The Intraflagellar Transport Protein IFT27 Promotes BBSome Exit from Cilia through the GTPase ARL6/BBS3

Gerald M. Liew,^{1,2} Fan Ye,¹ Andrew R. Nager,¹ J. Patrick Murphy,³ Jaclyn S. Lee,¹ Mike Aguiar,³ David K. Breslow,¹ Steven P. Gygi,³ and Maxence V. Nachury^{1,*}

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

³Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.09.004

SUMMARY

The sorting of signaling receptors into and out of cilia relies on the BBSome, a complex of Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS) proteins, and on the intraflagellar transport (IFT) machinery. GTP loading onto the Arf-like GTPase ARL6/BBS3 drives assembly of a membrane-apposed BBSome coat that promotes cargo entry into cilia, yet how and where ARL6 is activated remains elusive. Here, we show that the Rab-like GTPase IFT27/RABL4, a known component of IFT complex B, promotes the exit of BBSome and associated cargoes from cilia. Unbiased proteomics and biochemical reconstitution assays show that, upon disengagement from the rest of IFT-B, IFT27 directly interacts with the nucleotide-free form of ARL6. Furthermore, IFT27 prevents aggregation of nucleotide-free ARL6 in solution. Thus, we propose that IFT27 separates from IFT-B inside cilia to promote ARL6 activation, BBSome coat assembly, and subsequent ciliary exit, mirroring the process by which BBSome mediates cargo entry into cilia.

INTRODUCTION

Primary cilia are microtubule-based organelles that convert extracellular signals into intracellular responses through the dynamic exchange of signaling molecules with the rest of the cell. While significant progress has been made toward understanding the mechanisms of entry into cilia, little is known about how signaling molecules exit cilia besides a possible requirement for the BBSome (Nachury et al., 2010; Sung and Leroux, 2013). The BBSome is an octameric complex of eight conserved Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS) proteins [BBS1/2/4/ 5/7/8/9/18] (Nachury et al., 2007; Loktev et al., 2008; Scheidecker et al., 2014), which are among 19 gene products defective in BBS, a pleiotropic disorder characterized by obesity, polydactyly, retinal dystrophy, and cystic kidneys (Fliegauf et al., 2007). We previously showed that guanosine triphosphate



Small GTPases that localize to cilia represent a class of molecules that have the potential to regulate ciliary trafficking. In particular, the Rab-like GTPase IFT27/RABL4, which forms an obligatory complex with IFT25, associates with IFT-B inside cilia (Qin et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2009; Bhogaraju et al., 2011). Similar to BBSome mutants, but unlike null mutants for other IFT-B subunits in which ciliogenesis is grossly affected, Ift25^{-/-} cells possess normal cilia that accumulate Patched 1 and Smoothened in their cilia and are thus defective in Hedgehog signaling (Keady et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2011, 2012). The recent identification of a pathogenic mutation in IFT27 in a BBS family suggests that IFT27/BBS19 might regulate BBSome function (Aldahmesh et al., 2014). Here we show that, upon disengagement from the rest of IFT-B, IFT27 directly interacts with and stabilizes the nucleotide-free form of ARL6. We further demonstrate that loss of IFT27 reduces the ciliary exit rates of BBSome, and causes ciliary accumulation of ARL6, BBSome, and GPR161, a G protein coupled receptor (GPCR) that participates in Hedgehog signaling. Thus, through its control of nucleotide-empty ARL6, IFT27 links the BBSome to the IFT machinery to drive ciliary export of signaling molecules.



²Department of Biochemistry, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

^{*}Correspondence: nachury@stanford.edu



Figure 1. Identification of ARL6 as an Interactor of IFT27

(A–C) Murine IMCD3 cells stably expressing human IFT27, IFT27[K68A] ("GTP-locked"), or IFT27[T19N] ("GDP-locked") tagged at the C terminus with a LAP tag (S-tag followed by a HRV3C cleavage site and GFP) were stained for IFT88 (red), acetylated tubulin (white), and DNA (blue). IFT27^{LAP} variants were visualized through the intrinsic fluorescence of GFP.

(A) Inset shows the individual fluorescence channels vertically offset from one another by three pixels. A yellow arrowhead points to the base of a cilium in the GFP channel of IFT27[T19N]^{LAP} cells. Scale bar, 5 µm (main panels), 1 µm (insets).

(B and C) Magnified views of cilia from IFT27^{LAP} cells (B) or IFT27[T19N]^{LAP} cells (C). Scale bar, 1 µm. In (C) endogenous mouse IFT27 was knocked down leaving human IFT27[T19N]^{LAP} as the major IFT27 protein in those cells. See Figure S1C for control siRNA experiment.

(D) Lysates were subjected to anti-GFP antibody capture and HRV3C (control, IFT27^{LAP}) or TEV (^{LAP}IFT88) cleavage elution before SDS-PAGE and silver staining (top) or immunoblotting (bottom). Asterisks indicate proteases used for cleavage elution. In parallel, the eluates were analyzed by mass spectrometry and the spectral counts for each IFT-B subunit are shown in the table on the right. Spectral counts from ^{LAP}IFT88 are the aggregate of three separate mass spectrometry experiments. Immunoblotting for IFT-B subunits (IFT88 and IFT57) and for ARL6 was conducted to confirm the mass spectrometry results. Immunoblotting for the S-tag that remains on IFT27^{LAP} after HRV3C cleavage shows the amounts of all IFT27 variants recovered in the LAP eluates. See also Figure S1.

RESULTS

Nucleotide-Dependent Association of IFT27 with IFT-B

We first sought to understand how the nucleotide state of IFT27 might affect ciliary trafficking. We generated stable mouse inner medullar collecting duct (IMCD)3 kidney cell lines stably expressing human IFT27 fused to a localization and tandem affinity purification (LAP) tag consisting of an S-tag followed by a cleavage site for the HRV3C protease and GFP (Cheeseman and Desai, 2005). To "lock" IFT27 in either the GTP-bound (active) form or guanosine diphosphate (GDP)-bound (inactive) form, we introduced point mutations into IFT27 that are predicted to either preclude GTP hydrolysis by preventing interaction with a GAP [K68A], or disrupt GTP binding while allowing limited GDP binding [T19N] (Bhogaraju et al., 2011) (Figure S1A available online). Remarkably, while IFT27-LAP and IFT27 [K68A]-LAP localized to cilia (Figure 1A) and colocalized with the IFT-B subunit IFT88 inside cilia (Figure 1B), IFT27[T19N]-LAP failed to localize to cilia, suggesting that GTP binding promotes ciliary entry of IFT27. Since IFT27[T19N]-LAP levels are

only reduced 2-fold in Iysates (and 5-fold in LAP eluates) compared to IFT27-LAP (Figures S1B and S1D), the absence of IFT27[T19N] from cilia cannot be solely accounted for by reduced protein levels. Surprisingly, knockdown of endogenous IFT27 in the stable cell line expressing IFT27[T19N]-LAP allowed IFT27[T19N]-LAP to enter cilia and colocalize with endogenous IFT88 (Figures 1C and S1C), suggesting that IFT27[T19N] is outcompeted by endogenous IFT27 for incorporation into IFT-B.

To identify effectors and regulators of IFT27, we performed GFP-immunoprecipitation and HRV3C cleavage with all IFT27-LAP cell lines. Consistent with the localization pattern of IFT27 [K68A] and IFT27, all IFT-B subunits, including the suspected subunit CLUAP1/DYF-3/qilin (Ou et al., 2005b), copurified with IFT27[K68A] and with IFT27 (Figure 1D). Recovery of the IFT-B complex in association with IFT27 was confirmed by immunoblotting for the subunits IFT88 and IFT57, and LAP purifications of IFT88 yielded the same complement of IFT-B subunits. Meanwhile, the obligatory partner IFT25 was the only IFT-B subunit recovered in purifications of IFT27[T19N]-LAP. Since



Chlamydomonas reinhardtii IFT25/IFT27 complex exists in a free form with only a minor fraction associated with IFT-B (Wang et al., 2009), we conclude that GTP-bound IFT27 interacts strongly with the rest of IFT-B, while IFT27-GDP interacts very weakly with IFT-B and is readily outcompeted by IFT27-GTP.

IFT27, but Not IFT-B, Interacts with ARL6

Most unexpectedly, mass spectrometry robustly identified ARL6 in purifications of all IFT27 variants, a result we confirmed by immunoblotting (Figures 1D and S1E). In contrast to other IFT-B subunits, prior studies in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* have indicated that most of the IFT25/IFT27 complex exists in a free form with only a minor fraction associated with IFT-B (Wang et al., 2009). The existence of distinct cellular pools of

Figure 2. IFT27 Directly Interacts with Nucleotide-Empty ARL6

(A) LAP eluates from IFT27^{LAP} and ^{LAP}IFT88 were analyzed by immunoblotting for IFT-B subunits (IFT88 and IFT57), IFT27, and ARL6. Twice as much of the ^{LAP}IFT88 eluate was loaded compared to the IFT27^{LAP} eluate. Note that the IFT27 antibody preferentially recognizes murine IFT27 over human IFT27, accounting for the lower signal intensity of IFT27^{S-tag} in the IFT27^{LAP} lane compared to that of murine IFT27 in the ^{LAP}IFT88 lane.

(B) Cotransfections/coimmunoprecipitations were performed with all combinations of "GTP-locked" or "GDP-locked" variants of ARL6 and IFT27. IFT25 was cotransfected with IFT27^{LAP} to ensure IFT27 stability.

(C) IFT25/IFT27^{LAP}-decorated beads were used to capture overexpressed ^{Myc}ARL6 out of HEK cell lysate in the presence or absence of EDTA.

(D) The IFT25/IFT27-GST complex, GST, ARL6, and SAR1A were expressed in bacteria and purified to near-homogeneity before SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining (left panel). IFT25/IFT27-GST was mixed with ARL6 or SAR1A in the presence of various nucleotides and complexes were recovered on Glutathione Sepharose beads before LDS elution, SDS-PAGE, and Coomassie staining (middle panel). In a similar experiment, IFT25/IFT27-GST was mixed with ARL6 in the presence of GTP γ S, EDTA, or GDP/AIF₄⁻ (right panel).

(E) ARL6, either alone or mixed with the IFT25/ IFT27 complex and EDTA was resolved by size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200). Size markers: 66.9 kDa (thyroglobulin), 35.0 kDa (β -lactoglobulin), and 6.5 kDa (aprotinin). See also Figure S2.

IFT25/IFT27 posed the question of which one associated with ARL6. Given that IFT27[T19N] recovered similar amounts of ARL6 as IFT27 and IFT27[K68A]–even though IFT27[T19N] is expressed (and recovered in LAP eluates) at lower levels than IFT27[K68A] and IFT27 (Figures 1D, S1B, and S1C)–it appeared that stable incorporation of IFT27 into IFT-B was not required for interaction with ARL6.

Furthermore, while every IFT subunit was identified in LAP-IFT88 purifications by at least three times as many spectral counts as in the IFT27-LAP purification, not a single peptide for ARL6 was identified in the LAP-IFT88 eluates (Figure 1D). Similarly, even when twice as much of the LAP-IFT88 eluate was loaded compared to the IFT27-LAP eluate, no ARL6 was detected in LAP-IFT88 eluates by immunoblotting (Figure 2A). Together, these results indicate that ARL6 does not recognize IFT27 within the IFT-B complex. Instead, ARL6 must interact with a form of IFT25/IFT27 that is either free or in a complex distinct from IFT-B.

IFT27 Recognizes the Nucleotide-free Form of ARL6

Since ARL6 and IFT27 are both GTPases, we asked whether their mutual interaction was dependent on their respective nucleotide states. We first tested interactions by cotransfection/ coimmunoprecipitation with all combinations of "GTP-locked" or "GDP-locked" variants of ARL6 and IFT27. While altering the nucleotide state of IFT27 had little effect on the interaction, the "GDP-locked" variant of ARL6 (T31R) interacted more strongly with IFT27 than the wild-type or "GTP-locked" forms of ARL6 (Figures 2B and S2A). It should be noted that the so-called "GDP-locked" P loop mutation significantly lowers the affinity of small GTPases for GDP and even more dramatically for GTP. Thus, the T31R mutant of ARL6 is expected to mimic the nucleotide-empty and the GDP-bound forms of ARL6.

To specifically test if nucleotide-empty ARL6 interacts with IFT27, we separately expressed the two proteins in human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells. IFT27 was captured onto beads and used as bait for ARL6. The assays were conducted in the absence or presence of EDTA, which renders small GTPases nucleotide-empty by chelating the Mg²⁺ ion needed for nucleotide binding (Tucker et al., 1986). Remarkably, IFT27 only interacted with ARL6 in the presence of EDTA (Figure 2C). While small GTPases typically bind nucleotides with picomolar affinities, IFT27 only binds nucleotides with micromolar affinity (Bhogaraju et al., 2011), and a substantial proportion of IFT27 will be nucleotide-empty under our experimental conditions. Thus, together with the coimmunoprecipitation results, we interpret the EDTA dependency of the IFT27-ARL6 interaction as indicative that nucleotide removal on ARL6 promotes interaction with IFT27.

IFT27 Directly and Specifically Binds Nucleotide-free ARL6

There are four different types of small GTPase regulators and binders that have been defined (Cherfils and Zeghouf, 2013; Vetter and Wittinghofer, 2001). GDP dissociation inhibitors (GDIs) chaperone prenylated GTPases away from membranes when GDP-bound, GEFs catalyze the exchange of GDP for GTP to carry out activation, effectors read the GTP state to convey downstream effects, and GAPs increase the rate of GTP hydrolysis to terminate signals.

In the nucleotide exchange reaction, the GEF is tightly bound to the nucleotide-free GTPase in the transition state and associates very weakly with the substrate (GDP-bound GTPase) and the product (GTP-bound GTPase) (Goody and Hofmann-Goody, 2002). While the transition state complex can be stabilized in vitro by removal of guanine nucleotides, high GTP concentrations in the cytoplasm lead to the rapid release of the GTP-bound GTPase from the GEF-GTPase complex. In the GEF hypothesis, IFT27 and ARL6 interact most strongly when ARL6 is nucleotide-free. In the GDI hypothesis, IFT27 and ARL6 interact most strongly when ARL6 is GDP-bound. Finally, GAPs recognize GTP-bound GTPases and the transition state of the GAP-catalyzed hydrolysis reaction has a water molecule positioned for nucleophilic attack on the β - γ -phosphate bond, a state that can be mimicked by GDP-AIF₄⁻.

To gain insights into the type of ARL6 regulator encoded by IFT27, we assessed how the nucleotide state of ARL6 affects binding to IFT27 using purified components. ARL6, its close relative SAR1A, and IFT27-GST together with IFT25 were expressed in bacteria (Figure 2D, left panel). IFT25/IFT27-GST was mixed with recombinant ARL6 or SAR1A, loaded with various nucleotides, and complexes were recovered on glutathione beads.

Similar to IFT27 and ARL6 expressed in mammalian cells, recombinant IFT27 interacted with ARL6 only in the presence of EDTA, but not in the presence of GDP or GTP (Figure 2D, middle panel). Meanwhile, no interaction between IFT27 and SAR1A was observed in the presence of EDTA. Although nucleotidefree GTPases are prone to aggregation and possibly nonspecific interactions, nucleotide-empty ARL6 did not interact with GST (Figure 2D, middle panel) or any of five unrelated proteins (Figure S2B). Furthermore, ARL6 comigrated with IFT25/IFT27 on size exclusion chromatography in the presence of EDTA (Figure 2E), indicating formation of a stable complex between IFT25/IFT27 and nucleotide-free ARL6. Finally, to test the possibility that IFT27 might act as a GAP for ARL6, we preformed ARL6-GDP-AIF₄⁻, which failed to interact with IFT27 (Figure 2D, right panel). Because IFT27 does not detectably associate with ARL6-GTP, ARL6-GDP-AIF4⁻, or ARL6-GDP, but strongly binds nucleotide-empty ARL6, our results strongly disfavor the effector, GAP and GDI hypotheses and leave the GEF function as the most likely hypothesis.

IFT27 Chaperones the Nucleotide-free Form of ARL6 against Aggregation

Despite repeated attempts to directly test the ARL6GEF activity of IFT27 in vitro, the greatest increase in GDP release rate brought about by addition of IFT27 was only 2-fold over control (Figure 3A; see Figure S3A for a summary of all conditions tested so far). This suggests that additional factors (e.g., proteins, membranes, posttranslational modifications, etc.) besides IFT27 are required to reconstitute the full ARL6GEF activity. While the identity of these factors is presently unknown, we attempted to obtain further circumstantial evidence that IFT27 is part of an ARL6GEF. Similar to the behavior of other nucleotide-free GTPases (Cherfils and Zeghouf, 2013), ARL6 tends to aggregate upon EDTA addition, which can be monitored by light diffraction at 350 nm. Remarkably, ARL6 aggregation was greatly reduced when ARL6 was coincubated with stoichiometric amounts of the IFT25/IFT27 complex before addition of EDTA (Figures 3B and 3C). In this turbidity assay, the degree of precipitation rescue was dependent on the concentration of IFT25/IFT27 added (Figure 3B), whereas GST alone or IFT25 alone did not result in stabilization of nucleotide-free ARL6 (Figures 3B, 3C, and S3B). Since the conformation of IFT25 is not affected by IFT27 binding (Figure S3C), it is most likely IFT27 itself that recognizes and stabilizes the nucleotide-free form of ARL6. Alternatively, a complex interface from IFT25 and IFT27 may recognize ARL6. Since GEFs stabilize the nucleotide-empty GTPases against aggregation, these data are consistent with IFT27 being part of the ARL6GEF (Figure 3D).

Loss of IFT27 Causes Hyperaccumulation of ARL6 and BBSome in Cilia

Given our prior model that ARL6 activation drives BBSome coat formation and entry into cilia (Jin et al., 2010), loss of the cytoplasmic ARL6GEF is predicted to reduce BBSome coat formation and ciliary entry of ARL6 and the BBSome. Unexpectedly, while ciliary BBSome levels in IMCD3 cells are normally below the detection limit of our immunological reagents, IFT27 knockdown led to the distinct detection of BBSome in cilia (Figure 4A). Similarly *Ift27^{-/-}* mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs)



Figure 3. IFT27 Stabilizes the Nucleotide-Empty Form of ARL6

(A) Time course of [³H]-GDP release from ARL6 in the presence or absence of IFT25/IFT27. Data points were fit to a single exponential decay equation and plotted. See Figure S3A for a summary of all conditions tested.

(B–D) EDTA-induced ARL6 precipitation at 37°C was followed by light diffraction at 350 nm.

(B) Rescue of ARL6 precipitation by stoichiometric concentrations of IFT25/IFT27-GST.

(C) Effect of different IFT25/IFT27 variants on the rescue of EDTA-induced ARL6 precipitation. Judging by endpoint absorbance values, IFT25/GST-IFT27 (N-terminal GST tag) was ~6 times more efficient than IFT25/IFT27-GST (C-terminal GST tag) in rescuing EDTA-induced Arl6 precipitation. Addition of IFT25 (even at 10-fold molar excess over ARL6) does not rescue precipitation of nucleotide empty ARL6.

(D) Model for IFT27 stabilization of nucleotide-empty ARL6.

See also Figure S3.

accumulated ARL6 (Figure 4B) and BBSome (Figure 4C) inside cilia, while no BBSome or ARL6 signal could be detected in cilia of wild-type MEFs. The hyperaccumulation of ARL6 and BBSome in cilia could be rescued by transient expression of IFT27 wild-type (WT), [K68A], or [T19N] (Figures 4D, 4E, and S4A). Since IFT27[T19N] exhibits reduced affinity for IFT-B (Figure 1D), it appears that strong binding of IFT27 to IFT-B is not required to properly regulate ARL6 ciliary levels. Meanwhile, knockout of ARL6 did not affect ciliary localization of IFT27 (Figure S4B), thus suggesting that IFT27 controls ARL6 and not vice versa. Immunoblotting of lysate from IFT27-depleted IMCD3 cells or Ift27-/- MEFs revealed no change in overall ARL6 or BBSome levels (Figures 4F, 4G, and S4C), indicating that the ciliary hyperaccumulation phenotypes did not result from global increases in ARL6 or BBSome levels. In agreement with our immunoblots, quantitation of BBSome signal from live IMCD3 cells stably expressing BBS1 fused to three tandem repeats of the superbright fluorescent protein NeonGreen (Shaner et al., 2013) (NG³-BBS1, Figure S4D) revealed similar amounts of BBSome in the cytoplasm of control siRNA- and IFT27 siRNA-treated cells (Figure 4H). Concordant with our fixed cell imaging, the amounts of NG³-BBS1 in cilia of live IFT27-depleted cells were about three times greater than those in control cells (Figure 4H). To test whether IFT27 depletion indirectly results in BBSome hyperaccumulation through alterations in ciliary IFT dynamics, we measured the velocity and frequency of IFT trains and found no significant difference for either parameter between IFT27depleted and control cells (Figure 4I). These results strongly suggest that IFT27 negatively regulates ciliary localization of ARL6 and the BBSome, but that ARL6 does not influence IFT27 localization. These results are also congruent with our biochemical data showing that the nucleotide state of IFT27 does not influence the interaction with ARL6.

IFT27 Promotes Ciliary Exit of the BBSome

Unregulated exchanges of molecules between cilium and cytoplasm are prevented by a diffusion barrier for both soluble and membrane proteins at the ciliary base, requiring specialized cellular machineries to facilitate transport into and out of the cilium (Nachury et al., 2010; Sung and Leroux, 2013). In this context, the hyperaccumulation of ARL6 and BBSome in IFT27-deficient cilia could result from either increased ciliary



Figure 4. Loss of IFT27 Causes Hyperaccumulation of ARL6 and BBSome in Cilia

(A) IMCD3 cells were treated with control siRNA or IFT27 siRNA and immunostained for the BBSome subunit BBS5.

(B and C) WT and *Ift27^{-/-}* MEFs were immunostained for ARL6 (B) or BBS5 (C). At least 100 cilia per experiment were counted, and the percentages of ARL6- and BBS5-positive cilia were plotted. Error bars represent SDs between three independent experiments. The asterisks denote that a significant difference was found by unpaired t test between WT and *Ift27^{-/-}* MEFs for ARL6 accumulation (p = 0.0176) and BBS5 accumulation (p = 0.00143).

(D and E) IFT27^{LAP} (D) and IFT27[T19N]^{LAP} (E) were transfected into *Ift27^{-/-}* MEFs to rescue the ciliary accumulation of ARL6 and BBSome. (See also Figure S4A for rescue by transfection of IFT27[K68A]^{LAP}.) Scale bars, 5 µm (cell panels), 1 µm (cilia panels).

(F and G) Whole cell lysates from control siRNA- and IFT27 siRNA-treated IMCD3 cells (F) or WT and *Ift27^{-/-}* MEFs (G) were immunoblotted for IFT27, ARL6, BBSome, and Actin. The arrow indicates the correct band, while the asterisk denotes nonspecific band.

(H) Ciliary and cytoplasmic NG³-BBS1 fluorescent intensities were measured in control siRNA- and IFT27 siRNA-treated IMCD3-[NG³-BBS1] cells. Data were collected from 17 to 18 cells for each condition in five independent experiments. Error bars represent \pm SD. Not significant (N.S.): p > 0.05; *p < 0.05.

(I) Bar graphs representing the velocity (left) and frequency (right) of NG³-IFT88 fluorescent foci movement in cilia from control siRNA- and IFT27 siRNA-treated IMCD3-[NG³-IFT88] cells. More than 200 tracks of IFT88 foci were analyzed for each treatment. N.S.: p > 0.05. Error bars represent ±SD. See also Figure S4.



Figure 5. IFT27 Is Required for Rapid Exit of BBSome from Cilia

(A) FLAP assay. NG³-BBS1 was photobleached in the cytoplasm by intense illuminations with a 488 nm laser. The bleached areas of the cell are distant from the cilium to ensure that ciliary NG³-BBS1 is not bleached by the illuminations. The subsequent loss of NG³-BBS1 fluorescence from cilia was monitored by live imaging.

(B) Time series montage representing the dynamic loss of ciliary NG³-BBS1 fluorescence in FLAP assay. Ciliary tip and base are marked. Scale bar, 5 µm.

(C) Decay of ciliary NG³-BBS1 fluorescence signal in FLAP assays for control siRNA- and IFT27 siRNA-treated cells. The fluorescence decay was measured for individual cilia, and plotted as a smoothed line for siControl (left, blue lines) and siIFT27 (right, red lines) treated cells. Photobleaching was negligible (<2%, data not shown). Each experiment was individually fit to a single exponential, and a simulation describing the average of these fits is shown as a bold line. Data were collected from five independent experiments (number of cilia analyzed n = 17 for siControl and n = 18 for siIFT27).

(D and E) The exit of BBSome from cilia is slower in the absence of IFT27.

(D) Replotting of the simulations describing the average fits from (B) for siControl (blue solid line) and silFT27 (red dotted line) treated cells.

(E) Average half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) for ciliary exit of NG³-BBSome. For siControl, $t_{1/2} = 136 \text{ s} \pm 20 \text{ s}$, and for silFT27, $t_{1/2} = 349 \text{ s} \pm 20 \text{ s}$. The asterisk indicates a highly significant difference in exit rates (unpaired t test, $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$). Error bars represent ±SD.

entry of ARL6 and BBSome, or impaired ciliary exit of ARL6 and BBSome. While the latter hypothesis is consistent with the model that IFT27 acts as an ARL6GEF inside cilia, the former hypothesis would instead suggest that IFT27 prevents nucleotide exchange on ARL6 in the cytoplasm, possibly by competing for nucleotide-empty ARL6 with the cytoplasmic ARL6GEF.

To distinguish between these two possibilities, we directly assessed the ciliary entry and exit rates of the BBSome by leveraging the newly developed IMCD3-[NG³-BBS1] cell line and photobleaching methods. The brightness of NG³-BBS1 enabled the robust imaging of ciliary BBSome dynamics in live mammalian cells. To measure ciliary exit of BBSome, we performed a fluorescence loss after photobleaching (FLAP) assay (Figure 5A). Unlike the repeated photobleaching in conventional Fluorescence Loss In Photobleaching assays, in FLAP the cytoplasm is photobleached only during a 30 s time interval between



Figure 6. IFT27 Does Not Affect the Entry of BBSome into Cilia

(A) FRAP assay. Ciliary NG³-BBS1 was photobleached by intense illumination with a 488 nm laser. The subsequent ciliary NG³-BBS1 fluorescence recovery from cytoplasmic pools was monitored by live imaging.

(B) Time series montage representing the dynamic recovery of ciliary NG³-BBS1 fluorescence in FRAP assay. Ciliary tip and base are marked. Scale bar, 5 μm. (C and D) Recovery of NG³-BBS1 ciliary fluorescence in FRAP assays for control siRNA- and IFT27 siRNA-treated cells.

(C) The fluorescent intensity was measured for each individual cilia, and plotted as a smoothed line for siControl (left, blue lines) and silFT27 (right, red lines) treated cells. The averaged fluorescence values at each time points are shown in the plot (blue dots for siControl and red dots for silFT27). Photobleaching was measured and corrected (see *Experimental Procedures*).

(D) Single exponential fit to the averaged fluorescence recovery for siControl (blue dashed line) or siIFT27 (red dashed line).

the first and second time point of the movie (Figure 5B and Movie S1). Typically, 80% of the cytoplasmic NG³-BBS1 fluorescence was depleted in FLAP assays. Given that the volume of a cilium (<0.5 femtoliter) represents less than 0.05% of the total volume of the cell (Nachury, 2014), the contribution of reentry of fluorescent BBSome that exited cilia is negligible. Therefore, the fluorescent signal decay in cilia is a direct measure of the exit rate of BBSome (Figures 5B and 5C). In IFT27 siRNA-treated cells, the half-life of ciliary BBSome is $t_{1/2}$ = 349 s, which is significantly longer than in the control cells where $t_{1/2}$ = 136 s (Figures 5D and 5E). Thus, in IFT27 siRNA-treated cells, a given amount of BBSome would take at least twice as much time to exit from cilia, as compared to control siRNA-treated cells. These data strongly suggest that IFT27 promotes the export of BBSome out of cilia and place the likely site of IFT27 activity within cilia.

IFT27 Does Not Affect Ciliary Entry of the BBSome

Defective ciliary export need not be the sole factor contributing to the aberrant ciliary accumulation of BBSome in IFT27-deficient cells. To determine if the ciliary entry rate of BBSome was also affected in IFT27-deficient cells, we performed fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis of ciliary NG³-BBS1 (Figure 6A). After photobleaching ciliary NG³-BBS1, the subsequent signal recovery rate in cilia was monitored to obtain the ciliary entry rate of BBSome (Figure 6B and Movie S2). The averaged curves of fluorescence recovery in control and IFT27-deficient cilia appeared nearly identical (Figures 6C and 6D).

The BBSome has been previously reported to colocalize and comove with IFT trains within cilia in Chlamydomonas (Lechtreck et al., 2009), and the BBSome is transported within nematode cilia at IFT rates (Ou et al., 2005a). Similarly, we saw overlapping ciliary tracks of RFP-IFT88 and NG³-BBS1, indicative of BBSome comovement with IFT (Figures 6E and S5A). The anterograde and retrograde velocities of IFT88 foci are approximately 0.5 µm/s (Ye et al., 2013), indicating that the minimum time required for a newly entered BBSome/IFT train to travel along the length of a 5 μ m cilia and return to the base would be 20 s, without taking into account the time required for IFT complex remodeling at the ciliary tip. To minimize the contribution of ciliary exit of BBSome on the signal recovery in our FRAP assays, we plotted the initial recovery of BBSome fluorescence for the first 30 s (Figure 6F), and the entry rate of BBSome (Figure 6G) was calculated based on the linear fit of the initial recovery. Consistent with our initial observation, knockdown of IFT27 does not significantly affect the rate of BBSome entry into cilia.

While the abundance of BBSome was nearly three times greater in the cilia of IFT27-depleted cells compared to control

cells (Figure 4H), the amount of ciliary IFT-B (assessed by IFT88 staining) appeared unchanged (Figures 1C and S1C). To determine if the BBSome that hyperaccumulates in IFT27deficient cilia was still associated with IFT trains, we imaged IFT88 and NG³-BBS1 by structured illumination microscopy (SIM). The axial resolution of less than 100 nm provided by SIM allowed us to precisely distinguish between IFT-associated BBSome foci and IFT-free BBSome foci. In control cilia, IFT88 and NG³-BBS1 foci colocalized and were found in a series of puncta along the cilium length, whereas in IFT27-deficient cilia, the majority of BBSome puncta did not overlap with IFT88 staining (Figures 6H and S5B). In conclusion, these data indicate that the ciliary accumulation of ARL6 and BBSome in IFT27-deficient cells results from defective ciliary export rather than increased ciliary entry. Together with our biochemical data demonstrating that IFT27 recognizes and stabilizes the nucleotide-free form of ARL6, the live-imaging data support a model where IFT27 regulates ARL6 within cilia to drive the export of BBSome and associated cargoes from cilia (Figure 7A).

Ciliary Removal of the G Protein-Coupled Receptor GPR161 Requires IFT27 and ARL6

Since the BBSome participates in the trafficking of several GPCRs (Berbari et al., 2008; Domire et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2010) and Hedgehog intermediates (Zhang et al., 2011, 2012), we imaged the behavior of the putative BBSome cargo and Hedgehog signaling intermediate GPR161 as a final test of our model. GPR161 undergoes regulated exit from cilia upon activation of the Hedgehog pathway by either natural ligand or the Smoothened agonist SAG (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2013). However, in $Arl6^{-/-}$ IMCD3 cells generated by genome engineering (Figure 7B), addition of SAG failed to reduce the ciliary levels of GPR161 (Figure 7C). Even in the absence of SAG, the levels of GPR161 inside cilia were elevated in Arl6^{-/-} cells when compared to WT cells, consistent with a low level constitutive activation of the Hh pathway in our culture system. These results indicate that GPR161 relies on the ARL6/BBSome coat for regulated exit from cilia. Meanwhile, Ift27-/- cells displayed constitutively levels of ciliary GPR161 higher than WT and $Arl6^{-/-}$ cells, further supporting our model that IFT27 promotes ciliary exit of ARL6, BBSome, and associated cargo. The greater penetrance of the GPR161 ciliary accumulation phenotype in *Ift*27^{-/-} cells suggests that the BBSome may function in both ciliary entry and exit of GPR161: without ARL6, both entry and exit are reduced (but exit more than entry), while IFT27 only regulates ARL6 within cilia and hence only affects the exit of GPR161 out of cilia.

⁽E) Simultaneous imaging of tagRFP.T-IFT88 (left) and NG³-BBS1 (right) movements in cilia of IMCD3 cells. The fluorescent foci tracks for IFT88 (red) and NG³-BBS1 (green) are indicated in the bottom panels. Scale bar, 2 µm.

⁽F and G) Initial velocities for BBSome entry into cilia of Control siRNA and IFT27 siRNA- treated cells.

⁽F) Time points from the first 30 s of the siControl and silFT27 experiments in (C) were averaged and plotted.

⁽G) The slopes from the curves in (F), corresponding to the initial velocities of BBSome entry into cilia, were plotted in a bar graph. There was no significant difference for the velocities of BBSome entry (unpaired t test, p = 0.79). For (F) and (G), error bars represent ±SD.

⁽H) Ciliated IMCD3 cells expressing NG³-BBS1 were immunostained for IFT88 and imaged by SIM on an OMX Blaze (API). Colocalization between IFT88 (red) and NG³-BBS1 (green) is displayed on a line profile (bottom). While each foci of BBS1 precisely colocalizes with an IFT88 spot in cilia of Control siRNA-treated cells, the majority of BBS1 foci are free of IFT88 (arrowheads) in cilia of IFT27 siRNA-treated cells (bottom right). Scale bar, 1 µm. See also Figure S5.



Figure 7. IFT27 and ARL6 Are Required for Ciliary Exit of GPR161

(A) A model for the turnaround point. GTP hydrolysis on ARL6 leads to disassembly of BBSome coats at the tip. IFT-B particles release IFT25/IFT27 by an unknown mechanism upon IFT train disassembly at the tip. Free IFT27 then participates in GDP to GTP exchange on ARL6 and assembly of a BBSome coat laden with cargoes and attached to a retrograde IFT train ensues.

(B) Genome engineering of IMCD3 WT, $Arl6^{-/-}$, or $lft27^{-/-}$ cells. Knockout of the respective gene products are demonstrated by immunoblotting for ARL6 and IFT27. As a loading control, lysates were immunoblotted for Actin. The arrow indicates the ARL6 band, while the asterisk denotes a nonspecific band. (C) IMCD3 WT, $Arl6^{-/-}$, or $lft27^{-/-}$ cells treated with SAG or vehicle or untreated were stained for GPR161 (green), acetylated tubulin (red), and DNA (blue). Scale bar, 4 µm. Background-subtracted integrated ciliary fluorescence intensities were measured from 42 to 76 cilia in five to six microscopic fields for each condition and plotted in the bar chart (bottom). *p < 0.05, N.S.: p > 0.05, Error bars represent ±SEM.

DISCUSSION

The Functional Importance of the IFT27-ARL6 Interaction

The BBSome has been shown to undergo intraflagellar movement at the same rates as IFT particles in nematodes, Chlamydomonas, and human cells (Ou et al., 2005a; Nachury et al., 2007; Lechtreck et al., 2009), thus suggesting an interaction between IFT complexes and BBSome (Wei et al., 2012). The IFT27-ARL6 interaction adds a direct biochemical link between the BBSome and IFT subunits that dynamically dissociate from IFT-B. Furthermore, the finding that IFT27 specifically interacts with and stabilizes the nucleotide-free form of ARL6 suggests a regulatory role for IFT27 on ARL6. Based on our biochemical and cell biological results, the most parsimonious model views IFT27 as part of a GEF for ARL6, which triggers the formation of BBSome coats inside cilia and the trafficking of BBSome and associated cargoes out of cilia. Despite repeated attempts, we have thus far failed to detect robust ARL6GEF activity in IFT25/IFT27 preparations (Figure 3A; see Figure S3A for a summary of all conditions tested so far). We consider three possibilities: first, additional protein factors may be required to reconstitute the full ARL6GEF activity in vitro (although we did not find any factor associated with IFT27[T19N] besides ARL6 and IFT25); second, detection of ARL6GEF activity may necessitate specific experimental conditions such as membrane surfaces; third, the ARL6GEF activity may be autoinhibited within the IFT25/IFT27 complex. This last hypothesis is reminiscent of the potent autoinhibition found in cytohesin family ARFGEFs (Di-Nitto et al., 2007). Given that the nucleotide-empty chaperone assays suggest that it is IFT27 and not IFT25 that recognizes ARL6, it is conceivable that IFT25 inhibits the ARL6GEF activity of IFT27. Finally, we note that these three hypotheses are not mutually exclusive. In the cytohesin family, autoinhibition of ARFGEF activity is relieved upon binding to membranes (Donaldson and Jackson. 2011).

Another type of GTPase regulator is exemplified by MSS4/ DSS4. While MSS4/DSS4 was initially defined as a GEF for exocytic Rabs, the GEF activity of MSS4/DSS4 toward those Rabs was later found to be rather slow and MSS4/DSS4 was instead proposed to represent a chaperone for nucleotide-empty exocytic Rabs (Nuoffer et al., 1997). Yet, rigorous enzymological analyses demonstrate that MSS4/DSS4 does accelerate nucleotide exchange on exocytic Rabs to an extent similar to other RabGEFs (Itzen et al., 2006), and in vivo studies have provided further support for the Rab3GEF activity of MSS4/DSS4 (Coppola et al., 2002). Thus MSS4/DSS4 "nucleotide-empty chaperones" likely constitute a subclass of GEFs rather than a distinct biochemical activity. Finally, the idea that IFT27 functions to chaperone ARL6 against aggregation in the cell suggests that ARL6 will become unstable in the absence of IFT27, a prediction not supported by our experimental data (Figure 4G).

Where and How Does IFT27 Initiate Ciliary Export of ARL6 and the BBSome?

If IFT27 activates ARL6 within the cilium, where and how does this interaction take place? Since IFT trains are remodeled at the distal tip (lomini et al., 2001; Pedersen et al., 2005, 2006; Wei et al., 2012), we propose that this remodeling transiently releases IFT25/IFT27 from IFT-B, allowing IFT27 and ARL6 to interact at the distal tip of cilia. In our model (Figure 7A), remodeling of a BBSome/IFT train is initiated by hydrolysis of GTP on ARL6. The BBSome/ARL6-GDP coat is rapidly disassembled to release BBSome and ARL6-GDP from the ciliary membrane at the tip. Once a BBSome coat and its associated IFT train are disassembled, IFT-B free IFT27 (together with other factors) locally catalyzes the interconversion of ARL6-GDP into ARL6-GTP. Mirroring our proposed model for BBSome coat formation at the base of cilia (Jin et al., 2010), ARL6-GTP recruits the BBSome to membranes at the tip where membranous cargoes are captured. Since excess BBSome is not associated with IFT trains in the absence of IFT27 (Figures 6H and S5B), it is likely that BBSome coat assembly at the tip is a prerequisite for BBSome association with IFT trains and that the tip-assembled BBSome/IFT train is destined for retrograde transport and cargo removal from cilia.

In contrast to the observed association of the BBSome with only a subset of IFT trains in *Chlamydomonas* (Lechtreck et al., 2009), our superresolution imaging of fixed samples and live imaging of IFT and BBSome comovement strongly suggests that all IFT trains are associated with BBSome in IMCD3 cells. While species dissimilarity may account for this difference, it is also conceivable that the use of a much brighter fluorescent protein fusion with BBS1 enabled us to detect very low levels of BBSome associated with IFT trains.

How Does IFT27 Cycle On and Off the Intraflagellar Transport-B Complex?

Given millimolar GTP concentrations in the cell (Woodland and Pestell, 1972) and micromolar affinity of IFT25/IFT27 for guanine nucleotides (Bhogaraju et al., 2011), IFT27 is likely bound to nucleotides within the cell suggesting that the in vivo activity of IFT27 might be regulated by its nucleotide state. Our data suggest that hydrolysis of GTP on IFT27 reduces the affinity of IFT25/IFT27 for the rest of the IFT-B complex, leading to dynamic release of IFT25/IFT27-GDP from IFT-B at the tip (Figure 1). IFT25/IFT27-GDP may then associate with another complex at the tip to generate the ciliary ARL6GEF.

We note that in *Trypanosoma brucei*, IFT27[T19N] is unable to enter cilia and bind IFT-B even after depletion of endogenous IFT27 (Huet et al., 2014), thus suggesting species-specific differences in the affinity of IFT27-GDP for the rest of the IFT-B complex.

Implications for the Molecular Basis of Bardet-Biedl Syndrome

The recent discovery that *IFT27* is mutated in a BBS family [*BBS19*] has implicated it as a potential regulator of BBSome function (Aldahmesh et al., 2014). Here, we have shown that IFT27 controls ciliary exit of the BBSome through its interaction with ARL6. Hence, in addition to a failure to transport signaling receptors into cilia, the multitude of symptoms seen in BBS patients is also likely a result of defective ciliary export and aberrant ciliary accumulation of signaling receptors. These results are clinically relevant and future work promises to unravel the molecular mechanisms linking BBSome dysfunction and disease.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Antibodies and Reagents

Antibodies against the following proteins were used: actin (Sigma, #A2066), IFT88 (Proteintech), IFT57 (Proteintech), cMyc (9E10), GST (Life Technologies, #A-5800), GFP (Nachury et al., 2007), acetylated tubulin (6-11B-1), ARL6 (Jin et al., 2010), BBS5 (Proteintech), BBS4 (Nachury et al., 2007), and IFT27 (Keady et al., 2012). Chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich except for GTP γ S (Roche) and [³H]GDP/[³⁵S]GTP γ S (Perkin-Elmer).

Cell Culture and Transfections

Stable IMCD3 FIp-In cell lines were generated as described (Ye et al., 2013). To generate pEF5-FRT-NG³-BBS1, the cDNA sequence of mNeonGreen (NG) was assembled by gene synthesis and inserted in three tandem repeats into pEF5-FRT-DEST vector before Gateway-mediated recombination of mBBS1. Transient transfections used XtremeGENE9 (Roche). For IFT27 knockdown, IMCD3 cells were transfected with 30 nM of either IFT27 siRNA (QIAGEN, #SI02743860) or AllStars Negative Control siRNA (QIAGEN, #SI03650318) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies). Ciliation was induced by shifting cells from 10% to 0.2% serum 24 hr after transfection, and cells were fixed 48 to 72 hr posttransfection. *Ift27^{-/-}* MEFs were from Gregory Pazour (University of Massachusetts [U. Mass]). *Arl6^{-/-}* MEFs were derived from E13.5 *Arl6* knockout mice (Zhang et al., 2011) according to standard protocols. All cells were fixed and stained as described (Breslow et al., 2013).

Localization and Tandem Affinity Purifications and Mass Spectrometry

Purification of LAP-tagged protein complexes was performed as previously described (Nachury, 2008) with modifications (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

Recombinant Protein Expression and Purification

Recombinant proteins were expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) pLysS. ARL6 and SAR1A were expressed from derivatives of pGEX6P with HRV3C-cleavable GST tags. IFT25 and IFT27 were expressed from derivatives of pRSFDuet1 with TEV-cleavable His and HRV3C-cleavable GST tags, respectively. ARL6 and SAR1A were purified on Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) resin and eluted by HRV3C cleavage. IFT25/IFT27 was typically purified with Glutathione Sepharose 4B followed by Ni-NTA (Thermo Scientific). After affinity purification, recombinant proteins were subjected to sizeexclusion chromatography. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for other proteins.

GST-Capture Assay

100 µg ARL6 or SAR1A (control) was mixed with 100 µg IFT25/IFT27-GST or GST (control) in 250 to 290 µl HEPES buffered saline buffer (50 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 150 mM NaCl, and 1 mM MgCl₂) containing either 1 mM GDP, 1 mM GTP γ S, 20 µM EDTA, or 1 mM GDP/2 mM AlCl₃/20 mM NaF. Reactions were incubated at 30°C for 1 hr before binding at 4°C for 90 min to 10 µl Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads. Proteins were eluted in lauryl dodecyl sulfate (LDS) sample buffer.

Nucleotide Exchange Assay

Binding of radiolabeled nucleotides to ARL6 was measured by filter assays (Northup et al., 1982) with modifications (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures).

Turbidity Assay

20 μ M ARL6 was mixed on ice with 20 μ M EDTA and 10 to 200 μ M of IFT25/ IFT27 variants in a total reaction volume of 300 μ l and then transferred into a preheated quartz cuvette (Bio-Rad, #170-2504). EDTA-induced ARL6 precipitation at 37°C was followed at 350 nm with a Thermo Scientific Nanodrop Spectrophotometer 2000c machine taking readings every 5 s for 20 min.

Live-Cell Imaging and Photokinetic Assays

Cells were seeded on 25 mm coverslips and transfected with siRNA as above. Imaging was conducted in Phenol red-free imaging media and on a DeltaVision

For the FLAP assay, cytoplasmic NG^3 -BBS1 was photobleached with a 488 nm laser and cilia were observed by widefield imaging with a PlanApo 60×/1.40 NA Oil lens (Olympus). In FLAP, the cytoplasm is photobleached in multiple areas between the first and second time point of acquisition. The time interval for the first two time points was 30 s. The time interval was then doubled for the next two time points and continued to double every two time points thereafter. Excluding the initial 30 s time interval for photobleaching, the total time for the FLAP assay was 1,860 s. The signal intensities from cilia, cytoplasm_photobleached, cytoplasm_nonphotobleached, and background were measured with ImageJ (NIH).

For the FRAP assay, ciliary NG³-BBS1 was photobleached by the 488 nm laser and cilia were observed by TIRF with a PlanApo 60×/1.49 NA TIRF Oil lens (Olympus). Images were acquired every 5 s after photobleaching. The signal intensities were measured as for FLAP. Analysis of photokinetic data is detailed in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

Structured Illumination Microscopy

siRNA-treated IMCD3-[NG³-BBS1] cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at 4°C and immunostained for IFT88. 3D-SIM images were acquired by a DeltaVision OMX imaging system equipped with three EMCCD cameras (Andor Technology) and a UPIanSApo 100×/1.4 NA Oil lens (Olympus). There were 12 to 20 Z sections that were acquired for each cilium with a step size of 125 nm. Structured illuminated images for NG³-BBS1 and IFT88 were reconstructed and shift-corrected with SoftWoRx 6.0 (DeltaVision).

Genome Editing of IMCD3 Cells

 $Ar/6^{-/-}$ and $Ift27^{-/-}$ IMCD3 cell lines were generated using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9-based genome editing method previously described (Cong et al., 2013) and pX330 (Addgene 42230). The guide sequences used were AAGCCGCGCATATGGGCTTGC for *Ar/6* and GGAAATGGGTCCCGTCGCTG for *Ift27*. Targeting CRISPR plasmids were transfected into IMCD3 cells with Lipofectamine 2000, individual clones isolated by limited dilution, and *Ar/6^{-/-* and *Ift27^{-/-* clones identified by immunoblotting for ARL6 and IFT27.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, five figures, and two movies and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.09.004.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G.M.L., F.Y., and M.V.N. wrote the paper with input from all coauthors. G.M.L. conducted all biochemical experiments, nucleotide exchange assays, and turbidity assays, as well as the fixed imaging of BBSome, ARL6, and IFT. M.A. and J.P.M. identified proteins by mass spectrometry under the supervision of S.P.G. F.Y. conducted the photokinetics experiments (FRAP and FLAP), the live imaging and superresolution imaging of IFT and BBSome trains, and the quantitative analysis of GPR161 ciliary levels. A.R.N. assisted with the analysis of photokinetics experiments. J.S.L. performed the imaging of GPR161. J.S.L. and A.R.N. generated CRISPR cell lines. D.K.B. performed the affinity purifications of IFT88 that were analyzed by mass spectrometry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Greg Pazour (U. Mass) for gift of the *Ift27^{-/-}* MEFs and IFT27 antibody, Saikat Mukhopadhyay (UT Southwestern) for GPR161 antibody, Hua Jin for generating the LAP-IFT88 IMCD3 cell line and deriving the *Arl6^{-/-}* MEFs, Val Sheffield (University of Iowa) for the gift of *Arl6^{-/-}* mice, Wei Guo (University of Pennsylvania) for a Sec8 construct, Brunella Franco (Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italy) for an Ofd1 construct, Or Gozani (Stanford University [Stanford U.]) for a Plcô construct, Suzanne Pfeffer (Stanford U.) for careful reading of the manuscript, and the Nachury laboratory for helpful comments and discussions. This work was supported by a grant to M.V.N. from the NIH/National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R01GM089933). G.M.L. was supported by a National Science Scholarship from the Agency for Science, Technology, and Research, Singapore. A.R.N. and D.K.B. were supported by Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation fellowships DRG 2160-13 and DRG 2087-11.

Received: July 10, 2014 Revised: August 26, 2014 Accepted: September 11, 2014 Published: October 30, 2014

REFERENCES

Aldahmesh, M.A., Li, Y., Alhashem, A., Anazi, S., Alkuraya, H., Hashem, M., Awaji, A.A., Sogaty, S., Alkharashi, A., Alzahrani, S., et al. (2014). IFT27, encoding a small GTPase component of IFT particles, is mutated in a consanguineous family with Bardet-Biedl syndrome. Hum. Mol. Genet. *23*, 3307–3315.

Berbari, N.F., Lewis, J.S., Bishop, G.A., Askwith, C.C., and Mykytyn, K. (2008). Bardet-Biedl syndrome proteins are required for the localization of G proteincoupled receptors to primary cilia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 4242–4246.

Bhogaraju, S., Taschner, M., Morawetz, M., Basquin, C., and Lorentzen, E. (2011). Crystal structure of the intraflagellar transport complex 25/27. EMBO J. *30*, 1907–1918.

Breslow, D.K., Koslover, E.F., Seydel, F., Spakowitz, A.J., and Nachury, M.V. (2013). An in vitro assay for entry into cilia reveals unique properties of the soluble diffusion barrier. J. Cell Biol. *203*, 129–147.

Cheeseman, I.M., and Desai, A. (2005). A combined approach for the localization and tandem affinity purification of protein complexes from metazoans. Sci. STKE 2005, pl1.

Cherfils, J., and Zeghouf, M. (2013). Regulation of small GTPases by GEFs, GAPs, and GDIs. Physiol. Rev. 93, 269–309.

Cole, D.G., Diener, D.R., Himelblau, A.L., Beech, P.L., Fuster, J.C., and Rosenbaum, J.L. (1998). Chlamydomonas kinesin-II-dependent intraflagellar transport (IFT): IFT particles contain proteins required for ciliary assembly in Caenorhabditis elegans sensory neurons. J. Cell Biol. *141*, 993–1008.

Cong, L., Ran, F.A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P.D., Wu, X., Jiang, W., Marraffini, L.A., and Zhang, F. (2013). Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339, 819–823.

Coppola, T., Perret-Menoud, V., Gattesco, S., Magnin, S., Pombo, I., Blank, U., and Regazzi, R. (2002). The death domain of Rab3 guanine nucleotide exchange protein in GDP/GTP exchange activity in living cells. Biochem. J. *362*, 273–279.

DiNitto, J.P., Delprato, A., Gabe Lee, M.-T., Cronin, T.C., Huang, S., Guilherme, A., Czech, M.P., and Lambright, D.G. (2007). Structural basis and mechanism of autoregulation in 3-phosphoinositide-dependent Grp1 family Arf GTPase exchange factors. Mol. Cell *28*, 569–583.

Domire, J.S., Green, J.A., Lee, K.G., Johnson, A.D., Askwith, C.C., and Mykytyn, K. (2011). Dopamine receptor 1 localizes to neuronal cilia in a dynamic process that requires the Bardet-Biedl syndrome proteins. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. *68*, 2951–2960.

Donaldson, J.G., and Jackson, C.L. (2011). ARF family G proteins and their regulators: roles in membrane transport, development and disease. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *12*, 362–375.

Fliegauf, M., Benzing, T., and Omran, H. (2007). When cilia go bad: cilia defects and ciliopathies. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *8*, 880–893.

Goody, R.S., and Hofmann-Goody, W. (2002). Exchange factors, effectors, GAPs and motor proteins: common thermodynamic and kinetic principles for different functions. Eur. Biophys. J. 31, 268–274.

Huet, D., Blisnick, T., Perrot, S., and Bastin, P. (2014). The GTPase IFT27 is involved in both anterograde and retrograde intraflagellar transport. eLife 3, e02419.

lomini, C., Babaev-Khaimov, V., Sassaroli, M., and Piperno, G. (2001). Protein particles in Chlamydomonas flagella undergo a transport cycle consisting of four phases. J. Cell Biol. *153*, 13–24.

Itzen, A., Pylypenko, O., Goody, R.S., Alexandrov, K., and Rak, A. (2006). Nucleotide exchange via local protein unfolding—structure of Rab8 in complex with MSS4. EMBO J. *25*, 1445–1455.

Jin, H., White, S.R., Shida, T., Schulz, S., Aguiar, M., Gygi, S.P., Bazan, J.F., and Nachury, M.V. (2010). The conserved Bardet-Biedl syndrome proteins assemble a coat that traffics membrane proteins to cilia. Cell *141*, 1208–1219.

Keady, B.T., Samtani, R., Tobita, K., Tsuchya, M., San Agustin, J.T., Follit, J.A., Jonassen, J.A., Subramanian, R., Lo, C.W., and Pazour, G.J. (2012). IFT25 links the signal-dependent movement of Hedgehog components to intraflagellar transport. Dev. Cell *22*, 940–951.

Lechtreck, K.-F., Johnson, E.C., Sakai, T., Cochran, D., Ballif, B.A., Rush, J., Pazour, G.J., Ikebe, M., and Witman, G.B. (2009). The Chlamydomonas reinhardtii BBSome is an IFT cargo required for export of specific signaling proteins from flagella. J. Cell Biol. *187*, 1117–1132.

Loktev, A.V., Zhang, Q., Beck, J.S., Searby, C.C., Scheetz, T.E., Bazan, J.F., Slusarski, D.C., Sheffield, V.C., Jackson, P.K., and Nachury, M.V. (2008). A BBSome subunit links ciliogenesis, microtubule stability, and acetylation. Dev. Cell *15*, 854–865.

Mukhopadhyay, S., Wen, X., Ratti, N., Loktev, A., Rangell, L., Scales, S.J., and Jackson, P.K. (2013). The ciliary G-protein-coupled receptor Gpr161 negatively regulates the Sonic hedgehog pathway via cAMP signaling. Cell *152*, 210–223.

Nachury, M.V., Loktev, A.V., Zhang, Q., Westlake, C.J., Peränen, J., Merdes, A., Slusarski, D.C., Scheller, R.H., Bazan, J.F., Sheffield, V.C., and Jackson, P.K. (2007). A core complex of BBS proteins cooperates with the GTPase Rab8 to promote ciliary membrane biogenesis. Cell *129*, 1201–1213.

Nachury, M.V. (2008). Tandem affinity purification of the BBSome, a critical regulator of Rab8 in ciliogenesis. Methods Enzymol. *439*, 501–513.

Nachury, M.V., Seeley, E.S., and Jin, H. (2010). Trafficking to the ciliary membrane: how to get across the periciliary diffusion barrier? Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *26*, 59–87.

Nachury, M.V. (2014). How do cilia organize signalling cascades? Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 369, 20130465.

Northup, J.K., Smigel, M.D., and Gilman, A.G. (1982). The guanine nucleotide activating site of the regulatory component of adenylate cyclase. Identification by ligand binding. J. Biol. Chem. 257, 11416–11423.

Nuoffer, C., Wu, S.K., Dascher, C., and Balch, W.E. (1997). Mss4 does not function as an exchange factor for Rab in endoplasmic reticulum to Golgi transport. Mol. Biol. Cell *8*, 1305–1316.

Ou, G., Blacque, O.E., Snow, J.J., Leroux, M.R., and Scholey, J.M. (2005a). Functional coordination of intraflagellar transport motors. Nature *436*, 583–587.

Ou, G., Qin, H., Rosenbaum, J.L., and Scholey, J.M. (2005b). The PKD protein gilin undergoes intraflagellar transport. Curr. Biol. *15*, R410–R411.

Pedersen, L.B., Miller, M.S., Geimer, S., Leitch, J.M., Rosenbaum, J.L., and Cole, D.G. (2005). Chlamydomonas IFT172 is encoded by FLA11, interacts with CrEB1, and regulates IFT at the flagellar tip. Curr. Biol. *15*, 262–266.

Pedersen, L.B., Geimer, S., and Rosenbaum, J.L. (2006). Dissecting the molecular mechanisms of intraflagellar transport in chlamydomonas. Curr. Biol. *16*, 450–459.

Piperno, G., and Mead, K. (1997). Transport of a novel complex in the cytoplasmic matrix of Chlamydomonas flagella. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *94*, 4457–4462.

Qin, H., Wang, Z., Diener, D., and Rosenbaum, J. (2007). Intraflagellar transport protein 27 is a small G protein involved in cell-cycle control. Curr. Biol. *17*, 193–202.

Rosenbaum, J.L., and Witman, G.B. (2002). Intraflagellar transport. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 3, 813–825.

Scheidecker, S., Etard, C., Pierce, N.W., Geoffroy, V., Schaefer, E., Muller, J., Chennen, K., Flori, E., Pelletier, V., Poch, O., et al. (2014). Exome sequencing of Bardet-Biedl syndrome patient identifies a null mutation in the BBSome subunit BBIP1 (BBS18). J. Med. Genet. *51*, 132–136.

Seo, S., Zhang, Q., Bugge, K., Breslow, D.K., Searby, C.C., Nachury, M.V., and Sheffield, V.C. (2011). A novel protein LZTFL1 regulates ciliary trafficking of the BBSome and Smoothened. PLoS Genet. 7, e1002358.

Shaner, N.C., Lambert, G.G., Chammas, A., Ni, Y., Cranfill, P.J., Baird, M.A., Sell, B.R., Allen, J.R., Day, R.N., Israelsson, M., et al. (2013). A bright monomeric green fluorescent protein derived from Branchiostoma lanceolatum. Nat. Methods *10*, 407–409.

Sung, C.-H., and Leroux, M.R. (2013). The roles of evolutionarily conserved functional modules in cilia-related trafficking. Nat. Cell Biol. 15, 1387–1397.

Tucker, J., Sczakiel, G., Feuerstein, J., John, J., Goody, R.S., and Wittinghofer, A. (1986). Expression of p21 proteins in Escherichia coli and stereochemistry of the nucleotide-binding site. EMBO J. *5*, 1351–1358.

Vetter, I.R., and Wittinghofer, A. (2001). The guanine nucleotide-binding switch in three dimensions. Science *294*, 1299–1304.

Wang, Z., Fan, Z.-C., Williamson, S.M., and Qin, H. (2009). Intraflagellar transport (IFT) protein IFT25 is a phosphoprotein component of IFT complex B and physically interacts with IFT27 in Chlamydomonas. PLoS ONE *4*, e5384.

Wei, Q., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, Q., Ling, K., and Hu, J. (2012). The BBSome controls IFT assembly and turnaround in cilia. Nat. Cell Biol. *14*, 950–957.

Woodland, H.R., and Pestell, R.Q. (1972). Determination of the nucleoside triphosphate contents of eggs and oocytes of Xenopus laevis. Biochem. J. *127*, 597–605.

Wren, K.N., Craft, J.M., Tritschler, D., Schauer, A., Patel, D.K., Smith, E.F., Porter, M.E., Kner, P., and Lechtreck, K.F. (2013). A differential cargo-loading model of ciliary length regulation by IFT. Curr. Biol. *23*, 2463–2471.

Ye, F., Breslow, D.K., Koslover, E.F., Spakowitz, A.J., Nelson, W.J., and Nachury, M.V. (2013). Single molecule imaging reveals a major role for diffusion in the exploration of ciliary space by signaling receptors. eLife 2, e00654.

Zhang, Q., Nishimura, D., Seo, S., Vogel, T., Morgan, D.A., Searby, C., Bugge, K., Stone, E.M., Rahmouni, K., and Sheffield, V.C. (2011). Bardet-Biedl syndrome 3 (Bbs3) knockout mouse model reveals common BBS-associated phenotypes and Bbs3 unique phenotypes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 20678–20683.

Zhang, Q., Seo, S., Bugge, K., Stone, E.M., and Sheffield, V.C. (2012). BBS proteins interact genetically with the IFT pathway to influence SHH-related phenotypes. Hum. Mol. Genet. *21*, 1945–1953.