Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Discrete Mathematics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

The number of irreducible polynomials of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q with given trace and constant terms

B. Omidi Koma, D. Panario*, Q. Wang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, ON, K1S 5B6, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 April 2009 Received in revised form 30 November 2009 Accepted 11 December 2009 Available online 31 December 2009

Keywords: Finite fields Irreducible polynomials Trace and constant terms

1. Introduction

ABSTRACT

We study the number $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ of irreducible polynomials of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q where the trace γ and the constant term c are given. Under certain conditions on n and q, we obtain bounds on the maximum of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ varying c and γ . We show with concrete examples how our results improve the previously known bounds. In addition, we improve upper and lower bounds of any $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ when n = a(q - 1) for a nonzero constant term c and a nonzero trace γ . As a byproduct, we give a simple and explicit formula for the number N(n, c, q) of irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q of degree n = q - 1 with a prescribed primitive constant term c.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Let $q = p^{\omega}$, where *p* is a prime. The problem of estimating the number of irreducible polynomials of degree *n* over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q with some prescribed coefficients has been extensively studied. Carlitz [1] and Kuz'min [8] gave the number of irreducible polynomials with the first coefficient prescribed and the first two coefficients prescribed, respectively; see [2] for a similar result over \mathbb{F}_2 , and [10] for more general results. Yucas and Mullen [13] and Fitzgerald and Yucas [6] considered the number of irreducible polynomials of degree *n* over \mathbb{F}_2 with the first three coefficients prescribed. Over any finite field \mathbb{F}_q , Yucas [12] gave the number of irreducible polynomials with a prescribed first or last coefficient. More recently, Kononen et al. [7] and Moisio [9] considered the number of irreducible polynomials with a fixed trace and norm. Their approach is based on exponential sums and provides explicit results for some particular cases different from ours. Our approach here is completely elementary and it is based on Yucas' work [12]. For an excellent survey paper (up to 2005) on polynomials (irreducible or primitive) with prescribed coefficients, see Cohen [4]. We do not treat here the case of primitive polynomials with prescribed coefficients; see [3–5].

We now give the format of the paper. In Section 2 we review the required background and fix the notation for this paper. The main results of this paper are given in Sections 3 and 4. Fix q and n; we study $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$, the number of irreducible polynomials of degree n over \mathbb{F}_q where the trace γ and the constant term c are given. We obtain bounds on the maximum of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ under certain conditions on q and n (Theorem 6). We show with concrete examples how our results improve the previous bounds. Our results are particularly better when the degree n is a multiple of q - 1. We treat this case in Section 4. We give a simple and explicit formula for the number N(n, c, q) of irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q of degree n = q - 1 with a prescribed primitive constant term c, and one of its simple upper bound for n = a(q - 1) with a > 1 (Theorem 9). Finally, we obtain the improved upper and lower bounds of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ with n = a(q - 1) and a nonzero trace γ and a nonzero constant term c (Theorems 13 and 14, respectively).

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: bomidi@math.carleton.ca (B. Omidi Koma), daniel@math.carleton.ca (D. Panario), wang@math.carleton.ca (Q. Wang).

⁰⁰¹²⁻³⁶⁵X/\$ – see front matter 0 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.12.006

2. Background and notation

The number of irreducible polynomials of degree *n* and trace γ over \mathbb{F}_q is denoted by $N_{\gamma}(n, q)$. For given *p* and *m*, we say that *m* is *pfree*, if $p \nmid m$. For $n = p^{\kappa} \psi$ where ψ is *pfree*, Corollary 2.7 of [12] proves that the number $N_{\gamma}(n, q)$, for $\gamma \neq 0$, is given by

$$N_{\gamma}(n,q) = \frac{1}{nq} \sum_{d|\psi} \mu(d) q^{\frac{n}{d}},\tag{1}$$

where μ represents the Mobius function defined by $\mu(1) = 1$; $\mu(d) = 0$, if $p^2 | d$ for some prime p; and $\mu(d) = (-1)^r$ if d is the product of r distinct primes.

If $n = p^{\kappa}\psi$ then using κ we introduce a variable ε as $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\kappa > 0$ and $\varepsilon = 0$ if $\kappa = 0$. For trace zero, Corollary 2.8 of [12] gives $N_0(n, q)$ as

$$N_0(n,q) = \frac{1}{nq} \sum_{d|\psi} \mu(d) q^{\frac{n}{d}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \sum_{d|\psi} \mu(d) q^{\frac{n}{dp}}.$$

We use N(n, c, q) for the number of irreducible polynomials of degree *n* and constant term *c* over \mathbb{F}_q . Let

$$D_n = \{r: r \mid q^n - 1, r \nmid q^m - 1 \text{ for } m < n\}$$

For each $r \in D_n$, let $r = m_r d_r$, where $d_r = \gcd\left(r, \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $m_r \mid q - 1$. Suppose that the order of the constant c is ρ . In [12] it is shown that the number N(n, c, q) can be found as

$$N(n, c, q) = \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_n \\ m_r = \rho}} \phi(r),$$

where ϕ denotes Euler's function. In this sum for each $r \in D_n$ the number m_r is fixed as $\rho = \operatorname{ord}(c)$. When both trace γ and a nonzero constant c are prescribed, Carlitz [1] obtained an asymptotic formula, as $n \to \infty$,

$$N_{\gamma}(n,c,q) = \frac{q^n - 1}{nq(q-1)} + O\left(q^{\frac{n}{2}}\right).$$
⁽²⁾

Using a bijection $f(x) \mapsto c^{-1}x^n f(\frac{1}{x})$, $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ equals the number of irreducible polynomials of degree n in the arithmetic progression $\{ax + c + g(x)x^2 \mid g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]\}$, where $a = -\gamma c^{-1}$. Applying a general asymptotic bound on the number of primes on an arithmetic progression, Moisio [9] pointed out the following improvement of Eq. (2), as $n \to \infty$,

$$N_{\gamma}(n,c,q) = \frac{q^{n-1}}{n(q-1)} + O\left(\frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n}\right).$$

For the estimation of the error term, Wan [11] established the following effective bound

$$\left|N_{\gamma}(n, c, q) - \frac{q^{n-1}}{n(q-1)}\right| \leq \frac{3}{n}q^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Recently, this bound was improved by Moisio [9] by considering two separate cases whether γ is zero or not. He obtained for nonzero γ ,

$$N_{\gamma}(n,c,q)-\frac{q^n-1}{nq(q-1)}\bigg|<\frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}},$$

and for zero trace

$$\left|N_0(n, c, q) - \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{n(q-1)}\right| < \frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

The focus of this paper is in the study of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$, where γ and c are given.

2.1. The structure of D_n

For a better understanding of $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$, where $1 \le \gamma \le q - 1$, we need to know the structure of the set $D_n = \{r: r \mid q^n - 1, r \nmid q^m - 1 \text{ for } m < n\}$. Let us assume that we have the prime factorization $q - 1 = p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k}$, such that p_1, \dots, p_k are distinct prime factors, and $g_i \ge 1$ for $1 \le i \le k$. Similarly, we let $q^n - 1 = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{e_t}$, where $e_i \ge g_i \ge 1$, for $1 \le i \le k$. Let $S_1 = \{1, \dots, k\}$, and $S_2 = \{k + 1, \dots, t\}$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. For each $r \mid q^n - 1$ where $r = m_r d_r$, with $d_r = \gcd\left(r, \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}\right)$ and $m_r \mid q - 1$, there exists a positive integer R such that $r = \frac{q^n - 1}{R}$, and $gcd(R, q - 1) = (q - 1)/m_r$.

Proof. Since $r \mid q^n - 1$, there exists *R* such that $r = (q^n - 1)/R$. Since $r = m_r d_r$ with $m_r \mid q - 1$ and $d_r = \gcd\left(r, \frac{q^n - 1}{q-1}\right)$, there exist integers *T* and *V*, such that $q - 1 = m_r T$, and $(q^n - 1)/(q - 1) = d_r V$. Therefore,

$$\gcd(R, q-1) = \gcd\left(\frac{q^n-1}{m_r d_r}, q-1\right)$$
$$= \frac{q-1}{m_r} \gcd\left(\frac{q^n-1}{d_r (q-1)}, m_r\right) = \frac{q-1}{m_r} \gcd(V, m_r).$$

Moreover $d_r = \gcd\left(r, \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}\right) = \gcd(m_r d_r, d_r V) = d_r \gcd(m_r, V)$. Hence, we get $\gcd(m_r, V) = 1$, and the result follows.

In terms of the gcd(R, q - 1) we can consider two cases:

Case 1: If $gcd(R, q - 1) = (q - 1)/m_r = 1$, then $m_r = q - 1$, and all the factors of R are from $q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \dots + q + 1$, and not from q - 1. Then $r = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t}$, where $0 \le f_i \le e_i$, for $i \in S_2$. Case 2: If gcd(R, q - 1) > 1, then $m_r < q - 1$ and there exist some common primes between R and q - 1. Then let r be given by $r = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \dots p_k^{f_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t}$ where $f_i \le e_i$ for all $i \in S_1 \cup S_2$, and let us assume that the factorization of $q^m - 1$ is

$$q^{m} - 1 = p_{1}^{h_{m,1}} \dots p_{k}^{h_{m,k}} p_{k+1}^{h_{m,k+1}} \dots p_{t}^{h_{m,t}} p_{t+1}^{h_{m,t+1}} \dots p_{l}^{h_{m,l}},$$
(3)

where $h_{m,i} \ge g_i$, for $i \in S_1$, and $h_{m,i} \ge 0$, for $i \in S_2$. Also for all i = t + 1, ..., l, we have $h_{m,i} \ge 1$. Now let us consider the structure of D_n . For the above r to be in D_n , r must not be a divisor of $q^m - 1$ for any $m \le n - 1$. To separate the two cases, we represent the elements of D_n by r and r' where $r = (q^n - 1)/R$, with gcd(R, q - 1) = 1, and $r' = (q^n - 1)/R'$ such that gcd(R', q - 1) > 1 respectively. Let $p_1^{f_1} \dots p_k^{f_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t}$ be any r or r' from the set D_n . Since rand r' are not divisors of $q^m - 1$, for $m \le n - 1$, we have the following conditions

1. $f_i \leq e_i$ for all $i \in S_1 \cup S_2$;

2.(a) $f_i = e_i$, for all $j \in S_1$, and $r = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t} \nmid q^m - 1$, for $m \le n - 1$; or

2.(b) There exist $\delta \in S_1$ such that $f_{\delta} < e_{\delta}$. Then since for all $m \leq n-1$ we have $p_1^{f_1} \dots p_k^{f_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t} \nmid q^m - 1$, by considering (3) as the factorization of $q^m - 1$, there exists $j \in S_1 \cup S_2$ such that $f_j > h_{m,j}$.

2.2. Fixed constant term with different traces

Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be a fixed nonzero constant. We study the number of irreducible polynomials of degree *n* and constant term *c* for different values of the trace coefficient.

Lemma 2. Let γ and δ be two nonzero traces. If *c* is a constant from \mathbb{F}_a^{\times} , then

$$N_{\gamma}(n, c, q) = N_{\delta}\left(n, c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^n, q\right).$$

Proof. Suppose γ and δ are two nonzero traces in \mathbb{F}_q , and let $P_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ denote the set of all irreducible polynomials of degree n, trace γ and constant term c over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q . We show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $P_{\gamma}(n, c, q)$ and $P_{\delta}\left(n, c(\frac{\delta}{\gamma})^n, q\right)$. For this we consider the mapping used in Lemma 2.1 of [12]. Namely, let the mapping $\varphi : P_{\gamma}(n, c, q) \to P_{\delta}\left(n, c(\frac{\delta}{\gamma})^n, q\right)$ be defined by

$$\varphi(f(\mathbf{x})) = \left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^n f\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\mathbf{x}\right).$$

It is straightforward to verify that ϕ is well defined and it is a bijection.

Table 1	
Distribution of polynomials of degree n over a finite field	$d \mathbb{F}_a$

Tr	Cons				
	<i>a</i> ₁		a_j	 a_{q-1}	Row total
a ₀ a ₁	<i>y</i> _{0,1} <i>x</i> _{1,1}	· · · ·	y _{0,j} x _{1,j}	 $y_{0,q-1} \\ x_{1,q-1}$	
:	:		÷	÷	:
a _i	<i>x</i> _{<i>i</i>, 1}		$x_{i,j}$	 $x_{i,q-1}$	$N_i(n, q)$
:	:		:	:	÷
a _{q-1} Column total	$x_{q-1,1}$ N(n, 1, q)	···· ···	$\sum_{k=1,j}^{x_{q-1,j}} N(n,j,q)$	 $X_{q-1,q-1}$ N(n, q-1, q)	

Let $\mathbb{F}_q = \{a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1, a_2, \dots, a_{q-1}\}$. Table 1 gives the number of irreducible polynomials of degree *n* with given trace and constant term.

Abusing notation, if $c = a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, for some $j \in \{1, 2, ..., q - 1\}$, then we denote N(n, c, q) by N(n, j, q). Also for $\gamma = a_i$, where $i \in \{0, 1, ..., q - 1\}$, we use $N_i(n, q)$ for $N_{\gamma}(n, q)$. Moreover $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q) = N_i(n, j, q)$, where $0 \le i, j \le q - 1$, and $j \ne 0$. For simplicity, we use notations $x_{i,j}$ for $N_i(n, j, q)$ where $1 \le i, j \le q - 1$, and $y_{0,j}$ for $N_0(n, j, q)$ where $1 \le j \le q - 1$.

For any *n*, we know that $c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^n = c'$ is a constant in \mathbb{F}_q . Clearly by Lemma 2, we have $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q) = N_{\delta}(n, c', q)$, which implies that for any nonzero traces $\gamma = a_i$ and $\delta = a_k$ the numbers on the row a_k are a permutation of the numbers on the row a_i , where $1 \le i, j \le q - 1$. If we consider any column which is related to a constant $c = a_j$, then we have an equation of the form

$$y_{0,j} + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} x_{i,j} = N(n,j,q).$$
(4)

Also in column a_j we know that some entries $x_{i,j}$ could be repeated. Let R_j be the set of indices i in the column a_j such that no $x_{i,j}$ is repeated. Clearly $R_j \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., q-1\}$, and if in the column a_j there is no repeated entry, then $R_j = \{1, 2, ..., q-1\}$. Let $A_{i,j}$ represent the number of times $x_{i,j}$ appears in the entries of column a_j . Then by Eq. (4), for each column a_j , we have

$$y_{0,j} + \sum_{i \in R_j} A_{i,j} x_{i,j} = N(n, j, q).$$

The last column of Table 1 gives the total number of polynomials in each row, and the last row gives the total number of polynomials in each column. By Eq. (1) we have

$$N(n,q) = N_0(n,q) + \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} N_i(n,q) = N_0(n,q) + (q-1)N_1(n,q)$$

Next we study $x_{i,j}$, $y_{0,j}$, and N(n, j, q).

3. Our bounds for $N_{\nu}(n, c, q)$

Let $\gamma = a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and $c = a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be any given elements, where $0 \le i \le q - 1$, and $1 \le j \le q - 1$. In Theorem 5.1 of [11], bounds for the number $x_{i,j}$ are given as

$$\left|x_{i,j} - \frac{q^{n-1}}{n(q-1)}\right| \le \frac{3}{n}q^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$
(5)

In [9], better bounds for $x_{i,j}$ are given by considering different cases for the trace. If the trace is zero, from Corollary 3.4 of [9], then we have the following bounds for $y_{0,j}$

$$\left| y_{0,j} - \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{n(q-1)} \right| \le \frac{s-1}{n} q^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + \frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1}{q-1} < \frac{2}{q-1} q^{\frac{n}{2}},$$
(6)

where s = gcd(n, q - 1). For a nonzero trace $\gamma = a_i$ we have i > 0. By Corollary 4.3 of [9], we have the following bounds for $x_{i,j}$

$$\left|x_{i,j} - \frac{q^n - 1}{nq(q-1)}\right| \le q^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + \frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}} - 1}{q(q-1)} + \frac{n}{2}q^{\frac{n-4}{4}} < \frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$
(7)

Suppose that the constant $c = a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, where $1 \le j \le q - 1$, is such that $\rho = \operatorname{ord}(c)$. Let $x_{r,j} = \max\{x_{i,j}: i \in R_j\}$. Then we have the following result.

Lemma 3. If $c = a_j$ is a given constant from \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} , for some $1 \le j \le q - 1$, then

$$\frac{N(n,j,q)}{q-1} - \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)^2} - \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^2} \le x_{r,j} \le \frac{N(n,j,q)}{A_{r,j}} - \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)A_{r,j}} + \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)A_{r,j}}$$

Proof. From Eq. (6) we have

$$\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)} - \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} \le y_{0,j} \le \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)} + \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}$$

By adding $\sum_{i \in R_i} A_{i,j} x_{i,j}$ to each expression in this inequality, we have

$$\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)} - \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} + \sum_{i \in R_j} A_{i,j} x_{i,j} \le N(n,j,q) \le \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)} + \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} + \sum_{i \in R_j} A_{i,j} x_{i,j}.$$

Then applying the lower and upper bounds for $\sum_{i \in R_i} A_{i,j} x_{i,j}$, we have

$$\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)} - \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} + A_{r,j}x_{r,j} \le N(n,j,q) \le \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)} + \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} + (q-1)x_{r,j}$$

which implies the result.

Next we provide lower and upper bounds for $x_{r,j}$ in terms of n and q - 1, instead of N(n, j, q). We need to find lower and upper bounds for N(n, j, q).

Definition 4. Let *q* and *n* be two positive integers, and the prime factorization of $q^n - 1$ be given by $q^n - 1 = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_t^{e_t}$, where p_t is the largest prime factor of $q^n - 1$. Then, the pair (q, n) is said to be a *lps* (largest prime survives) pair of integers, if $p_t \nmid q^m - 1$, for m < n.

Experimental data show that for any q, there exist many n's such that (q, n) is a lps pair. We also found some sporadic pairs (q, n) that are not lps pairs. Let $v = p_t^{e_t}$, and $m_r \mid q - 1$ be fixed, where $1 \le m_r \le q - 1$. We let $m_r = \rho = \operatorname{ord}(c)$. Then suppose that $D_{\rho,v}$ is the subset of D_n defined by those r which v divides them, that is

$$D_{\rho,\upsilon} = \{r \in D_n : r = m_r d_r, m_r = \rho, \upsilon \mid r\}$$

Lemma 5. Let (q, n) be a lps pair of integers. Suppose that p_t is the largest prime in the prime factorization of $q^n - 1$, and $m_r \mid q - 1$ be fixed as $\rho = \operatorname{ord}(c)$. Then for all $r \in D_{\rho,v}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)}\sum_{r\in D_{\rho,\upsilon}}\phi(r)=\left(1-\frac{1}{p_t}\right)\frac{q^n-1}{n(q-1)}.$$

Proof. Let $m_r = \rho$ be a fixed divisor of q - 1. Then $m_r = p_1^{l_1} \dots p_k^{l_k}$, where $0 \le l_i \le g_i$, for $i \in S_1 = \{1, \dots, k\}$. Each $r \in D_{\rho, \upsilon}$ is $r = m_r d_r$, where $m_r = \rho$, and $\upsilon \mid r$. By Lemma 1 such r can be given by $r = \frac{q^n - 1}{R}$, where

$$gcd(R, q-1) = \frac{q-1}{m_r} = p_1^{g_1-l_1} \dots p_k^{g_k-l_k}$$

Therefore $R = p_1^{g_1 - l_1} \dots p_k^{g_k - l_k} p_{k+1}^{c_{k+1}} \dots p_{t-1}^{c_{t-1}}$, where $0 \le c_i \le e_i$, for all $i \in S_2 - \{t\} = \{k + 1, \dots, t - 1\}$. Then each $r \in D_{\rho, v}$ can be considered as

$$r = p_1^{e_1 - g_1 + l_1} \dots p_k^{e_k - g_k + l_k} p_{k+1}^{d_{k+1}} \dots p_{t-1}^{d_{t-1}} p_t^{e_t},$$

such that $d_i = e_i - c_i$, for $i \in S_2 - \{t\}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)} \sum_{r \in D_{\rho,v}} \phi(r) = \frac{\sum_{d_{k+1},\dots,d_{t-1}} \phi\left(\left(\prod_{s=1}^{k} p_s^{e_s - g_s + l_s}\right) \left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t-1} p_u^{d_u}\right) p_t^{e_t}\right)}{n\phi(p_1^{l_1} \dots p_k^{l_k})} \\ = \frac{\phi\left(\prod_{s=1}^{k} p_s^{e_s - g_s + l_s}\right)}{n\phi(p_1^{l_1} \dots p_k^{l_k})} \left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t-1} \sum_{d_u=0}^{e_u} \phi(p_u^{d_u})\right) \phi(p_t^{e_t})$$

Table	e 2				
Diffe	rent l	lower	bounds	for	$x_{r,j}$

\mathbb{F}_q	Degree n		
	4	7	11
\mathbb{F}_4	(0, 0, 1.74)	(140.19, 142.55, 164.56)	(31216.48, 31030.21, 31257.89)
\mathbb{F}_5	(0, 0, 3.94)	(438.2, 476.5, 523.06)	(220040.28, 220107.19, 221072.5)
\mathbb{F}_7	(0, 4.14, 8.24)	(2412.24, 2634.88, 2750.06)	(4267800.61, 4272351.16, 4277440.6)
\mathbb{F}_8	(0, 7.16, 14.07)	(4729.24, 5126.36, 5272.63)	(13919422.13, 13931249.46, 13940889.49)
\mathbb{F}_9	(0, 10.66, 19.62)	(8552.73, 9198.47, 9411.91)	(39574237.19, 39600149.44, 39605439.16)
\mathbb{F}_{11}	(0, 19.18, 30.25)	(23416.12, 24845.44, 25219.11)	(235649092.99, 235740989.11, 235783942.58)
\mathbb{F}_{13}	(0, 29.7, 40.51)	(54067.13, 56777.94, 57351.98)	(1044017409.66,1044270464.84,1044301207.22)

$$= \frac{\prod_{s=1}^{k} (p_s - 1) p_s^{e_s - g_s + l_s - 1}}{n \prod_{s=1}^{k} (p_s - 1) p_s^{l_s - 1}} \left(\prod_{u=k+1}^{t-1} p_u^{e_u} \right) p_t^{e_t} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right)}{n} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{t} p_s^{e_s} \right) \left(\prod_{u=1}^{k} p_u^{-g_u} \right) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right) \frac{q^n - 1}{n(q - 1)}.$$

We state now our main result about the bounds for $x_{r,j}$.

k

Theorem 6. Suppose that (q, n) is a lps pair of integers, and $c = a_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be such that $\rho = \operatorname{ord}(c)$, for some $1 \le j \le q - 1$. If p_t is the largest prime in the factorization of $q^n - 1$, then

$$\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p_t}\right)(q^n-1)-q^{n-1}-2nq^{\frac{n}{2}}+1}{n(q-1)^2} \le x_{r,j}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{A_{r,j}}\left(\frac{q^n-1}{n\rho}-\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{n(q-1)}+\frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1}\right).$$

Proof. For a given $m_r = \rho$, using the definition of $D_{\rho,v}$ and that (q, n) is a lps pair, we have

$$N(n, j, q) = \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_n \\ m_r = \rho}} \phi(r) \ge \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)} \sum_{r \in D_{\rho, \upsilon}} \phi(r).$$

Therefore, using Lemma 5, a lower bound for N(n, j, q) can be given as

$$N(n,j,q) \geq \left(1-\frac{1}{p_t}\right)\frac{q^n-1}{n(q-1)}.$$

Using Lemma 3, we obtain the stated lower bound for $x_{r,j}$.

An upper bound for N(n, j, q) can be derived using

$$N(n, j, q) = \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_n \\ m_r = \rho}} \phi(r) \le \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \mid q^n - 1 \\ m_r = \rho}} \phi(r),$$

where the last inequality holds since in the left-hand side sum $r \mid q^n - 1$ and $r \nmid q^m - 1$, for m < n, while on the right-hand side sum we do not have the latter condition. Therefore it is smaller than the other sum. The sum at the right-hand side is simply

$$\frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)}\sum_{\rho d_r|q^n-1}\phi(\rho d_r) \leq \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)}\sum_{d_r|\frac{q^n-1}{\rho}}\phi(\rho d_r) \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d_r|\frac{q^n-1}{\rho}}\phi(d_r) = \frac{q^n-1}{n\rho}.$$

Using Lemma 3, this implies the stated upper bound for $x_{r,j}$.

Table 2 compares our lower bound with other lower bounds. We choose different n and q such that (q, n) is a lps pair of integers, and they are small enough to compute the number in the table. For each entry (a, b, c), a represents the lower bound obtained by Wan, *b* the one by Moisio, and *c* ours. To compare our lower bound and Moisio's lower bound in general, we look at their difference,

$$\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p_t}\right)(q^n-1)-q^{n-1}-2nq^{\frac{n}{2}}+1}{n(q-1)^2}-\frac{q^n-1}{nq(q-1)}+\frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

or,

$$-\frac{(q^n-1)}{n(q-1)^2p_t}+\frac{2(q-2)}{(q-1)^2}q^{\frac{n}{2}}+\frac{1}{nq(q-1)}.$$

Therefore, if the number p_t is of size $q^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ or larger, then this difference is positive, and so our bound is better. Checking different q and n, this situation happens very often.

Remark. If $A_{r,j} = \rho = q - 1$, our upper bound is better than Moisio's upper bound. In the next section we show that this is the case if *n* is a multiple of q - 1. Examples are given in the next section.

4. The special case *n* being a multiple of q - 1

Suppose that the degree of the polynomials is fixed as n = a(q - 1), for some positive integer *a*. Then we have the following results.

Lemma 7. Let $1 \le m \le n - 1$, $q - 1 \nmid m$, and n = a(q - 1), for some positive integer *a*. Then $(q - 1)^2 \mid q^n - 1$ and $(q - 1)^2 \nmid q^m - 1$. In particular, $n^2 \mid a^2(q^n - 1)$, and $n^2 \nmid a^2(q^m - 1)$.

Proof. From $q^i \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ for any positive integer *i*, we have $q^{m-1} + q^{m-2} + \cdots + q + 1 \equiv m \neq 0 \pmod{q-1}$ and $q^{n-1} + q^{n-2} + \cdots + q + 1 \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$. Hence, multiplying by q - 1, we have the conclusion.

Lemma 8. Suppose that n = a(q-1), for some integer a. Let $r = (q^n - 1)/R$ such that $R \mid q^n - 1$, and gcd(R, q-1) = 1, that is $m_r = q - 1$. Then $r \nmid (q^m - 1)$, for all m = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, and m is not a multiple of q - 1.

Proof. Since $q - 1 = p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k}$, $q^n - 1 = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{e_t}$ and gcd(R, q - 1) = 1, *r* has the form $r = (q^n - 1)/R = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t}$, where $0 \le f_i \le e_i$, for $i \in S_2$. It is clear that $(q - 1)^2 | r$ since $e_i \ge 2g_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Now we show that $r \nmid q^m - 1$, for $m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ and $q - 1 \nmid m$. Suppose $r \mid q^m - 1$. Since $n^2 = a^2(q - 1)^2 \mid a^2r$, we have $n^2 \mid a^2(q^m - 1)$, which contradicts to Lemma 7.

Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be such that $\rho = \operatorname{ord}(c)$. The constant c can be a primitive, or nonprimitive constant. For different r, in the relation

$$N(n, c, q) = \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_n \\ m_r = \rho}} \phi(r),$$
(8)

the value of m_r is fixed as $m_r = \rho$. Let $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ represent any primitive element. Then obviously $\rho = q - 1$, and let $r \in D_n$ be such that $m_r = \rho = q - 1$.

Theorem 9. Let n = a(q - 1), for some integer a, and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be primitive. Then

$$N(n, c, q) \leq \frac{q^n - 1}{a(q-1)^2}.$$

In addition, if q and n are such that $p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}...p_k^{e_k} \nmid q^m - 1$, for m multiple of q - 1 and m < n, where $q^n - 1 = p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}...p_k^{e_k}p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}}...p_t^{e_t}$, then $N(n, c, q) = (q^n - 1)/(a(q - 1)^2)$.

Proof. Let $q - 1 = p_1^{g_1} p_2^{g_2} \dots p_k^{g_k}$ be the prime factorization of q - 1, where $g_i \ge 1$, for $i \in S_1$. Similarly, $q^n - 1 = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{e_t}$, such that $e_i \ge g_i \ge 1$, when $i \in S_1$, and $e_i \ge 1$, when $i \in S_2$. Since $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ is primitive, we have $\rho = q - 1$. Let n = a(q - 1), then by Eq. (8) we have

$$N(n, c, q) = \frac{1}{a(q-1)\phi(q-1)} \sum_{\substack{r \in D_n \\ m_r = q-1}} \phi(r).$$

For any $r = (q^n - 1)/R$, where $gcd(R, q - 1) = (q - 1)/m_r = 1$ and $R = p_{k+1}c_{k+1} \dots p_t^{c_t}$ with $0 \le c_i \le e_i$ for $i \in S_2$, we can write $r = (q^n - 1)/R = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t}$, where $f_i = e_i - c_i$, for $i \in S_2$. By Lemma 8, $r \nmid q^m - 1$, for all *m* not multiple of

q - 1, and m < n. Since $p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} \nmid q^m - 1$ for all m multiple of q - 1 and m < n, we conclude that any r of this form is in D_n . Hence, the number N(n, c, q) can be given by

)

$$N(n, c, q) = \frac{1}{a\left(p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k}\right)\phi\left(p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k}\right)} \sum_{f_{k+1},\dots,f_t} \phi\left(p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k} p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\phi\left(p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k}\right)}{a\left(p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k}\right)\phi\left(p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k}\right)} \sum_{f_{k+1},\dots,f_t} \phi\left(p_{k+1}^{f_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{f_t}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\left(p_1^{e_1-g_1} \dots p_k^{e_k-g_k}\right)}{a\left(p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k}\right)} \prod_{s=k+1}^t \sum_{f_s=0}^{e_s} \phi(p_s^{f_s})$$
$$= \frac{p_1^{e_1} \dots p_k^{e_k}}{a\left(p_1^{2g_1} \dots p_k^{2g_k}\right)} \prod_{s=k+1}^t p_s^{e_s} = \frac{q^n - 1}{a(q-1)^2}.$$

Finally, we observe that if $p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} | q^m - 1$, for some *m* multiple of q - 1 and m < n, then we can only conclude that $N(n, c, q) < (q^n - 1)/(a(q - 1)^2)$.

Suppose that $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ is any nonprimitive constant, which is related to $r' \in D_n$, where $r' = m_{r'}d_{r'}$ and $m_{r'} = \rho' = \operatorname{ord}(c') < q - 1$. We have $r' = (q^n - 1)/R'$, and $\operatorname{gcd}(q - 1, R') = (q - 1)/m_{r'} > 1$. Moreover $r' \nmid q^m - 1$, for $1 \le m \le n - 1$. Let us remove the last condition and define $\widehat{r'} = (q^n - 1)/\widehat{R'}$, such that $\widehat{R'} \mid q^n - 1$, and $\operatorname{gcd}(q - 1, \widehat{R'}) = (q - 1)/m_{r'} > 1$.

Lemma 10. Let $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be nonprimitive, where $\rho' = \operatorname{ord}(c') = m_{r'} < q - 1$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n\phi(\rho')}\sum_{\widehat{r}'}\phi(\widehat{r}')=\frac{q^n-1}{a(q-1)^2},$$

where the sum runs over all \hat{r}' , defined as $\hat{r}' = \frac{q^n - 1}{\hat{R}'}$, with $gcd(q - 1, \hat{R}') = \frac{q - 1}{m_{r'}} = \frac{q - 1}{\rho'}$.

Proof. Suppose $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be such that $\rho' = \operatorname{ord}(c') = m_{r'} = p_1^{l_1} \dots p_k^{l_k} \mid q-1$, where $0 \leq l_i \leq g_i$, for $i \in S_1$. Let $\widehat{r}' = (q^n-1)/\widehat{R}'$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, \widehat{R}') = (q-1)/m_{r'} = p_1^{g_1-l_1} \dots p_k^{g_k-l_k}$. This implies that $\widehat{R}' = p_1^{g_1-l_1} \dots p_k^{g_k-l_k} p_{k+1}^{c_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{c_t}$, with $0 \leq c_i \leq e_i$, for $i \in S_2$. Therefore, for $d_i = e_i - c_i$ and $i \in S_2$, \widehat{r}' can be considered as $\widehat{r}' = p_1^{e_1-g_1+l_1} \dots p_k^{e_k-g_k+l_k} p_{k+1}^{d_k+1} \dots p_t^{d_t}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho')} \sum_{\tilde{r}'} \phi(\tilde{r}') &= \frac{1}{a(p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k})\phi(p_1^{l_1} \dots p_k^{l_k})} \sum_{d_{k+1},\dots,d_t} \phi\left(\left(\prod_{s=1}^k p_s^{e_s - g_s + l_s}\right) \prod_{u=k+1}^t p_u^{d_u}\right) \\ &= \frac{\phi\left(\prod_{s=1}^k p_s^{e_s - g_s + l_s}\right)}{a(p_1^{g_1} \dots p_k^{g_k})\phi(p_1^{l_1} \dots p_k^{l_k})} \left(\prod_{u=k+1}^t \sum_{d_u=0}^{e_u} \phi(p_u^{d_u})\right) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{s=1}^k (p_s - 1)p_s^{e_s - g_s + l_s - 1}}{a\prod_{s=1}^k (p_s - 1)p_s^{g_s + l_s - 1}} \left(\prod_{u=k+1}^t p_u^{e_u}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{a}\prod_{s=1}^k p_s^{e_s - 2g_s}\right) \left(\prod_{u=k+1}^t p_u^{e_u}\right) = \frac{q^n - 1}{a(q-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 11. If n = a(q - 1), for some integer *a*. Then for any nonprimitive constant $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, we have $N(n, c', q) \leq \frac{q^n - 1}{a(q-1)^2}$. **Proof.** For the nonprimitive $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, let $\rho' = \operatorname{ord}(c')$. Then by Lemma 10,

$$\frac{q^n-1}{a(q-1)^2} = \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho')} \sum_{\widehat{r}'} \phi(\widehat{r}') \ge \frac{1}{n\phi(\rho')} \sum_{\substack{r' \in D_n \\ m_{r'} = \rho'}} \phi(r') = N(n, c', q). \quad \blacksquare$$

If n = a(q - 1), then we have the following restatement of Lemma 2.

1250	1	2	9	0	
------	---	---	---	---	--

Table 3 Distribution of polynomials of degree n = a(q - 1) over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q .

Tr	Cons					
	<i>a</i> ₁	•••	aj		a_{q-1}	Total
<i>a</i> ₀	<i>y</i> ₁		Уj		y_{q-1}	$N_0(n,q)$
<i>a</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₁		x_j	•••	x_{q-1}	$N_1(n, q)$
:	:		:		:	:
•	•		·		•	·
a_{q-1}	<i>x</i> ₁		x _j		x_{q-1}	$N_{q-1}(n,q)$
Total	N(n, 1, q)	•••	N(n, j, q)	•••	N(n, q-1, q)	N(n,q)

Lemma 12. Let n = a(q-1) for some integer a, and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be any constant. Then for any two nonzero traces γ and δ , we have $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q) = N_{\delta}(n, c, q)$.

This means that, when n = a(q - 1), for any $i, l \in \{1, 2, ..., q - 1\}$, and $j \in \{0, 1, ..., q - 1\}$, we have $x_{i,j} = x_{l,j}$. So we let x_j represent $x_{i,j}$. Moreover, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$, let $N_{\gamma}(n, a_j, q) = x_j$, and $N_0(n, a_j, q) = y_j$, where $j \in \{1, 2, ..., q - 1\}$; see Table 3. In Table 3, we have the same rows for different $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$. In this case, let A_j be the number of repeated entries of the column a_j , where $1 \le j \le q - 1$. Clearly $A_j = q - 1$. Thus for a given nonzero constant c (or c'), Eq. (4) changes to

$$y_c + (q-1)x_c = N(n, c, q)$$

Then using Eq. (9), and Theorem 9, we have the following bounds for x_c .

Theorem 13. Let n = a(q-1), such that $q-1 = p_1^{g_1}p_2^{g_2} \dots p_k^{g_k}$, $q^n - 1 = p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k}p_{k+1}^{e_{k+1}} \dots p_t^{e_t}$ satisfies $p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} \nmid q^m - 1$, for m multiple of q-1, and m < n. Then for any primitive constant $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ we have

$$\left|x_{c}-\frac{q^{n}-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^{3}}\right|\leq \frac{2}{(q-1)^{2}}q^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let n = a(q - 1), for some integer a, and $c = a_j$ be a primitive constant from \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} , for some $1 \le j \le q - 1$. Then $A_j = q - 1$, and $\rho = \operatorname{ord}(c) = q - 1$. Suppose that q and n are such that $p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} \nmid q^m - 1$, for m multiple of q - 1, and m < n. Then by Theorem 9, the lower and upper bounds for x_c given in Lemma 3 change to

$$\frac{q^n-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^3}-\frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^2}\leq x_c\leq \frac{q^n-q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^3}+\frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^2}.$$

We note that the upper bound does not require the condition $p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \dots p_k^{e_k} \nmid q^m - 1$, for *m* multiple of q - 1, and m < n.

The difference between our lower bound and Moisio's lower bound is

$$\frac{q^n - q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^3} - \frac{2}{(q-1)^2}q^{\frac{n}{2}} - \frac{q^n - 1}{aq(q-1)^2} + \frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2}\left(2q^{\frac{n}{2}}(q-2) + \frac{1}{aq}\right),$$

which is always positive. This shows that our lower bound is better.

The difference between our upper bound and Moisio's upper bound is

$$\frac{q^n - q^{n-1}}{a(q-1)^3} + \frac{2}{(q-1)^2}q^{\frac{n}{2}} - \frac{q^n - 1}{aq(q-1)^2} - \frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2}\left(2q^{\frac{n}{2}}(2-q) + \frac{1}{aq}\right),$$

which is always negative if $q \ge 3$. This shows that our upper bound is better.

Table 4 compares our lower and upper bounds with Wan bounds given in (5), and Moisio bounds given in (7) for different finite fields \mathbb{F}_q , and degree n = q - 1. In each column, the entry [x, y] of the table, represents the corresponding [lower bound, upper bound].

Now let $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be a nonprimitive constant with $\rho' = \operatorname{ord}(c')$. Using Theorems 6 and 11, we have the following bounds for $x_{c'}$.

Theorem 14. Suppose (q, n) is a lps pair, and n = a(q - 1), for some integer a. Let $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ be a nonprimitive constant. If p_t is the largest prime in the factorization of $q^n - 1$, then we have

$$\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p_t}\right)(q^n-1)-q^{n-1}-2a(q-1)q^{\frac{n}{2}}+1}{a(q-1)^3} \le x_{c'} \le \frac{q^n-q^{n-1}+2a(q-1)q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{a(q-1)^3}$$

Table 4

Bounds for x_c , for different finite fields \mathbb{F}_q , when n = q - 1.

q	Wan [11]	Moisio [9]	Our bounds	Min/Max
4	[0, 9.78]	[0, 5.39]	[0, 4.407]	1
5	[0, 26.56]	[0, 16]	[3.109, 12.484]	[7, 8]
7	[295.36, 638.36]	[401.78, 531.94]	[438.273, 495.439]	[466, 471]
8	[4729.24, 5970.52]	[5126.36, 5573.38]	[5261.212, 5438.537]	5344
9	[72273.52, 74938.92]	[73877.78, 75590]	[74426.342, 75041.436]	74691
11	[23,531,161, 23,627,792]	[23,563,189, 23,595,764]	[23,574,645, 23,584,308]	[23,578,887, 23,580,368]

Table 5

Bounds for $x_{c'}$, for different finite fields \mathbb{F}_q , with n = q - 1.

q	Wan [11]	Moisio [9]	Our bounds	Min/Max
4	[0, 9.78]	[0, 5.39]	[0, 3.56]	2
5	[0, 26.56]	[0, 16]	[3.94, 10.94]	[7, 8]
7	[295.36, 638.36]	[401.78, 531.94]	[435.139, 485.917]	[458, 471]
8	[4729.24, 5970.52]	[5126.36, 5573.38]	[5272.626, 5408.986]	[5337, 5360]
9	[72273.52, 74938.92]	[73877.78, 75590]	[74093.32, 74938.922]	[74700, 74754]
11	[23,531,161, 23,627,792]	[23,563,189, 23,595,764]	[23,574,323, 23,582,697]	[23,578,378, 23,579,568]

Proof. Let n = a(q-1), for some integer *a*. For any nonprimitive constant $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ we have $y_{c'} + (q-1)x_{c'} = N(n, c', q)$. By Eq. (6) we have

$$\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^2} - \frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}} \le y_{c'} \le \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^2} + \frac{2}{q-1}q^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

If we add $(q - 1)x_{c'}$ to this inequality, then

$$\frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^2} - \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} + (q-1)x_{c'} \le N(n,c',q) \le \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^2} + \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{q-1} + (q-1)x_{c'},$$

therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{N(n,c',q)}{q-1} - \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^3} - \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^2} \le x_{c'} \le \frac{N(n,c',q)}{q-1} - \frac{q^{n-1}-1}{a(q-1)^3} + \frac{2q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{(q-1)^2}$$

Since n = a(q - 1) then by Theorem 11 we have $N(n, c', q) \le \frac{q^n - 1}{a(q-1)^2}$, which simplifies the upper bound for $x_{c'}$ to

$$\frac{q^n - q^{n-1} + 2a(q-1)q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{a(q-1)^3}$$

An argument similar to Theorem 6 gives the lower bound for $x_{c'}$.

For the same reason as above, our upper bound is better than Moisio's result as long as $q \ge 3$ and our lower bound is better if p_t is of size $q^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ or larger.

In Table 5 we compare our bounds for $x_{c'}$ with Wan and Moisio bounds, when n = q - 1 and for different finite fields \mathbb{F}_q .

Remark. For any given finite field \mathbb{F}_q and given degree n such that $q - 1 \nmid n$, we know that $A_{r,j} < q - 1$. Indeed, let γ and δ be two nonzero elements in \mathbb{F}_q . Thus, $\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right)^n \neq 1$, and by Lemma 2 we have $N_{\gamma}(n, c, q) = N_{\delta}\left(n, c\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^n, q\right) \neq N_{\delta}(n, c, q)$. However, we do not know whether we can still improve upper bounds in this case.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the referees for carefully reading this manuscript. The second and third authors are supported in part by NSERC of Canada.

References

- [2] K. Cattell, C.R. Miers, F. Ruskey, M. Serra, J. Sawada, The number of irreducible polynomials over GF(2) with given trace and subtrace, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 47 (2003) 31–64.
- 3] S.D. Cohen, Primitive elements and polynomials with arbitrary trace, Journal of the American Mathematical Society 83 (1990) 1–7.
- [4] S.D. Cohen, Explicit theorems on generator polynomials, Finite Fields and their Applications 11 (2005) 337-357.
- [5] S.D. Cohen, M. Presern, Primitive polynomials with prescribed second coefficient, Glasgow Mathematical Journal 48 (2006) 281–307.

^[1] L. Carlitz, A theorem of Dickson on irreducible polynomials, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 3 (1952) 693–700.

- [6] R.W. Fitzgerald, J.L. Yucas, Irreducible polynomials over GF(2) with three prescribed coefficients, Finite Fields and Their Applications 9 (2003) 286–299.
- [7] K. Kononen, M. Moisio, M. Rinta-aho, K. Väänänen, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications 11 (2008) 223–248.
 [8] E.N. Kuz'min, On a class of irreducible polynomials over a finite field, Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR 313 (3) (1990) 552–555 (in Russian); English
- translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 42 (1) (1991) 45-48.
- [9] M. Moisio, Kloosterman sums, elliptic curves, and irreducible polynomials with prescribed trace and norm, Acta Arithmetica 132 (2008) 329-350. [10] M. Moisio, K. Ranto, Elliptic curves and explicit enumeration of irreducible polynomials with two coefficients prescribed, Finite Fields and Their Applications 14 (2008) 798–815.
- [11] D. Wan, Generators and irreducible polynomials over finite fields, Mathematics of Computation 66 (1997) 1195–1212.
- [12] J.L. Yucas, Irreducible polynomials over finite fields with prescribed trace/prescribed constant term, Finite Fields and Their Applications 12 (2006) 211-221.
- [13] J.L. Yucas, G.L. Mullen, Irreducible polynomials over GF(2) with prescribed coefficients, Discrete Mathematics 274 (2004) 265–279.