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#### Abstract

The results in $[9,11,12]$ for nonexpansive sequences are generalized to almost nonexpansive sequences in a Hilbert space, and using this notion a direct proof of a result of H. Brézis and F. E. Browder [6] is given. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

Let $H$ denote a real Hilbert space with inner product ( | ) and norm || ||. We denote weak convergence in $H$ by $\rightarrow$ and strong convergence by $\rightarrow$.
Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a sequence in $H$ and define: $\forall n \geqslant 1, s_{n}=(1 / n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i}$. If $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is nonexpansive, then the asymptotic behavior of $s_{n}$ as well as $x_{n}$ was studied in [9-12], generalizing the nonlinear ergodic theorems of J. B. Baillon $[2,3]$. (Strong convergence of $s_{n}$ was proved for odd nonexpansive sequences in $H$.) In [13] we defined the notion of an almost nonexpansive sequence, and proved ergodic theorems for such sequences in $H$ by suitably modifying our previous proofs for nonexpansive sequences, and applied the results to the study of the asymptotic behaviour of quasi-autonomous dissipative systems in $H$.

In this paper, after recalling the basic definitions, we study first the weak convergence of $s_{n}$ and $x_{n}$ for general sequences in $H$, and then consider the special cases of nonexpansive and almost nonexpansive sequences. Then we study the strong convergence of $s_{n}$ and give a direct proof of a result of H. Brézis and F. E. Browder [6].

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. (a) the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ in $H$ is nonexpansive if $\forall i, j \geqslant 0\left\|x_{i+1}-x_{j+1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|$.

[^0](b) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an odd nonexpansive sequence (abbreviated as ONES) if ( $\left.x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is nonexpansive and $\forall i, j \geqslant 0\left\|x_{i+1}+x_{j+1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{i}+x_{j}\right\|$.
(c) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an almost nonexpansive sequence (abbreviated as ANES) if $\forall i, j, k \geqslant 0\left\|x_{i+k}-x_{j+k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon(i, j)$, where $\lim _{i, j \rightarrow+\infty} \varepsilon(i, j)=0$.
(d) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an almost odd nonexpansive sequence (abbreviated as AONES) if $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an ANES and $\forall i, j, k \geqslant 0\left\|x_{i+k}+x_{j+k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant$ $\left\|x_{i}+x_{j}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon_{1}(i, j)$, where $\lim _{i, j} \varepsilon_{1}(i, j)=0$.
(e) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is asymptotically regular (abbreviated as a.r.) if $x_{n+1}-x_{n} \rightarrow_{n,+\infty} 0$.
(f) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is weakly asymptotically regular (abbreviated as w.a.r.) if $x_{n+1}-x_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$.

Definition 2.2. Given a bounded sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ in $H$, the asymptotic center $c$ of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is defined as follows (cf. [5,14]). For every $u \in H$, let $\varphi(u)=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{n}-u\right\|^{2}$. Then $\varphi$ is a continuous, strictly convex function on $H$, satisfying $\varphi(u) \rightarrow_{\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty}+\infty$. Thus $\varphi$ achieves its minimum on $H$ at a unique point $c$, called the asymptotic center of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$.

Notation 2.3. (a) $\omega_{w}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right)$ denotes the weak- $\omega$-limit set of $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ (i.e., $y \in \omega_{w}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right) \Leftrightarrow \exists\left(n_{k}\right)_{k \geqslant 1}$ such that $\left.x_{n_{k}} د_{k \rightarrow \infty} y\right)$.
(b) We denote by $F\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right)$, or for simplicity by $F$, the following subset (possibly empty) of $H: F=\left\{q \in H \mid \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|\right.$ exists $\}$. Note that if $F \neq \varnothing$ then the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is bounded.
(c) We denote by $F_{1}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right)$, or for simplicity by $F_{1}$, the following subset (possibly empty) of $F: F_{1}=\left\{q \in H /\right.$ the sequence $\left(\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is nonincreasing $\} \subset F$.
(d) If $K$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $H$, we denote by $P_{K}$ the nearest point projection map of $H$ onto $K$.

## 3. Weak Convergence

Lct $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be any sequence in $H$, and $\forall n \geqslant 1, s_{n}=(1 / n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{i}$.
Lemma 3.1. $F$ and $F_{1}$ are closed convex (possibly empty) subsets of $H$.
Proof. The proof for $F$ was given in [13, Lemma 2.5] and we omit it here. For $F_{1}$ we do as follows. Let $\forall n \geqslant 0, F_{1, n}=\left\{q \in H \mid\left\|x_{n+1}-q\right\| \leqslant\right.$ $\left.\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|\right\}$; then we have $\left\|x_{n+1}-q\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \Leftrightarrow\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1} \mid q\right) \leqslant$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right)$. Hence $\forall n \geqslant 0, F_{1, n}=\left\{q \in H /\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1} \mid q\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\right.\right.$
$\left.\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right)$. Now by the continuity and linearity of the inner product, it is clear that for every $n \geqslant 0, F_{1, n}$ is a closed convex subset of $H$. Therefore, since we have $F_{1}=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{1, n}$ the same is also true for $F_{1}$.

Example 3.2. The following example shows that $F_{1}$ may be a proper subset of $F$ (even for an ANES). Take $H=R$ (the real line) and $x_{0}=0$ and

$$
\forall k \geqslant 0\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{4 k+1}=\frac{1}{2 k+1}=-x_{4 k+3} \\
x_{4 k+2}=\frac{1}{2 k+2}=-x_{4 k+4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an ANES, $x_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$, and we have $F=\{0\}$ but $F_{1}=\varnothing$.
ThEOREM 3.3. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be any sequence in $H$ for which $F \neq \varnothing$. Assume that the weak limit of every weakly convergent subsequence $\left(s_{m_{l}}\right)_{l \geqslant 1}$ of $s_{n}$ belongs to $F$. Then $s_{n}$ converges weakly to some $p \in H$. Moreover under these conditions we have:
(a) $\overline{\operatorname{conv}} \omega_{n}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right) \cap F=\{p\}$.
(b) $p$ is the asymptotic center of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.8 in [13] and we omit it here.

THEOREM 3.4. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be any sequence in $H$ for which $F_{1} \neq \varnothing$. Assume that the weak limit of every weakly convergent subsequence $\left(s_{m_{i}}\right)_{l \geqslant 1}$ of $s_{n}$ belongs to $F_{1}$. Then in addition to the conclusions of Theorem 3.3 we have
(c) $p=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} P_{F_{1}} x_{n}$.

Proof. Let $y_{n}=P_{F_{1}} x_{n}$ and let us first prove that $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H$.

First note that we have $\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n+1}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{n+1}-y_{n}\right\| \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|$ (the first inequality holds since $y_{n+1}=P_{F_{1}} x_{n+1}$ and the second because $y_{n} \in F_{1}$ ). Therefore $\left(\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a nonincreasing sequence and hence converges. Next by the property of the projection map $P_{F_{1}}$ we have $\forall n, k \geqslant 0$, $\left\|y_{n+k}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+k}-y_{n+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+k}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}=2\left(x_{n+k}-y_{n+k} \mid y_{n}-y_{n+k}\right)$ $\leqslant 0$. Hence, $\left\|y_{n+k}-y_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{n+k}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+k}-y_{n+k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}-$ $\left\|x_{n+k}-y_{n+k}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$ (uniformly in $k \geqslant 0$, since $\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|$ converges as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ ). The second inequality above holds since $y_{n} \in F_{1}$. This proves that $\left(y_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H$, thus converges $y_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} q$. Now let us prove that $q=p$. We have $\forall k \geqslant 0,\left(x_{k}-y_{k} \mid p-y_{k}\right) \leqslant 0$ (since $\left.p \in F_{1}\right)$. Hence $\left(x_{k} \mid p-y_{k}\right) \leqslant\left(y_{k} \mid p-y_{k}\right)$. But we have
$\left(y_{k} \mid p-y_{k}\right) \rightarrow_{k \rightarrow+\infty}(q \mid p-q)$ and $(1 / n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(x_{k} \mid p-y_{k}\right)=\left(s_{n} \mid p-q\right)+$ $(1 / n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(x_{k} \mid q-y_{k}\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty}(p \mid p-q)$ since $s_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} p$ and $F_{1} \neq \varnothing$; therefore $\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ is bounded $\left(\forall n \geqslant 0,\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leqslant M\right)$. Hence $\left|(1 / n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(x_{k} \mid q-y_{k}\right)\right| \leqslant(M / n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left\|y_{k}-q\right\| \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$. Therefore we proved that $(p \mid p-q) \leqslant(q \mid p-q)$; hence $\|p-q\|^{2} \leqslant 0$, which implies that $q=p$, and completes the proof of the Theorem.

Example 3.5. The following example shows that in Theorem 3.4 (hence also in Theorem 3.3), the sequence $z_{n}=P_{F} x_{n}$ may not be convergent in $H$ (even when $F_{1} \neq \varnothing$ and $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a nonexpansive (even isometric) sequence).

Take $H=l^{2}(N)$ and let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be the standard basis for $H$. Then we have $e_{n} \rightharpoonup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$ and $\forall i, j \geqslant 0\left\|e_{i}-e_{j}\right\|=\sqrt{2}$; hence $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an isometric (thus nonexpansive) sequence in $H$. We have $F=H$ since $\forall q \in H$, $\left\|e_{n}-q\right\|^{2}=1+\|q\|^{2}-2\left(e_{n} \mid q\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 1+\|q\|^{2}$ and $q \in F_{1} \Leftrightarrow \forall n \geqslant 0$, $\left\|e_{n+1}-q\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|e_{n}-q\right\|^{2} \Leftrightarrow \forall n \geqslant 0,\left(e_{n}-e_{n+1} \mid q\right) \leqslant 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall n \geqslant 0, \alpha_{n} \leqslant \alpha_{n+1}$ (where $q=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} e_{i}$ ). Thus $F_{1}=\left\{q=\left(\alpha_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 0} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i}^{2}<+\infty\right.$ and $\forall i \geqslant 0$, $\left.\alpha_{i} \leqslant \alpha_{i+1}\right\}$ (of course this implies that $\forall i \geqslant 0, \alpha_{i} \leqslant 0$ ). Now we have $z_{n}=P_{F} e_{n}=P_{H} e_{n}=e_{n}$ which does not converge (strongly) in $H$. Of course, we have $\forall n \geqslant 0, y_{n}=P_{F_{1}} e_{n}=0$ which is in accord with the conclusion of Theorem 3.4. To see this, we have $\forall q=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} e_{i} \in F_{1},\left\|e_{n}-\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i} e_{i}\right\|^{2}=$ $1+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i}^{2}-2 \alpha_{n} \geqslant 1=\left\|e_{n}\right\|^{2}$ (since $\alpha_{n} \leqslant 0$ ). Thus $P_{F_{1}} e_{n}=0$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be an ANES in $H$ satisfying $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|s_{n}\right\|<+\infty$. Then the conclusions of Theorem 3.3 hold.

Proof. In fact, in this case, if $s_{m_{t}} \vec{l}_{\rightarrow+\infty} p$, then $p \in F$ (cf. Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 in [13]).

Corollary 3.7. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a nonexpansive sequence in $H$ satisfying
 hold.

Proof. In fact, in this case if $s_{m_{t}} \rightarrow_{\rightarrow+\infty} p$, then $p \in F_{1}$ (cf. Theorem 1 in [11]).

Example 3.8. The following example shows that in Theorem 3.4 (and Theorem 3.3) the word every cannot be replaced by some. Of course, by Corollary 3.6 the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is not an ANES. Let $H=R$ (the real line) and take $x_{0}=1, x_{1}=-1$, and

$$
x_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } \quad 2 \cdot 3^{n} \leqslant k \leqslant 4 \cdot 3^{n}-1 \\
-1 & \text { if } & 4 \cdot 3^{n} \leqslant k \leqslant 2 \cdot 3^{n+1}-1
\end{array} \text { for } n=0.1,2 \ldots .\right.
$$

Then we have $F_{1}=\{0\} \neq \varnothing$ and

$$
\forall n \geqslant 0\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s_{2.3^{n}}=0 \in F_{1} \\
s_{4 \cdot 3^{n}}=1 / 2 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus the sequence $\left(s_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ is divergent.
Theorem 3.9. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be any sequence in $H$ satisfying $\omega_{w}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right) \subset F \neq \varnothing$. Then $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is weakly convergent in $H$ to its asymptotic center.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.11 in [13] and we omit it here.

Corollary 3.10. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be a w.a.r. ANES in $H$ satisfying $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|<+\infty$. Then $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ converges weakly in $H$ to its asymptotic center.

Proof. In fact in this case we have $\varnothing \neq \omega_{w}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right) \subset F$ (cf. Proposition 2.10 and Theorem 2.11 in [13]).

Example 3.11. The following example shows that the weak asymptotic regularity of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ does not imply lim $\inf _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|<+\infty$, even for a nonexpansive (even isometric) sequence. Take $H=l^{2}(N)$ and let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be the standard basis for $H$. Let $\forall n \geqslant 0, x_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_{i}$; then $x_{n+1}-x_{n}=e_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$ and $\left\|x_{i+1}-x_{j+1}\right\|^{2}=|i-j|=\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2}$. However, $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}=n \rightarrow n \rightarrow+\infty+\infty$.

## 4. Strong Convergence

Proposition 4.1. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be any sequence in $H$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+m}\right) \rightarrow_{i \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha_{m}$ uniformly in $m \geqslant 0$ (we call this the property $\left(^{*}\right)$ ).
(ii) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an AONES.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): We have $\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha_{0}$; hence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a bounded sequence in $H$ and $\forall \varepsilon>0 \exists N_{0} 3 \forall i, j \geqslant N_{0} \forall k \geqslant 0 \mid\left(x_{i+k} \mid x_{j+k}\right)-$ $\left(x_{i} \mid x_{j}\right) \mid<\varepsilon$. Therefore by taking $\varepsilon(i, j)=\left|\left\|x_{i+k}-x_{j+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|^{2}\right| \leqslant$ $\left|\left\|x_{i+k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{j+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2}\right|+2\left|\left(x_{i+k} \mid x_{j+k}\right)-\left(x_{i} \mid x_{j}\right)\right|$ we get $\lim \sup _{i, j \rightarrow+\infty} \varepsilon(i, j) \leqslant 2 \varepsilon$, uniformly in $k \geqslant 0$, which implies that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an ANES in $H$ since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary. Similarly from the equality $\left\|x_{i+k}+x_{j+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{i}+x_{j}\right\|^{2}=\left\|x_{i+k}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{j+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2}+$
$2\left(\left(x_{i+k} \mid x_{j+k}\right)-\left(x_{i} \mid x_{j}\right)\right), \quad$ it follows that $\left\|x_{i+k}+x_{j+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{i}+x_{j}\right\|^{2}$ $\rightarrow_{i, j \rightarrow+\infty} 0$ (uniformly in $k \geqslant 0$ ). Therefore $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an AONES in $H$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): First we prove that from (ii) it follows that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ converges. Taking $i=j$ and using the oddness, we get $4\left\|x_{i+m}\right\|^{2} \leqslant$ $4\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon_{1}(i)$. Hence $\forall i \geqslant 0, \lim \sup _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon_{1}(i) / 4$. Therefore $\lim \sup _{j \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{j}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \lim \inf _{i \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}$, which implies that $\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ converges. Now let us prove that ( $x_{i} \mid x_{i+m}$ ) is Cauchy, uniformly in $m \geqslant 0$, and this completes the proof. For $l \leqslant k$, we have $\left\|x_{m+k}-x_{k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(x_{m+1}-x_{l} \|^{2}+\right.$ $\varepsilon(m+l, l)$. Hence $2\left[\left(x_{m+l} \mid x_{i}\right)-\left(x_{m+k} \mid x_{k}\right)\right] \leqslant\left\|x_{m+i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}-$ $\left\|x_{m+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon(m+l, l)$. Similarly from the inequality $\left\|x_{m+k}+x_{k}\right\|^{2} \leqslant$ $\left\|x_{m+l}+x_{l}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon_{1}(m+l, l)$ it follows that $2\left[\left(x_{m+k} \mid x_{k}\right)-\left(x_{m+l} \mid x_{l}\right)\right] \leqslant$ $\left\|x_{m+l}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{\|}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{m+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon_{1}(m+l, l)$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left|\left(x_{m+l} \mid x_{l}\right)-\left(x_{m+k} \mid x_{k}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant\left\|x_{m+l}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{l}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{m+k}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{k}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.\quad+\operatorname{Max}\left(\varepsilon(m+l, l), \varepsilon_{1}(m+l, l)\right) \xrightarrow[l, k \rightarrow k)\right]{\longrightarrow(l \leqslant k)} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly in $m \geqslant 0$, since $\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ converges.
As an immediate corollary we have the following:
Corollary 4.2. Let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ be any sequence in $H$ satisfying the property ( ${ }^{*}$ ). Then,
(1) $s_{n}$ converges weakly to some $p \in H$. Moreover we have:
(a) $\overline{\text { conv }} \omega_{w}\left(\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}\right) \cap F=\{p\}$
(b) $p$ is the asymptotic center of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$
(c) $\left(x_{n} \mid p\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty}\|p\|^{2}$
(2) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ converges weakly if and only if it is w.a.r.
(3) $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ converges strongly if and if it is asymptotically regular.

Proof. (1) By Proposition 4.1, $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an AONES; hence it is an ANES, and since $\left\|x_{n}\right\|$ is convergent, therefore bounded, the weak convergence of $s_{n}$, (a), and (b) all follow from Corollary 3.6. To prove (c), we know that $p \in F$; hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}=d^{2}$ exists. But we have

$$
\left(x_{n} \mid p\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\|p\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow+\infty]{ } \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{0}+\|p\|^{2}-d^{2}\right) .
$$

Hence $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(x_{n} \mid p\right)$ exists, and since $\left(s_{n} \mid p\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty}\|p\|^{2}$ it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(x_{n} \mid p\right)=\|p\|^{2}$.
(2) This follows from Corollary 3.10 since $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is an ANES.
(3) Necessity is obvious. Now to prove the sufficiency, assume that $\quad x_{n+1}-x_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$ then, $\forall m \geqslant 0$ fixed, $\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+m}\right\| \leqslant$ $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left\|x_{n+i}-x_{n+i+1}\right\| \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} 0$. Therefore, $\forall m \geqslant 0$ fixed, we have $\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+m}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{i+m}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{i}-x_{i+m}\right\|^{2}\right) \rightarrow x_{i \rightarrow+\infty} \alpha_{0}$. Hence, $\forall m \geqslant 0$, $\alpha_{m}=\alpha_{0}$. Thus, $\left\|x_{n+k}-x_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n+k}\right\|^{2}-2\left(x_{n} \mid x_{n+k}\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty}$ $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{0}-2 \alpha_{k}=0$ (uniformly in $k \geqslant 0$ ). Hence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $H$ and thus convergent.

Example 4.3. The following example shows that $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ may not converge if it is not asymptotically regular. Take $H=R$ (the real line) and $\forall n \geqslant 0, x_{n}=(-1)^{n}$. Then $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n>0}$ is an ONES $\left(s_{n} \rightarrow_{n}, \infty_{\infty} 0\right)$, but $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ does not converge.

In the sequel, we prove that if a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ in $H$ satisfies the property (*) (or equivalently if it is an AONES) then $s_{n}$ is strongly convergent. This theorem is proved by H. Brézis and F. E. Browder [6]. Short proofs are given also in [9] and [12].

Here we give a direct proof using our results on ANES.
First we recall the following classical lemmas on numerical sequences. Let $\left(\beta_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ be a sequence of real (complex) numbers $a_{n}=(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}$ and $b_{n}=\left(1 / n^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \beta_{i}$.

Lemma 4.4. If $a_{n} \rightarrow_{n},{ }_{1 \infty} \beta$ then $\left(1 / n^{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} i a_{i} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty}(\beta / 2)$.
Proof. For $\varepsilon>0$ given, assume $\left|a_{n}-\beta\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$ for $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and let $M=\operatorname{Max}_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n_{0}}\left|a_{i}\right|+|\beta|$. Then for $n>n_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i a_{i}-\frac{\beta}{2}\right| \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{n(n+1)}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} i\left(a_{i}-\beta\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{n(n+1)}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} i\left(\left|a_{i}\right|+|\beta|\right)+\sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n}\left|a_{i}-\beta\right|\right] \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{n(n+1)}\left[\frac{M n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right)}{2}+\frac{8 n(n+1)}{2}\right] \\
& \quad=\frac{M n_{0}\left(n_{0}+1\right)}{2 n(n+1)}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|(1 / n(n+1)) \sum_{i=1}^{n} i a_{i}-(\beta / 2)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon / 2$ which completes the proof since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary.

Lemma 4.5. If $a_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} \beta$ then $b_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} \beta / 2$.

Proof. We have $\forall n \geqslant 1, \beta_{n}=n a_{n}-(n-1) a_{n-1}$; hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{n} & =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \beta_{i}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i\left(i a_{i}-(i-1) a_{i-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(i^{2} a_{i}-(i-1)^{2} a_{i-1}-(i-1) a_{i-1}\right)\right] \\
& =a_{n}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(i-1) a_{i-1}=a_{n}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i a_{i} \\
& =a_{n}-\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i a_{i}+\frac{a_{n}}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow+\infty]{ } \beta-\frac{\beta}{2}=\frac{\beta}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Lemma 4.4).
Theorem 4.6. If $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is any sequence in $H$ satisfying the property $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ (or equivalently if it is an AONES) then $s_{n}$ converges strongly to the asymptotic center $p$ of the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$.

Proof. We already know by Corollary 4.2 that $s_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow+\infty} p$. Therefore to prove strong convergence it is enough to show that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|s_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|p\|^{2}$. Assume $\forall n \geqslant 0,\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leqslant M$, and for $\varepsilon>0$ given, $\left|\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+r}\right)-\left(x_{k} \mid x_{k+r}\right)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon, \forall k \geqslant N_{0}, \forall i \geqslant k, \forall r \geqslant 0$. Then for $k \geqslant N_{0}$ fixed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|s_{n}\right\|^{2}= & \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(x_{i} \mid x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-r}\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+r}\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{M^{2}}{n}+\frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1-r}\left(\left(x_{i} \mid x_{i+r}\right)-\left(x_{k} \mid x_{k+r}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}(n-r)\left(x_{k} \mid x_{k+r}\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{M^{2}}{n}+\frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2 M^{2}+\frac{2 \varepsilon}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=k}^{n-1-r} 1 \\
& +2\left(x_{k} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1}\left(1-\frac{r}{n}\right) x_{k+r}\right.\right) \\
\leqslant & \frac{M^{2}}{n}+\frac{4 M^{2} k}{n}+2 \varepsilon+2\left(x_{k} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} x_{k+r}\right.\right) \\
& -2\left(x_{k} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} r x_{k+r}\right.\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore for $k \geqslant N_{0}$ we get (by Lemma 4.5) lim $\sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|s_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant$ $2 \varepsilon+2\left(x_{k} \mid p\right)-\left(x_{k} \mid p\right)=2 \varepsilon+\left(x_{k} \mid p\right)$. Now letting $k \rightarrow+\infty$, by Corollary 4.2.1(c) we get $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|s_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|p\|^{2}+2 \varepsilon$, from which the result follows since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary.

Remark 4.7. Theorem 2 in [6] extends Theorem 2.1(i) in [7] and Theorem 1(b) in [24], where it was first shown, using the concept of almost convergence, that the "properness" condition of Theorem 2 in [26] is not needed.
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