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#### Abstract

The paper presents sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions of the equation $x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0$ with the Dirichlet conditions $x(0)=0, x(1)=0$ and of the equation $\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f\left(t, x(t), p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0$ with the boundary conditions $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) x^{\prime}(t)=0, x(1)=0$. Our nonlinearity $f$ is allowed to change sign and $f$ may be singular at $x=0$. The proofs are based on a combination of the regularity and sequential techniques and the method of lower and upper functions. (c) 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider two singular boundary value problems (BVPs for short)

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0,  \tag{1}\\
x(0)=0, \quad x(1)=0, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f\left(t, x(t), p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0,  \tag{3}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) x^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad x(1)=0, \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where our nonlinearity $f$ is allowed to change sign and $f$ may be singular at $x=0$. Singular problems (1),(2) and (3),(4) have been discussed intensively in the literature (see, e.g., [1-4] and

[^0]references therein) usually when $f$ is nonnegative (i.e., positone problems). This assumption has been overcome for BVP (1),(2) in [5-9], for BVP (1),(2) in [10], and for both BVPs in [11,12]. In $[5-7,9,10]$, it is assumed that $f$ is independent of $x^{\prime}$. The case where $f$ may depend on the $x^{\prime}$ variable was considered in $[8,11,12]$.

The aim of this paper is to generalize some assumptions given in $[6,11,12]$ for the solvability of BVPs (1),(2) and (3),(4) in the class of positive functions on ( 0,1 ). The solvability is proved by a combination of the regularity and sequential techniques and the method of lower and upper functions. First, by a lower function $\alpha$ and a sequence $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ of upper functions, we define a family of regular BVPs depending on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, using the Schauder fixed-point theorem (see, e.g., $[2,13]$ ), we establish the existence of their solutions $x_{n}$ which lie between $\alpha$ and $\beta_{n}$ (Lemmas 1-3). The Arzelà-Ascoli theorem will then complete the solvability of BVP (1),(2) (Theorems I and 2) and BVP (3),(4) ('Theorem 3).

We say that $x$ is a solution of $B V P(1),(2)$ if $x \in C^{1}([0,1]) \cap C^{2}((0,1)), x$ satisfies the boundary conditions (2), and (1) holds on ( 0,1 ).

A function $x$ is said to be a solution of $B V P(3),(4)$ if $x \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1)), p x^{\prime} \in$ $C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1)), x$ satisfies the boundary conditions (4) and (3) holds on ( 0,1 ).

From now on, $\|x\|=\max \{|x(t)|: t \in[0,1]\}$ denotes the norm in the Banach space $C^{0}([0,1])$ and the derivative on the right (respectively, on the left) of a function $x$ at a point $t$, we will denote by $x_{+}^{\prime}(t)$ (respectively, $x_{-}^{\prime}(t)$ ).

Throughout the paper, we will use the following assumptions.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) q \in C^{0}((0,1)), q>0$ on $(0,1)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) f \in C^{0}([0,1] \times(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ There exists $\alpha \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1))$ having the second derivative on $(0,1)$ with $\alpha(0)=$ $\alpha(1)=0, \alpha>0$ on $(0,1)$ such that $\sup \left\{\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|: t \in(0,1)\right\}<\infty, \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) f\left(t, \alpha(t), \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq$ 0 for $t \in(0,1)$ and for a decreasing sequence $\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{t}_{n}=0$ and an increasing sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1, \bar{t}_{1}<t_{1}$, we have (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(t) \leq \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right], & \alpha\left(t_{n}\right) \geq \alpha(t), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[t_{n}, 1\right], \\
f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), 0\right) \geq 0, & f\left(t_{n}, \alpha\left(t_{n}\right), 0\right) \geq 0 . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ With $\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ given in $\left(H_{3}\right)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\beta_{n} \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1))$ having the second derivative on $(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{n}(t) \geq \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), \text { for } t \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right), \quad \beta_{n}(t) \geq \alpha\left(t_{n}\right), \quad \text { for } t \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right], \\
& \beta_{n} \geq \alpha, \text { on }\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right], \\
& \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \begin{cases}-q(t) f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), & \text { for } t \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right), \\
-q(t) f\left(t, \beta_{n}(t), \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), & \text { for } t \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right], \\
-q(t) f\left(t_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), & \text { for } t \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right),\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
L=\sup \left\{\left\|\beta_{n}\right\|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty, \quad S=\sup \left\{\left|\beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right|: t \in(0,1), m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right) p \in C^{0}((0,1)), p>0$ on $(0,1)$.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ There exists $\alpha \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1))$ with $p \alpha^{\prime}$ continuous on $[0,1]$ and differentiable on $(0,1), \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \alpha(1)=0, \alpha>0$ on $[0,1)$ such that $\left(p(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+$ $p(t) q(t) f\left(t, \alpha(t), p(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq 0$ for $t \in(0,1)$ and for a decreasing sequence $\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{t}_{n}=0$ and an increasing sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=1, \bar{t}_{1}<t_{1}$, inequalities (5) hold.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ With $\left\{\bar{t}_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ given in $\left(H_{6}\right)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\beta_{n} \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1))$ with $p \beta_{n}^{\prime}$ continuous on $[0,1]$ and differentiable on $(0,1), \lim _{i \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ such that inequalities (6) and

$$
\left(p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq \begin{cases}-p(t) q(t) f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), & \text { for } t \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right), \\ -p(t) q(t) f\left(t, \beta_{n}(t), p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), & \text { for } t \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right], \\ -p(t) q(t) f\left(t_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right), & \text { for } t \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right),\end{cases}
$$

hold for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
L_{*}=\sup \left\{\left\|\beta_{n}\right\|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty, \quad S_{*}=\sup \left\{\left\|p \beta_{n}^{\prime}\right\|: t \in(0,1) m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<\infty
$$

Remark 1. From the inequalities $\alpha(t) \leq \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)$ for $t \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right]$ and $\alpha\left(t_{n}\right) \geq \alpha(t)$ for $t \in\left[t_{n}, 1\right]$ which appear in ( $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, we deduce that $\alpha^{\prime}\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right) \geq 0$ and $\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right) \leq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2. SOLVABILITY OF BVP (1),(2)

Let Assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ (or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ ) be satisfied. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{n} \in$ $C^{0}\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \bar{f}_{n} \in C^{0}([0,1] \times(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R})$, and $\Lambda_{n} \in C^{0}([0,1])$ be defined by the formulae

$$
f_{n}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), y\right)+\phi\left(\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)-x\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right) \times\left(-\infty, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)\right) \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{7}\\ f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, x, y\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right) \times\left[\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), \beta_{n}(t)\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), y\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}(t)-x\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right) \times\left(\beta_{n}(t), \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ f(t, \alpha(t), y)+\phi(\alpha(t)-x), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right] \times(-\infty, \alpha(t)) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ f(t, x, y), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right] \times\left[\alpha(t), \beta_{n}(t)\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ f\left(t, \beta_{n}(t), y\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}(t)-x\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right] \times\left(\beta_{n}(t), \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ f\left(t_{n}, \alpha\left(t_{n}\right), y\right)+\phi\left(\alpha\left(t_{n}\right)-x\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right] \times\left(-\infty, \alpha\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ f\left(t_{n}, x, y\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right] \times\left[\alpha\left(t_{n}\right), \beta_{n}(t)\right] \times \mathbb{R}, \\ f\left(t_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), y\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}(t)-x\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right] \times\left(\beta_{n}(t), \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R},\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(u) & = \begin{cases}-1, & \text { for } u<-1, \\
u, & \text { for }|u| \leq 1, \\
1, & \text { for } u>1,\end{cases}  \tag{8}\\
\bar{f}_{n}(t, x, y) & = \begin{cases}f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, x, y\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right) \times(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \\
f(t, x, y), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right] \times(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \\
f\left(t_{n}, x, y\right), & \text { for }(t, x, y) \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right] \times(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R},\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{n}(t)= \begin{cases}\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), & \text { for } t \in\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right)  \tag{10}\\ \alpha(t), & \text { for } t \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right] \\ \alpha\left(t_{n}\right), & \text { for } t \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right]\end{cases}
$$

Consider the auxiliary regular BVPs

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) f_{n}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0,  \tag{11}\\
& x(0)=\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), \quad x(1)=\alpha\left(t_{n}\right), \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

depending on $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
We say that $x$ is a solution of $B V P(11),(12)$ if $x \in C^{1}([0,1]) \cap C^{2}((0,1)), x$ satisfies the boundary conditions (12), and (11) holds on ( 0,1 ).

Lemma 1. Let Assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$,
$\left(A_{1}\right)|f(t, x, y)| \leq(g(x)+h(x)) \psi(|y|)$ for $(t, x, y) \in[0,1] \times(0, L] \times \mathbb{R}$, where $g \in C^{0}((0, L])$ is nonincreasing and positive on ( $0, L], h \in C^{0}([0, L])$ is nonnegative and nondecreasing on $[0, L]$, and $\psi \in C^{0}([0, \infty))$ is positive and nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$, and
$\left(A_{2}\right)$

$$
\int_{0}^{1} q(t)(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)) d t<\int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s
$$

be satisfied with $\mu=\sup \left\{\left|\alpha\left(t_{n}\right)-\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)\right|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.
Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution $x$ of $B V P$ (11),(12) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(t) \leq x(t) \leq \beta_{n}(t), \quad\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq K, \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{n}$ is given by (10) and the positive constant $K$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} q(t)(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)) d s \leq \int_{\mu}^{K} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $x$ be a solution of BVP (11),(12). We first prove that $\Lambda_{n} \leq x$ on $[0,1]$. Suppose that the last inequality is not true. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\Lambda_{n}(t)-x(t): t \in[0,1]\right\}=\Lambda_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)>0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ since $\Lambda_{n}(0)-x(0)=\Lambda_{n}(1)-x(1)=0$. The next part of the proof is divided into four cases.
CASE 1. Let $t_{0} \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right) \cup\left(t_{n}, 1\right)$. Then, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\Lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and if $t_{0} \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right)$ (the case where $t_{0} \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right)$ can be treated quite analogously) then

$$
x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=-q\left(t_{0}\right)\left[f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), 0\right)+\phi\left(\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right]<-q\left(t_{0}\right) f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), 0\right) \leq 0
$$

Hence, $\left(\Lambda_{n}(t)-x(t)\right)_{t=t_{0}}^{\prime \prime}=-x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, contrary to (15).
CASE 2. Let $t_{0}=\bar{t}_{n}$. Then, $x_{-}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ and $x_{+}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$ (see Remark 1). Thus, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=-q\left(t_{0}\right)\left[f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), 0\right)+\phi\left(\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right]<-q\left(t_{0}\right) f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), 0\right) \leq 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\Lambda_{n}-x$ is decreasing on a left neighbourhood of $t=t_{0}$, contrary to (15).
CASE 3. Let $t_{0} \in\left(\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right)$. Then, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\Lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(=\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) & =-q\left(t_{0}\right)\left[f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)+\phi\left(\Lambda_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right] \\
& <-q\left(t_{0}\right) f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \leq \alpha^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\Lambda_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

whence $\left(\Lambda_{n}(t)-x(t)_{t=t_{0}}^{\prime \prime}>0\right.$, contrary to (15).
CASE 4. Let $t_{0}=t_{n}$. Then, $x_{-}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ (see Remark 1) and $x_{+}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$ which yields $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, and consequently, (16) holds. Hence, $\Lambda_{n}-x$ is increasing on a right neighbourhood of $t=t_{0}$, contrary to (15).

We are going to show that $x \leq \beta_{n}$ on $[0,1]$. Suppose, on the contrary, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{x(t)-\beta_{n}(t): t \in[0,1]\right\}=x\left(t_{*}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right)>0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x(0)-\beta_{n}(0) \leq 0$ and $x(1)-\beta_{n}(1) \leq 0$, we see that $t_{*} \in(0,1)$. Then, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)=\beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{*}\right) & =-q\left(t_{*}\right)\left[f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right)-x\left(t_{*}\right)\right)\right] \\
& >-q\left(t_{*}\right) f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right) \geq \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{*}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $t_{*} \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{*}\right) & =-q\left(t_{*}\right)\left[f\left(t_{*}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right)-x\left(t_{*}\right)\right)\right] \\
& >-q\left(t_{*}\right) f\left(t_{*}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right) \geq \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $t_{*} \in\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right]$, and finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{*}\right) & =-q\left(t_{*}\right)\left[f\left(t_{n}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right)-x\left(t_{*}\right)\right)\right] \\
& >-q\left(t_{*}\right) f\left(t_{n}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right) \geq \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $t_{*} \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right)$. Hence, $\left(x(t)-\beta_{n}(t)\right)_{t=t .}^{\prime \prime}>0$, contrary to (17).
We have verified that $\Lambda_{n} \leq x \leq \beta_{n} \leq L$ on $[0,1]$, and consequently,

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) \bar{f}_{n}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in(0,1),
$$

where $\bar{f}_{n}$ is defined by (9).
Let $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=\left|x^{\prime}(\xi)\right|$ for some $\xi \in[0,1]$. Since $\alpha\left(t_{n}\right)-\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)=x(1)-x(0)=x^{\prime}(\eta)$, where $\eta \in(0,1)$, we see that $\left|x^{\prime}(\eta)\right| \leq \mu$. Suppose that $\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|=x^{\prime}(\xi)>\mu\left(\right.$ for $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=-x^{\prime}(\xi)>\mu$, we proceed similarly). Then, there exists $\nu \in[0,1]$ such that $x^{\prime}(\nu)=\mu$ and $x^{\prime}>\mu$ on the open interval with the end points $\nu$ and $\xi$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\nu<\xi$. Then,

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq q(t)(g(x(t))+h(x(t))) \psi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq q(t)(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)) \psi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(\nu, \xi),
$$

and integrating the inequality

$$
\frac{x^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\psi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)} \leq q(t)(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)), \quad t \in(\nu, \xi)
$$

from $\nu$ to $\xi$, we get

$$
\int_{\mu}^{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s \leq \int_{\nu}^{\xi} q(t)(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)) d t \leq \int_{0}^{1} q(t)(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)) d t
$$

Hence, $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq K$ by (14). We have proved that any solution $x$ of BVP (11),(12) satisfies inequalities (13).

Let $V=\max \left\{K, S, \sup \left\{\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|: t \in(0,1)\right\}\right\}$,

$$
y^{*}= \begin{cases}V+1, & \text { for } y>V+1 \\ y, & \text { for }|y| \leq V+1 \\ -V-1, & \text { for } y<-V-1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
f_{n}^{*}(t, x, y)=f_{n}\left(t, x, y^{*}\right), \quad(t, x, y) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} .
$$

Now, it is easy to check from the Schauder fixed-point theorem that the BVP

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) f_{n}^{*}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $x \in C^{1}([0,1]) \cap C^{2}((0,1))$. Of course, $x$ satisfies (13) and from the definition of $f_{n}^{*}$, it follows that $x$ is a solution of BVP (11),(12).

Lemma 2. Let Assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right), \sup \{q(t): t \in(0,1)\}=Q<\infty$,
$\left(B_{1}\right)|f(t, x, y)| \leq(r(t)+w(t) g(x)+h(x)+|y|) \psi(|y|)$ for $(t, x, y) \in[0,1] \times(0, L] \times \mathbb{R}$, where $w, r \in C^{0}([0,1])$ are nonnegative, $g \in C^{0}((0, L])$ is nonincreasing and positive on $(0, L]$, $h \in C^{0}([0, L])$ is nonnegative and nondecreasing on $[0, L]$, and $\psi \in C^{0}([0, \infty))$ is positive and nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$, and
$\left(B_{2}\right)$

$$
L+h(L)+\int_{0}^{1}(r(t)+w(t) g(\alpha(t))) d t<\frac{1}{Q} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s
$$

where $\mu=\sup \left\{\left|\alpha\left(t_{n}\right)-\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)\right|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is satisfied.
Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution $x$ of BVP (11),(12) satisfying inequalities (13) where $K$ is a positive constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L+h(L)+\int_{0}^{1}(r(t)+w(t) g(\alpha(t))) d s \leq \frac{1}{Q} \int_{\mu}^{K} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $x$ be a solution of BVP (11),(12). We can now proceed analogously to the proof of Lemma 1 to verify that $\Lambda_{n} \leq x \leq \beta_{n} \leq L$ on $[0,1]$ and $\left|x^{\prime}(\eta)\right| \leq \mu$ for some $\eta \in(0,1)$. Let $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|=\left|x^{\prime}(\xi)\right|$ with a $\xi \in[0,1]$ and let $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|>\mu$. Then, there exists $\nu \in[0,1]$ such that $\left|x^{\prime}(\nu)\right|=\mu$ and $\left|x^{\prime}\right|>\mu$ on the open interval with the end points $\nu$ and $\xi$. Without restriction of generality, we can assume that $\nu<\xi$ and $x^{\prime}>\mu$ on $(\nu, \xi]$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime \prime}(t) & \leq q(t)\left(r(t)+w(t) g(\alpha(t))+h(x(t))+x^{\prime}(t)\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
& \leq Q\left(r(t)+w(t) g(\alpha(t))+h(L)+x^{\prime}(t)\right) \psi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in(\nu, \xi)$ and integrating the inequality

$$
\frac{x^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\psi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)} \leq Q\left(r(t)+w(t) g(\alpha(t))+h(L)+x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(\nu, \xi),
$$

over $[\nu, \xi]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mu}^{\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s & \leq Q\left(h(L)(\xi-\nu)+x(\xi)-x(\nu)+\int_{\nu}^{\xi}(r(t)+w(t) g(\alpha(t))) d t\right) \\
& \leq Q\left(h(L)+L+\int_{0}^{1}(r(t)+w(t) g(\alpha(t))) d t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \leq K$ by (19), and consequently, any solution $x$ of BVP (11),(12) satisfies inequalities (13). Now, by the Schauder fixed-point theorem, there is a solution $x$ of BVP (11),(12) for which (13) holds.

Theorem 1. Let Assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right),\left(A_{1}\right)$, and $\left(A_{2}\right)$ be satisfied. Then, there exists a solution $x$ of BVP (1),(2) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t) \leq x(t) \leq L, \quad\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq K, \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1], \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is given in Lemma 1.
Proof. By Lemma 1, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution $x_{n}$ of BVP (11),(12) satisfying the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(t) \leq x_{n}(t) \leq \beta_{n}(t) \leq L, \quad\left|x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq K, \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1] . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $C^{1}([0,1])$ and since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-x_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} q(t) \bar{f}_{n}\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq \psi(K)\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} q(t)(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)) d t\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that $\left\{x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\}$ is equicontinuous on $[0,1]$. The Arzelà-Ascoli theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence $\left\{x_{k_{n}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converging to $x$ in $C^{1}([0,1])$. Then, $x \in C^{1}([0,1]), x(0)=x(1)=0, x \geq \alpha$, and $\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq K$ on $[0,1]$. In addition,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{k_{n}}\left(t, x_{k_{n}}(t), x_{k_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}_{k_{n}}\left(t, x_{k_{n}}(t), x_{k_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right)=f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

for $t \in(0,1)$ and $\left|\vec{f}_{k_{n}}\left(t, x_{k_{n}}(t), x_{k_{n}}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq(g(\alpha(t))+h(L)) \psi(K)$ for $t \in(0,1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\xi \in(0,1)$. Taking the limit in the equalities

$$
x_{k_{n}}^{\prime}(t)=x_{k_{n}}^{\prime}(\xi)-\int_{\xi}^{t} q(s) \bar{f}_{k_{n}}\left(s, x_{k_{n}}(s), x_{k_{n}}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=x^{\prime}(\xi)-\int_{\xi}^{t} q(s) f\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Hence, $x \in C^{1}([0,1]) \cap C^{2}((0,1))$ and $x$ satisfies (1) on $(0,1)$. We have proved that $x$ is a solution of (1),(2) satisfying (20).
Example 1. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+t(1-t)\left(\frac{1}{x}+x^{\prime}-\lambda^{2}\right)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \neq 0$ is a constant. Set $\varepsilon=(1 / 18)\left(\sqrt{\lambda^{4}+144}-\lambda^{2}\right)$. Then, $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold with $\alpha(t)=\varepsilon t(1-t), \beta_{n}(t)=\beta=\max \left\{1,\left(\lambda^{2} \varepsilon\right) / 4\right\} / \lambda^{2}$ and, for instance, $\bar{t}_{n}=1 /(4 n), t_{n}=1-1 /(4 n)$. Applying Theorem 1 with $q(t)=t(1-t), L=\max \left\{1,\left(\lambda^{2} \varepsilon\right) / 4\right\} / \lambda^{2}, \mu=0, g(x)=1 / x, h(x)=$ $1+\lambda^{2}$, and $\psi(u)=1+u$, there exists a solution of BVP (22),(2) such that

$$
\varepsilon t(1-t) \leq x(t) \leq \frac{\max \left\{1,\left(\lambda^{2} \varepsilon\right) / 4\right\}}{\lambda^{2}}, \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Theorem 2. Let Assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right),\left(B_{1}\right)$, and $\left(B_{2}\right)$ be satisfied and let $\sup \{q(t): t \in$ $(0,1)\}<\infty$. Then, there exists a solution $x$ of $B V P(1),(2)$ satisfying inequalities (20), where $K$ is given in Lemma 2.
Proof. By Lemma 2, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a solution $x_{n}$ of BVP (11),(12) for which inequalities (21) hold. Now, we can argue as in the proof of Theorem 1 to prove the assertions of our theorem.
Example 2. Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\left(\left(\frac{t(1-t)}{x}\right)^{2}+x^{\prime}-t-\lambda^{2}\right)\left(1+x^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a constant. Then, $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $\left(H_{4}\right)$ hold with $\alpha(t)=t(1-t) /\left(5+\lambda^{2}\right), \beta_{n}(t)=\beta=1$ and, for instance, $\bar{t}_{n}=1 /(4 n), t_{n}=1-1 /(4 n)$. Applying Theorem 2 with $Q=1, L=1, \mu=0$, $r(t)=t, w(t)=t^{2}(1-t)^{2}, g(x)=1 / x^{2}, h(x)=\lambda^{2}$, and $\psi(u)=1+u$, we see that there exists a solution of BVP (23),(2) such that

$$
\frac{t(1-t)}{5+\lambda^{2}} \leq x(t) \leq 1, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

## 3. SOLVABILITY OF BVP (3),(4)

Let Assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ be satisfied. Consider the family of regular BVPs

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) q(t) f_{n}\left(t, x(t), p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)=0,  \tag{24}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) x^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad x(1)=\alpha\left(t_{n}\right), \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

depending on $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $f_{n}$ is defined by (7).
We say that $x$ is a solution of $B V P(24),(25)$ if $x \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1)), p x^{\prime} \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap$ $C^{1}((0,1)), x$ satisfies the boundary conditions (25), and (24) holds on $(0,1)$.
Lemma 3. Let Assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right),\left(H_{5}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right),\left(A_{1}\right)$ with $L=L_{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(g(\alpha(t))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) d t<\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{s} p(v) q(v)\left(g(\alpha(v))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) d v d s<\infty \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

be satisfied. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution $x$ of $B V P(24),(25)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(t) \leq x(t) \leq \beta_{n}(t), \quad\left|p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq V, \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1], \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{n}$ is given by (10) and the positive constant $V$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(g(\alpha(t))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) d t \leq \int_{0}^{V} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $x$ be a solution of BVP (24),(25). We are going to show that $x$ satisfies (28). Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\Lambda_{n}(t)-x(t): t \in[0,1]\right\}=\Lambda_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)>0, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0} \in[0,1)$ since $\Lambda_{n}(1)-x(1)=0$. Then, four cases occur.
Case 1. Let $t_{0}=0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\phi\left(\alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)-x(t)\right)\right) & \left.=f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), 0\right)+\phi\left(\Lambda_{n}(0)\right)-x(0)\right) \\
& \left.\geq \phi\left(\Lambda_{n}(0)\right)-x(0)\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-p(t) q(t)\left[f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)+\phi\left(\Lambda_{n}\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right)-x(t)\right)\right]<0,
$$

on a right neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right)$ of $t=0$. Hence, $p x^{\prime}$ is decreasing on $\mathcal{U}$ and from

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) x^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

we deduce that $x^{\prime}<0$ on $\mathcal{U}$, contrary to (30).
CASE 2. Let $t_{0} \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right) \cup\left(t_{n}, 1\right)$. Then, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\Lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Suppose that $t_{0} \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right)$ (the case $t_{0} \in\left(t_{n}, 1\right)$ can be treated analogously). Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{0}}^{\prime} & =-p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right)\left[f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), 0\right)+\phi\left(\Lambda_{n}\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right] \\
& <-p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right) f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \alpha\left(\bar{t}_{n}\right), 0\right) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $x^{\prime}<0$ on a right neighbourhood of $t=t_{0}$, contrary to (30).

CASE 3. Let $t_{0} \in\left\{\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right\}$. If $t_{0}=t_{n}$, then $x_{+}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$ and $x_{-}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$ (see Remark 1). Hence, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{0}}^{\prime} & =-p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right)\left[f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), 0\right)+\phi\left(\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right] \\
& <-p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right) f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), 0\right) \leq\left(p(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{0}}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $(x-\alpha)^{\prime}>0$ on a left neighbourhood of $t=t_{0}$, contrary to (30). For the case $t_{0}=\bar{t}_{n}$, the proof is similar.
Case 4. Let $t_{0} \in\left(\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right)$. Then, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{0}}^{\prime} & =-p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right)\left[f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), p\left(t_{0}\right) \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)+\phi\left(\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)-x\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right] \\
& <-p\left(t_{0}\right) q\left(t_{0}\right) f\left(t_{0}, \alpha\left(t_{0}\right), p\left(t_{0}\right) \alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right) \leq\left(p(t) \alpha^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{0}}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, again $(x-\alpha)^{\prime}>0$ on a left neighbourhood of $t=t_{0}$, contrary to (30).
We have proved that $\Lambda_{n}(t) \leq x(t)$ for $t \in[0,1]$. Suppose that $x \leq \beta_{n}$ on $[0,1]$ is not true. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{x(t)-\beta_{n}(t): t \in[0,1]\right\}=x\left(t_{*}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right)>0, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $t_{*} \in[0,1)$ since $x(1)=\alpha\left(t_{n}\right) \leq \beta_{n}(1)$.
(a) Let $t_{*}=0$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)<0$ then $\left(\beta_{n}-x\right)^{\prime}<0$ on a right neighbourhood of $t=0$, contrary to (31). Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)=0$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)-f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(t), p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}(t)-x(t)\right)\right) \\
&=f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(0), 0\right)-f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(0), 0\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}(0)-x(0)\right)<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so from the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(t)\left(x(t)-\beta_{n}(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq-\int_{0}^{t} p(s) q(s)\left[f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(s), p(s) x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right. \\
&\left.\quad-f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}(s), p(s) \beta_{n}(s)\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}(s)-x(s)\right)\right] d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is satisfied on any interval $(0, \varepsilon] \subset\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right)$ where $x>\beta_{n}$, we see that $\left(x-\beta_{n}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on a right neighbourhood of $t=0$, contrary to (31).
(b) Let $t_{*} \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right] \cup\left[t_{n}, 1\right)$. Then, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)=\beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)$ and we can assume that, for example, $t_{*} \in\left(0, \bar{t}_{n}\right]$ since the proof is similar for the case that $t_{*} \in\left[t_{n}, 1\right)$. From the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{*}}^{\prime} & =-p\left(t_{*}\right) q\left(t_{*}\right)\left[f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), p\left(t_{*}\right) \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right)-x\left(t_{*}\right)\right)\right] \\
& >-p\left(t_{*}\right) q\left(t_{*}\right) f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), p\left(t_{*}\right) \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right) \geq\left(p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{*}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $\left(x-\beta_{n}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on a right neighbourhood of $t=t_{*}$, contrary to (31).
(c) Let $t_{*} \in\left(\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right)$. Then, $x^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)=\beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t_{*}}^{\prime} & =-p\left(t_{*}\right) q\left(t_{*}\right)\left[f\left(t_{*}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), p\left(t_{*}\right) \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right)+\phi\left(\beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right)-x\left(t_{*}\right)\right)\right] \\
& >-p\left(t_{*}\right) q\left(t_{*}\right) f\left(t_{*}, \beta_{n}\left(t_{*}\right), p\left(t_{*}\right) \beta_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{*}\right)\right) \geq\left(p(t) \beta_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)_{t=t}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left(x-\beta_{n}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on a right neighbourhood of $t=t_{*}$, contrary to (31).
Therefore, $x \leq \beta_{n}$ on $[0,1]$.
Let $\left\|p x^{\prime}\right\|=\left|\left(p x^{\prime}\right)(\xi)\right|$. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) x^{\prime}(t)=0, \xi \in(0,1]$. By the inequalities $\Lambda_{n} \leq x \leq$ $\beta_{n} \leq L_{*}$ on $[0,1],\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{5}\right)$, and $\left(A_{1}\right)$ with $L=L_{*}$,

$$
\left|\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq p(t) q(t)\left(g(\alpha(t))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) \psi\left(\left|p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

Assume that $p(\xi) x^{\prime}(\xi)>0$ (the case where $p(\xi) x^{\prime}(\xi)<0$ can be considered similarly). Then, there exists $\nu \in[0, \xi)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \nu^{+}} p(t) x^{\prime}(t)=0$ and $p x^{\prime}>0$ on $(\nu, \xi]$. Integrating the inequality

$$
\frac{\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{\psi\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)} \leq p(t) q(t)\left(g(\alpha(t))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right)
$$

from $t \in(\nu, \xi)$ to $\xi$ and letting $t \rightarrow \nu^{+}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\left\|p x^{\prime}\right\|} \frac{1}{\psi(s)} d s & \leq \int_{\nu}^{\xi} p(t) q(t)\left(g(\alpha(t))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(g(\alpha(t))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently, $\left\|p x^{\prime}\right\|<V$ which follows from (29). Hence, (28) is true.
The Schauder fixed-point theorem guarantees that BVP (24),(25) has a solution $x$ and by the above consideration, we see that $x$ satisfies inequalities (28).
Theorem 3. Let Assumptions ( $H_{1}$ ), $\left(H_{2}\right)$, $\left(H_{5}\right)-\left(H_{7}\right)$, $\left(A_{1}\right)$ with $L=L_{*}$, (26), and (27) be satisfied. Then, there exists a solution $x$ of BVP (3),(4) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t) \leq x(t) \leq L_{*}, \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1] . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a solution $x_{n}$ of BVP (24),(25) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{n}(t) \leq x_{n}(t) \leq \beta_{n}(t) \leq L_{*}, \quad\left|p(t) x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq V, \quad \text { for } t \in[0,1] . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the sequence $\left\{x_{n}(t)\right\}$. It follows from (33) that $\left\{x_{n}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{p(t) x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\}$ are uniformly bounded on $[0,1]$ and from the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)-x_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} p(s) q(s) f_{n}\left(s, x_{n}(s), p(s) x_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d t\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} p(s) q(s)\left(g(\alpha(s))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) d s d t\right| \\
\left|p\left(t_{1}\right) x_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-p\left(t_{2}\right) x_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} p(t) q(t) f_{n}\left(t, x_{n}(t), p(t) x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} p(t) q(t)\left(g(\alpha(t))+h\left(L_{*}\right)\right) d t\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that $\left\{x_{n}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{p(t) x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\}$ are equicontinuous on $[0,1]$. By the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, going if necessary to subsequences, we can assume that $\left\{x_{n}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{p(t) x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\}$ are uniformly convergent on $[0,1], \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}(t)=x(t), \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p(t) x_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\gamma(t)$. Clearly, $x(1)=0, \alpha(t) \leq x(t)$ for $t \in[0,1], \lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \gamma(t)=0$ and $\gamma(t)=p(t) x^{\prime}(t)$ for $t \in(0,1)$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n}(t)=\alpha\left(t_{n}\right) & +\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left[\chi_{\left[0, \bar{t}_{n}\right]}(s) \int_{0}^{s} p(v) q(v) f\left(\bar{t}_{n}, x_{n}(v), p(v) x_{n}^{\prime}(v)\right) d v\right. \\
& +\chi_{\left[\bar{t}_{n}, t_{n}\right]}(s) \int_{0}^{s} p(v) q(v) f\left(v, x_{n}(v), p(v) x_{n}^{\prime}(v)\right) d v \\
& \left.+\chi_{\left[t_{n}, 1\right]}(s) \int_{0}^{s} p(v) q(v) f\left(t_{n}, x_{n}(v), p(v) x_{n}^{\prime}(v)\right) d v\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi_{\left[c_{1}, c_{2}\right]}$ stands for the characteristic function of the interval $\left[c_{1}, c_{2}\right] \subset[0,1]$, and using Lebesgue dominated convergence theorems, we have

$$
x(t)=\int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(v) q(v) f\left(v, x(v), p(v) x^{\prime}(v)\right) d v d s, \quad t \in[0,1] .
$$

Therefore, $x \in C^{0}([0,1]) \cap C^{1}((0,1))$ and

$$
\left(p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-p(t) q(t) f\left(t, x(t), p(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

Consequently, $x$ is a solution of BVP (3),(4) satisfying (32).
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