# Relatively Regular Operators and a Spectral Mapping Theorem

#### CHRISTOPH SCHMOEGER

Mathematisches Institut I, Universität Karlsruhe, D-7500 Karlsruhe 1, Germany

Submitted by Ky Fan

Received August 28, 1991

Let T be a bounded linear operator on a complex Banach space X. The following essential spectrum of T is introduced:

$$\sigma_{rr}(T) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not relatively regular or } N(T - \lambda I) \nsubseteq \bigcap_{n \geqslant 1} (T - \lambda I)^n (X) \right\}.$$

In this note, for a function f admissible in the analytic calculus, we show that  $\sigma_{rr}(f(T)) = f(\sigma_{rr}(T))$ . © 1993 Academic Press, Inc.

#### 1. TERMINOLOGY AND INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex Banach space and L(X) the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on X. We denote by N(T) the kernel and by T(X) the range of  $T \in L(X)$ . The spectrum of T is denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ . The resolvent set  $\rho(T)$  of T is the complement of  $\sigma(T)$  in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . In [4, Theorem 3] T. Kato showed that for an operator  $T \in L(X)$  the set

$$\rho_K(T) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (T - \lambda I)(X) \text{ is closed and } N(T - \lambda I) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \ge 1} (T - \lambda I)^n (X) \right\}$$

is an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\rho(T) \subseteq \rho_K(T)$ , it follows that the complement  $\sigma_K(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho_K(T)$  is a compact subset of  $\sigma(T)$ . We showed in [7, Satz 2] that  $\partial \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_K(T)$ , hence  $\sigma_K(T) \neq \emptyset$ .

The set of all complex valued functions which are analytic in some neighbourhood of  $\sigma(T)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}(T)$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ , the operator f(T) is defined by the well known analytic calculus.

In [7, Satz 6] we proved the following spectral mapping theorem for  $\sigma_K(T)$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $T \in L(X)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}(T)$  then

$$\sigma_K(f(T)) = f(\sigma_K(T)).$$
315

0022-247X/93 \$5.00

Copyright (c) 1993 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved.

An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is called relatively regular, if the equation TST = T is satisfied for some operator  $S \in L(X)$ . It is easy to see that if TST = T, then the operator  $S_0 = STS$  satisfies the equations

$$TS_0 T = T$$
 and  $S_0 TS_0 = S_0$ .

It is well known that T is relatively regular if and only if N(T) and T(X) are closed, complemented subspaces of X [3, Satz 74.2].

DEFINITION. For  $T \in L(X)$  we denote by  $\rho_{rr}(T)$  the set

$$\rho_{rr}(T) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is relatively regular} \right.$$

and 
$$N(T-\lambda I) \subseteq \bigcap_{n\geq 1} (T-\lambda I)^n(X)$$
.

The complement of  $\rho_{rr}(T)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  is denoted by  $\sigma_{rr}(T)$ .

The next theorem shows that the points in  $\rho_{rr}(T)$  are in a certain sense "good" points of T (for a proof see [5, Théorème 2.6] or [8, Theorem 1.4]).

THEOREM 2. Let  $T \in L(X)$ . Then  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_{rr}(T)$  if and only if there is a neighbourhood U of  $\lambda_0$  and a holomorphic function  $F: U \to L(X)$  such that

$$(T - \lambda I) F(\lambda)(T - \lambda I) = T - \lambda I$$
 for all  $\lambda \in U$ .

The aim of this paper is to show that  $f(\sigma_{rr}(T)) = \sigma_{rr}(f(T))$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ . This is done in Section 3.

### 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we collect some properties of the sets  $\rho_K(T)$  and  $\rho_{rr}(T)$ .

Notation. The conjugate space of the Banach space X is denoted by  $X^*$  and the adjoint of a linear operator T in L(X) by  $T^*$ .

Proposition 1. Let  $T \in L(X)$ .

(a) The functions

$$\lambda \mapsto \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N((T-\lambda I)^n) \quad and \quad \lambda \mapsto \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (T-\lambda I)^n(X)$$

are constant on connected components of  $\rho_K(T)$ ;

- (b)  $\rho_{K}(T) = \rho_{K}(T^{*});$
- (c)  $\rho_{rr}(T)$  is open;
- (d)  $\sigma_K(T) \subseteq \sigma_{rr}(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma_{rr}(T) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (e)  $\sigma_{rr}(T^*) \subseteq \sigma_{rr}(T)$ .

*Proof.* (a) [2, Theorem 3]. (b) It suffices to show that  $0 \in \rho_K(T)$  if and only if  $0 \in \rho_K(T^*)$ . Let  $0 \in \rho_K(T)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 1,  $0 \in \rho_K(T^n)$ , hence  $T^n(X)$  is closed. Therefore  $(T^*)^n(X^*)$  is closed [3, Satz 55.7]. Since  $N(T) \subseteq T^n(X)$ , we derive

$$N((T^*)^n) = T^n(X)^{\perp} \subseteq N(T)^{\perp} = T^*(X^*).$$

Since  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  was arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N((T^*)^n) \subseteq T^*(X^*).$$

Lemma 511 in [4] asserts now that

$$N(T^*) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (T^*)^n (X^*).$$

This proves  $0 \in \rho_K(T^*)$ . Similar arguments show that  $0 \in \rho_K(T^*)$  implies  $0 \in \rho_K(T)$ . (c) follows from Theorem 2. (d) is clear. (e) Let  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_{rr}(T)$ . Then  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_K(T)$  and  $T - \lambda_0 I$  is relatively regular. (b) shows that  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_K(T^*)$ . The relative regularity of  $T^* - \lambda_0 I^* = (T - \lambda_0 I)^*$  is obvious.

The following example shows that in general  $\sigma_{rr}(T^*) \neq \sigma_{rr}(T)$  and  $\sigma_K(T) \neq \sigma_{rr}(T)$ .

EXAMPLE. Let  $l^{\infty}$  denote the Banach space of all complex bounded sequences  $(x_n)$  with norm  $\|(x_n)\| = \sup_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|$ . The closed subspace of  $l^{\infty}$  consisting of all sequences  $(x_n)$  with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$  is denoted by  $c_0$ . Put  $X = c_0 \times l^{\infty}$  and consider the linear operator  $T: X \to X$  given by

$$T((x_n), (y_n)) = ((0, 0, 0, ...), (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, ...)).$$

T has the following properties (for proofs see [1, p. 15]):  $N(T) = \{0\}$ , T(X) is not complemented, and  $T^*$  is relatively regular. This gives

$$0 \in \sigma_{rr}(T)$$
,  $0 \notin \sigma_{K}(T)$ , and  $0 \notin \sigma_{rr}(T^*)$ .

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $0 \in \rho_{rr}(T)$  and TST = T for some  $S \in L(X)$ . Then  $0 \in \rho_{rr}(T^n)$  and  $T^nS^nT^n = T^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $0 \in \rho_K(T)$ , thus  $0 \in \rho_K(T^n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . (Theorem 1). It remains to show that  $T^nS^nT^n = T^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is clear for n = 1. Now suppose that  $T^nS^nT^n = T^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $P = T^nS^n$  and Q = I - ST; then  $P^2 = P$ ,  $Q^2 = Q$ ,  $P(X) = T^n(X)$ , and Q(X) = N(T). Since  $0 \in \rho_K(T)$ , we have  $N(T) \subseteq T^n(X)$ , thus  $Q(X) \subseteq P(X)$ . This gives

$$I - ST = Q = PQ = T^n S^n - T^n S^{n+1} T$$

hence  $T^n S^{n+1} T = T^n S^n - I + ST$ . We conclude that

$$T^{n+1}S^{n+1}T^{n+1} = T(T^{n}S^{n} - I + ST)T^{n}$$

$$= T(T^{n}S^{n}T^{n}) - T^{n+1} + TSTT^{n} = T^{n+1},$$

and the result follows.

We close this section with two remarks.

- 1. If X is a Hilbert space, then  $T \in L(X)$  is relatively regular if and only if T(X) is closed [1, p. 12]. Thus  $\sigma_K(T) = \sigma_{rr}(T)$ .
- 2. Let X be a reflexive Banach space. In this case Proposition 1(e) shows that  $\sigma_{rr}(T) = \sigma_{rr}(T^*)$ .

## 3. The Spectral Mapping Theorem for $\sigma_{rr}(T)$

It reads as follows:

THEOREM 3. If  $T \in L(X)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{H}(T)$  then

$$f(\sigma_{rr}(T)) = \sigma_{rr}(f(T)).$$

*Proof.* 1. We first show that  $\sigma_{rr}(f(T)) \subseteq f(\sigma_{rr}(T))$ . Let  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{rr}(f(T))$  and assume that  $\lambda_0 \notin f(\sigma_{rr}(T))$ . Then  $\lambda_0 \notin f(\sigma_K(T)) = \sigma_K(f(T))$  (Theorem 1). Thus we have

$$\lambda_0 \in \rho_K(f(T)). \tag{1}$$

Define the function g by  $g(\lambda) = f(\lambda) - \lambda_0$ . It follows that

$$g(\lambda) \neq 0$$
 for all  $\lambda \in \sigma_{rr}(T)$ , (2)

hence

$$g(\lambda) \neq 0$$
 for all  $\lambda \in \sigma_K(T)$ . (3)

Case 1. g has no zeros in  $\sigma(T)$ . Then  $g(T) = f(T) - \lambda_0 I$  is invertible in L(X), consequently  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(f(T)) \subseteq \rho_{rr}(f(T))$ , which is impossible, since  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{rr}(f(T))$ .

Case 2. g has zeros in  $\sigma(T)$ . Since (3) holds, [7, Satz 3] asserts now that g has only a finite number of zeros in  $\sigma(T)$ . Let  $\mu_1, ..., \mu_k$  be these zeros  $(\mu_i \neq \mu_j \text{ for } i \neq j)$  and  $n_1, ..., n_k$  their respective orders. By (2),  $\mu_j \in \rho_{rr}(T)$  for j = 1, ..., k. Now Proposition 2 can be applied. It shows that  $(T - \mu_j I)^{n_j}$  is relatively regular (j = 1, ..., k). Using the main result in [6], one obtains the relative regularity of  $g(T) = f(T) - \lambda_0 I$ . From (1) it follows now that  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_{rr}(f(T))$  in contradiction to the hypothesis  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{rr}(f(T))$ .

Therefore we have  $\sigma_{rr}(f(T)) \subseteq f(\sigma_{rr}(T))$ .

2. We prove the containment  $f(\sigma_{rr}(T)) \subseteq \sigma_{rr}(f(T))$ . Let  $\lambda_0 \in f(\sigma_{rr}(T))$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_0 = f(\mu_0)$  for some  $\mu_0 \in \sigma_{rr}(T)$ . We define the function g by  $g(\lambda) = f(\lambda) - \lambda_0$ . Since  $g(\mu_0) = 0$ , there exists  $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$  such that  $g(\lambda) = (\lambda - \mu_0) h(\lambda)$ . Consequently  $g(T) = (T - \mu_0 I) h(T)$ . Let us assume that  $\lambda_0 \in \rho_{rr}(f(T))$ . Then

$$0 \in \rho_{rr}(g(T)) = \rho_{rr}((T - \mu_0 I) h(T)) \subseteq \rho_K((T - \mu_0 I) h(T)).$$

Use [7, Satz 5] to derive  $0 \in \rho_K(T - \mu_0 I)$ . Therefore

$$\mu_0 \in \rho_K(T). \tag{4}$$

Since g(T) is relatively regular, there is an operator  $R \in L(X)$  with g(T) Rg(T) = g(T) and Rg(T)R = R. Now we choose a complex number  $\eta$  such that

$$0 < |\eta| < (\|T - \mu_0 I\| \|R\|)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

and

$$h(\mu_0) \neq \eta. \tag{6}$$

Let  $U \in L(X)$  be defined by  $U = \eta(T - \mu_0 I)$ . Then we have, by (5),  $||U|| < ||R||^{-1}$ . Since Ug(T) = g(T)U, it follows that  $\bigcap_{n \ge 1} g(T)^n(X)$  is an invariant subspace of U. Theorem 9 in [1, Sect. 5.2] shows now that g(T) - U is relatively regular. Obviously  $g(T) - U = (T - \mu_0 I)(h(T) - \eta I) = \varphi(T)$  with  $\varphi(\lambda) = (\lambda - \mu_0)(h(\lambda) - \eta)$ . It follows from (6) that  $\mu_0$  is an isolated, simple zero of  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi(T)$  is relatively regular, the main result in [6] implies that  $T - \mu_0 I$  is relatively regular. With the aid of (4) we derive  $\mu_0 \in \rho_{rr}(T)$ . This contradiction shows that  $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_{rr}(f(T))$ . Thus we have indeed  $f(\sigma_{rr}(T)) \subseteq \sigma_{rr}(f(T))$ .

The proof is now complete.

## REFERENCES

- S. R. CARADUS, Generalized inverses and operator theory, in "Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics," No. 50, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1978.
- 2. K. H. FÖRSTER, Über die Invarianz einiger Räume, die zum Operator  $T \lambda A$  gehören, Arch. Math. 17 (1966), 56-64.
- 3. H. HEUSER, "Funktionalanalysis," 2nd ed., Teubner, Stuttgart, 1986.
- 4. T. KATO, Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators, J. Anal. Math. 6 (1958), 261-322.
- M. MBEKTHA, Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectraux, Glasgow Math. J. 29 (1987), 159-175.
- M. GONZALEZ AND V. M. ONIEVA, On functions of relatively regular and normally solvable operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 133 (1988), 209-213.
- 7. C. SCHMOEGER, Ein Spektralabbildungssatz, Arch. Math. 55 (1990), 484-489.
- 8. C. SCHMOEGER, The punctured neighbourhood theorem in Banach algebras, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad.* 91A, No. 2 (1991), 205-218.