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ASSESSING THE EQUIVALENCE OF ELECTRONIC AND PAPER DATA FOR PATIENTS WITH HIP AND/OR KNEE OA.

A patient-completed questionnaire is feasible, captures data on health care use that is more cost-effective than one-third of the cost of accessing the administrative databases. We used Bland-Altman comparisons of agreement to assess the reporting methods for systematic biases in the recording of visit quantity and cost of health services. We recruited 50 participants, mean ± SD age 70.0 ± 7.9 years, 58% female, with primary complaints of knee (62%) or hip OA. Agreement between the two methods was fair for specialist (k = 0.24 to 0.36) and general practitioners (GP) visits (k = 0.38), and moderate to substantial for the majority of medications reported (k = 0.41-0.71). Participants accurately reported number of visits and medications used but were not accurate when reporting out-of-pocket costs for GP services. Costs related to knee OA in the questionnaire were in agreement with database-derived costs when considering societal costs. The cost of the questionnaire-based method was less than one-third of the cost of accessing the administrative databases. CONCLUSIONS: A patient-completed questionnaire is feasible, captures data on health care use that are in agreement with administrative databases, and can be used to capture societal costs for patients with hip and/or knee OA.
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OBJECTIVES: Estimating health care costs is an essential step in the economic evaluation of osteoarthritis-related treatments. We investigated the extent of agreement between a questionnaire and administrative records for capturing these costs for patients with osteoarthritis (OA). METHODS: A questionnaire (Q) and an administrative database (D) were used to complete a questionnaire about their health care use over three months. We gathered equivalent data from four administrative databases. Using the kappa statistic (κ) we assessed the extent of agreement between the methods for dichotomous (yes/no) reports of health service use. We used Bland-Altman analysis to assess the reporting methods for systematic biases in the recording of visit quantity and costs. RESULTS: We recruited 50 participants, mean ± SD age 70.0 ± 7.9 years, 58% female, with primary complaints of knee (62%) or hip OA. Agreement between the two methods was fair for specialist (k = 0.24 to 0.36) and general practitioners (GP) visits (k = 0.38), and moderate to substantial for the majority of medications reported (k = 0.41-0.71). Participants accurately reported number of visits and medications used but were not accurate when reporting out-of-pocket costs for GP services. Costs related to knee OA in the questionnaire were in agreement with database-derived costs when considering societal costs. The cost of the questionnaire-based method was less than one-third of the cost of accessing the administrative databases. CONCLUSIONS: A patient-completed questionnaire is feasible, captures data on health care use that are in agreement with administrative databases, and can be used to capture societal costs for patients with hip and/or knee OA.
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OBJECTIVES: Electronic methods (ePRO, E) are increasingly being used for patient diaries and questionnaires. Where paper (P) instruments are migrated to E it is necessary to compare the original to the new version to determine if the different modalities are equivalent. We have carried out an equivalence study of a number of instruments including the EQ-5D in rheumatoid arthritis. METHODS: A total of 43 patients (31 female) aged 32–81 years took part in a single session during which they completed P and E versions of the EQ-5D. ICC values met the a priori threshold of 0.75 for “excellent” agreement, and were in general agreement for most of the instruments. CONCLUSIONS: The low magnitude of the effect sizes does not suggest that any significant differences are occurring between modes. ICC values met the prior threshold of 0.75 for “excellent” agreement, and were in general agreement with paper or electronic reliability for this scale. This study supports the equivalence of an electronic method of completing the EQ-5D instrument compared to the original paper version.
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OBJECTIVES: Evaluate the Injection Site Reaction Questionnaire (ISQR) to measure injection site reactions (ISR) from anti-TNF therapy for Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA).

METHODS: Patients ≥18 years who received subcutaneous etanercept or adalimumab from 2007–2009 were identified by their rheumatologist and invited to complete the ISQR at an academic practice. The ISQR included an ISR symptom battery (redness, bruising, hot to touch, pain, swelling, itching, stinging and/or burning) and health care utilization battery (call/visit the doctor, ER, medication use, over the counter treatments). The ISQR also captured patient demographics, missed work due to ISR, and changes in therapy due to ISR (postponements, schedule changes, discontinuations). A post hoc analysis was conducted to explore ISR severity using items in the severity battery (mild: 1–2 symptoms, moderate: ≥3.0 symptoms, and severe: ≥4.0 symptoms). Each severity group was then assessed for differences in ISR health care utilization (physician services and medication use).

RESULTS: Forty-one patients were recruited. All ISR characteristics in the ISQR and 30% of items for ISR management elicited a response. There were no responders for missed work due to ISR, and 6% of ISR change in therapy items received a response. In the post hoc analysis, one patient in the mild group used physician services compared to 1 (14%) in the moderate group and 0 in the severe group. CONCLUSIONS: The ISQR is the first tool available for assessing patient-reported outcomes of ISR and can be used to determine if any significant differences are occurring between modes. In the minority of patients who reported severe symptoms the utility battery was sensitive, the symptom battery can be applied to estimate ISR severity. Low responses to certain utilization and productivity items suggest that these items may need to be modified in future versions of the tool. The ISQR can be useful in analyses aimed at weighing the costs and outcomes of this medication class.
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OBJECTIVES: To determine preferences and marginal willingness to pay (MWTP) for osteoarthritis (OA) treatments, including complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) among a sample of Medicare beneficiaries 65+.

METHODS: A discrete choice conjoint analysis was conducted with 181 participants recruited from 4 senior centers and one internal medicine practice in Memphis, TN. Data were collected via computer survey, and analyzed using Sawtooth Software according to covariates of age, race, gender, income, education, disability status, and OA duration. Utility data and MWTP were derived from multinomial logit analysis. This study was conducted in accordance with ISPOR’s Checklist for Good Research Practices in Conjoint Analysis. RESULTS: Prescription pain (.35) and over-the-counter medications (.34) had the highest utility and physical therapy (~.72) had the lowest utility among conventional treatments. The most preferred CAM therapy was prayer/spiritual healing with a utility value of ~.74. The highest utility for combination therapy was prescription pain medication and acupuncture (~.42). The price attribute followed the expected trend as lower prices were associated with higher utility. This sample was willing to pay five dollars more for prayer/spiritual healing and was willing to pay nine dollars less for a combination of physical therapy and chiropractic than the referent (a combination of prescription OA medication and herbal/mineral supplements). CONCLUSIONS: This sample has significant preference for CAM in addition to conventional treatments. These data suggest that health care providers should involve patients in treatment decisions to optimize treatment acceptance and compliance. Although the data show that prayer/spiritual healing is a valued therapy, it is not the only treatment preferred. This result may reflect a desire for a more holistic view of health care. As options for CAM alone and in conjunction with conventional therapies become increasingly available, the relationship between preferences illustrated by this study and health outcomes is important to examine.
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OBJECTIVES: Both physical and acupuncture therapy are clinical choices available for low-back pain (LBP) patients. The study investigates under which condition LBP patients would prefer acupuncture therapy, if they suffer low back pain longer and current therapeutic effect is not satisfactory.

METHODS: 13 LBP patients participated in this study. Patients’ perception of efficacy and risk of therapies, previous experience, others’ recommendation (medical staff and friends), and hospital size are all considered as determinants of LBP patients’ clinical choice in the interview. Among them, medical staff played a key role, particularly when patients had limited knowledge of the efficacy of acupuncture therapy. Previous negative experience of the patients or family members also led them to choose physical therapy instead. Although medical expense and distance are regarded as important determinants in patients’ choice in literature, in this study it is interesting to say they are not as important as literature suggests. Some patients indicated that they would like to receive acupuncture therapy, if they suffer low back pain longer and current therapeutic effect is not satisfactory. PMS39

Each severity group was then assessed for differences in ISR health care utilization (physician services and medication use). Each severity group was then assessed for differences in ISR health care utilization (physician services and medication use).