



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

Journal of Algebra 320 (2008) 1599–1625

---



---

**JOURNAL OF  
Algebra**


---



---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)

# $q$ -Deformed necklace rings and $q$ -Möbius function <sup>☆</sup>

## Young-Tak Oh

*Department of Mathematics, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Republic of Korea*

Received 16 October 2007

Available online 17 June 2008

Communicated by Michel Broué

---

### Abstract

We introduce a  $q$ -deformation of the classical Möbius function and investigate its properties in connection with  $q$ -deformed truncated necklace rings. Also, we study the strictly natural isomorphism of  $q$ -deformed necklace rings.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Möbius function; Cyclotomic identity; Necklace ring; Restricted Lie  $p$ -algebra

---

### 1. Introduction

The necklace ring was first introduced by Metropolis and Rota [8] to explain the structure of the ring of big Witt vectors due to Cartier [2]. It has close connections to various areas such as combinatorics, group theory and ring theory. For example, it can be realized as the Burnside–Grothendieck ring of almost finite cyclic sets over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and turns out to be isomorphic to the ring of big Witt vectors over rings satisfying suitable conditions. For more details, see [3,4,8,11,12].

Recently, it has been shown that truncated necklace rings have a  $q$ -deformation when  $q$  varies over the set of integers (see [12]). Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of positive integers. Also, we let  $N$  be a nonempty subset with the property that if  $n \in N$ , then every divisor of  $N$  is also contained in  $N$ . We then say that  $N$  is a *truncation set*. Given a commutative ring  $A$  and an integer  $q$ , the  $q$ -deformed  $N$ -truncated necklace ring over  $A$  is given by  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q(A)$ . Here,  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q$  represents a unique covariant functor from the category of commutative rings to itself characterized by the following conditions:

---

<sup>☆</sup> This research was supported by KRF Grant #2007-314-C00005.

*E-mail address:* [ytoh@sogang.ac.kr](mailto:ytoh@sogang.ac.kr).

- (1) As a set, it is  $A^N$ .
- (2) For any ring homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , the map  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q(f) : \mathbf{x} \mapsto (f(x_n))_{n \in N}$  is a ring homomorphism.
- (3) The map,

$$\varphi_N^q : \mathbf{Nr}_N^q(A) \rightarrow \text{gh}_N(A), \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto \left( \sum_{d|n} dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1}x_d \right)_{n \in N},$$

is a ring homomorphism. Here,  $\text{gh}_N(A)$ , called the *ghost ring over A*, is just  $A^N$  with addition and multiplication defined componentwise.

Given  $q$  and  $r$ , we say that  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q$  is *strictly-isomorphic* to  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^r$  if there exists a natural isomorphism,  $\mathfrak{n}_q^r : \mathbf{Nr}_N^q \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}_N^r$  satisfying  $\varphi_N^q = \varphi_N^r \circ \mathfrak{n}_q^r$ . In this case,  $\mathfrak{n}_q^r$  is called a *strict natural isomorphism*. It was shown in [12] that  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q$  is classified up to strict natural isomorphism by the set of prime divisors of  $q$  contained in  $N$ . However, the explicit form of the strict isomorphism has not been provided there. This was the initial motivation of this paper. We will provide it in Section 2.  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q$  also has a very natural functorial property. To be more precise, if  $M$  and  $N$  are truncation sets with  $M \cap N = \{1\}$ , then there exists a functorial isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Nr}_N^q \circ \mathbf{Nr}_M^q \cong \mathbf{Nr}_{MN}^q \tag{1.1}$$

satisfying a suitable condition. This result was published in [12, Theorem 25], but we found that there is a gap in the final step of the original proof since  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q(\mathbb{Z})$  is no longer a binomial ring. This led us to reprove Eq. (1.1). We expect that this method is also applicable to the  $q$ -deformed Witt–Burnside ring and the Burnside–Grothendieck ring of a profinite group (refer to [10]).

From an aspect of combinatorics,  $q$ -necklace rings are closely related to  $q$ -Möbius functions. The natural transformation  $\varphi_N^q$ , when  $N = \mathbb{N}$ , is given by the left multiplication by an  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrix  $\lambda_q$  defined by

$$\lambda_q(i, j) = \begin{cases} jq^{\frac{i}{j}-1} & \text{if } j \mid i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Motivated by this fact, we introduce a  $q$ -deformation of the classical Möbius function, which can be defined as follows: Let  $q$  be an indeterminate and let  $\zeta_q$  be an  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrix given by

$$\zeta_q(i, j) = \begin{cases} q^{\frac{i}{j}-1} & \text{if } j \mid i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\zeta_q$  is lower triangular with 1 on the diagonal, there exists its inverse, say  $\mu_q$ . Letting  $\mu_q(n)$  be  $\mu_q(n, 1)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it recovers the classical Möbius function when  $q = 1$ . In Section 3, we derive a relation between the  $q$ -Möbius function and the classical Möbius function utilizing the *q-necklace polynomial*

$$M^q(x, n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu_q\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) q^{d-1} x^d$$

and the  $q$ -cyclotomic identity

$$\frac{1}{1 - qxt} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - qt^n} \right)^{M^q(x,n)}.$$

In contrast with the classical Möbius function,  $\mu_q$  is no longer multiplicative except the case  $q = 1, 0, -1$ . Instead it has the following type of pseudo-multiplicative property which can be deduced from Eq. (1.1) (see Section 5):

For positive integers  $m, n$  which are relatively prime, we have

$$\mu_q(mn) = \sum_{d|m, e|n} f_{d,e}(q) \mu_q(d) \mu_q(e),$$

where  $f_{d,e}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$  are subject to the conditions:

- (1)  $f_{m,n}(q) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $f_{d,e}(q)$  are numerical polynomials in  $q$ , that is, it takes integer values at every integer argument, and
- (3)  $q(q^2 - 1)$  divides  $f_{d,e}(q)$  unless  $de = mn$ .

Finally, we remark that  $\mu_q$  has deep connections to the Möbius function due to Petrogradsky [13] which appeared in the context of restricted Lie  $p$ -algebras. This will be studied extensively in connection with necklace rings in Section 4.

## 2. The explicit form of strict natural isomorphism

This section provides the explicit form of the strict natural isomorphism between  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q$  and  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^r$  when  $q, r$  have the same set of prime divisors in  $N$ . To begin with, we deal with the case  $N = \mathbb{N}$ .<sup>1</sup> Assume that  $q, r$  be indeterminates. Let us introduce an  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrix  $\lambda_q$  defined by

$$\lambda_q(i, j) = \begin{cases} jq^{\frac{i}{j}-1} & \text{if } j \mid i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\varphi^q$  (respectively  $\varphi^r$ ) represents the left multiplication by  $\lambda_q$  (respectively  $\lambda_r$ ),  $\mathfrak{n}_q^r(A)$  should be defined by the left multiplication by  $(\lambda_r)^{-1}\lambda_q$  in case  $A = \mathbb{Q}[q, r]$ . It is not difficult to show that each entry of  $(\lambda_r)^{-1}\lambda_q$  is contained in  $\mathbb{Q}[q, r]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (See [12].) Suppose that  $q$  ranges over the set of integers. Then  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q$  is classified up to strict natural isomorphism by the set of prime divisors of  $q$  contained in  $N$ . The set of prime divisors of 0 is assumed to be the set of all primes in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

<sup>1</sup> In this case, the suffix  $N$  will be omitted.

The proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that every entry of  $(\lambda_r)^{-1}\lambda_q$  takes integer values if and only if the set of prime divisors of  $q$  contained in  $N$  coincides with that of  $r$ . In this case,  $(\lambda_r)^{-1}\lambda_q$  can be defined over arbitrary commutative rings since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a universal object in the category of commutative rings. For the computation of the explicit form of the isomorphism, we need the following generalization of necklace polynomials.

**Definition 2.2.** Suppose that  $x, q$  are indeterminates. For each positive integer  $n$ , we define  $M^q(x, n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, q]$  recursively via the following relations:

$$\sum_{d|n} dM^q(x, d)q^{\frac{n}{d}-1} = q^{n-1}x^n, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

When  $q = 1$ ,  $M^q(x, n)$  is called a *necklace polynomial* since it counts primitive necklaces of length  $n$  out of  $x$ -letters. Similarly,  $M^q(x, n)$  has a very natural combinatorial meaning. It counts *primitive  $q$ -necklaces* of length  $n$  out of  $x$ -letters, which was due to Lenart [7]. In this sense,  $M^q(x, n)$  will be called a  *$q$ -necklace polynomial*. Put

$$B = \{nM^q(x, n) : n \geq 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B' = \{q^{n-1}x^n : n \geq 1\}.$$

Since  $B$  and  $B'$  are  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -basis of the polynomial ring  $x\mathbb{Q}(q)[x]$ ,  $\zeta_q$  is nothing but the transition matrix from  $B$  to  $B'$  and  $\mu_q$  the transition matrix from  $B'$  to  $B$ . It is easy to show that

$$(\lambda_q)^{-1}(n, d) = \frac{1}{n}\mu_q(n, d)$$

and

$$M^q(x, n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu_q(n, d)q^{d-1}x^d. \tag{2.1}$$

For convenience, we will use the notation

$$A_n = qM^q(rx, n), \quad B_n = rM^r(qx, n), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{d|n} dA_dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1} = \sum_{d|n} dB_dr^{\frac{n}{d}-1} = (qrx)^n, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \tag{2.2}$$

we have

$$\varphi^q(A_1, A_2, \dots) = \varphi^r(B_1, B_2, \dots).$$

We can rewrite this equation as

$$(B_1, B_2, \dots)^t = (\lambda_r)^{-1}\lambda_q(A_1, A_2, \dots)^t.$$

Here, the superscript  $t$  denotes the transpose of a matrix. Set

$$C = \{A_n : n \geq 1\}, \quad C' = \{B_n : n \geq 1\}.$$

Note that  $C$  and  $C'$  are  $\mathbb{Q}(q, r)$ -bases of  $x\mathbb{Q}(q, r)[x]$  and  $(\lambda_r)^{-1}\lambda_q$  denotes the transition matrix from  $C$  to  $C'$ . Denote by  $g_{i,j}(q, r)$  the  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $(\lambda_r)^{-1}\lambda_q$ . Then, for each positive integer  $n$ , it holds

$$B_n = \sum_{d|n} g_{n,d}(q, r)A_d, \quad n \geq 1.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Under the above notation, the followings hold:*

(a) *For each positive integer  $n$  and a divisor  $d$  of  $n$ ,*

$$g_{n,d}(q, r) = \frac{r}{q} M^r \left( \frac{q}{r}, \frac{n}{d} \right).$$

*Moreover, if  $q, r$  are integers with the same set of prime divisors, then*

$$g_{n,d}(q, r) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

*for every  $n \geq 1$  and  $d | n$ .*

(b) *Assume that  $q, r$  are integers with the same set of prime divisors. Then  $n_q^r$  is given by the left multiplication by the transition matrix from  $C$  to  $C'$  whose entries are given as follows:*

$$n_q^r(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{q} M^r \left( \frac{q}{r}, \frac{i}{j} \right) & \text{if } j | i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** (a) From [11, Section 3.2] it follows that if  $q, r$  have the same set of prime divisors, then  $g_{n,d}(q, r)$  are numerical polynomials in  $q$  and  $r$  taking integer values for all integer arguments. Now, let us now find the explicit form of  $g_{n,d}(q, r)$  with  $d | n$ . To this end, transform Eq. (2.2) into

$$-(B_n - A_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{e|n \\ e \neq n}} e(B_e r^{\frac{n}{e}-1} - A_e q^{\frac{n}{e}-1}), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{2.3}$$

It is straightforward that  $g_{n,n}(q, r) = 1$ . Now, assume that  $d < n$ . Comparing the coefficient of  $A_d$  on both sides of Eq. (2.3) yields

$$-ng_{n,d}(q, r) = \sum_{\substack{d|e|n \\ d < e}} e r^{\frac{n}{e}-1} g_{e,d}(q, r) - dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1}.$$

Put  $n = n'd$  and  $e = d'e$ . Also, we put

$$G_{n'} = g_{n',d}(q, r).$$

Then the above equation is simplified to

$$\sum_{d'|n'} d' r^{\frac{n'}{d'}-1} G_{d'} = q^{n'-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Observe that the left-hand side of Eq. (2.4) coincides with the  $n'$ th component of  $\varphi^r(G_1, G_2, \dots)$  and the  $n'$ th component of

$$\frac{r}{q} \varphi^r \left( M^r \left( \frac{q}{r}, 1 \right), M^r \left( \frac{q}{r}, 2 \right), \dots \right)$$

is given by  $q^{n'-1}$ . Since  $\varphi^q$  is a ring isomorphism over a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, it follows that

$$G_{n'} = \frac{r}{q} M^r \left( \frac{q}{r}, n' \right), \quad n' \geq 1.$$

Therefore we can conclude

$$g_{n,d} = G_{\frac{n}{d}} = \frac{r}{q} M^r \left( \frac{q}{r}, \frac{n}{d} \right).$$

This completes the proof.

(b) It follows from (a).  $\square$

In some special cases,  $(\lambda_r)^{-1} \lambda_q$  can be computed so easily. Here are such examples.

**Example 2.4.**

(a) Let  $r = -q$ . Utilizing

$$M^{-q}(-1, n) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } n \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$g_{n,d} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = n, \\ -M^{-q} \left( -1, \frac{n}{d} \right) & \text{if } \frac{n}{d} \text{ is even, and } d \mid n, d \neq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) Let  $q = 1$  and  $r = -1$ . Combining (a) with the fact

$$M^{-1}(-1, n) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n = 2^k \text{ with } k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

we obtain

$$g_{n,d}(1, -1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = n, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even, and } \frac{n}{d} = 2^k \text{ with } k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c) Let  $q = -1$  and  $r = 1$ . From

$$M(-1, n) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

we obtain

$$g_{n,d}(-1, 1) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } d = n, \\ 1 & \text{if } 2d = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the restriction of index from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $N$  we can establish an analogous result for truncated  $q$ -necklace rings.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Assume that  $q, r$  are integers with the same set of prime divisors in  $N$ . Then the strict natural isomorphism  $\mathfrak{n}_q^r : \mathbf{Nr}_N^q \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}_N^r$  is given by the left multiplication by an  $N \times N$ -matrix whose entries are given as follows:*

$$\mathfrak{n}_q^r(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{r}{q} M^r\left(\frac{q}{r}, \frac{i}{j}\right) & \text{if } j \mid i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### 3. $q$ -Möbius function and $q$ -necklace polynomial

The classical Möbius function,  $\mu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , is given by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^{\omega(n)} & \text{if } n \text{ is square free and } n > 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here,  $\omega(n)$  denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ . It can be better understood in the context of matrices. Let  $\zeta$  be an  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrix defined by

$$\zeta(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \mid i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\zeta$  is lower triangular with 1 on the diagonal. By abuse of notation we denote by  $\mu$  the inverse of  $\zeta$ , and let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be functions satisfying

$$f(n) = \sum_{d \mid n} g(d), \quad n \geq 1,$$

equivalently

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ f(n) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{n \geq 1} = \zeta \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ g(n) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{n \geq 1}.$$

The Möbius inversion formula is then nothing but the relation

$$\mu(i, j) = \begin{cases} \mu\left(\frac{i}{j}\right) & \text{if } j \mid i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $q$  be an indeterminate. As in Introduction,  $\mu_q$  denotes the inverse of  $\zeta_q$ . Note that the relation  $\mu_q \zeta_q = \delta$  is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_q(i, i) &= 1, \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1, \\ \mu_q(i, j) &= - \sum_{\substack{j \mid k \mid i \\ j < k}} q^{\frac{k}{j}-1} \mu_q(i, k), \quad \forall j < i. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $q$  be an indeterminate. The  $q$ -Möbius function, denoted by  $\mu_q$ , is defined to be the function

$$\mu_q : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[q], \quad n \mapsto \mu_q(n, 1), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

From Eq. (3.1) it follows that  $\mu_q(i, j) = \mu_q(i', j')$  if  $i/j = i'/j'$ . Thus, we have

$$\mu_q(n) = \mu_q(nk, k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Applying this result to Eq. (3.1) again yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_q(1) &= 1, \\ \mu_q(n) &= - \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \neq 1}} q^{d-1} \mu_q\left(\frac{n}{d}\right), \quad \forall n > 1. \end{aligned}$$

As in the classical case (more precisely,  $q = 1$ ),  $q$ -necklace polynomials due to Eq. (2.1) play a crucial role in the study of  $q$ -Möbius function. By the definition of  $\mu_q$ , it is straightforward that

$$M^q(x, n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu_q\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) q^{d-1} x^d.$$

If  $q = 1$ , we will omit the superscript  $q$  in  $M^q(x, n)$  and  $\mu_q$ . The following theorem shows the relation between the  $q$ -Möbius function and the classical Möbius function.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(a) If  $n$  has the prime factorization  $p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}$  with  $n_i \geq 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_q(n) &= q \sum_{d \mid n} d M^q\left(\frac{1}{q}, d\right) \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= qn \sum_{d \mid p_1 \dots p_k} \frac{(-1)^{\omega(d)}}{d} M^q\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{n}{d}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here,  $\omega(1)$  is assumed to be 0.



(b) For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\mu(n) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{d|n} dM(q, d)\mu_q\left(\frac{n}{d}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

**Proof.** Since (b) can be proved in the exactly same way as (a), we will prove only (a). Replace  $r$  and  $q$  by  $q$  and 1, respectively. Then, Theorem 2.3 yields

$$qM^q(x, n) = q \sum_{d|n} M^q\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{n}{d}\right)M(qx, d), \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Let  $d$  be a divisor of  $n$ . Comparing the coefficient of  $x$  in both sides of Eq. (3.4) yields the equality

$$\frac{q}{n}\mu_q(n, 1) = q \sum_{d|n} M^q\left(\frac{1}{q}, \frac{n}{d}\right)\frac{1}{d}\mu(d, 1)q.$$

Replacing  $\mu_q(n, 1)$  and  $\mu(d, 1)$  by  $\mu_q(n)$  and  $\mu(d)$ , respectively, gives the first equality. The second equality follows from the definition of  $\mu$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.3.**

(a) Put  $q = -1$  and  $n = 2^k n'$  with  $n'$  odd. From Eqs. (2.5) and (3.2) we have

$$\mu_{-1}(n) = \begin{cases} \mu(n) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 2^{k-1}\mu(n') & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

It is easily seen that  $\mu_{-1}$  is multiplicative, i.e.,

$$\mu_{-1}(mn) = \mu_{-1}(m)\mu_{-1}(n)$$

in case where  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime. Nevertheless, this is not the case in general. For example, if  $p, p'$  are distinct primes, then  $\mu_q(pp') = -q^{pp'-1} + 2q^{p+p'-2}$ , but  $\mu_q(p)\mu_q(p') = q^{p+p'-2}$ . This can be verified by Corollary 3.2. Indeed,  $\mu_q$  is multiplicative only in case where  $q = 1, -1, 0$ .

(b) Substitute  $-1$  for  $q$  in Eq. (3.3). Then, by Eq. (2.6) we come to have

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} \mu_{-1}(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \mu_{-1}(n) - 2\mu_{-1}\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Next, we investigate the properties of  $q$ -necklace polynomials when  $q = -1$  in detail. It is well known that the necklace polynomial has deep connection with the celebrated cyclotomic identity,

$$\frac{1}{1 - xt} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - t^n} \right)^{M(x, n)},$$

which was due to Gauss [5]. Here, if we replace negative sign in the denominators by positive sign, then we obtain a dual version of the cyclotomic identity such as

$$1 + xt = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + t^n)^{N(x,n)}. \tag{3.7}$$

This identity was first introduced in [1,6], and has been called the *cocyclotomic identity*. It is well known that the exponent  $N(x, n)$  is given by

$$N(x, n) = \begin{cases} M(x, n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -\sum_{k \geq 0} M\left(-x, \frac{n}{2^k}\right) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Conventionally, we assume that  $M(x, n)$  is zero for non-integral values  $n$ . More simply, we can write

$$N(x, n) = -\sum_{k \geq 0} M\left(-x, \frac{n}{2^k}\right) \tag{3.8}$$

since  $M(x, n) = -M(-x, n)$  if  $n$  is odd. The cocyclotomic identity can be understood more naturally in the context of the  $q$ -cyclotomic identity which was first introduced in [11]. The explicit form of the  $q$ -cyclotomic identity looks as follows:

$$\frac{1}{1 - qxt} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - qt^n} \right)^{M^q(x,n)}. \tag{3.9}$$

Plugging  $q = -1$  into Eqs. (3.7) and (3.9) gives rise to the formula

$$N(x, n) = M^{-1}(x, n), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Assume that  $n$  is even, say  $n = 2^k n'$  where  $k \geq 1$  and  $n'$  is odd. In [1], it was shown that

$$N(x, n) = -\sum_{i=1}^k M(x, 2^i n'),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^k M(x, 2^i n') = \sum_{i \geq 0} M\left(-x, \frac{n}{2^i}\right).$$

Now, we will provide a relation between  $M(x, n)$  and  $M(-x, n)$ . To this end, we will compute the transition matrix from  $\{M(x, n) : n \geq 1\}$  to  $\{M(-x, n) : n \geq 1\}$ . More generally, put

$$D = \{M^q(x, n) : n \geq 1\}, \quad D' = \{M^q(-x, n) : n \geq 1\}.$$

It is easy to show that  $D$  and  $D'$  are  $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -bases of  $x\mathbb{Q}(q)[x]$ .

**Proposition 3.4.**

(a) For every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $M^q(-x, n)$  is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} -M^q(x, n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ M^q(x, n) + \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \text{ is odd}}} M^q\left(q, \frac{n}{2d}\right) M^q(x, d) & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

(b) The transition matrix  $Q$  from  $D$  to  $D'$  is given as follows:

$$Q(i, j) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \text{ is even,} \\ M^q\left(q, \frac{i}{2j}\right) & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } j \text{ is odd,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c)  $Q = Q^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** (a) This can be proven in a similar way as in Theorem 2.3.

(b) It follows from (a).

(c) The transition matrix from  $D'$  to  $D$  can be obtained by switching  $x$  and  $-x$  into each other in Eq. (3.11). One can observe that this matrix is also given by  $Q$ . This forces  $Q$  be equal to  $Q^{-1}$ .  $\square$

In particular, if  $q = 1$ , we can establish the following formula.

**Corollary 3.5.** For every positive integer  $n$

$$M(-x, n) = \begin{cases} -M(x, n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ M(x, n) & \text{if } 4 \mid n, \\ M(x, n) + M\left(x, \frac{n}{2}\right) & \text{if } 2 \mid n, \text{ but } 4 \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

Finally we close this section by remarking that Eq. (3.9) gives rise to a general cyclotomic identity

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - qt^n} \right)^{M^q(rx, n)} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - rt^n} \right)^{M^r(qx, n)},$$

where  $q, r, x, t$  are indeterminates.

**4. Petrogradsky’s Möbius function and necklace rings, and its relation with  $\mu_q$**

In 2003, Petrogradsky [13] introduced a function analogous to the classical Möbius function in the context of restricted Lie  $p$ -algebras. It is parametrized by the prime integers  $p$ .

**Remark 4.1.** He denoted the above function by  $\mu_p$ , but it is completely different from our  $\mu_p$ . To avoid confusion, we will use  $\mu^{(p)}$  to denote Petrogradsky’s  $\mu_p$  throughout this paper.

In this section, we show how  $\mu^{(p)}$  appears in the context of necklace rings and how it is related with our  $q$ -Möbius function  $\mu_q$ . We start with introducing isomorphic copies of the classical necklace ring. To do this, for each positive integer  $r$ , we introduce the  $r$ th *Verschiebung* operators ( $r \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$V_r : \mathbf{Nr}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}(A), \quad (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (b_{\frac{n}{r}})_{n \geq 1}$$

with  $b_{\frac{n}{r}} = 0$  if  $n/r \notin \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with identity. For each positive integer  $k$  we define

$$\theta_k : \mathbf{Nr}(A) \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad \mathbf{b} \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} V_{ki} \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \geq 1}.$$

**Proposition 4.2.**  $\theta_k$  is bijective.

**Proof.** Define a map

$$\psi_k : A^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}(A), \quad \mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{c} - V_k \mathbf{c}, \quad \mathbf{c} = (c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k \circ \theta_k(\mathbf{b}) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} V_{ki} \mathbf{b} - \sum_{i \geq 1} V_{ki} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}, \\ \theta_k \circ \psi_k(\mathbf{c}) &= \sum_{i \geq 0} V_{ki} \mathbf{c} - \sum_{i \geq 1} V_{ki} \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the bijectiveness of  $\theta_k$ .  $\square$

From the above proof it is obvious that the inverse of  $\theta_k$  is  $\psi_k$ . Let us make  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  into a ring via  $\psi_k$ . We denote it by  $\mathbf{Nr}^{(k)}(A)$ . By definition  $\theta_k$  and  $\psi_k$  are ring isomorphisms. Composing  $\psi_k$  with  $\varphi$ , we obtain a map

$$\varphi^{(k)} : \mathbf{Nr}^{(k)}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{gh}(A).$$

**Proposition 4.3.** As a functor from the category of commutative rings with identity to itself,  $\mathbf{Nr}^{(2)}$  coincides with  $\mathbf{Nr}^{-1}$ .

**Proof.** Put  $k = 2$ . For our purpose it is enough to show that  $\theta_2 = \mathbf{n}_q^r$ , where  $q = 1$  and  $r = -1$ . And, to prove this, we have only to show

$$\theta_2(M(x, 1), M(x, 2), \dots) = \mathbf{n}_q^r(M(x, 1), M(x, 2), \dots). \tag{4.1}$$

The  $n$ th component of the left-hand side of Eq. (4.1) is given by

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} M\left(x, \frac{n}{2^i}\right),$$

which coincides with  $-M^{-1}(-x, n)$  by Eq. (3.10). On the other hand, by Eq. (2.2) we know that the  $n$ th component of the right-hand side should be given by  $-M^{-1}(-x, n)$ , too. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us investigate  $\varphi^{(k)}$  in more detail. For each positive integer  $k$  let us introduce the function

$$1_k(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \nmid n, \\ 1 - k & \text{if } k \mid n. \end{cases}$$

We define an  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  matrix  $\zeta^{(k)}$  by

$$\zeta^{(k)}(n, d) = \begin{cases} 1_k\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) & \text{if } d \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

With this notation one can see that

$$\varphi^{(k)}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \zeta^{(k)} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ nx_n \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{n \geq 1}.$$

Note that  $\zeta^{(k)}$  is invertible since it is a lower triangular matrix with 1 at the diagonals. Denote by  $\mu^{(k)}$  its inverse. From the relation  $\mu^{(k)}\zeta^{(k)} = \delta$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{(k)}(i, i) &= 1, \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1, \\ \mu^{(k)}(i, j) &= - \sum_{\substack{j \mid d \mid i \\ j < d}} \mu^{(k)}(i, d)\zeta^{(k)}(d, j), \quad \text{for all } j < i. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Exploiting Eq. (4.2) one can show easily that  $\mu^{(k)}(i, j) = 0$  unless  $j \mid n$ , and  $\mu^{(k)}(i, j) = \mu^{(k)}(i', j')$  if  $i/j = i'/j'$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $k$  be a positive integer. For every positive integer  $n$ , we define

$$\mu^{(k)} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad n \mapsto \mu^{(k)}(n, 1), \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

By virtue of Eq. (4.2) we have the following recursive form:

$$\mu^{(k)}(1) = 1,$$

$$\mu^{(k)}(n) = - \sum_{\substack{1 < d \\ d|n}} \mu^{(k)}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) 1_k(d), \quad \forall n > 1.$$

**Theorem 4.5.** Fix a positive integer  $k$ . Then we have

(a) For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  write it as  $mk^s$ , where  $k \nmid m$ . Then

$$\mu^{(k)}(n) = \begin{cases} \mu(n) & \text{if } s = 0, \\ k^s \mu(m) + k^{s-1} \mu(mk) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) Let  $k \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$ . Then  $\mu^{(k)}$  is multiplicative if and only if  $k$  is a prime.

**Proof.** (a) Consider the element  $\mathbf{x} = (x, x^2, x^3, \dots) \in \text{gh}(\mathbb{Q}[x])$ . Then the inverse image of  $\mathbf{x}$  for  $\varphi$  is given by  $(M(x, n))_{n \geq 1}$ . On the other hand, the inverse image of  $\mathbf{x}$  for  $\varphi^{(k)}$  is given by  $(M^{(k)}(x, n))_{n \geq 1}$ , where

$$M^{(k)}(x, n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu^{(k)}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) x^d.$$

Thus,

$$M^{(k)}(x, n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} M\left(x, \frac{n}{k^i}\right).$$

Comparing the coefficient of  $x$  in the both sides of the above identity gives rise to the formula

$$\frac{1}{n} \mu^{(k)}(n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{k^i}{n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{k^i}\right).$$

If  $k \nmid n$ , then  $\mu^{(k)}(n) = \mu(n)$ . If not, write it as  $mk^s$ , where  $k \nmid m$  and  $s \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} k^i \mu\left(\frac{n}{k^i}\right) = \sum_{i \geq 0} k^i \mu(mk^{s-i}) = k^s \mu(m) + k^{s-1} \mu(mk).$$

(b) It is not difficult to show “if”-part (see Example 4.6). For the converse, let  $k$  be a composite, say  $k_1 k_2$  with  $(k_1, k_2) = 1$ . Then  $\mu^{(k)}(k_1 k_2) = k$ , but

$$\mu^{(k)}(k_1) \mu^{(k)}(k_2) = \mu(k_1) \mu(k_2) = \mu(k).$$

This shows that  $\mu^{(k)}$  is not multiplicative.  $\square$

**Example 4.6.** Let  $k$  be a prime, say  $p$ . Then

$$\mu^{(p)}(n) = \begin{cases} \mu(n) & \text{if } (p, n) = 1, \\ \mu(m)(p^s - p^{s-1}) & \text{if } n = mp^s, (p, m) = 1, s \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

So, in view of Eq. (3.5), we can conclude that

$$\mu^{(2)} = \mu_{-1}.$$

**5. Pseudo-multiplicative property of  $\mu_q$  and functorial property of truncated  $q$ -necklace rings**

Contrary to the classical Möbius function, our  $q$ -Möbius function  $\mu_q$  is no longer multiplicative in general. However, we show that it has a pseudo-multiplicative property, which can be deduced from a functorial isomorphism of  $q$ -deformed necklace rings.

**Theorem 5.1.** For positive integers  $m, n$  which are relatively prime, we have

$$\mu_q(mn) = \sum_{d|m, e|n} f_{d,e}(q) \mu_q(d) \mu_q(e),$$

where  $f_{d,e}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$  are subject to the conditions

- (1)  $f_{m,n}(q) = 1$ .
- (2)  $f_{d,e}(q)$  are numerical polynomials in  $q$ , that is, it takes an integer value at every integer argument.
- (3)  $q(q^2 - 1)$  divides  $f_{d,e}(q)$  unless  $de = mn$ .

The proof of Theorem 5.1 will appear in Section 5.2. Note that  $\mu_q$  is still multiplicative in case where  $q = 1, 0, -1$ .

*5.1. Functorial property of truncated  $q$ -necklace rings*

To prove the above theorem, we first deal with a functorial property of truncated  $q$ -necklace rings. Let  $M, N$  be truncation sets, and let  $MN := \{mn : m \in M, n \in N\}$ . Then  $MN$  is also a truncation set. In particular, if  $M \cap N = \{1\}$ , then  $MN \cong M \times N$ . Assume that  $q$  is any integer. In [12], it was shown that we have isomorphisms of functors,

$$\mathbf{Nr}_N^q \circ \mathbf{Nr}_M^q \cong \mathbf{Nr}_{MN}^q,$$

for coprime truncation sets  $M$  and  $N$ . However, we found that there exists a gap in the final step of the original proof. In this section, we will reprove it in a different way.

Let  $A$  be any commutative ring. Applying the functoriality of  $\varphi_N^q$ , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Nr}_N^q(\mathbf{Nr}_M^q(A)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_N^q(\mathbf{Nr}_M^q(A))} & \mathbf{gh}_N(\mathbf{Nr}_M^q(A)) \\
 \mathbf{Nr}_N^q(\varphi_M^q(A)) \downarrow & & \varphi_N^q(A)^M \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Nr}_N^q(\mathbf{gh}_M(A)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_N^q(\mathbf{gh}_M(A))} & \mathbf{gh}_N(\mathbf{gh}_M(A)).
 \end{array}$$

Now, assume that  $M \cap N = \{1\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{gh}_N \circ \mathbf{gh}_M$  can be identified with  $\mathbf{gh}_{MN}$ . Under this identification, let us obtain the ring homomorphism

$$\varphi_{M,N}^q(A) : \mathbf{Nr}_N^q(\mathbf{Nr}_M^q(A)) \rightarrow \mathbf{gh}_{MN}(A),$$

which sends  $\mathbf{X} = (X_{mn})_{\substack{m \in M \\ n \in N}}$  to  $(X_{(m,n)}^q)_{\substack{m \in M \\ n \in N}}$ , where

$$X_{(m,n)}^q := \sum_{d|n} dq^{\frac{n}{d}-1} \left( \sum_{c|m} cq^{\frac{m}{c}-1} X_{c,d} \right).$$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $q$  be any integer, and  $M, N$  be truncation sets with  $M \cap N = \{1\}$ . Then there is a unique functorial isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{n}_{M,N}^q : \mathbf{Nr}_N^q \circ \mathbf{Nr}_M^q \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}_{MN}^q$$

satisfying  $\varphi_{M,N}^q = \varphi_{MN}^q \circ \mathbf{n}_{M,N}^q$ .

Before proving our theorem, we prove a lemma. Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of all positive integral divisors of  $n$  can be made into a poset  $D_n$  by defining  $i \leq j$  in  $D_n$  if  $j$  is divisible by  $i$ . If otherwise stated, we enumerate  $D_n$  in the natural order. Let  $q$  be an indeterminate, and let  $m, n$  be positive integers which are relatively prime. In this case,  $D_{mn} = \{cd : c | m, d | n\}$ . From now on, we will fix  $m, n$  which are relatively prime. Consider  $D_{mn} \times D_{mn}$  matrices  $\lambda_q|_{m,n}, \lambda_q|_{mn}$  defined by

$$\lambda_q|_{m,n}(ab, cd) = \begin{cases} cdq^{\frac{a}{c} + \frac{b}{d} - 2} & \text{if } c | a, d | b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_q|_{mn}(ab, cd) = \begin{cases} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cd} - 1} & \text{if } c | a, d | b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It should be remarked that  $\lambda_q|_{mn}$  is the matrix from  $\lambda_q$  by restricting the index set from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $D(mn)$ . Also,  $\lambda_q|_{m,n}$  and  $\lambda_q|_{mn}$  are lower triangular.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $m, n$  be positive integers which are relatively prime. Then every entry of  $(\lambda_q|_{mn})^{-1} \lambda_q|_{m,n}$  has an integral value if  $q$  is an integer.*



**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_{cd})_{\substack{c|m \\ d|n}}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_{cd})_{\substack{c|m \\ d|n}}$ , and

$$\lambda_q|_{mn}\mathbf{Z} = \lambda_q|_{m,n}\mathbf{X}.$$

Our claim is equivalent to saying that  $Z_{ab}$  is a polynomial in  $X_{cd}$ 's with integer coefficients for all  $a | m$  and  $b | n$ . We will justify our argument by the induction on  $ab$ . Note that  $Z_{11} = X_{11}$ . Put  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X_{cd} : c | m, d | n]$ . Applying the induction hypothesis, we can derive that  $Z_{cd} \in R$  for all  $c | a, d | b$  with  $cd < ab$ . Now, we will show that  $Z_{ab} \in R$ . For a prime  $p$  dividing  $ab$ , we denote by  $v_p$  the  $p$ -adic discrete valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  with values in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $v := v_p(ab)$ . From  $\lambda_q|_{mn}\mathbf{Z} = \lambda_q|_{m,n}\mathbf{X}$

$$\sum_{d|b} dq^{\frac{b}{d}-1} \left( \sum_{c|a} cq^{\frac{a}{c}-1} X_{cd} \right) = \sum_{\substack{c|a \\ d|b}} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} Z_{cd}. \tag{5.1}$$

According to the induction hypothesis, we find that  $abZ_{ab} \in R$ . Hence, since  $p$  is an arbitrary prime dividing  $ab$ , we are done if we can show that  $p^v | abZ_{ab}$ . For simplicity, assume that  $p | b$ . Based on Eq. (5.1) let us proceed the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} abZ_{ab} &\equiv \sum_{\substack{c|a, d|b \\ v_p(cd)=v}} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} Z_{cd} \pmod{p^v} \\ &= \sum_{c|a, d|b} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} Z_{cd} - \sum_{c|a, d|\frac{b}{p}} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} Z_{cd} \\ &= \sum_{d|b} dq^{\frac{b}{d}-1} \left( \sum_{c|a} cq^{\frac{a}{c}-1} X_{cd} \right) - \sum_{c|a, d|\frac{b}{p}} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} Z_{cd} \\ &\equiv \sum_{d|\frac{b}{p}} dq^{\frac{b}{d}-1} \left( \sum_{c|a} cq^{\frac{a}{c}-1} X_{cd} \right) - \sum_{c|a, d|\frac{b}{p}} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} Z_{cd} \pmod{p^v}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Set  $b = p^v b'$  and  $d = p^s d'$  with  $(p, b') = (p, d') = 1$  and  $s < v$ . In case where  $p$  divides  $q$ ,

$$dq^{\frac{b}{d}-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^v}$$

since  $q^{\frac{b}{d}-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^v}$ . Similarly,  $dq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^v}$ . Therefore,  $abZ_{ab} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^v}$ . On the other hand, in case where  $(p, q) = 1$ ,

$$dq^{\frac{b}{d}} \equiv dq^{\frac{b}{d'p}} \pmod{p^v}$$

since  $d \equiv 0 \pmod{p^s}$  and  $q^{\frac{b}{d}} \equiv q^{\frac{b}{d'p}} \pmod{p^{v-s}}$ . Furthermore, since  $q$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}/p^v\mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that

$$dq^{\frac{b}{d}-1} \equiv dq^{\frac{b}{d'p}-1} \pmod{p^v}.$$

Similarly, we can verify that  $dq^{\frac{ab}{cd}-1} \equiv dq^{\frac{ab}{cdp}-1} \pmod{p^v}$ . Applying this data to Eq. (5.2) yields

$$abZ_{ab} \equiv \sum_{d|\frac{b}{p}} dq^{\frac{b}{dp}-1} \left( \sum_{c|a} cq^{\frac{a}{c}-1} X_{cd} \right) - \sum_{c|a, d|\frac{b}{p}} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cdp}-1} Z_{cd} \pmod{p^v}. \tag{5.3}$$

Due to  $\zeta_{mn}\mathbf{Z} = \zeta_{m,n}\mathbf{X}$  we have

$$\sum_{d|\frac{b}{p}} dq^{\frac{b}{dp}-1} \left( \sum_{c|a} cq^{\frac{a}{c}-1} X_{cd} \right) = \sum_{c|a, d|\frac{b}{p}} cdq^{\frac{ab}{cdp}-1} Z_{cd} \pmod{p^v},$$

which implies that the right-hand side of Eq. (5.3) is zero. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 5.2.** Note that  $\mathbf{Nr}_N^q \circ \mathbf{Nr}_M^q$ ,  $\mathbf{Nr}_{MN}^q$ , and  $\mathbf{gh}_{MN}$  are represented by the polynomial ring

$$R := \mathbb{Z}[X_{mn} : m \in M, n \in N]$$

with variables  $X_{mn}$ . Set  $\mathbf{X} = (X_{mn})_{\substack{m \in M \\ n \in N}}$ . By Yoneda’s Lemma, a functorial map

$$\mathbf{n}_{M,N}^q : \mathbf{Nr}_N^q \circ \mathbf{Nr}_M^q \rightarrow \mathbf{Nr}_{MN}^q$$

satisfying  $\varphi_{M,N}^q = \varphi_{MN}^q \circ \mathbf{n}_{M,N}^q$  corresponds to an element

$$\mathbf{Z} = (Z_{mn})_{\substack{m \in M \\ n \in N}} \in \mathbf{Nr}_{MN}^q(R),$$

where  $\varphi_{M,N}^q(\mathbf{X}) = \varphi_{MN}^q(\mathbf{Z})$ . Now, the uniqueness of  $\mathbf{n}_{M,N}^q$  is obvious since such a  $\mathbf{Z}$  is uniquely determined if exists. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{n}_{M,N}^q$  is an isomorphism if and only if

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[Z_{mn} : m \in M, n \in N]. \tag{5.4}$$

It follows from

$$Z_{mn} - X_{mn} \in \mathbb{Q}[X_{cd} : c | m, d | n, \text{ and } cd < mn],$$

and Lemma 5.3. So, we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 5.4.** When  $q = 1, 0, -1$ , then  $\lambda_q|_{m,n} = \lambda_q|_{mn}$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{n}_{M,N}^q$  turns out to be the identity transformation.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.1

Now, we are ready to show that Theorem 5.2 yields a pseudo-multiplicative property of  $\mu_q$  where  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From now on, all  $q$ -necklace rings are supposed to be defined over a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. Assume that  $m, n$  are positive integers which are relatively prime. Let  $M$  (respectively  $N$ ) be the set of all divisors of  $m$  (respectively  $n$ ). For simplicity of notation, we let

$$\mathbf{X}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ X_{cd} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Y}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ Y_{cd} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N}$$

for every  $d \in N$ . In addition, we let  $\lambda_q|_{M,N}, \lambda_q|_{MN}, \lambda_q|_M, \lambda_q|_N$  denote matrices representing  $\varphi_{M,N}^q, \varphi_{MN}^q, \varphi_M^q, \varphi_N^q$ , respectively. Since

$$\lambda_q|_{M,N} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_d \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_d \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N}$$

is equivalent to

$$\lambda_q|_N \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \lambda_q|_M \mathbf{X}_d \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_d \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \lambda_q|_M \mathbf{X}_d \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N} = (\lambda_q|_N)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_d \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}_{d \in N}.$$

Comparing the  $n$ th component in both sides of the above equality gives rise to

$$\lambda_q|_M \mathbf{X}_n = \sum_{d|n} (\lambda_q|_N)^{-1}(n, d) \mathbf{Y}_d.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{X}_n = (\lambda_q|_M)^{-1} \left( \sum_{d|n} (\lambda_q|_N)^{-1}(n, d) \mathbf{Y}_d \right).$$

Thus, the  $m$ th entry of  $\mathbf{X}_n$  is given by

$$X_{mn} = \sum_{\substack{c|m \\ d|n}} (\lambda_q|_M)^{-1}(m, c) (\lambda_q|_N)^{-1}(n, d) Y_{cd}. \tag{5.5}$$

On the other hand, from the commutativity relation  $\varphi_{M,N}^q = \varphi_{MN}^q \circ \mathfrak{n}_{M,N}^q$  it follows that

$$\mathfrak{n}_{M,N}^q \left( \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_d \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \right)_{d \in N} = (\lambda_q|_{MN})^{-1} \left( \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ Y_{cd} \\ \vdots \end{matrix} \right)_{c \in M, d \in N}. \tag{5.6}$$

Combining Theorem 5.2 with Eq. (5.5) we can show that the  $(m, n)$ th component of the left-hand side of Eq. (5.6) is given by

$$\sum_{d|m, e|n} g_{d,e}(q) \sum_{\substack{i|d \\ j|e}} (\lambda_q|M)^{-1}(d, i) (\lambda_q|N)^{-1}(e, j) Y_{ij}, \tag{5.7}$$

for some polynomials  $g_{d,e}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q]$  taking integral values for all integer arguments. Obviously it coincides with that of the right-hand side of Eq. (5.6), i.e.,

$$\sum_{\substack{c|m \\ d|n}} (\lambda_q|_{MN})^{-1}(mn, cd) Y_{cd}. \tag{5.8}$$

Compare the coefficient of  $Y_{1,1}$  of Eq. (5.7) with that of Eq. (5.8) to deduce

$$\sum_{d|m, e|n} g_{d,e}(q) (\lambda_q|M)^{-1}(d, 1) (\lambda_q|N)^{-1}(e, 1) = (\lambda_q|_{MN})^{-1}(mn, 1). \tag{5.9}$$

By applying the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_q|M)^{-1}(d, 1) &= \frac{1}{d} \mu^q(d), \\ (\lambda_q|N)^{-1}(e, 1) &= \frac{1}{e} \mu^q(e), \\ (\lambda_q|_{MN})^{-1}(mn, 1) &= \frac{1}{mn} \mu^q(mn) \end{aligned}$$

to Eq. (5.9), we can deduce

$$\mu_q(mn) = \sum_{d|m, e|n} \frac{mn}{de} g_{d,e}(q) \mu_q(d) \mu_q(e). \tag{5.10}$$

Letting  $f_{d,e}(q)$  be  $\frac{mn}{de} g_{d,e}(q)$ , it satisfies

- (1)  $f_{m,n}(q) = 1$ , and
- (2)  $f_{d,e}(q)$  are numerical polynomials in  $q$ , that is, it takes an integer value at every integer argument.

Furthermore, when  $q = 1, 0, -1$ ,  $n_{M,N}^q$  is the identity transformation (refer to Remark 5.4). In this case, it holds

$$\mu_q(mn) = \mu_q(m)\mu_q(n).$$

This implies that  $q(q^2 - 1)$  divides  $f_{d,e}(q)$  unless  $de = mn$ . So, we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** Assume that  $m, n > 1$ ,  $(m, n) = 1$ . Choose distinct primes  $p, p'$  such that  $p \mid m$ ,  $p' \mid n$ . We have already shown that  $1, 0, -1$  are common roots of  $f_{d,e}(q)$ 's for  $d \mid m$ ,  $e \mid n$ ,  $de < mn$ . On the other hand, one can show

$$Z_{mn} = X_{mn} + 0X_{\frac{m}{p}p'} + 0X_{m\frac{n}{p'}} + \frac{q^{p+p'-2}(1 - q^{(p-1)(p'-1)})}{pp'} X_{\frac{m}{p}\frac{n}{p'}} + \dots,$$

and which implies

$$f_{\frac{m}{p}, \frac{n}{p'}}(q) = q^{p+p'-2}(1 - q^{(p-1)(p'-1)}) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{m, \frac{n}{p'}}(q) = f_{m, \frac{n}{p'}}(q) = 0, \dots$$

Hence, we can conclude that the common roots are exactly  $1, 0, -1$ . This implies that  $\mu_q$  is multiplicative if and only if  $q = 1, 0, -1$ .

### 5.3. Multiplicativity of $\mu^{(p)}$ and truncated aperiodic rings

In Section 4 we remarked that  $\mu^{(p)}$  is multiplicative for every prime  $p$ . In this section, we show very briefly that it can be connected to a functorial property of certain truncated rings. In [14], Varadarajan and Wehrhahn introduced the notion of *the aperiodic ring* and investigated its properties and relations with the ring of Witt vectors over a torsion-free ring. The aperiodic ring  $\text{Ap}(A)$  over a commutative ring  $A$  with identity can be characterized by the following properties:

- (Ap1) As a set, it is  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ .
- (Ap2) For any ring homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , the map  $\text{Ap}(f) : \mathbf{a} \mapsto (f(a_n))_{n \geq 1}$  is a ring homomorphism for  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \text{Ap}(A)$ .
- (Ap3) The maps  $\eta_m : \text{Ap}(A) \rightarrow A$  defined by

$$\mathbf{a} \mapsto \sum_{d \mid m} a_d \quad \text{for } \mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \geq 1}$$

are ring homomorphisms.

Given a truncation set  $N$  and a positive integer  $k$ , we define  $\text{Ap}_N^{(k)}$  by a unique covariant functor from the category of commutative rings with identity into itself characterized by the following conditions:

- (1) As a set,  $\text{Ap}_N^{(k)}(A)$  equals  $A^N$ .
- (2) For any ring homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , the map  $\text{Ap}_N^{(k)}(f) : (X_n)_{n \in N} \mapsto (f(X_n))_{n \in N}$  is a ring homomorphism.

(3) The map

$$\eta_N^{(k)} : \text{Ap}_N^{(k)}(A) \rightarrow \text{gh}_N(A), \quad (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left( \sum_{d|n} \zeta_N^{(k)}(n, d) X_d^{\frac{n}{d}} \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is a ring homomorphism. Here,  $\zeta_N^{(k)}$  denotes the matrix induced from  $\zeta^{(k)}$  by the restriction of index from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $N$ .

Given truncation sets  $M, N$  with  $M \cap N = \{1\}$ , we let  $\varphi_{M,N}^{(k)} : \text{Ap}_N^{(k)} \circ \text{Ap}_M^{(k)} \rightarrow \text{gh}_{MN}$  be the natural transformation such that for any commutative ring  $A$  with identity

$$\eta_{M,N}^{(k)}(A) : \text{Ap}_N^{(k)}(\text{Ap}_M^{(k)}(A)) \rightarrow \text{gh}_N(\text{gh}_M(A))$$

sends  $\mathbf{X} = (X_{mn})_{\substack{m \in M \\ n \in N}}$  to  $(X_{(m,n)}^{(k)})_{\substack{m \in M \\ n \in N}}$ , where

$$X_{(m,n)}^{(k)} = \sum_{d|n} \zeta_N^{(k)}(n, d) \left( \sum_{c|m} \zeta_M^{(k)}(m, c) X_{c,d} \right).$$

Since  $M \cap N = \{1\}$  we can naturally identify  $\text{gh}_N(\text{gh}_M(A))$  with  $\text{gh}_{MN}(A)$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $p = 1$  or a prime, and let  $M, N$  be truncation sets with  $M \cap N = \{1\}$ . Then, there is a unique functorial isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{n}_{M,N}^{(p)} : \text{Ap}_N^{(p)} \circ \text{Ap}_M^{(p)} \rightarrow \text{Ap}_{MN}^{(p)}$$

satisfying  $\eta_{M,N}^{(p)} = \eta_{MN}^{(p)} \circ \mathfrak{n}_{M,N}^{(p)}$ .

**Proof.** Let

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[X_{m,n} : m, n \geq 1],$$

and let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 1}$ . We also let

$$\mathbf{Z} = (Z_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 1} := (\eta_{MN}^{(p)})^{-1}(\eta_{M,N}^{(p)}(\mathbf{X})) \in \text{Ap}_{MN}^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes R).$$

For our purpose it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{Z} \in \text{Ap}_{MN}^{(p)}(R)$ . We will show that

$$Z_{m,n} = X_{m,n}$$

for all  $m, n \geq 1$ . But, this is obvious since

$$\zeta^{(p)}(n, d) \zeta^{(p)}(m, c) = \zeta^{(p)}(mn, cd)$$

for  $c, d, m, n$  with  $c | m, d | n$ , and  $(m, n) = 1$ .  $\square$

With the above theorem, one can derive the multiplicativity of  $\mu^{(p)}$  by following the way identical to the proof of Theorem 5.1.

### 6. More on the natural transformation $n_q^r$

#### 6.1. $n_q^r$ and $q$ -deformed Grothendieck’s formal power series ring

In 1956, Grothendieck introduced a functor  $\Lambda$  by endowing a ring structure on the set

$$1 + A[[t]]^+ = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n t^n : a_n \in A, \forall n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

As a set,  $\Lambda(A)$  is the same as  $1 + A[[t]]^+$ . To explain its ring structure let us introduce indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots; y_1, y_2, \dots$ . And then, we define  $s_i$  (respectively  $\sigma_j$ ) to be the symmetric functions in variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  (respectively  $y_1, y_2, \dots$ ), that is,

$$(1 + s_1 t + s_2 t^2 + \dots) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i t},$$

$$(1 + \sigma_1 t + \sigma_2 t^2 + \dots) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - y_i t}.$$

Set  $P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$  to be the coefficient of  $t^n$  in

$$\prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j t}.$$

Then, the ring structure on  $\Lambda(A)^2$  is given by the following rules:

- (1)  $\oplus$ : Addition is just multiplication of power series.
- (2)  $\star$ : Multiplication is given by

$$\left( 1 + \sum a_n t^n \right) \star \left( 1 + \sum b_n t^n \right) = 1 + \sum P_n(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n) t^n.$$

We now, if possible, define a symmetric map

$$s_r : \mathbf{Nr}(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(A), \quad (b_1, b_2, \dots) \mapsto \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - t^n} \right)^{b_n}.$$

From [9] it follows that  $s_r$  is a ring isomorphism if  $A$  has structure of a binomial ring. Here, a binomial ring means a special  $\lambda$ -ring whose all Adams operations are identity, that is  $\Psi^n = 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Furthermore, in that case, the diagram

---

<sup>2</sup> Indeed,  $\Lambda(A)$  has even more structure called *special  $\lambda$ -ring*. For more information refer to [9,12].

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Nr}(A) & \xrightarrow{s_t} & \Lambda(A) \\
 \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \frac{d}{dt} \log \\
 \mathbf{gh}(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota} & A[[t]]
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Here,

$$\iota(d_1, d_2, \dots) = \sum_{n \geq 1} d_n t^{n-1}.$$

Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with 1 and assume that  $q \cdot 1$  is invertible in  $A$ . Consider the map

$$\beta^q : \Lambda(A) \rightarrow 1 + A[[t]]^+, \quad f(t) \mapsto f(t)^q.$$

It is easy to show that  $\beta^q$  is bijective. We define  $\Lambda^q(A)$  by the ring whose underlying set is  $1 + A[[t]]^+$  and whose ring operations are transported from  $\Lambda(A)$  via the map  $\beta^q$ .

Now, for a binomial ring  $A$ , consider the map

$$s_t^q : \mathbf{Nr}^q(A) \rightarrow \Lambda^q(A), \quad (b_1, b_2, \dots) \mapsto \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - qt^n} \right)^{b_n}.$$

**Proposition 6.1.** (See [11].) *Let  $q$  be a non-zero integer. If  $A$  is a binomial ring in which  $q \cdot 1$  is a unit, then  $s_t^q$  is a ring isomorphism. Moreover, the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Nr}^q(A) & \xrightarrow{s_t^q} & \Lambda^q(A) \\
 \varphi^q \downarrow & & \downarrow \frac{d}{dt} \log \\
 \mathbf{gh}(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_q} & A[[t]]
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Here,

$$\iota_q(d_1, d_2, \dots) = q \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} d_n t^{n-1}.$$

Suppose that  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{r}]$ -algebra. Note that it is a binomial ring, and  $q \cdot 1$  and  $r \cdot 1$  are invertible in  $A$ . It is almost straightforward that the map,

$$\iota_q^r : \Lambda^q(A) \rightarrow \Lambda^r(A), \quad f(t) \mapsto f(t)^{\frac{r}{q}},$$

is a ring isomorphism since  $\iota_q^r \circ \beta^q = \beta^r$ . Letting

$$\gamma_q^r := (s_t^r)^{-1} \circ \iota_q^r \circ s_t^q,$$

we have the following commutative diagram:



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Nr}^q(A) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_q^r} & \mathbf{Nr}^r(A) \\
 s_t^q \downarrow & & \downarrow s_t^r \\
 \Lambda^q(A) & \xrightarrow{\iota_q^r} & \Lambda^r(A).
 \end{array}$$

Letting

$$(y_n)_{n \geq 1} = \gamma_q^r((x_n)_{n \geq 1}),$$

it holds

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - qt^n} \right)^{\frac{r}{q} x_n} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1}{1 - rt^n} \right)^{y_n}.$$

Taking logarithm on both sides and then computing the coefficient of  $t^n$  gives rise to the identity

$$\sum_{d|n} d \left( \frac{r}{q} x_d \right) q^{\frac{n}{d}} = \sum_{d|n} dy_d r^{\frac{n}{d}}, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \tag{6.1}$$

Canceling  $q$  and  $r$  out in the both sides of Eq. (6.1), we obtain the relation

$$\varphi^q(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \varphi^r(y_1, y_2, \dots),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(y_n)_{n \geq 1} = \mathfrak{n}_q^r((x_n)_{n \geq 1}).$$

Thus we can establish the following result.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $q$  and  $r$  be non-zero integers. Suppose that  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{r}]$ -algebra. Then,  $\mathfrak{n}_q^r = \gamma_q^r$ .*

6.2.  $\mu^{(p)}$  and restricted free Lie  $p$ -algebras

Finally, we investigate the relation between the Möbius function  $\mu^{(p)}$  and the denominator identity of a restricted free Lie  $p$ -algebra. Note that, for any positive integer  $k$ ,  $s_t \circ \psi_k : \mathbf{Nr}^{(k)}(A) \rightarrow \Lambda(A)$  is given by the rule

$$\mathbf{b} \mapsto \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1 - t^{kn}}{1 - t^n} \right)^{b_n}.$$

For the definition of  $s_t$  see Section 6.1. Set  $s_t^{(k)} := s_t \circ \psi_k$ . Obviously,  $s_t^{(k)}$  is a ring isomorphism and  $s_t = s_t^{(k)} \circ \theta_k$ , that is,

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1-t^n} \right)^{b_n} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1-t^{kn}}{1-t^n} \right)^{b_n^{(k)}}, \tag{6.2}$$

where  $b_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_{n/ki}$  with  $b_n = 0$  for non-integral values  $n$ . In particular, if  $k = 2$ , Eq. (6.2) gives rise to the following formula.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Set*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+t^n)^{b_n} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-t^n)^{d_n}.$$

Then

- (a)  $b_n = -d_n^{(2)},$
- (b)  $d_n = \begin{cases} -b_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ -b_n + b_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

**Proof.** Let  $k = 2$ . Then Eq. (6.2) looks like

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-t^n)^{-b_n} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+t^n)^{b_n^{(2)}}.$$

This proves our assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.4.** *For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$\frac{1}{1-xt} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1-t^{kn}}{1-t^n} \right)^{M^{(k)}(x,n)}, \tag{6.3}$$

where  $M^{(k)}(x, n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} M(x, \frac{n}{k^i})$ .

**Proof.** This can be derived by combining the cyclotomic identity with Eq. (6.2).  $\square$

We observe that Eq. (6.3) has deep connection with the denominator identity of a restricted free Lie  $p$ -algebras. To show this, let  $p$  be a prime and  $L = L_p(X)$  be the free Lie  $p$ -algebra generated by the alphabet  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ . It is well known that the dimension of the  $n$ th homogeneous component of  $L$  equals  $M^{(p)}(m, n)$  and its denominator identity is given by

$$\frac{1}{1-mt} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{1-t^{pn}}{1-t^n} \right)^{M^{(p)}(m,n)}.$$

One can see that the above identity can be obtained by the substitution of  $x = m$  in Eq. (6.3).

## Acknowledgment

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to the referee for his/her valuable advices.

## References

- [1] H.L. Buchanan, A. Knopfmacher, M.E. Mays, On the cyclotomic identity and related product expansions, *Australas. J. Combin.* 8 (1993) 233–245.
- [2] P. Cartier, Groupes formels associés aux anneaux de Witt generalises, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A–B* 265 (1967) A49–A52.
- [3] A. Dress, C. Siebeneicher, The Burnside ring of profinite groups and the Witt vectors construction, *Adv. Math.* 70 (1988) 87–132.
- [4] A. Dress, C. Siebeneicher, The Burnside ring of the infinite cyclic group and its relation to the necklace algebra,  $\lambda$ -ring and the Universal ring of the Witt vectors, *Adv. Math.* 78 (1989) 1–41.
- [5] C.F. Gauss, *Werke*, Band II, Königliche Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften, Göttingen, 1863, pp. 219–222.
- [6] G. Labelle, P. Leroux, An extension of the exponential formula in enumerative combinatorics, *Electron. J. Combin.* 3 (2) (1996) 1–14.
- [7] C. Lenart, Formal group-theoretic generalization of the necklace algebra, including a  $q$ -deformation, *J. Algebra* 199 (1998) 703–732.
- [8] N. Metropolis, G.-C. Rota, Witt vectors and the algebra of necklaces, *Adv. Math.* 50 (1983) 95–125.
- [9] Y.-T. Oh, Necklace rings and logarithmic functions, *Adv. Math.* 205 (2) (2006) 434–486.
- [10] Y.-T. Oh,  $q$ -Deformation of Witt–Burnside rings, *Math. Z.* 257 (2007) 151–191.
- [11] Y.-T. Oh, Classification of the ring of Witt vectors and the necklace ring associated with the formal group law  $X + Y - qXY$ , *J. Algebra* 310 (1) (2007) 325–350.
- [12] Y.-T. Oh, Nested Witt vectors and their  $q$ -deformation, *J. Algebra* 309 (2) (2007) 683–710.
- [13] V.M. Petrogradsky, Witt’s formula for restricted Lie algebras, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 30 (1–2) (2003) 219–227.
- [14] K. Varadarajan, K. Wehrhahn, Aperiodic rings, necklace rings, and Witt vectors, *Adv. Math.* 81 (1990) 1–29.