Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc



Symmetry properties of subdivision graphs

Ashraf Daneshkhah^{a,*}, Alice Devillers^b, Cheryl E. Praeger^b

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

^b Centre for the Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Available online 27 April 2011

Keywords: Subdivision graph Locally distance transitive graph Locally s-arc transitive graph Line graph

ABSTRACT

The subdivision graph $S(\Sigma)$ of a graph Σ is obtained from Σ by 'adding a vertex' in the middle of every edge of Σ . Various symmetry properties of $S(\Sigma)$ are studied. We prove that, for a connected graph Σ , $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *s*-arc transitive if and only if Σ is $\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil$ -arc transitive. The diameter of $S(\Sigma)$ is $2d + \delta$, where Σ has diameter *d* and $0 \le \delta \le 2$, and local *s*-distance transitivity of $S(\Sigma)$ is defined for $1 \le s \le 2d + \delta$. In the general case where $s \le 2d - 1$ we prove that $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *s*-distance transitive if and only if Σ is $\lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil$ -arc transitive. For the remaining values of *s*, namely $2d \le s \le 2d + \delta$, we classify the graphs Σ for which $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *s*-distance transitive in the cases, $s \le 5$ and $s \ge 15 + \delta$. The cases max $\{2d, 6\} \le s \le \min\{2d + \delta, 14 + \delta\}$ remain open.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

All graphs in this paper are simple and undirected, that is to say, a graph Σ consists of a vertex set $V(\Sigma)$ and a subset $E(\Sigma)$ of unordered pairs from $V(\Sigma)$, called edges. The *subdivision graph* $S(\Sigma)$ of a graph Σ is defined as the graph with vertex set $V(\Sigma) \cup E(\Sigma)$ and edge set $\{x, e\} \mid x \in V(\Sigma), e \in E(\Sigma), x \in e\}$. Informally, $S(\Sigma)$ is the graph obtained from Σ by 'adding a vertex' in the middle of each edge of Σ . The purpose of this paper is to elucidate connections between various symmetry properties of Σ and of its subdivision graph $S(\Sigma)$, in particular local *s*-arc-transitivity, and local *s*-distance transitivity (defined in Section 2). These properties are generalisations and natural analogues of graph properties extensively studied in the literature, namely *s*-arc transitivity introduced by Tutte [12,13] and distance transitivity introduced by Higman [8], and studied further by Biggs and many others (see [2,3,11,14,15]). Moreover, the families of locally *s*-arc transitive graphs and locally *s*-distance transitive graphs were analysed in [7] and [5], respectively.

In Section 2, we give basic graph theoretic concepts and notation, including definitions of the transitivity properties we study. Some basic properties of $S(\Sigma)$ are given in Section 3. First, $S(\Sigma)$ is bipartite and is connected if Σ is connected, and the graph Σ can be reconstructed from $S(\Sigma)$ (in the exceptional case where Σ is a cycle, reconstruction of Σ from $S(\Sigma)$ is up to isomorphism only). Cycles arise as exceptions in other ways also. The automorphism group Aut (Σ) acts on $V(\Sigma)$ and $E(\Sigma)$ and preserves the incidence of $S(\Sigma)$ giving a natural embedding Aut $(\Sigma) \leq$ Aut $(S(\Sigma))$. With the exception of cycles, this embedding is an isomorphism. For cycles $\Sigma = C_n$, $S(\Sigma) = C_{2n}$ and Aut $(S(\Sigma)) = D_{4n} = (Aut (\Sigma)).2$. Note that the subdivision graphs of cycles are vertex transitive, while for all other graphs Σ , Aut $(S(\Sigma)) = Aut (\Sigma)$ fixes $V(\Sigma)$ and $E(\Sigma)$ setwise.

In Section 4, we prove a decisive relationship between the levels of arc transitivity of Σ and $S(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let Σ be a connected graph, s a positive integer, and $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$. Then $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-arc transitive if and only if Σ is $(G, \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil)$ -arc transitive.



^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: adanesh@basu.ac.ir, daneshkhah@maths.uwa.edu.au (A. Daneshkhah), alice.devillers@uwa.edu.au (A. Devillers), cheryl.praeger@uwa.edu.au (C.E. Praeger).

⁰⁰¹²⁻³⁶⁵X/\$ – see front matter 0 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2011.03.031

Table 1

Σ and <i>G</i> for <i>s</i> = 2, 3, 4, 5.							
Σ	S	d	δ	$\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Sigma ight)$	G		
$K_2 \\ K_3 \\ K_n, (n \ge 4)$	2 2, 3 2, 3 4	1 1 1	0 1 2	S ₂ S ₃ S _n	S_2 S_3 3-transitive subgroup of S_n 4-transitive subgroup of S_n or $n = 9 \text{ and } C = PU(2, 8)$		
$K_{n,n},$ (n > 2)	4	2	0	$S_n \wr S_2$	Conditions of Proposition 5.2		
C ₅ P HoSi	4, 5 4, 5 4, 5	2 2 2	1 2 2	D ₁₀ S ₅ PSU(3, 5).2	D ₁₀ S ₅ PSU(3, 5) or PSU(3, 5).2		

This generalises Theorem 3.10 of [7], which proves the result for odd *s*. The concepts of (*G*, 1)-arc transitivity and (*G*, 1)-distance transitivity are equivalent, as are their local variants. Thus Theorem 1.1 implies the equivalence of (*G*, 1)-arc transitivity of Σ and local (*G*, 1)-distance transitivity of $S(\Sigma)$. Moreover, if s = 2 or 3, then the local (*G*, s)-distance transitivity of $S(\Sigma)$ is equivalent to the (*G*, 2)-arc transitivity of Σ , see Corollary 4.2. A similar relationship holds for larger values of *s* provided $s \leq 2 \operatorname{diam}(\Sigma) - 1$ (proved in Section 4).

Theorem 1.2. Let Σ be a connected graph and s a positive integer such that $s \le 2 \operatorname{diam}(\Sigma) - 1$ (so in particular $\operatorname{diam}(S(\Sigma)) > s$). Then $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive if and only if Σ is $(G, \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil)$ -arc transitive.

We denote the diameter of Σ by d. The diameter of $S(\Sigma)$ is $2d + \delta$ with $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ (see Remark 3.1). Thus the s-values for which Theorem 1.2 gives no information are those satisfying $2d \le s \le 2d + \delta$. For very large and very small values of s in this range we can determine explicitly the pairs Σ , G for which $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive. In this result, P and HoSi denote the Petersen graph and the Hoffman–Singleton graph, respectively.

Theorem 1.3. Let Σ be a connected graph with $|V(\Sigma)| \ge 2$ and diameter d, let $G \le Aut(\Sigma)$, and let s be a positive integer such that $2d \le s \le 2d + \delta = diam(S(\Sigma))$.

- (a) If $s \ge 15 + \delta$, then $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive if and only if $\Sigma = C_n$ and $G = D_{2n}$, either with n = s, or with n = s + 1 odd.
- (b) If $s \le 5$, then $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive if and only if Σ and G are as in Table 1.

The first part of Theorem 1.3 is an application of the deep theorem of Weiss [15] that the only 8-arc transitive graphs are the cycles, while the second part uses the classification by Ivanov [10] of 3-arc transitive graphs of girth 5. As R. Weiss's result relies on the finite simple group classification so also does Theorem 1.3(a). The local distance transitivity properties claimed for the graphs $S(\Sigma)$ in Table 1 are established in Section 5.

We prove in fact that, for each of the graphs Σ in Table 1, $S(\Sigma)$ is locally distance transitive. This enables us to classify all graphs Σ of diameter at most 2 for which $S(\Sigma)$ is locally distance transitive.

Corollary 1.4. Let Σ be a connected graph with $|V(\Sigma)| \ge 2$ and diam $(\Sigma) \le 2$, and let $G \le Aut(\Sigma)$. Then $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *G*-distance transitive if and only if Σ , *G* are as in Table 1 (for the maximum value of s).

The first two authors are considering the unresolved cases of Theorem 1.3, attacking in particular the problem:

Problem 1.5. Classify graphs Σ for which $S(\Sigma)$ is locally distance transitive.

2. Concepts and symmetry for graphs

For a positive integer *s*, an *s*-arc of a graph Σ is an (s + 1)-tuple (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_s) of vertices such that $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E(\Sigma)$ for $1 \le i \le s$, and $v_{i-1} \ne v_{i+1}$ for $1 \le j \le s - 1$. The integer *s* is called the length of the *s*-arc. A 1-arc is often called an arc.

The *distance* between two vertices v_1 and v_2 , denoted by $d_{\Sigma}(v_1, v_2)$, is the minimum number *s* such that there exists an *s*-arc from v_1 to v_2 . For a connected graph Σ , the *diameter of* Σ , denoted diam(Σ), is the maximum distance between two vertices of Σ . For $0 \le i \le \text{diam}(\Sigma)$ define

$$\Sigma_i := \{ (v, w) \in V(\Sigma) \times V(\Sigma) \mid \mathsf{d}_{\Sigma}(v, w) = i \}$$

and, for $v \in V(\Sigma)$, define $\Sigma_i(v) := \{w \in V(\Sigma) | d_{\Sigma}(v, w) = i\}$. We often write $\Sigma(v)$ for $\Sigma_1(v)$. A graph Σ is *bipartite* if its vertex set can be partitioned into two non-empty sets called *biparts* such that every edge has one vertex in each bipart.

We denote a complete graph and a cycle on *n* vertices by K_n and C_n respectively; $K_{m,n}$ denotes the complete bipartite graph with biparts of sizes *m* and *n*. A graph is *regular* if its vertices have a constant valency, that is, lie in a constant number of edges. If Σ contains a cycle then the girth of Σ is the length of its shortest cycle.

P	Properties \mathcal{P} for G-action on a connected graph \mathcal{L} .					
	Property P	$\mathcal{P}(\Sigma) = \{\Delta_i 1 \le i \le s\}, \text{ and } \Delta_s \ne \emptyset$				
	<i>G</i> -arc transitivity (<i>G</i> , <i>s</i>)-arc transitivity (<i>G</i> , <i>s</i>)-distance transitivity	$s = 1 \text{ and } \Delta_1 = \Sigma_1$ $\Delta_i \text{ is the set of } i\text{-arcs of } \Sigma$ $\Delta_i = \Sigma_i$				
	G-distance transitivity	$s = \operatorname{diam}(\Sigma)$ and $\Delta_i = \Sigma_i$				

Table 2 Properties \mathcal{P} for *G*-action on a connected graph Σ .

Tuble 3

Local properties \mathcal{P} for *G*-action on a connected graph Σ .

Local property ${\mathcal P}$	$\mathcal{P}(\Sigma, v) = \{\Delta_i(v) 1 \le i \le s\}$, and $\Delta_s(v) \ne \emptyset$ for some v
Local G-arc transitivity Local (G, s)-arc transitivity Local (G, s)-distance transitivity	$\begin{split} s &= 1 \text{ and } \Delta_1(v) = \Sigma_1(v) \\ \Delta_i(v) \text{ is the set of } i\text{-arcs of } \Sigma \text{ with initial vertex } v \\ \Delta_i(v) &= \Sigma_i(v) \end{split}$
Local G-distance transitivity	$s = \operatorname{diam}(\Sigma) \text{ and } \Delta_i(v) = \Sigma_i(v)$

Let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Sigma)$. The properties we study are defined relative to the *G*-action on Σ . Each property \mathcal{P} is defined by the requirement that *G* be transitive on each set in some collection $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma)$ of sets, as in Table 2. Moreover, each property has a 'local variant' that is defined by requiring that, for each $v \in V(\Sigma)$, the stabiliser G_v be transitive on each set in a related collection $\mathcal{P}(\Sigma, v)$ of sets, as in Table 3. Notice that our definition of local (*G*, *s*)-arc transitivity is slightly stronger that the definition in [7] (actually it is equivalent to our definition as long as Σ has no vertex with valency 1).

These concepts are sometimes used without reference to a particular group *G*, especially when $G = \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$. It follows from the definitions that, for $s \ge 2$, (local) (*G*, *s*)-arc transitivity implies (local) (*G*, *s* – 1)-arc transitivity, and (local) (*G*, *s*)-distance transitivity implies (local) (*G*, *s* – 1)-distance transitivity.

Remark 2.1. For s = 1, several of the concepts coincide. Indeed, for a connected graph Σ and $G \leq Aut(\Sigma)$, the properties of local *G*-arc transitivity, local (*G*, 1)-arc transitivity and local (*G*, 1)-distance transitivity are equivalent.

Lemma 2.2. Let Σ be a connected graph and let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Sigma)$.

- (a) If G is intransitive on $V(\Sigma)$, then each of the equivalent conditions of Remark 2.1 holds if and only if G is transitive on $E(\Sigma)$. Moreover, in this case, Σ is a bipartite graph and the G-orbits in $V(\Sigma)$ are the two biparts.
- (b) Σ is locally (G, 2)-arc transitive if and only if, for all $v \in V(\Sigma)$, G_v is 2-transitive on $\Sigma_1(v)$.

This Lemma is very easy to prove. See for instance [7, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2] for details, noticing that with our definition of local (G, s)-arc transitivity, the hypothesis that no vertex has valency 1 can be dropped.

Each of the 'global' properties \mathcal{P} for a graph Σ implies the local variant, but the converse is not true in general. For example if $\Sigma = K_{m,n}$ and $G = S_m \times S_n$, then all the local properties, but none of the global properties, hold with s = 2. However, for each of the local properties, the corresponding global property holds if and only if *G* is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$ (and in this case, in particular, all vertices have the same valency).

3. Basic properties of subdivision graphs

We give some further definitions and results related to graphs that will be used in the next sections. The *line graph* $L(\Sigma)$ of a graph Σ is defined as the graph with vertex set $E(\Sigma)$ and edges $\{e_1, e_2\}$, for $e_1, e_2 \in E(\Sigma)$ such that $e_1 \cap e_2 \neq \emptyset$. The *distance* 2 graph of Σ is the graph $\Sigma^{[2]}$ with the same vertex set as Σ but with the edge set replaced by the set of all vertex pairs $\{u, v\}$ such that $d_{\Sigma}(u, v) = 2$. If Σ is connected, then all vertices at even Σ -distance from v lie in the same connected component of $\Sigma^{[2]}$, as do all vertices at odd Σ -distance from v, and so $\Sigma^{[2]}$ has at most two connected components. Moreover, if Σ is connected and bipartite, then $\Sigma^{[2]}$ has exactly two components.

Clearly, if Σ is connected, then the subdivision graph $S(\Sigma)$ is connected and bipartite with biparts $V(\Sigma)$ and $E(\Sigma)$. Note that, in $S(\Sigma)$, each vertex in $E(\Sigma)$ has valency 2 while the valency of each vertex in $V(\Sigma)$ is equal to its valency in Σ .

The graph $S(\Sigma)$ is closely related to the line graph $L(\Sigma)$ of Σ , the link arising via the distance 2 graph $S(\Sigma)^{[2]}$ of $S(\Sigma)$. As mentioned in the introduction, for connected graphs Σ , we can reconstruct Σ from its subdivision graph $S(\Sigma)$. Indeed, for a connected graph Σ (not K_1), $S(\Sigma)^{[2]}$ has two connected components, namely Σ and $L(\Sigma)$. Moreover, either $\Sigma \cong L(\Sigma) \cong C_n$ for some $n \ge 3$, or Σ is the unique connected component of $S(\Sigma)^{[2]}$ containing vertices of valency different from 2 in $S(\Sigma)$.

The diameter of Σ and $S(\Sigma)$ are linked in the following way.

Remark 3.1. Suppose that Σ is a connected graph with $|V(\Sigma)| \ge 2$. Then

(a) Distances in Σ and $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ are related as follows: for $\alpha, \beta \in V(\Gamma)$,

$$d_{\Gamma}(\alpha,\beta) = \begin{cases} 2d_{\Sigma}(\alpha,\beta) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \in V(\Sigma); \\ 2\min\{d_{\Sigma}(\alpha,u), d_{\Sigma}(\alpha,v)\} + 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in V(\Sigma) \text{ and } \beta = \{u,v\} \in E(\Sigma); \\ 2\min\{d_{\Sigma}(x,y), d_{\Sigma}(x,v), d_{\Sigma}(y,u), d_{\Sigma}(u,v)\} + 2 & \text{if } \alpha = \{x,u\} \text{ and } \beta = \{y,v\} \in E(\Sigma); \end{cases}$$

- (b) It follows easily that diam(Γ) = 2diam(Σ) + δ for some $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.
- (c) The inequality $0 \le \delta \le 2$ cannot be improved (as is illustrated by the complete graphs on up to 4 vertices). Note that $\delta = 2$ if and only if Σ contains two edges $e = \{x, y\}, f = \{u, v\} \in E(\Sigma)$ satisfying $d_{\Sigma}(x, u) = d_{\Sigma}(x, v) = d_{\Sigma}(y, u) = d_{\Sigma}(y, v) = \text{diam}(\Sigma)$.

4. Local distance and arc transitivity of $S(\Sigma)$

By Remark 3.1, from now on we assume the following hypothesis.

Hypothesis 1. Σ is a connected graph of diameter *d* with $|V(\Sigma)| \ge 2$, such that $S(\Sigma)$ has diameter $2d + \delta$, for some $\delta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, and $G \le Aut(\Sigma)$.

We study relationships between various symmetry properties of Σ and $S(\Sigma)$. In particular we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. First we consider the effect of local transitivity conditions on $S(\Sigma)$ related to edges of Σ . Note that the assumption $\Sigma \neq K_2$, under Hypothesis 1, is equivalent to the condition that Σ contains at least one 2-arc.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that Hypothesis 1 holds, and in part (b) suppose that $\Sigma \neq K_2$. Set $\Gamma := S(\Sigma)$.

- (a) If G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_1(e)$ for all $e \in E(\Sigma)$, then Σ is *G*-vertex transitive.
- (b) G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_1(e)$ and $\Gamma_2(e)$ for all $e \in E(\Sigma)$ if and only if either Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive, or $\Sigma = C_n$ with n even and $G \cong D_n$ has two orbits in $E(\Sigma)$.

Proof. (a) Let $u, v \in V(\Sigma)$. There is a path $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n$ in Σ such that $x_1 = u, x_n = v$, since Σ is connected. By assumption, for each *i* there exists $g_i \in G_{\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}}$ such that $x_i^{g_i} = x_{i+1}$. The element $g_1g_2 \cdots g_{n-1}$ maps $x_1 = u$ to $x_n = v$.

(b) Let $e = \{u, v\} \in E(\Sigma)$. Then $\Gamma_1(e) = \{u, v\}$ and $\Gamma_2(e) = (\Gamma_1(u) \cup \Gamma_1(v)) \setminus \{e\}$. Moreover, G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_2(e)$ if and only if G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_1(e)$ and the stabiliser $G_{u,v}$ is transitive on $\Gamma_1(u) \setminus \{e\}$ (or equivalently $G_{u,v}$ is transitive on $\Sigma_1(u) \setminus \{v\}$).

(\Longrightarrow) Suppose that, for all $e \in E(\Sigma)$, G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_i(e)$, for i = 1, 2, and let $e = \{u, v\} \in E(\Sigma)$. By Part (a), G is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$, and so, since Σ is connected and $\Sigma \neq K_2$, all vertices of Σ have valency at least 2. As discussed above, $G_{u,v}$ is transitive on $\Sigma_1(u) \setminus \{v\}$, and this holds for all edges $\{u, v'\}$ containing u. If Σ has valency at least 3 then the subgroup $\langle G_{u,v'} | v' \in \Sigma_1(u) \rangle$ of G_u is transitive on $\Sigma_1(u)$, and it follows that G_u is 2-transitive on $\Sigma_1(u)$. In this case Σ is G-vertex transitive by part (a), and locally (G, 2)-arc transitive by Lemma 2.2(b), and hence Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive. On the other hand if Σ has valency 2 then $\Sigma = C_n$ for some n. By part (a), G is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$ and we have that G_e interchanges the endpoints of e. Thus either $G = D_{2n}$ is 2-arc transitive on Σ , or n is even and $G \cong D_n$ with two orbits on $E(\Sigma)$.

(\Leftarrow) In the exceptional case where $G = D_n$ acting with two edge orbits on C_n , the required properties of G_e hold for all edges e. Thus we may suppose that Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive. In particular G is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$, and so, since Σ is connected and $\Sigma \neq K_2$, all vertices of Σ have valency at least 2. Also Σ is (G, 1)-arc transitive so G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_1(e)$ for each edge e. Further, by Lemma 2.2(b), G_u is 2-transitive on $\Sigma(u)$ for all $u \in V(\Sigma)$. Therefore, for $e = \{u, v\} \in E(\Sigma), G_{u,v}$ is transitive on $\Sigma_1(u) \setminus \{v\}$. Thus by our remarks above, G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_2(e)$ for all $e = \{u, v\} \in E(\Sigma)$, \Box

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$, let $t := \lfloor \frac{s+1}{2} \rfloor$, and note that 2t - 1 = s if s is odd, and 2t - 2 = s if s is even.

Suppose that Σ is (G, t)-arc transitive. We prove first that Γ is locally (G, 2t - 1)-arc transitive. Let $\alpha = (u_0, e_0, u_1, e_1, \dots, u_{t-1}, e_{t-1})$ and $\alpha' = (u_0, e'_0, u'_1, e'_1, \dots, u'_{t-1}, e'_{t-1})$ be (2t - 1)-arcs in Γ with initial vertex $u_0 \in V(\Sigma)$. Thus $\hat{\alpha} := (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t)$ and $\hat{\alpha'} := (u_0, u'_1, \dots, u'_{t-1}, u'_t)$ are t-arcs in Σ where $e_{t-1} = \{u_{t-1}, u_t\}$, $e'_{t-1} = \{u'_{t-1}, u'_t\}$. By assumption there exists $g \in G_{u_0}$ such that $\hat{\alpha}^g = \hat{\alpha'}$, and hence $\alpha^g = \alpha'$. Thus G_{u_0} acts transitively on (2t - 1)-arcs in Γ starting with u_0 . Now consider (2t - 1)-arcs in Γ of the form $\beta = (e_0, u_1, e_1, \dots, u_{s-1}, e_{t-1}, u_t)$ and $\beta' = (e_0, u'_1, e'_1, \dots, u'_{s-1}, e'_{t-1}, u'_t)$ with initial vertex $e_0 \in E(\Sigma)$. Now $e_0 = \{u_0, u_1\} = \{u'_0, u'_1\}$, and β, β' correspond to t-arcs $\hat{\beta} := (u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}, u_t)$ and $\hat{\beta}' := (u'_0, u'_1, \dots, u'_{t-1}, u'_t)$ in Σ . Hence, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\hat{\beta}^g = \hat{\beta}'$. The element g fixes e_0 setwise and therefore satisfies $\beta^g = \beta'$. Thus Γ is locally (G, 2t - 1)-arc transitive. Since $2t - 1 \ge s$, we have that Γ is locally (G, s)-arc transitive.

Conversely, suppose Γ is locally (G, s)-arc transitive. We prove that Σ is (G, t)-arc transitive. Consider two *t*-arcs $\alpha = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_t)$ and $\alpha' = (u_0, u'_1, \ldots, u'_t)$ in Σ with initial vertex u_0 . The corresponding (2t - 1)-arcs in Γ are $\hat{\alpha} := (u_0, e_0, u_1, e_1, \ldots, u_{t-1}, e_{t-1})$ and $\hat{\alpha}' := (u_0, e'_0, u'_1, e'_1, \ldots, u'_{t-1}, e'_{t-1})$, where for i < t, $e_i = \{u_i, u_{i+1}\}$ and $e'_i = \{u'_i, u'_{i+1}\}$, and $u'_0 = u_0$. Suppose first that *s* is odd (so that s = 2t - 1). Then Γ is locally (G, 2t - 1)-arc transitive so

there exists $g \in G_{u_0}$ such that $\hat{\alpha}^g = \hat{\alpha}'$. Thus $e_{t-1}^g = e_{t-1}'$ and $u_{t-1}^g = u_{t-1}'$, and hence $u_t^g = u_t'$ and $\alpha^g = \alpha'$. Therefore Σ is locally (G, t)-arc transitive. By Lemma 4.1, G is vertex transitive on Σ , and hence Σ is (G, t)-arc transitive.

Finally suppose that *s* is even, so that s = 2t - 2 and Γ is locally (G, 2t - 2)-arc transitive. Note that $t \ge 2$ in this case. Then the (2t - 1)-arcs of Γ in the previous paragraph are of the form $\hat{\alpha} = (\beta, e_{t-1})$ and $\hat{\alpha}' = (\beta', e'_{t-1})$ with β, β' both (2t - 2)-arcs in Γ with initial vertex u_0 . Since Γ is locally (G, 2t - 2)-arc transitive, there exists $g \in G_{u_0}$ such that $\beta^g = \beta'$. Thus $\alpha^g = (\beta', e^g_{t-1})$ and $e^g_{t-1} = \{u^g_{t-1}, u^g_t\} = \{u'_{t-1}, u^g_t\}$. Now we also have two (2t - 2)-arcs $\gamma := (e'_0, u'_1, e'_1, \dots, u'_{t-1}, e^g_{t-1})$ and $\gamma' := (e'_0, u'_1, e'_1, \dots, u'_{t-1}, e^g_{t-1})$ in Γ with initial vertex e'_0 , so there exists $h \in G_{e'_0}$ such that $\gamma^h = \gamma'$. Since $\{u_0, u'_1\} = e'_0 = e'_0{}^h = \{u^h_0, u'^h_1\} = \{u^h_0, u'_1\}$, we have $u^h_0 = u_0$ and hence $\alpha^{gh} = \alpha'$, with $gh \in G_{u_0}$. Therefore Σ is locally (G, t)-arc transitive, and as in the previous paragraph, Σ is (G, t)-arc transitive. \Box

4.2. Some consequences of Theorem 1.1

We show for the cases s = 2, 3, how to link local (*G*, *s*)-distance transitivity of $S(\Sigma)$ with symmetry properties of Σ .

Corollary 4.2. Suppose that Hypothesis 1 holds, and $\Sigma \neq K_2$. Then the following four conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, 2)-distance transitive.
- (b) Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive.
- (c) $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, 3)-arc transitive.
- (d) $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, 3)-distance transitive.

Since $\Sigma \neq K_2$, Σ contains a 2-arc, so (b) is well defined, and diam(S(Σ)) \geq 3 by Remark 3.1, so (a), (c) and (d) are well defined.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 for s = 3, conditions (b) and (c) are equivalent. It follows easily from the definition of local (*G*, 3)-distance transitivity that condition (c) implies condition (d). Also, by definition, condition (a) follows from condition (d). Thus it is sufficient to prove that condition (a) implies condition (b).

Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$, and suppose that Γ is locally (G, 2)-distance transitive. In particular then, for all $e \in E(\Sigma)$, G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_1(e)$ and $\Gamma_2(e)$, so by Lemma 4.1(b), Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive, or $\Sigma = C_n$ and $G = D_n$ with two edge orbits in $E(\Sigma)$. However in the latter case, condition (a) does not hold since $G_u = 1$ for $u \in V(\Sigma)$. \Box

Remark 4.3. Typically, a graph Σ for which one of the equivalent conditions of Corollary 4.2 holds will have girth at least 4. Otherwise girth(Σ) = 3, and since *G* is transitive on the 2-arcs of Σ , all 2-arcs form a 3-cycle, and it follows that $\Sigma = K_n$ for some *n*. As $\Sigma \neq K_2$, $n \geq 3$ and *G* is a 3-transitive subgroup of S_n .

We finish this subsection with a result about local (*G*, 4)-distance transitivity when the girth of Σ is greater than 4.

Proposition 4.4. Suppose that Hypothesis 1 holds, and girth(Σ) \geq 5. Then diam($S(\Sigma)$) \geq 5, and if $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, 4)distance transitive, then Σ is (G, 3)-arc transitive.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$. Since $g = \text{girth}(\Sigma) \ge 5$, Σ contains a minimal cycle $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{g-1})$, and so $d_{\Gamma}(v_0, \{v_2, v_3\}) = 5$, thus diam $(\Gamma) \ge 5$. Let (u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) and (u'_0, u'_1, u'_2, u'_3) be two 3-arcs in Σ . Since Γ is locally (G, 4)-distance transitive it follows from Corollary 4.2 that Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive. Thus there exists $a \in G$ such that $(u_0, u_1, u_2)^a = (u'_0, u'_1, u'_2)$. Now we have two 4-arcs $\beta = (e'_0, u'_1, e'_1, u'_2, f)$ and $\beta' = (e'_0, u'_1, e'_1, u'_2, e'_2)$ in $S(\Sigma)$, where $e'_i = \{u'_i, u'_{i+1}\}$ for $0 \le i \le 2$ and $f = \{u'_2, u^a_3\}$. Thus $d_{\Gamma}(e'_0, f) \le 4$. Also $e'_0 \ne f$ since $u'_2 \in f$. If $d_{\Gamma}(e'_0, f) = 2$ then $e_0 \cap f \ne \emptyset$, which is impossible since $g \ge 5$. Hence $d_{\Gamma}(e'_0, f) = 4$ and similarly $d_{\Gamma}(e'_0, e'_2) = 4$. By the local (G, 4)-distance transitivity of Γ , there exists $b \in G_{e'_0}$ such that $f^b = e'_2$. If $b \in G_{e'_0} \setminus G_{u'_0}$, then $u'_0^b = u'_1$, $u'_1^b = u'_0$. Also $u'_2^b = u'_2$ or $u'_2^b = u'_3$, and so the edge $\{u'_1, u'_2\}$ is mapped by b onto $\{u'_0, u'_2\}$ or $\{u'_0, u'_3\}$ respectively, however those cannot be edges, as $g \ge 5$. Thus $b \in G_{u'_0, u'_1}$ and $f^b = e'_2$. If $u'_2^b = u'_3$, then $\{u'_1, u'_2\}^b = \{u'_1, u'_3\}$ would be an edge, again a contradiction. Hence $u'_2^b = u'_2$, $(u^a_3)^b = u'_3$, and we have that $(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3)^{ab} = (u'_0, u'_1, u'_2, u'_3)$. Thus Σ is (G, 3)-arc transitive. \Box

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

The following Lemma 4.5 is a critical ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.5. Suppose that Hypothesis 1 holds, and that *s* is an even positive integer satisfying $s \le 2d - 1$. If $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive, then girth $(\Sigma) \ge s + 2$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$, s = 2t, and suppose that Γ is locally (G, 2t)-distance transitive. By assumption $d \ge t + 1 \ge 2$. Note that, by Lemma 4.1, *G* is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$. We prove the lemma by induction on *t*. If t = 1, then Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive by Corollary 4.2, and since $d \ge 2$, some 2-arc does not lie in a 3-cycle. Hence no 2-arcs lie in a 3-cycle and girth $(\Sigma) \ge 4$.

Table 4 (*G*, *s*)-distance transitivity of $S(\Sigma)$ for $\Sigma = K_n$ and $n \ge 4$.

S	Conditions on G	
1	2-transitive on $V(\Sigma)$	
2, 3	3-transitive on $V(\Sigma)$	
4	4-transitive on $V(\Sigma)$, or $n = 9$ and $G = P\Gamma L(2, 8)$	

Suppose now that $t \ge 2$ and the result holds for t - 1. Then the conditions of the lemma hold for t - 1, and so, by induction, girth(Σ) $\ge 2t$. We must show that $g := \text{girth}(\Sigma) \ne 2t, 2t + 1$. Assume to the contrary that g = 2t or 2t + 1. Then Σ contains a cycle $c = (u_0, u'_1, \ldots, u'_{g-1})$ of length g, and a vertex $v \in V(\Sigma)$ such that $d_{\Sigma}(u_0, v) = t + 1$. There is a path $p = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_t, u_{t+1})$ in Σ with $u_{t+1} = v$. Since Γ is locally (G, 2)-distance transitive, there exists $a \in G_{u_0}$, such that $\{u_0, u_1\}^a = \{u_0, u'_1\} = e$, say. So $d_{\Sigma}(u_0, v^a) = d_{\Sigma}(u_0, v) = t + 1$. Setting $f = \{u^a_t, v^a\}$ and $f' = \{u'_t, u'_{t+1}\}$, we have $d_{\Gamma}(e, f) = d_{\Gamma}(e, f') = 2t$ since p is a shortest path from u_0 to v and c is a shortest cycle in Σ . Then by the local (G, 2t)-distance transitivity of Γ , there exists $b \in G_e$ such that $f^b = f'$. Since b fixes e setwise, $u_0^b \in \{u_0, u'_1\}$. Also $t + 1 = d_{\Sigma}(u_0, v^a) = d_{\Sigma}(u_0^b, v^{ab})$ and $v^{ab} \in f'$. Therefore u^b_0 and v^{ab} are vertices in c at distance t + 1 in Σ , which is a contradiction. Hence $g \ge 2t + 2$. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If s = 1 then the claimed equivalence follows from Remark 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 (with s = 1). Also, if s = 2 or 3, then the equivalence follows from Corollary 4.2. Thus we may assume that $s \ge 4$. Let s' be the largest even integer $s' \le s$, and let $t := \lceil \frac{s+1}{2} \rceil = \frac{s'}{2} + 1$. Note that $t \ge 3$. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ and suppose that Γ is locally (*G*, *s*)-distance transitive. Then by Lemma 4.5, girth(Σ) $\ge s' + 2 \ge s + 1$.

Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ and suppose that Γ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive. Then by Lemma 4.5, girth $(\Sigma) \ge s' + 2 \ge s + 1$. Consider two *t*-arcs $\alpha = (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_t)$ and $\alpha' = (u_0, u'_1, \ldots, u'_t)$ of Σ . These correspond to two (s' + 2)-arcs $\beta = (u_0, e_0, u_1, e_1, \ldots, u_{t-1}, e_{t-1}, u_t)$ and $\beta' = (u_0, e'_0, u'_1, e'_1, \ldots, u'_{t-1}, e'_{t-1}, u'_t)$ of Γ , where $u_0 = u'_0, e_i = \{u_i, u_{i+1}\}$ and $e'_i = \{u'_i, u'_{i+1}\}$ for each i < t. Now Γ is locally (G, 2)-distance transitive and $u_1, u'_1 \in \Gamma_2(u_0)$, so there exists $a \in G_{u_0}$ such that $u_1^a = u'_1$. Therefore $e_0^a = e'_0$ and $\beta^a = (u_0, e'_0, u'_1, e^a_1, \ldots, u^a_{t-1}, e^a_{t-1}, u^a_t)$. For each r < t the initial (2r + 1)-arc $(u_0, e_0, u_1, e_1, \ldots, u_r, e_r)$ of β is a path in Γ of length 2r + 1 and hence $d_{\Gamma}(u_0, e_r) \le 2r + 1$. If there were a shorter path in Γ from u_0 to e_r , then we would obtain a cycle in Γ of length at most (2r + 1) + (2r - 1) = 4r, corresponding to a cycle in Σ of length at most $2r \le 2t - 2 = s' <$ girth (Σ) , which is a contradiction. Hence $d_{\Gamma}(u_0, e_r) = 2r + 1$ for each r < t. Thus $d_{\Gamma}(u_0, e_{t-1}^a) = d_{\Gamma}(u_0, e_{t-1}) = 2t - 1$, and it follows that $d_{\Gamma}(e'_0, e_{t-1}^a) = d_{\Gamma}(e_0, e_{t-1}) = 2t - 2$ and also $d_{\Gamma}(e'_0, e'_{t-1}) = 2t - 2$ for similar reasons. Since $2t - 2 = s' \le s$, the graph Γ is locally (G, s')-distance transitive, so there exists $b \in G_{e'_0}$ such that $e_{t-1}^{ab} = e'_{t-1}$. The element b fixes u_0 and u'_1 , since otherwise we would have $2t - 1 = d_{\Gamma}(u_0, e_{r-1}^a) = d_{\Gamma}(u_0^b, e_{t-1}^{ab}) = d_{\Gamma}(u'_1, e'_{t-1}) = 2t - 3$, which is a contradiction. Hence $b \in G_{u_0}$, and so $ab \in G_{u_0}$. Similarly, since $d_{\Gamma}(u_0, e_r) = 2r + 1$, for all r < t, we see that b maps each u_r^a to u'_r and e_r^a to e'_r . Thus b also maps u_t^a to u'_t , and so $\alpha^{ab} = \alpha'$. Thus Σ is locally (G, t)-arc transitive. Since, by Lemma 4.1, Σ is G-vertex transitive, Σ is (G, t)-arc

Conversely, suppose that Σ is (G, t)-arc transitive. Then by Theorem 1.1, Γ is locally (G, s)-arc transitive. Let $r \leq s$ and let $x, y, y' \in V(\Gamma)$ with $d_{\Gamma}(x, y) = d_{\Gamma}(x, y') = r$. Then there are r-arcs β and β' in Γ from x to y and y' respectively. Since $r \leq s$, G_x is transitive on the r-arcs with initial vertex x, and so there exists $g \in G_x$ such that $\beta^g = \beta'$, and hence $y^g = y'$. Thus Γ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive. \Box

5. Local distance transitivity of $S(\Sigma)$ for Σ in Table 1

Suppose that Hypothesis 1 holds, for *G*, Σ , *d*, δ , and that $s \leq \text{diam}(S(\Sigma))$.

5.1. $\Sigma = K_n$ with $n \ge 2$

We easily see that diam(Σ) = 1, Aut (Σ) = S_n and diam($S(\Sigma)$) = min{n, 4}.

For n = 2, 3, the graph $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive if and only if $G = S_n$ if and only if $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *G*-distance transitive.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\Sigma = K_n$ with $n \ge 4$. Then the graph $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive if and only if G and s are as in Table 4.

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 4.2, for s = 1, 2 respectively, $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive if and only if Σ is (G, s)-arc transitive, or equivalently, G is (s + 1)-transitive on $V(\Sigma)$. Also $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, 3)-distance transitive if and only if Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive, that is, G is 3-transitive. Finally if $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, 4)-distance transitive, then for $e = \{1, 2\}, G_e$ is transitive on $\Gamma_4(e) = \{\{i, j\} \mid i > j > 2\}$, and it follows that G is transitive on 4-subsets of $V(\Sigma)$. By [6, Theorem 9.4B], either G is 4-transitive (and all such groups act locally 4-distance transitively on Γ), or n = 9, G = PGL(2, 8) or $P\Gamma L(2, 8)$, or n = 33, $G = P\Gamma L(2, 32)$. The groups PGL(2, 8) and $P\Gamma L(2, 32)$ do not arise since in these cases $|\Gamma_4(e)|$ does not divide $|G_e|$. On the other hand if n = 9 and $G = P\Gamma L(2, 8)$, then Γ is locally (G, 3)-distance transitive

by Corollary 4.2, $\Gamma_4(v) = \emptyset$ for $v \in V(\Sigma)$ and G_e is transitive on both $\Gamma_2(e)$ and $\Gamma_4(e)$ by [4, p. 6]; it follows that Γ is locally (G, 4)-distance transitive. \Box

5.2. $\Sigma = K_{n,n}$ with $n \ge 2$

We have diam(Σ) = 2, diam(S(Σ)) = 4 and Aut (Σ) = $S_n \wr S_2$ acting imprimitively on the vertices with the two biparts forming an invariant vertex partition. If a subgroup *G* is vertex transitive then, by the Embedding Theorem [1, Theorem 8.5] for imprimitive groups, we may assume that $G \le H \wr S_2$ with $G \cap (H \times H)$ projecting onto *H* in each component. In this case the group $H \le S_n$ is called the component of *G*.

Proposition 5.2. Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be biparts of $\Sigma = K_{n,n}$ with $n \ge 2$. Then the graph $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, 4)-distance transitive if and only if (i) *G* is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$ with $G \le H \wr S_2$ having component *H*, (ii) *H* is 2-transitive on each Δ_i and, for $u_1 \in \Delta_1$, G_{u_1} is transitive on $(\Delta_1 \setminus \{u_1\}) \times \Delta_2$, and (iii) for $u_1 \in \Delta_1$ and $u_2 \in \Delta_2$, the stabiliser $G_{\{u_1, u_2\}}$ interchanges u_1 and u_2 , and is transitive on $\{\{v_1, v_2\} \mid v_i \in \Delta_i \setminus \{u_i\}\}$. In particular, $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *G*-distance transitive if and only if *G* satisfies these three conditions.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$. It is not difficult to show that conditions (i)–(iii) together ensure that Γ is locally (*G*, 4)-distance transitive. So suppose conversely that *G* is such that Γ is locally (*G*, 4)-distance transitive. By Lemma 4.1(a), *G* is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$, so we may assume that $G \leq H \wr S_2$ with component *H* and *H* acts transitively on each Δ_i . Let $u_1 \in \Delta_1$ and $u_2 \in \Delta_2$, and $e = \{u_1, u_2\}$. Since, G_{u_1} is transitive on $\Gamma_2(u_1) = \Delta_2$ and $\Gamma_4(u_1) = \Delta_1 \setminus \{u_1\}$, and these sets have coprime sizes, it follows that G_{u_1} is transitive on $(\Delta_1 \setminus \{u_1\}) \times \Delta_2$. In particular *H* is 2-transitive on each Δ_i . Also, since G_e is transitive on $\Gamma_1(e)$ and $\Gamma_4(e)$, it follows that $G_{\{u_1,u_2\}}$ interchanges u_1 and u_2 , and is transitive on $\{\{v_1, v_2\} \mid v_i \in \Delta_i \setminus \{u_i\}\}$. The final assertion holds since diam(Γ) = 4. \Box

5.3. $\Sigma = C_n$ for $n \ge 3$

Here we consider C_n in general instead of C_5 (which is in Table 1) because we will need it in the proof of Theorem 1.3(a). We have diam(Σ) = $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, diam(S(Σ)) = n = girth(Σ) (so δ = 0 if n is even and δ = 1 if n is odd), and Aut (Σ) = D_{2n} .

Proposition 5.3. The graph $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive for some $s \le n$ if and only if $G = D_{2n}$ and if and only if $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *G*-distance transitive.

5.4. $\Sigma = P$, the Petersen graph

Here diam(Σ) = 2, diam(S(Σ)) = 6 and Aut (Σ) = S₅.

Proposition 5.4. The graph $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive, for s = 4 or for s = 5, if and only $G = S_5$. Moreover, $S(\Sigma)$ is locally S_5 -distance transitive.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ and suppose that Γ is locally (G, 4)-distance transitive. Then by Lemma 4.1(a), G is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$. Since $|V(\Sigma)| = 10$, $|\Gamma_4(v)| = 4$ and $|\Gamma_4(e)| = 8$, for $v \in V(\Sigma)$ and $e \in E(\Sigma)$, we have that 120 | |G|, and so $G = S_5$. Conversely, let $G = S_5$. Then we have $G_v = S_3 \times S_2$, $G_e = D_8$ and we can easily check that $S(\Sigma)$ is locally G-distance transitive. \Box

5.5. $\Sigma = \text{HoSi}$, the Hoffman–Singleton graph

The Hoffman–Singleton graph is a regular graph of valency k = 7 and diameter 2 with the largest possible number $k^2 + 1$ of vertices, see [9]. Here, diam(Σ) = 2, diam(S(Σ)) = 6 and Aut (Σ) = H.2, where H = PSU(3, 5).

Proposition 5.5. The graph $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive, for s = 4 or for s = 5, if and only if G = H or H.2. Moreover, $S(\Sigma)$ is locally H-distance transitive and locally H.2-distance transitive.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ and suppose that Γ is (G, 4)-distance transitive. Then by Lemma 4.1(a), G is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$. Since $|V(\Sigma)| = 50$, $|\Gamma_1(v)| = 7$ and $|\Gamma_4(e)| = 72$, for $v \in V(\Sigma)$ and $e \in E(\Sigma)$, we have that $2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 = |H|/10$ divides |G|, and hence G = H or H.2 by [4, p. 34]. On the other hand, for G = H, it follows from [4, p. 34] that $G_v = A_7$ and $G_e = M_{10}$, and so we can easily prove that Γ is locally X-distance transitive for X = H or H.2. \Box

6. Proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.3(a). Suppose that $2d \le s \le 2d + \delta$ and that $s \ge 15 + \delta$. Then $d \ge 8$ and so $s \ge \max\{16, 15 + \delta\}$. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$. Suppose first that $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive. Set $s' := s - \delta - 1$. Then $s' \le 2d - 1$ and $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s')-distance transitive. By Theorem 1.2, Σ is (G, t)-arc transitive, where $t = \lceil \frac{s'+1}{2} \rceil$. If s = 16 then $16 \ge 15 + \delta$ so $\delta \le 1$ and $s' = s - \delta - 1 \ge 14$. On the other hand if $s \ge 17$, then $s' = s - \delta - 1 \ge 14$. Thus in both cases $s' \ge 14$ and hence $t \ge 8$. By Weiss' Theorem [15], it follows that $\Sigma = C_n$ for some n. Since $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive, $G = D_{2n}$ by Proposition 5.3. If n is even, then $\delta = 0$, and so s = 2d = n, whence $\Sigma = C_s$. If n is odd, then $\delta = 1$, and so s = 2d = n - 1 or s = 2d + 1 = n, giving $\Sigma = C_{s+1}$ or C_s , respectively. Notice that in the former case, s is even.

Conversely, suppose that $\Sigma = C_s$ and $G = D_{2s}$ or s is even, $\Sigma = C_{s+1}$ and $G = D_{2s+2}$. Then $S(\Sigma)$ is locally G-distance transitive by Proposition 5.3, and since diam $(S(\Sigma)) \ge s$, we have that $S(\Sigma)$ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive. Notice that $s \ge 16$, as $\delta = 1$ for $\Sigma = C_s$ with s odd. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.3(b). Suppose that Hypothesis 1 holds and that $2d \le s \le 2d + \delta$ and $s \le 5$. In particular $d \le 2$. Suppose first that d = 1. Then $\Sigma = K_n$ for some $n \ge 2$. If n = 2 or n = 3 then the assertions of Theorem 1.3 and the entries in Table 1 hold, see Section 5.1. If $n \ge 4$, then these hold by Proposition 5.1.

Suppose now that d = 2, so $4 \le s \le 4 + \delta$ and by assumption $s \le 5$. Let $\Gamma = S(\Sigma)$. Suppose first that Γ is locally (G, s)-distance transitive. Then in particular Γ is locally (G, 3)-distance transitive, and so by Theorem 1.2, Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive. Note that the girth of Σ is at most 5 (since d = 2) and at least 4 (since Σ is (G, 2)-arc transitive and $d \ne 1$, see Remark 4.3).

We now prove that if girth(Σ) = 4 then $\Sigma = K_{n,n}$, for some $n \ge 2$. Let $(u_0.u_1, u_2, u_3)$ be a 4-cycle in Σ and set $e_i = \{u_i, u_{i+1}\}$ for $0 \le i \le 2$. Then $\alpha := (e_0, u_1, e_1, u_2, e_2)$ is a 4-arc in Γ , and $d_{\Gamma}(e_0, e_2) = 4$ since $e_0 \cap e_2 = \emptyset$. Thus $\Gamma_4(e_0) \ne \emptyset$. For every edge $e'_1 = \{u_1, u'_2\}$ containing $u_1, d_{\Sigma}(u_0, u'_2) = 2$ since girth(Σ) > 3. For the same reason, for every edge $e'_2 = \{u'_2, u'_3\}$ containing u'_2 with $e'_2 \ne e'_1$, we have $d_{\Sigma}(u'_3, u_1) = 2$ and $u'_3 \ne u_0$, so $e_0 \cap e'_2 = \emptyset$ and $d_{\Gamma}(e_0, e'_2) = 4$. Thus $e_2, e'_2 \in \Gamma_4(e_0)$ and so $e^a_2 = e'_2$ for some $a \in G_{e_0}$. This implies that $u^a_3 \in \Sigma_1(u^a_0) \cap \Sigma_2(u^a_1) \cap e'_2$. If a fixes u_0 and u_1 , then we conclude that $u^a_3 = u'_3 \in \Sigma_2(u_1)$ and hence $u'_3 \in \Sigma_1(u_0)$. On the other hand, if a does not fix u_0 then it must interchange u_0 and u_1 , and we must have $u^a_3 = u'_2 \in \Sigma_1(u_1) \cap e'_2$, which implies that $u'_3 = u^a_2 \in \Sigma_1(u^a_1) = \Sigma_1(u_0)$. In either case u'_3 is adjacent to u_0 in Σ . Thus $\Sigma_1(u'_2) \subseteq \Sigma_1(u_0)$ for each $u'_2 \in \Sigma_2(u_0)$. It follows that $\Sigma_1(u'_2) = \Sigma_1(u_0)$ since u_0 and u'_2 have the same valency, and hence that Σ is a complete bipartite graph $K_{n,m}$ for some $n, m \ge 2$. Since G is transitive on $V(\Sigma)$, n = m.

It follows from Proposition 5.2 that the assertions of Theorem 1.3 and the entries in Table 1 hold if $\Sigma = K_{n,n}$ with $n \ge 2$, and hence if girth(Σ) = 4. Thus we may assume that girth(Σ) = 5. In this case, it follows from Proposition 4.4, that Σ is (*G*, 3)-arc transitive. Therefore by [10, Lemma 3.4], Σ is one of the graphs C_5 , P or HoSi. It now follows, from Propositions 5.3–5.5, that the assertions of Theorem 1.3 and the entries in Table 1 hold in the case where girth(Σ) = 5. This completes the proof. \Box

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Suppose that Σ is a connected graph of diameter $d \le 2$ such that $|V(\Sigma)| \ge 2$ and let $G \le Aut(\Sigma)$. Thus diam $(S(\Sigma)) = 2d + \delta \le 6$. Suppose that $S(\Sigma)$ is locally *G*-distance transitive. If $2d + \delta \le 5$ then, applying Theorem 1.3(b) with $s = 2d + \delta$, we find that Σ , *G* are as in Table 1. Thus we may assume that diam $(S(\Sigma)) = 2d + \delta = 6$, so $(d, \delta) = (2, 2)$. Then Theorem 1.3(b) applies with s = 5, again yielding the graphs in Table 1. The local *G*-distance transitivity of the graphs in Table 1 follows from the properties proved in Section 5 about these graphs. \Box

Acknowledgements

The first author thanks Bu-Ali Sina University and the University of Western Australia for support during her sabbatical leave. The authors thank the anonymous referees for helpful suggestions with the exposition. The third author is supported by the Australian Research Council Federation Fellowship FF0776186. This paper forms part of the Federation Fellowship project.

References

- M. Bhattacharjee, D. Macpherson, R.G. Möller, P.M. Neumann, Notes on infinite permutation groups, in: Texts and Readings in Mathematics, vol. 12, Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 1997, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1698.
- [2] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, in: Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 67, Cambridge University Press, London, 1974.
- [3] P.J. Cameron, C.E. Praeger, J. Saxl, G.M. Seitz, On the Sims conjecture and distance transitive graphs, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 15 (5) (1983) 499–506.
- [4] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, R.A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [5] A. Devillers, M. Giudici, C.H. Li, C.E. Praeger, Locally s-distance transitive graphs, J. Graph Theory, in press (doi:10.1002/jgt.20574).
- [6] J.D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation groups, in: Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [7] M. Giudici, C.H. Li, C.E. Praeger, Analysing finite locally s-arc transitive graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (1) (2004) 291–317.
- [8] D.G. Higman, Intersection matrices for finite permutation groups, J. Algebra 6 (1967) 22-42.
- [9] A.J. Hoffman, R.R. Singleton, On Moore graphs with diameters 2 and 3, IBM J. Res. Develop. 4 (1960) 497–504.
- [10] A.A. Ivanov, On 2-transitive graphs of girth 5, European J. Combin. 8 (4) (1987) 393-420.
- [11] C.E. Praeger, J. Saxl, K. Yokoyama, Distance transitive graphs and finite simple groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 55 (1) (1987) 1–21.
- [12] W.T. Tutte, A family of cubical graphs, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 43 (1947) 459-474.
- [13] W.T. Tutte, On the symmetry of cubic graphs, Canad. J. Math. 11 (1959) 621–624.
- [14] J. van Bon, Finite primitive distance-transitive graphs, European J. Combin. 28 (2) (2007) 517–532.
- [15] R. Weiss, The nonexistence of 8-transitive graphs, Combinatorica 1 (3) (1981) 309–311.