Linear Algebra and its Applications 436 (2012) 4400-4411



On the signless Laplacian index of cacti with a given number of pendant vertices ${}^{\bigstar}$

Shuchao Li^{a,*}, Minjie Zhang^{a,b}

^a Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, PR China
 ^b Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Huangshi Institute of Technology, Huangshi 435003, PR China

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 16 June 2010 Accepted 24 March 2011 Available online 4 May 2011

Submitted by S. Fallat

AMS classification: 05C50 15A18

Keywords: Signless Laplacian index Cactus Pendant vertex Perfect matching

ABSTRACT

A connected graph G is a cactus if any two of its cycles have at most one common vertex. In this article, we determine graphs with the largest signless Laplacian index among all the cacti with n vertices and k pendant vertices. As a consequence, we determine the graph with the largest signless Laplacian index among all the cacti with n vertices; we also characterize the n-vertex cacti with a perfect matching having the largest signless Laplacian index.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Spectral graph theory [1,2,4,6,7] studies properties of graphs using the spectrum of related matrices. We consider only simple graphs (i.e., finite, undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges). Let $G = (V_G, E_G)$ be a simple graph on n vertices and m edges (so $n = |V_G|$ is its order, and $m = |E_G|$ is its size). The most studied matrix associated with G appears to be *adjacency matrix* $A = (a_{ij})$ where $a_{ij} = 1$ if v_i and v_j of the graph G are adjacent and 0 otherwise. Another well studied matrix is the *Laplacian*, defined by L = D - A where D is the diagonal matrix with degrees of the vertices on the main diagonal (see [1,12,22]). The matrix Q = D + A is called the *signless Laplacian* matrix of G (see [8]) and has attracted the attention of many researchers. Computer investigations of graphs with up

* Corresponding author.

^{*} Financially supported by self-determined research funds of CCNU (CCNU09Y01005 and CCNU09Y01018) from the colleges' basic research and operation of MOE and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11071096).

E-mail addresses: lscmath@mail.ccnu.edu.cn (S. Li), zmj1982@21cn.com (M. Zhang).

^{0024-3795/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2011.03.065

to 11 vertices [11] suggest that the spectrum of D + A performs better than the spectrum of A or D - A in distinguishing non-isomorphic graphs.

If N is the vertex-edge incidence matrix of G then

$$NN^T = D + A, \quad N^T N = A(\hat{L}(G)) + 2I,$$

where $A(\hat{L}(G))$ is the adjacency matrix of the line graph $\hat{L}(G)$. In particular, D + A is positive semidefinite. It is also easy to see that the matrix Q = D + A is real symmetric, the eigenvalues of Q can be arranged as $q_1(Q) \ge q_2(Q) \ge \cdots \ge q_n(Q) \ge 0$, where $q_1(Q)$ is the signless Laplacian index of graph G. If in addition G is connected, there exists a unique (up to multiples) and (entrywise) positive eigenvector, say $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$, corresponding to this index. It will be convenient to associate a labelling of vertices of G (with respect to \mathbf{x}) in which x_v is a label of v. The signless Laplacian characteristic polynomial of G, equal to det(xI - Q), is denoted by $\psi(G, x)$ (or, for short, by $\psi(G)$).

Recently there is a lot of work on the signless Laplacian eigenvalues, especially the signless Laplacian index of a graph. The papers [8-10] give a survey on this work. Several bounds for the signless Laplacian index can be found in [11-14], and the relations between this index and graph parameters are discussed in [13-21,24-28]. The least signless Laplacian eigenvalues is also studied; see e.g., [5,13]. Other work can be found in [23] for the Q-spread.

In [29], Zhu proposed the following problem concerning the signless Laplacian index: Given a set of graphs \mathcal{G} , find an upper bound for the signless Laplacian index and characterize the graphs in which the maximal signless Laplacian index is attained. The problem proposed by Zhu in [29] is actually the signless Laplacian version of the classical Brualdi-Solheid problem for the adjacency matrix; see [3]. In this paper, we study the same question for $\mathcal{G}_{n,k}$, a set of polycyclic graphs (called cacti) in which any two of its cycles have at most one common vertex and each cactus is connected containing k pendant vertices.

In order to state our results, we introduce some notation and terminology. Other undefined notation may refer to [2]. Denote by C_n and P_n the cycle and the path with n vertices, respectively. G - v, G - uv denote the graph obtained from G by deleting a vertex $v \in V_G$, or an edge $uv \in E_G$, respectively (this notation is naturally extended if more than one vertex, or edge, is deleted). Similarly, G + uv is a graph that arises from G by adding an edge $uv \notin E_G$, where $u, v \in V_G$. For $uv \in E(G)$, let G_{uv} be the graph obtained from G by subdividing the edge uv, that is, by replacing uv with edges uw and wv, where w is an additional vertex. For $v \in V_G$, d(v) denotes the degree of vertex v and N(v) denotes the set of all neighbors of vertex $v \in V_G$. An *internal path* is a path or a cycle, in which the initial and terminal vertices have degree at least three and the internal vertices have degree two.

2. Preliminaries

In order to complete the proof of our main results we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 [19]. Let u and v be two distinct vertices of a connected graph G. Suppose that $w_1, w_2, ..., w_s(s \ge 1)$ are neighbors of v but not u and they are all different from u. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ be the Perron vector of Q(G), and let H be obtained from G by deleting the edges vw_i and adding the edges uw_i for i = 1, 2, ..., s. If $x_v \le x_u$, then $q_1(G) < q_1(H)$.

From the Perron–Frobenius Theorem of non-negative matrices, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 [14]. If G' is a proper subgraph of a connected graph G, then $q_1(G') < q_1(G)$.

Lemma 2.3 [17]. Let *G* be a connected graph and let *uv* be an edge on an internal path of *G*. Let G_{uv} be obtained from *G* by subdividing the edge *uv*. Then $q_1(G_{uv}) < q_1(G)$.

Let *H* be a connected (n - m)-vertex graph with $u_0 \in V_H$ having *k* pendants if u_0 is a pendant and k - 1 pendants otherwise. Set G_1 (resp. G_2) be an *n*-vertex graph obtained from *H* by attaching P_{m+1}



Fig. 3. Graphs G₅, G₆, G₇ and G₈.

G,

 G_{8}

(resp. P_{m-1} and C_3) to u_0 (see Fig. 1); H_0 (resp. H_1) be an *n*-vertex graph obtained from H by attaching $\frac{m-1}{2}$ C_3 's and a P_2 (resp. $\frac{m-2}{2}$ C_3 's and a P_3) to u_0 when m is odd (resp. even), where $m \ge 3$. Graphs H_0 and H_1 are depicted in Fig. 1.

Lemma 2.4. Let G_1 , G_2 , H_0 and H_1 be graphs as shown in Fig. 1. Then

(i) $q_1(G_1) < q_1(G_2);$ (ii) $q_1(G_1) < q_1(H_0)$ or $q_1(G_1) < q_1(H_1).$

Proof. (i) Let $P_{m+1} = u_0 u_1 \dots u_m (m \ge 3)$. Now, consider the Perron vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ of $Q(G_1)$. If $x_{u_0} \ge x_{u_2}$, let $G' = G_1 - u_2 u_3 + u_0 u_3$; otherwise, let $G' = G_1 - \{u_0 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_1\}\} + \{u_2 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_1\}\}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $q_1(G_1) < q_1(G')$. It is easy to see that $G_2 = G' + u_0 u_2$, then in view of Lemma 2.2, we have $q_1(G') < q_1(G_2)$. So we have $q_1(G_1) < q_1(G_2)$.

(ii) If m = 3 or, 4, it is easy to see that $H_0 \cong G_2$ or, $H_1 \cong G_2$. Then in view of (i), our result holds immediately. If $m \ge 5$, by repeatedly applying (i) to G_2 , then we can finally get a graph H_0 when m is odd or, a graph H_1 when m is even. Therefore, $q_1(G_1) < q_1(H_0)$ or, $q_1(G_1) < q_1(H_1)$.

This completes the proof. \Box

Let *W* be a connected (n - 4)-vertex graph with $u_0 \in V_W$ having k - 1 pendants if u_0 is a pendant and k - 2 pendants otherwise. The graph G_3 is the graph obtained from *W* by attaching two paths of length 2 to u_0 ; see Fig. 2. Set $G_4 = G_3 - zw + \{u_0w, u_0t\}$ (see Fig. 2). It is easy to see that G_3 (resp. G_4) is an *n*-vertex graph with *k* pendant vertices.

Lemma 2.5. Let G_3 and G_4 be the graphs as depicted in Fig. 2. Then $q_1(G_3) < q_1(G_4)$.

Proof. Consider the Perron vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ of G_3 . If $x_{u_0} \ge x_z$, then let $G' = G_3 - zw + u_0w$; otherwise, let $G' = G_3 - \{u_0u|u \in N(u_0) \setminus \{z\}\} + \{zu|u \in N(u_0) \setminus \{z\}\}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 we get $q_1(G_3) < q_1(G')$. On the other hand, it is straightforward to check that $G_4 = G' + u_0t$ if $x_{u_0} \ge x_z$ and $G_4 = G' + zt$ otherwise. Therefore, our result follows by Lemma 2.2.

This completes the proof. \Box

Let *Y* be a connected (n - m + 1)-vertex graph with $u_0 \in V_Y$ having k + 1 pendants if u_0 is a pendant and k pendants otherwise. Let G_5 (resp. G_6) be an n-vertex graph obtained from *Y* by attaching C_m



Fig. 5. Graphs H₄ and H₅.

(resp. C_{m-2} and C_3) to u_0 (see Fig. 3); G_7 (resp. G_8) be an *n*-vertex graph obtained from *Y* by attaching $\frac{m-1}{2}$ C_3 's (resp. $\frac{m-4}{2}$ C_3 's and a C_4) to u_0 when *m* is odd (resp. even), where $m \ge 5$. Graphs G_7 and G_8 are depicted in Fig. 3.

Lemma 2.6. Let G_5 , G_6 , G_7 and G_8 be the graphs defined as above (see Fig. 3). Then

(i) $q_1(G_5) < q_1(G_6)$; (ii) $q_1(G_5) < q_1(G_7)$ or, $q_1(G_5) < q_1(G_8)$.

Proof. (i) Let $C_m = u_0 u_1 \dots u_{m-1} u_0 \ (m \ge 5)$. Now, we consider the Perron vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ of G_5 . If $x_{u_0} \ge x_{u_3}$, then let $G' = G_5 - u_2 u_3 + u_0 u_2$; otherwise, let $G' = G_5 - \{u_0 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_{m-1}\}\} + \{u_3 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_{m-1}\}\}$. By Lemma 2.1, we get $q_1(G_5) < q_1(G')$. Note that $G_6 = G' + u_0 u_3$, hence by Lemma 2.2 we have $q_1(G') < q_1(G_6)$, i.e., $q_1(G_5) < q_1(G_6)$.

(ii) If m = 5, 6, then in view of (i) we know that our result holds. If $m \ge 7$, by repeatedly applying (i) to G_5 , we can finally get graph G_7 when m is odd or, get graph G_8 when m is even. So, $q_1(G_5) < q_1(G_7)$ or $q_1(G_5) < q_1(G_8)$.

This completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 2.7. Let H_2 and H_3 be the graphs as depicted in Fig. 4, where U is a connected (n - 6)-vertex graph with k pendants. Then $q_1(H_2) < q_1(H_3)$.

Proof. Consider the Perron vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ of H_2 . If $x_{u_0} \ge x_{u_6}$, let $H' = H_2 - u_5 u_6 + u_0 u_5$; otherwise, let $H'' = H_2 - \{u_0 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_6\}\} + \{u_6 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_6\}\}$. It is straightforward to check that $H' \cong H''$. By Lemma 2.1, we get $q_1(H_2) < q_1(H')$.

Now consider the Perron vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T$ of H'. If $y_{u_0} \ge y_{u_1}$, let $H^* = H' - u_1u_2 + u_0u_2$; otherwise, let $H^{**} = H' - \{u_0y|y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_1\}\} + \{u_1y|y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_1\}\}$. It is straightforward to check that $H^* \cong H^{**}$. By Lemma 2.1, we get $q_1(H') < q_1(H^*)$. It is easy to see that $H_3 \cong H^* + u_1u_6$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we have $q_1(H^*) < q_1(H_3)$. Therefore, $q_1(H_2) < q_1(H_3)$.

This completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 2.8. Let H_4 and H_5 be the n-vertex graphs as shown in Fig. 5, where S is a connected (n-5)-vertex graph with k - 1 pendants. Then $q_1(H_4) < q_1(H_5)$.

Proof. Consider the Perron vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ of H_4 . If $x_{u_0} \ge x_{u_3}$, let $M' = H_4 - u_2 u_3 + u_0 u_2$; otherwise, let $M'' = H_4 - \{u_0 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_3\}\} + \{u_3 y | y \in N(u_0) \setminus \{u_3\}\}$. It is straightforward to check that $M' \cong M''$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $q_1(H_4) < q_1(M') = q_1(M'')$.

Note that $H_5 \cong M' + u_0 u_5$, hence M' is a proper subgraph of H_5 . By Lemma 2.2, we have $q_1(M') < q_1(H_5)$. Hence, we get $q_1(H_4) < q_1(H_5)$.

This completes the proof. \Box



Fig. 6. Graphs H_6 and H_7 .

Lemma 2.9. Let H_6 and H_7 be the n-vertex graphs as shown in Fig. 6, where Z is a connected subgraph with k - 1 pendants. Then $q_1(H_6) < q_1(H_7)$.

Proof. Note that H_7 contains a cycle $C_3 = u_0 uvu_0$. Let $N' = (H_7)_{uv}$, i.e., N' is obtained from H_7 by subdividing the edge uv. It is easy to see that $u_0 uvu_0$ is an internal path of H_7 , hence by Lemma 2.3 we get $q_1(N') < q_1(H_7)$.

Note that H_6 is a proper subgraph of N', hence in view of Lemma 2.2, we have $q_1(H_6) < q_1(N')$. Therefore, we obtain that $q_1(H_6) < q_1(H_7)$.

This completes the proof. \Box

3. Main results

We call graph *G* a *cactus* if *G* is connected and any two of its cycles intersect in at most one vertex. For a cactus graph *G*, we call it a *bundle* if all cycles of *G* have exactly one common vertex. Denote by $\mathscr{C}_{n,k}$ the set of all connected cacti on *n* vertices with *k* pendant vertices. In the following, we determine the graphs with the largest signless Laplacian indices in the class $\mathscr{C}_{n,k}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a graph in $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$.

(i) If $n - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $q_1(G) \leq q_1(C^1(n, k))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong C^1(n, k)$, where $C^1(n, k)$ is depicted in Fig. 7 and $q_1(C^1(n, k))$ is the largest root of the equation g(x) = 0, here

$$g(x) = x^{3} - (k+6)x^{2} - (n-4k-12)x + n-k-7.$$

(ii) If $n - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $q_1(G) \leq q_1(C^2(n, k))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong C^2(n, k)$, where $C^2(n, k)$ is depicted in Fig. 7 and $q_1(C^2(n, k))$ is the largest root of the equation h(x) = 0, here

$$h(x) = x^{5} - (k+9)x^{4} - (n-7k-32)x^{3} + (4n-14k-54)x^{2} - (4n-7k-40)x + n-k-8.$$

Proof. Choose $G \in \mathscr{C}_{n,k}$ such that its signless Laplacian index is as large as possible. Denote the vertex set of G by $V_G = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and the Perron vector of G by $\mathbf{x} = (x_{v_1}, x_{v_2}, \ldots, x_{v_n})^T$, where x_{v_i} corresponds to the vertex v_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

We first prove that the graph G is a bundle. In order to do so we will prove the following claims.

Claim 1. Any two cycles of the graph G have one common vertex.



Fig. 7. Graphs $C^{1}(n, k)$, $C^{2}(n, k)$ and $C^{3}(n, k)$.

Proof. Note that any two cycles of *G* have no edge in common, hence assume, on the contrary, that there are two disjoint cycles C^1 and C^2 contained in *G*. Then, we can choose cycles C^1 and C^2 such that the path *P* of length $p \ge 2$ connects C^1 and C^2 is the shortest. For convenience, let $V_{C^1} \cap V_P = \{u_1\}$ and $V_{C^2} \cap V_P = \{u_p\}$. We distinguish the following two possible cases to complete the proof of Claim 1.

Case 1. The path *P* (connecting C^1 and C^2) has no common edge with any other cycle(s) contained in *G*. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_{u_1} \ge x_{u_p}$. Let *y* in V_{C^2} be a neighbor of u_p , then set $G' := G - \{u_p y\} + \{u_1 y\}$. Thus $G' \in \mathcal{C}_{n,k}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have $q_1(G') > q_1(G)$, a contradiction.

set $G' := G - \{u_py\} + \{u_1y\}$. Thus $G' \in \mathscr{C}_{n,k}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have $q_1(G') > q_1(G)$, a contradiction. **Case 2.** The path P (connecting C^1 and C^2) has common edge(s) with some other cycle, say C^3 , contained in G. Note that, by the selection of C^1 and C^2 , it suffices to consider that u_1 is just the common vertex of C^3 and C^1 , whereas u_p is the only common vertex of C^3 and C^2 . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u_1 \ge x_{u_p}$. Note that there exist two neighbors in V_{C^2} , say y_1 and y_2 , of u_p . Set $G'' := G - \{u_py_1, u_py_2\} + \{u_0y_1, u_0y_2\}$. It is easy to see that $G'' \in \mathscr{C}_{n,k}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have $q_1(G'') > q_1(G)$, a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Claim 1. \Box

Claim 2. Any three cycles contained in G have exactly one common vertex.

Proof. It is the direct consequence of Claim 1. In fact, assume that in *G* there exist three cycles, say C^1 , C^2 and C^3 , such that they have no vertex in common. By Claim 1, we have in *G* that $V_{C^1} \cap V_{C^2} \neq \emptyset$, $V_{C^1} \cap V_{C^3} \neq \emptyset$ and $V_{C^2} \cap V_{C^3} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, it is easy to check that there exist two cycles in *G* that have common edge(s), a contradiction to the assumption of *G*. \Box

By Claims 1 and 2, we know that all of the cycles contained in *G* have exactly one common vertex, say u_0 , i.e., *G* is a bundle. By Claims 1 and 2 we also know that the graph in $\mathcal{C}_{n,k}$ having the largest signless Laplacian index is a bundle with some pendant trees attached.

Next we are to show that if G contains a pendant tree T, then T is attached to the vertex u_0 of G.

Claim 3. Any tree T of graph G is attached to the common vertex u_0 of all cycles of the bundle.

Proof. Assume, to the contrary, that there exists a tree *T* attached to a vertex *u* on a cycle *C* of *G* with $u \neq u_0$. Let y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_t be all of the neighbors of vertex *u* in *T*.

If $x_{u_0} \ge x_u$, let $G' = G - \{uy_1, uy_2, \dots, uy_t\} + \{u_0y_1, u_0y_2, \dots, u_0y_t\}$; otherwise, let $G'' = G - \{u_0y|y \in N(u_0) \setminus V_C\} + \{uy|y \in N(v_0) \setminus V_C\}$. It is straightforward to check that $G' \cong G''$ and $G' \in \mathscr{C}_{n,k}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $q_1(G') > q_1(G)$, a contradiction. \Box

We further prove the following claim.

Claim 4. Let *T* be the tree attached to the common vertex u_0 of all the cycles contained in *G*, then for any $u \in V_T \setminus \{u_0\}$ we have $d(u) \leq 2$.

Proof. In the opposite case, there exists a vertex u in $V_T \setminus \{u_0\}$ such that $d(u) \ge 3$. Let N_u denote the set of all neighbors of u in T such that $d(u_0, v) = d(u_0, u) + 1$ for each $v \in N_u$. For convenience, let v_0 be in N_u .

If $x_{u_0} \ge x_u$, then let $G' = G - \{uv | v \in N_u \setminus \{v_0\}\} + \{u_0v | v \in N_u \setminus \{v_0\}\}$; If $x_{u_0} < x_u$, choose a neighbor in some cycle, say y, of u_0 and set $G'' = G - \{u_0y\} + \{uy\}$. It is straightforward to check that $G', G'' \in \mathcal{C}_{n,k}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $q_1(G') > q_1(G)$ and $q_1(G'') > q_1(G)$, a contradiction. \Box

Now we come back to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. By Claim 4, Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we conclude that there exists at most one vertex, say u, of degree 2 in the attached tree such that u is the neighbor of vertex u_0 . By Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, the lengths of all cycles in G are 3 or 4, and at most one of them is of length 4. By Lemma 2.8, G cannot contain both a cycle of length 4 and a vertex $u \ (\neq u_0)$ of degree 2 in the attached tree. Hence, if $n - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $G \cong C^1(n, k)$ (see Fig. 7); if $n - k \equiv 0$



Fig. 8. Graphs $C^1(n)$ and $C^2(n)$.

(mod 2), then $G \cong C^2(n, k)$ or, $C^3(n, k)$, where $C^2(n, k)$ and $C^3(n, k)$ are depicted in Fig. 7. And by Lemma 2.9, we know that $q_1(C^2(n,k) > q_1(C^3(n,k))$. So, $G \cong C^2(n,k)$ when $n - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. By direct computing (one may also refer to the Appendix) we have

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}^{1}(n,k)) = (x-1)^{\frac{n+k-3}{2}}(x-3)^{\frac{n-k-3}{2}}[x^{3}-(k+6)x^{2}-(n-4k-12)x+n-k-7]$$
(3.1)

and

$$\psi(C^{2}(n,k)) = (x-1)^{\frac{n+k}{2}-3}(x-3)^{\frac{n-k}{2}-2}[x^{5}-(k+9)x^{4}-(n-7k-32)x^{3} + (4n-14k-54)x^{2}-(4n-7k-40)x+n-k-8].$$
(3.2)

It is easy to see that C_3 is a proper subgraph of $C^1(n, k)$ (resp. $C^2(n, k)$). Note that $q_1(C_3) = 4$, hence $q_1(C^1(n, k)) > 4$ and $q_1(C^2(n, k)) > 4$. Whence, $q_1(C^1(n, k))$ is the largest root of the equation $x^3 - (k+6)x^2 - (n-4k-12)x + n-k-7 = 0$ and $q_1(C^2(n, k))$ is the largest root of the equation $x^5 - (k+9)x^4 - (n-7k-32)x^3 + (4n-14k-54)x^2 - (4n-7k-40)x + n-k-8 = 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. \Box

Denote by \mathscr{C}_n the set of all connected cacti with *n* vertices. Let $C^1(n)$ and $C^2(n)$ be the bundles with *n* vertices as depicted in Fig. 8.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a graph in \mathcal{C}_n . Then

- (i) $q_1(G) \leq \frac{5+\sqrt{4n-3}}{2}$ for odd n, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong C^1(n)$, where $C^1(n)$ is depicted
- in Fig. 8. (ii) $q_1(G) \leq q_1(C^2(n))$ for even n, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong C^2(n)$, where $C^2(n)$ is depicted in Fig. 8 and $q_1(C^2(n))$ is the largest root of the equation $x^3 7x^2 (n 16)x + n 8 = 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$q_1(C^1(n, k+2)) < q_1(C^1(n, k)), \quad q_1(C^2(n, k+2)) < q_1(C^2(n, k))$$

for $k \ge 0$. Hence, if *n* is odd, then $C^{1}(n, k)$ is a spanning subgraph of $C^{1}(n)$; if *n* is even, then $C^{2}(n, k)$ is a spanning subgraph of $C^{2}(n)$. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1, we get

$$q_1(C^1(n,k)) < q_1(C^1(n,k-2)) < \dots < q_1(C^1(n,2)) < q_1(C^1(n))$$

and

$$q_1(C^2(n,k)) < q_1(C^2(n,k-2)) < \cdots < q_1(C^2(n,3)) < q_1(C^2(n)).$$

By direct computing, we have

$$\psi(C^{1}(n), x) = (x-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(x-3)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(x^{2}-5x-n+7)$$

and

$$\psi(C^2(n), x) = (x-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}(x-3)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}[x^3 - 7x^2 - (n-16)x + n - 8].$$

4407

Note that C_3 is a proper subgraph of $C^1(n)$ and $C^2(n)$, hence $q_1(C^1(n)) > q_1(C_3) = 4$ and $q_1(C^2(n)) > 2$ $q_1(C_3) = 4$. Therefore, $q_1(C^1(n)) = \frac{5+\sqrt{4n-3}}{2}$ and $q_1(C^2(n))$ is the largest root of the equation $x^{3} - 7x^{2} - (n - 16)x + n - 8 = 0.$ This completes the proof. \Box

At last, based on the results obtained as above, we determine the sharp upper bound for the signless Laplacian index of cacti with a perfect matching. Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_{2k}}$ be the set of all 2k-vertex cacti with a perfect matching.

Based on Theorem 3.2, we get

Theorem 3.3. Let G be a graph in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_{2k}}$. Then $q_1(G) \leq q_1(C^2(2k))$, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong C^2(2k)$, where $q_1(C^2(2k))$ is the largest root of the equation $x^3 - 7x^2 - (2k - 16)x + 2k - 8 = 0$.

It is natural to consider the question: Let $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_n^m}$ be the set of all *n*-vertex cacti with matching number *m*. How to determine the graph in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_n^m}$ which attains the maximal signless Laplacian index? Here we pose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.4. Let G be a graph in $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}_n^m}$. Then

- (i) if n = 2m + 1, then $q_1(G) \leq \frac{5+\sqrt{4n-3}}{2}$, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong C^1(n)$, where
- C¹(n) is depicted in Fig. 8. (ii) if $n \ge 2m + 2$, then $q_1(G) \le q_1(C^1(n, n 2m + 1))$, and the equality holds if and only if $G \cong C^1(n, n 2m + 1)$, where $q_1(C^1(n, n 2m + 1))$ is the largest root of the equation $x^3 (n 2m + 7)x^2 + (3n 8m + 8)x + 2m 8 = 0$.

Appendix

We shall prove Eqs. (3.1) and (3.2) in what follows. In order to obtain our results, we need the following Propositions which are obtained by Hou and the first author of the current paper in [20]. We give their proofs here for the sake of completeness. Assume that G is a graph with $v \in V_G$, let $Q_v(G)$ denote the principal submatrix of Q(G) by deleting the row and column corresponding to the vertex v.

Proposition 1 [20]. Let $G = G_1 u$: vG_2 be the graph obtained from two disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 by joining a vertex u of graph G_1 to a vertex v of the graph G_2 by an edge. Then

$$\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)\psi(G_2) - \psi(G_1)\psi(Q_{\nu}(G_2)) - \psi(G_2)\psi(Q_{\mu}(G_1)).$$

Proof. Let $Q(G_1^*)$ (resp. $Q(G_2^*)$) be the principal submatrix obtained by deleting the row and column corresponding to vertex v (resp. u) from $Q(G_1u : v)$ (resp. $Q(G_2v : u)$), where $G_1u : v$ (resp. $G_2v : u$) is the graph formed from G_1 (resp. G_2) by joining a new pendant vertex v (resp. u) to u (resp. v). Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$Q(G) = \begin{pmatrix} Q(G_1^*) & E_{11} \\ E_{11}^T & Q(G_2^*) \end{pmatrix},$$

where E_{11} is the $|V_{G_1}| \times |V_{G_2}|$ matrix whose only non-zero entry is 1 in position (1, 1).

By Laplace Theorem for determinants, we have

$$\psi(G) = \psi(G_1^*)\psi(G_2^*) - \psi(Q_u(G_1))\psi(Q_v(G_2)).$$
(a.1)

Note that $\psi(G_1^*) = \psi(G_1) - \psi(Q_u(G_1))$ and $\psi(G_2^*) = \psi(G_2) - \psi(Q_v(G_2))$, hence in view of (a.1), we have

$$\psi(G) = \psi(G_1)\psi(G_2) - \psi(G_1)\psi(Q_{\nu}(G_2)) - \psi(G_2)\psi(Q_{\mu}(G_1)),$$

as desired. \Box

Proposition 2 [20]. Let *G* be an *n*-vertex connected graph consisting of a subgraph *H* (with at least two vertices) and $n - |V_H|$ distinct pendant edges (not in *H*) attaching to a vertex *v* in *H*. Then

$$\psi(G) = (x-1)^{n-|V_H|} \psi(H) - (n-|V_H|) x(x-1)^{n-|V_H|-1} \psi(Q_v(H)).$$

Proof. Let $m = n - |V_H|$. We prove the proposition by induction on *m*.

When m = 1, there is one pendant edge (not in H) attached to v, denoted as vv_1 . We regard G as a connected sum of an isolated vertex v_1 and H at v. By Proposition 1, we have

$$\psi(G) = x\psi(H) - \psi(H) - x\psi(Q_{\nu}(H)) = (x - 1)\psi(H) - x\psi(Q_{\nu}(H)).$$

Suppose the result holds for m - 1. For $n - |V_H| = m \ge 2$, let the pendant edges (not in H) attached to v be vv_1, \ldots, vv_m . We regard G as a connected sum of an isolated vertex v_m and H' at v, where H' is the graph obtained from H by attaching m - 1 pendant edges vv_1, \ldots, vv_{m-1} . Then by Proposition 1, we have

$$\psi(G) = (x - 1)\psi(H') - x\psi(Q_{\nu}(H')).$$
(a.2)

Moreover, by the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\psi(H') = (x-1)^{m-1}\psi(H) - (m-1)x(x-1)^{m-2}\psi(Q_{\nu}(H)).$$
(a.3)

On the other hand,

$$\psi(Q_{\nu}(H')) = (x-1)^{m-1}\psi(Q_{\nu}(H)).$$
(a.4)

In view of (a.2)–(a.4), we have

$$\psi(G) = (x-1)^{m} \psi(H) - mx(x-1)^{m-1} \psi(Q_{\nu}(H)),$$

as required.

The proof of Eq. (3.1). By Proposition 2, we have

$$\psi(C^{1}(n,k)) = (x-1)^{k} \psi(C^{1}(n-k)) - kx(x-1)^{k-1} \psi(Q_{\nu}(C^{1}(n-k))),$$
(a.5)

where $C^1(n-k)$ is depicted in Fig. 8. In order to complete the proof, it suffices to determine $\psi(C^1(n-k))$ and $\psi(Q_\nu(C^1(n-k)))$.

Note that

$$Q(C^{1}(n-k)) = \begin{pmatrix} n-k-1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1 \ 1 \\ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 0 \\ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 0 \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \ \ddots \ \vdots \ \vdots \\ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1 \\ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1 \ 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$\psi(C^{1}(n-k)) = \begin{vmatrix} x - (n-k-1) & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & x-2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & x-2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & x-2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & x-2 \end{vmatrix}$$
(a.6)

For convenience, let $\psi(C^1(n-k)) = B_{n-k}$. Consider the last two rows of $\psi(C^1(n-k))$ in (a.6), there are just three non-zero sub-determinants:

$$M_1 = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & x - 2 \\ -1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = x - 1, \ M_2 = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & x - 2 \end{vmatrix} = -(x - 1), \ M_3 = \begin{vmatrix} x - 2 & -1 \\ -1 & x - 2 \end{vmatrix} = x^2 - 4x + 3.$$

Hence, we obtain $\psi(C^1(n-k)) = M_1A_1 + M_2A_2 + M_3A_3$, where A_i is the cofactor of M_i , i = 1, 2, 3, i.e.,

$$A_{1} = (-1)^{3n-3k-1} \begin{vmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ x-2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & x-2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x-2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & x-2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -(x^{2} - 4x + 3)^{\frac{n-k-3}{2}},$$

$$A_{2} = (-1)^{3n-3k} \begin{vmatrix} -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ x-2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & x-2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x-2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x-2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & x-2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = (x^{2} - 4x + 3)^{\frac{n-k-3}{2}}$$

and $A_3 = B_{n-k-2}$. Combine with

$$B_3 = \begin{vmatrix} x - 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & x - 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & x - 2 \end{vmatrix} = (x - 4)(x - 1)^2,$$

we have

$$\psi(\mathcal{C}^{1}(n-k)) = B_{n-k} = (x-1)(x^{2}-4x+3)^{\frac{n-k-3}{2}}(x^{2}-5x+7-n+k).$$
(a.7)

On the other hand, we have

$$Q_{\nu_0}(C^1(n-k)) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \ 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 0 \\ 1 \ 2 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 2 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 0 \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \ \ddots \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1 \\ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1 \ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

.

Therefore,

$$\psi(Q_{\nu_0}(C^1(n-k))) = \begin{vmatrix} x-2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & x-2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x-2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & x-2 \end{vmatrix} = (x^2 - 4x + 3)^{\frac{n-k-1}{2}}.$$
 (a.8)

By (a.5), (a.7) and (a.8), our result follows immediately. \Box

The proof of Eq. (3.2). In $C^2(n, k)$ delete the k - 1 pendent vertices each of which is adjacent to v_0 (see Fig. 7), denote the resultant graph by H. For convenience, let u be the vertex of degree 2 on the pendent path of H. By Proposition 2, we get

$$\psi(C^{2}(n,k)) = (x-1)^{k-1}\psi(H) - (k-1)x(x-1)^{k-2}\psi(Q_{\nu_{0}}(H)).$$
(a.9)

In order to complete the proof, it suffices to determine $\psi(H)$ and $\psi(Q_{\nu_0}(H))$. In fact, in view of Propositions 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{split} \psi(H) &= \psi(C^{1}(n-k-1))\psi(P_{2}) - \psi(C^{1}(n-k-1))\psi(Q_{tt}(P_{2})) - \psi(P_{2})\psi(Q_{v_{0}}(C^{1}(n-k-1))) \\ &= (x^{2} - 3x + 1)\psi(C^{1}(n-k-1)) - x(x-2)\psi(Q_{v_{0}}(C^{1}(n-k-1))) \\ &= (x^{2} - 3x + 1)\psi(C^{1}(n-k-1)) - x(x-2)(x^{2} - 4x + 3)^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}} \\ &= (x^{2} - 3x + 1)(x-1)(x^{2} - 4x + 3)^{\frac{n-k-4}{2}}(x^{2} - 5x + 7 - n + k + 1) \\ &- x(x-2)(x^{2} - 4x + 3)^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}} \\ &= [x^{4} - 9x^{3} - (n-k-29)x^{2} + (3n-3k-35)x - (n-k-8)](x-3)^{\frac{n-k-4}{2}}(x-1)^{\frac{n-k-2}{2}}. \end{split}$$
(a.10)

On the other hand,

$$\psi(Q_{\nu_0}(H)) = \begin{vmatrix} x-2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & x-1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x-2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & x-2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & x-2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & x-2 \end{vmatrix} = (x^2 - 3x + 1)(x^2 - 4x + 3)^{\frac{n-k}{2} - 1}.$$
 (a.11)

In view of (a.9)–(a.11), our result follows immediately. \Box

Acknowledgement

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the referee for a very careful reading of the paper and for all his or her insightful comments and valuable suggestions, which led to a number of improvements in this paper.

References

- [1] W.N. Anderson, T.D. Morley, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 18 (1985) 141-145.
- [2] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, American Elsevier Publishing Co., New York, 1976.
- [3] R.A. Brualdi, E.S. Solheid, On the spectral radius of connected graphs, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 39 (53) (1986) 45–53.
- [4] G.X. Cai, Y.Z. Fan, The signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with given chromatic number, Appl. Math. 22 (2009) 161–167.
- [5] D.M. Cardoso, D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, A sharp lower bound for the least eigenvalue of the signless Laplacian of a non-bipartite graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2008) 2770–2780.
- [6] F.R.K. Chung, Spectral Graph Theory, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 92, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [7] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs Theory and Applications, third ed., Johann Ambrosius Barth, Heidelberg, 1995.
- [8] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, Signless Laplacians of finite graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 423 (2007) 155-171.
- [9] D. Cvetković, S. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, II, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 2257–2272.
- [10] D. Cvetković, S. Simić, Towards a spectral theory of graphs based on the signless Laplacian, I, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 85 (99) (2009) 19–33.
- [11] E.R. van Dam, W.H. Haemers, Which graphs are determined by their spectrum?, Linear Algebra Appl. 373 (2003) 241–272., Special issue on the Combinatorial Matrix Theory Conference (Pohang, 2002).
- [12] M. Fiedler, A property of eigenvectors of nonnegative symmetric matrices and its application to graph theory, Czechoslovak Math. J. 25 (1975) 607–618.
- [13] Y.Z. Fan, S.C. Gong, Y. Wang, Y.B. Gao, First eigenvalue and first eigenvectors of a nonsingular unicyclic mixed graph, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 2479–2487.
- [14] Y.Z. Fan, D. Yang, The signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with given number of pendant vertices, Graphs Combin. 25 (2009) 291–298.
- [15] Y.Z. Fan, B.S. Tam, J. Zhou, Maximizing spectral radius of unoriented Laplacian matrix over bicyclic graphs of a given order, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 56 (2008) 381–397.
- [16] L.H. Feng, G.H. Yu, On three conjectures involving the signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 85 (2009) 35–38.
- [17] L.H. Feng, Q. Li, X.D. Zhang, Minimizing the Laplacian spectral radius of trees with given matching number, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 55 (2) (2007) 199–207.
- [18] P. Hansen, C. Lucas, Bounds and conjectures for the signless Laplacian index of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 3319–3336.
- [19] Y. Hong, X.D. Zhang, Sharp upper and lower bounds for largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrices of trees, Discrete Math. 296 (2005) 187–197.
- [20] A.L. Hou, S.C. Li, Ordering n-vertex unicyclic graphs with matching number q by their signless Laplacian spectral radii, submitted for publication.
- [21] J. Liu, B. Liu, The maximum clique and the signless Laplacian eigenvalues, Czechoslovak Math. J. 58 (2008) 1233–1240.
- [22] R. Merris, Laplacian matrices of graphs: a survey, Linear Algebra Appl. 197/198 (1994) 143-176.
- [23] C.S. Oliveira, L.S. de Lima, N.M.M. de Abreu, S. Kirkland, Bounds on the Q-spread of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 2342–2351.
- [24] C.S. Oliveira, L.S. de Lima, N.M.M. de Abreu, P. Hansen, Bounds on the index of the signless Laplacian of a graph, Discrete Appl. Math. 158 (2010) 355–360.
- [25] B.S. Tam, Y.Z. Fan, J. Zhou, Unoriented Laplacian maximizing graphs are degree maximal, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2008) 735– 758.
- [26] J.F. Wang, Q.X. Huang, F. Belardo, E.M.L. Marzi, On graphs whose signless Laplacian index does not exceed 4.5, Linear Algebra Appl. 431 (2009) 162–178.
- [27] G.H. Yu, On the maximal signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 84 (2008) 163–166.
- [28] X.D. Zhang, The signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with given degree sequences, Discrete Appl. Math. 157 (2009) 2928–2937.
- [29] B.X. Zhu, On the signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs with cut vertices, Linear Algebra Appl. 433 (2010) 928–933.