## **Does Recessive EKV Exist?**

## To the Editor:

We read the article by Terrinoni *et al* in the March issue of the *JID* with much interest. In it, they described their finding of a putative recessive *GJB3* (connexin 31) mutation associated with erythrokeratodermia variabilis (EKV). The existence of recessive skin disease-associated connexin mutations would contribute significantly to our understanding of gap junction biology. But we have a number of concerns with the current report, that in our view, need to be addressed before the existence of recessive EKV can be accepted.

All data that are currently available with regard to gap junction gene mutations associated with skin disease suggest that the skin symptoms are caused by (trans-)dominant effects of the mutations. Almost all skin disease-associated mutations interfere with the transport of the mutant protein. The mutant protein will, however, be incorporated into a gap junction in the presence of a wild-type homotypic or heterotypic gap junction protein (Thomas et al, 2004). For GJB2, mutations such as D66H, which is associated with Vohwinkel's syndrome, have been shown to interfere in a trans-dominant manner with the expression of GJA1 (Rouan et al, 2001; Thomas et al, 2004) and can lead to increased sensitivity to apoptosis of keratinocytes (Bakirtzis et al, 2003). The dominant mutations in GJB3 that cause EKV have been shown to be gain-of-function mutants that lead to increased sensitivity to apoptosis of keratinocytes or interfere with gap junction conductance (Di et al, 2002; Common et al, 2003; Rouan et al, 2003). Dominant mutations in GJB6 can interfere with transport of the protein and impair the assembly of heteromeric GJB6-containing gap junctions (Common et al, 2002). At least one of the mutations associated with KID syndrome, D50N, leads to a gain of function of the gap junction (D. Gonzalez, personal communication, 2003). Dominant mutations associated with hearing loss seem to traffic to the cell membrane but impair channel function once inserted (Common et al, 2002; Marziano et al, 2003). They do not modify channel composition or lead to a gain-of-function in contrast to the mutations associated with skin disease. Recessive mutations associated with hearing loss have been found mostly in GJB2, suggesting that recessive missense or nonsense mutations in other connexins that are expressed in the ear need not have functional significance there with the possible exception of GJA1 in which recessive mutations have been found in deaf patients (Liu et al, 2001). These findings, however, have so far not been replicated. The same observation may very well apply to the skin. There is a previous report that describes a recessive mutation in GJB3, L34P, and claims that it causes EKV (Gottfried et al, 2002). The clinical data supplied are scarce and, in our view, do not support the diagnosis of EKV. Moreover, the paper shows that the mutant protein is sequestered in the cytoplasm and does not contribute to gap junction assembly. Functional studies showing alteration of gap junction function were not performed. As stated above, incorporation into a gap junction and alteration of its function or, alternatively, interference with the composition of the gap junction seem to be required for causation of a skin phenotype. Also, the absence of a gap junction protein does not necessarily have functional consequences. We have shown that connexin 30.3 (GJB4) is not required for skin function at all. Dominant point mutations in GJB4 cause EKV but homozygosity for a 4 bp-deletion in GJB4 appears to be a polymorphism, at least in the Dutch population (Macari et al, 2000; Van Geel et al, 2002). The polymorphism is rare, underscoring the need for screening of a sufficient number of controls. We note that Terrinoni et al do not mention the number of controls used to exclude E100K as a polymorphism. Finally, conservation of residues in gap junctions is not a guarantee for their functional importance. The conserved arginine at position 32 in GJB4 can apparently be substituted by a tryptophan without adverse consequences, as this change has been demonstrated to be a polymorphism in Spanish and Dutch populations (Lopez-Bigas et al, 2001; Van Geel et al, 2002). It was also demonstrated that this polymorphism does not lead to functional impairment of gap junctions (Rouan et al, 2003). In conclusion, the available functional data suggest that gap junction mutants that cause skin disease seem to be causing symptoms through a gain-of-function mechanism that requires incorporation of the mutant into a gap junction.

Another concern is with the correctness of the clinical diagnosis. The photographs accompanying the paper show abnormalities that in our view could also be consistent with the diagnosis of cyclic hyperkeratosis with ichthyosis as described by Sybert et al (1999). A hallmark feature of this particular disorder is an epidermolytic hyperkeratosis. Looking at the histology depicted in Fig 1c of the paper, there is a definite indication of epidermolysis there, particularly in the suprabasal layer, where cytolysis of keratinocytes also seems to be present. This may also be an artifact, but other features of EKV such as elongation of rete ridges seem to be absent. There seems to be some hypergranularity of the granular layer but at the magnification and quality provided it is not possible to discern whether actual clumping of tonofilaments is present. Electron microscopy would be helpful in this regard. The clinical appearance of cyclic hyperkeratosis is also quite similar to that of EKV as evident from Fig 3 in the Sybert et al paper. This keratin

Abbreviation: EKV, erythrokeratodermia variabilis

disease leads to migrating, erythematous, and sharply demarcated plaques that mimic EKV. It would be important to know whether Terrinoni's patient had any blistering shortly after birth as this symptom can distinguish the two disorders. As it is, we are not convinced that the diagnosis EKV would be the correct one in the patient described by Terrinoni *et al.* The diagnosis of cyclic ichthyosis with epidermolytic hyperkeratosis seems more likely. We suggest that the present case of EKV be tested for *KRT1* mutations. It is conceivable that testing of cases of apparent EKV without mutations in *GJB3* or 4 will reveal several *KRT1* mutations.

A recessive mutation associated with a skin disease would be a significant finding. We feel that the present case report raises sufficient concerns to suggest that the further confirmatory studies are required. First of all, we would suggest that a *KRT1* mutation be ruled out. Second, if the diagnosis of EKV can be maintained, the E100K mutation needs to be confirmed as such by testing an adequate number of controls and with functional studies. It would be of interest to examine whether the mutation influences the expression or transport of other skin-expressed connexins in any way and impairs gap junction functionality if inserted into the membrane. Until these concerns are addressed, we feel that there is insufficient evidence to accept the existence of recessive EKV caused by a homozygous E100K substitution.

> Maurice A. M. van Steensel and Michel van Geel Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands

Manuscript received April 23, 2004; revised July 12, 2004; accepted for publication July 20, 2004

Address correspondence to: Maurice A. M. van Steensel, MD, Dermatology Department, University Hospital Maastricht, PO Box 5800, 6202 AZ Maastricht, The Netherlands. Email: mvst@sder.azm.nl

## References

- Bakirtzis G, Choudhry R, Aasen T, et al: Targeted epidermal expression of mutant Connexin 26(D66H) mimics true Vohwinkel syndrome and provides a model for the pathogenesis of dominant connexin disorders. Hum Mol Genet 12:1737–1744, 2003
- Common JE, Becker D, Di WL, Leigh IM, O'Toole EA, Kelsell DP: Functional studies of human skin disease- and deafness-associated connexin 30 mutations. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 298:651–656, 2002
- Common JE, Di WL, Davies D, Galvin H, Leigh IM, O'Toole EA, Kelsell DP: Cellular mechanisms of mutant connexins in skin disease and hearing loss. Cell Commun Adhes 10:347–351, 2003
- Di WL, Monypenny J, Common JE, et al: Defective trafficking and cell death is characteristic of skin disease-associated connexin 31 mutations. Hum Mol Genet 11:2005–2014, 2002
- Gottfried I, Landau M, Glaser F, et al: A mutation in GJB3 is associated with recessive erythrokeratodermia variabilis (EKV) and leads to defective trafficking of the connexin 31 protein. Hum Mol Genet 11:1311–1316, 2002
- Liu XZ, Xia XJ, Adams J, *et al*: Mutations in GJA1 (connexin 43) are associated with non-syndromic autosomal recessive deafness. Hum Mol Genet 10: 2945–2951, 2001
- Lopez-Bigas N, Rabionet R, Arbones ML, Estivill X: R32W variant in Connexin 31: Mutation or polymorphism for deafness and skin disease? Eur J Hum Genet 9:70, 2001
- Macari F, Landau M, Cousin P, et al: Mutation in the gene for connexin 30.3 in a family with erythrokeratodermia variabilis. Am J Hum Genet 67: 1296–1301, 2000
- Marziano NK, Casalotti SO, Portelli AE, Becker DL, Forge A: Mutations in the gene for connexin 26 (GJB2) that cause hearing loss have a dominant negative effect on connexin 30. Hum Mol Genet 12:805–812, 2003
- Rouan F, Lo CW, Fertala A, et al: Divergent effects of two sequence variants of GJB3 (G12D and R32W) on the function of connexin 31 in vitro. Exp Dermatol 12:191–197, 2003
- Rouan F, White TW, Brown N, et al: Trans-dominant inhibition of connexin-43 by mutant connexin-26: Implications for dominant connexin disorders affecting epidermal differentiation. J Cell Sci 114:2105–2113, 2001
- Sybert VP, Francis JS, Corden LD, Smith LT, Weaver M, Stephens K, McLean WH: Cyclic ichthyosis with epidermolytic hyperkeratosis: A phenotype conferred by mutations in the 2B domain of keratin K1. Am J Hum Genet 64: 732–738, 1999
- Thomas T, Telford D, Laird DW: Functional domain mapping and selective transdominant effects exhibited by Cx26 disease-causing mutations. J Biol Chem 279:19157–19168, 2004
- Van Geel M, Van Steensel MA, Steijlen PM: Connexin 30.3 (GJB4) is not required for normal skin function in humans. Br J Dermatol 147:1275–1277, 2002

DOI: 10.1111/j.0022-202X.2004.23517.x