alpha}=\left(\frac{a^{\alpha}+b^{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}
$$

Several particular power means are well known. For example, setting $\alpha=2, \alpha=1, \alpha=-1$, we have

$$
m_{2}(a, b)=\left(\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad m_{1}(a, b)=\frac{a+b}{2} \quad m_{-1}(a, b)=\frac{2}{\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}}
$$

which are called the square mean, arithmetic mean and harmonic mean of $a$ and $b$, respectively. For $\alpha=0, m_{0}(a, b)$ can be defined by the limit of $m_{\alpha}(a, b)$ with $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, that is

$$
m_{0}(a, b)=\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} m_{\alpha}(a, b)=\sqrt{a b}
$$

which is the so-called geometric mean of $a$ and $b$.

## 3. Description of the methods

In the iterative formula of the classical Newton's method, if $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is approximated by the arithmetic mean of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)$, that is by $\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)}{2}$, we have

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n+1}\right)} .
$$

To overcome the implicit problem in the right hand side of the above equation, the $(n+1)$ st value of Newton's method $z_{n+1}$ is used instead of $x_{n+1}$. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)}, \quad z_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2) is obtained by using the arithmetic mean of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)$, it is called the arithmetic mean Newton's (AN) method (see [2]).

Also replacing $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ with the harmonic mean of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)$, we get

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)\right)}{2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)} \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

which is called the harmonic mean Newton's (HN) method (see [2]).

Now, for generalization, approximating $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ with the power mean of $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} f\left(x_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{\alpha}+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be called the $\alpha$-power mean Newton's (PN) method. The foregoing analysis in Section 1 shows the former two variants of Newton's methods are all particular cases of PN.

## 4. Convergence analysis

Theorem 3. Let $\beta$ be a simple zero of a sufficiently differentiable function $f: I \subseteq \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ for an open interval I. If $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\beta$, then for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ the methods defined by (3) converge cubically with the following error equation:

$$
e_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}+\alpha c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
$$

where $c_{k}=\frac{1}{k!} \frac{f^{(k)}(\beta)}{f^{\prime}(\beta)}, k=2,3, \ldots$ and $e_{n}=\left|x_{n}-\beta\right|$.
Proof. Let $\beta$ be a simple zero of $f$. Since $f$ is sufficiently differentiable, expanding $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ at $\beta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\beta)\left(e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+\cdots\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\beta)\left(1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}^{3} \cdots\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=e_{n}-c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right),
$$

thus, for $z_{n+1}$ given in (2) we have

$$
z_{n+1}=\beta+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
$$

By Taylor's expansion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)=f^{\prime}(\beta)\left(1+2 c_{2}^{2} e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}-c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha=0$, from (5) and (6), we get

$$
\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \sqrt{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)}=f^{\prime}(\beta) \sqrt{1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+4\left(c_{2} c_{3}+c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \sqrt{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)}}= & \left(e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left(1-c_{2} e_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}-3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(5 c_{2} c_{3}-4 c_{4}+c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right) \\
= & e_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \in \mathcal{R} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{\alpha}= & f^{\prime}(\beta)^{\alpha}\left(1+2 \alpha c_{2} e_{n}+\left(2 \alpha(\alpha-1) c_{2}^{2}+3 \alpha c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(4 \alpha c_{4}+6 \alpha(\alpha-1) c_{2} c_{3}+\frac{4}{3} \alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2) c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}=f^{\prime}(\beta)^{\alpha}\left(1+2 \alpha c_{2}^{2} e_{n}^{2}+4 \alpha c_{2}\left(c_{3}-c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8) and (9) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\left(\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{\alpha}+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}= & f^{\prime}(\beta)\left(1+c_{2} e_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}+\alpha c_{2}^{2}+3 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left(-c_{2}^{3}-3 \alpha c_{2}^{3}+c_{2} c_{3}+3 \alpha c_{2} c_{3}+4 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right)\right) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing (4) by (10), we have

$$
\frac{2^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} f\left(x_{n}\right)}{\operatorname{sign}\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\left(f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)^{\alpha}+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}=e_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}+\alpha c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{2}^{2}+\alpha c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+O\left(e_{n}^{4}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7) and (11), it can be concluded that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$, the $\alpha$-power mean Newton's method converges cubically.

## 5. Numerical results

In this section, we will give the results of some numerical tests to demonstrate the convergence efficiencies of PN methods. Moreover, numerical results for $\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{HN}$ and AN methods for the same test problems are also given to compare their efficiencies. All numerical computations have been carried out in a Matlab 6.5 environment with a P4-1.5 MHz based PC. The stopping criterion has been taken as $\left|x_{n+1}-\beta\right|+\left|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right|<10^{-14}$.

The following test functions have been used (see [1,2]):
(a) $x^{3}+4 x^{2}-10, \beta=1.365230013414097$;
(b) $\sin ^{2} x-x^{2}+1, \beta=1.404491648215341$;
(c) $x^{2}+e^{x}-3 x+2, \beta=0.2575302854398608$;
(d) $\cos x-x, \beta=0.7390851332151607$;
(e) $(x-1)^{3}-1, \beta=2$;
(f) $(x-1)^{6}-1, \beta=2$;
(g) $(x-1)^{8}-1, \beta=2$;
(h) $x e^{x^{2}}-\sin ^{2} x+3 \cos x+5, \beta=-1.207647827130919$;
(i) $e^{x^{2}+7 x-30}-1, \beta=3$;
(j) $\prod_{m=0}^{4}(x-(1+0.1 m)), \beta=1$;
(k) $\prod_{m=0}^{5}(x-(m+1)), \beta=1$;
(l) $(x-2)^{3}(x+2)^{4}, \beta_{1}=2$ and $\beta_{2}=-2$.

In Table 1, " $\times$ " means that the method does not converge in 1000 iterations. It is easy to see that PN methods, especially the ones with $\alpha<0$, can converge to the zeros of functions more quickly than the classical Newton's method on the whole.

Table 1
Numerical results for test functions

| $f(x)$ | $x_{0}$ | Number of iterations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\overline{\mathrm{CN}}$ | HN | AN | PN |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\bar{\alpha}=0$ | $\alpha=2$ | $\alpha=-2$ | $\alpha=3$ | $\alpha=-3$ |
| a | -0.5 | 131 | 43 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| b | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| c | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| d | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | 1.7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | -0.3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| e | 1.5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
|  | 2.5 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
|  | 3 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
|  | 3.5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| f | 1.5 | 15 | 7 | 467 | 12 | 658 | 8 | 737 | 8 |
|  | 2.5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
|  | 3.5 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| g | 1.5 | 27 | 13 | $\times$ | 351 | $\times$ | 13 | $\times$ | 13 |
|  | 2.5 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
|  | 3.5 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
| h | -2 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
|  | -3 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 7 |
| i | 3.5 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
|  | 3.25 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| j | -0.5 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 8 |
| k | -2 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| 1 | 1.4 | 78 | 41 | 51 | 46 | 56 | 37 | 60 | 33 |
|  | -1 | 111 | 59 | 74 | 66 | 81 | 53 | 87 | 49 |

## 6. Conclusion

This work proposes a class of variants of Newton's method, which can be viewed as the generalization of some existing improvements of Newton's method. Theoretical analysis shows that the order of convergence of such Newton's methods is three for simple roots. It will be interesting to consider other variants of the method obtained by replacing $x_{n}$ by the power mean of $x_{n}$ and $z_{n+1}$ in (1) and for multiple roots.
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