International Journal of Infectious Diseases 17 (2013) e433-e442



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

International Journal of Infectious Diseases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

# Functional polymorphisms in the *CYP1A1*, *ACE*, and *IL-6* genes contribute to susceptibility to community-acquired and nosocomial pneumonia

Lyubov E. Salnikova <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Tamara V. Smelaya <sup>b</sup>, Viktor V. Moroz <sup>b</sup>, Arkadiy M. Golubev <sup>b</sup>, Alexander V. Rubanovich <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> N. I. Vavilov Institute of General Genetics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 3 Gubkin Street, Moscow 117971, Russia <sup>b</sup> V. A. Negovsky Research Institute of General Reanimatology, Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Moscow, Russia

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2012 Received in revised form 20 December 2012 Accepted 6 January 2013

**Corresponding Editor:** Eskild Petersen, Skejby, Denmark

Keywords: Community-acquired pneumonia Nosocomial pneumonia Gene polymorphism CYP1A1 ACE IL-6

#### SUMMARY

*Objectives:* To establish the contribution of genetic host factors to the risk of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and nosocomial pneumonia (NP) in the population of the Russian Federation. *Methods:* A total of 796 subjects (CAP: 334 patients, 134 controls; NP: 216 critically ill patients with NP, 105 critically ill patients without NP) were included in two case–control studies. We analyzed 13 polymorphisms in 11 genes (*IL-6, TNF-\alpha, MBL2, CCR5, NOS3, CYP1A1* (three sites), *GSTM1, GSTT1, ABCB1, ACE,* and *MTHFR*) using a tetra-primer allele-specific PCR method.

*Results:* Individual single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis revealed a strong association between *CYP1A1* rs2606345 and CAP ( $p = 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$ , odds ratio (OR) 0.42, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.27–0.63). Three genes (*CYP1A1*, *ACE*, and *IL*-6) were identified that account for part of the increase in vulnerability to both diseases, CAP and NP. The carriage of three predisposing genotypes versus protective genotypes increased the CAP risk (p = 0.001, OR 7.01, 95% CI 1.99–24.70) and NP risk (p = 0.028, OR 4.34, 95% CI 1.15–16.45).

*Conclusions:* Genetic predisposition to CAP and NP is attributed to the cumulative contribution of polymorphisms at the *CYP1A1*, *IL-6*, and *ACE* genes, independently of age, gender, causative pathogen, and the use of mechanical ventilation, in patients in the Russian Federation.

© 2013 International Society for Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and nosocomial pneumonia (NP) are frequent infectious problems that show no tendency to a significant decrease. Susceptibility to CAP and critical CAP complications has been studied systematically by several groups of investigators, and apparent success has been achieved in the identification of polymorphic variants of some host genes associated with the diversity in the response to CAP. These are genes critical to the CAP innate immune system,<sup>1–16</sup> genes controlling lung defense against inhaled microorganisms<sup>4,17</sup> and inhibition of fibrinolysis,<sup>18</sup> and some others. Genetic variations in several genes (*MBL2, MASP-2,* and *PAI-1*) reported to be associated with CAP have also been studied in NP patients,<sup>19–23</sup> but to our knowledge there are no publications investigating both CAP and NP for the same single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).

To evaluate candidate host genetic variants predisposing to CAP and NP, we selected 13 polymorphic variants assigned to 11 different genes. The selection of the genes was based on their association with molecular pathways probably implicated in CAP pathogenesis and on literature data. Genes involved in the immune and inflammation response are directly relevant to the human host defense (IL-6 rs1800795, TNF-α rs1800629, MBL2 rs7096206, CCR5 rs333, and NOS3 rs1799983). Xenobiotic detoxification genes were also included in our study as they encode enzymes involved in the detoxification and excretion of a broad range of compounds, including products of oxidative stress and drugs, thereby participating in the general resistance to exogenous and endogenous harmful metabolites, as well as in the biotransformation of drugs (CYP1A1 rs2606345, rs4646903, rs1048943, GSTM1 Ins/del, GSTT1 Ins/del, and ABCB1 rs1045642). Two additional genes might have an impact on CAP initiation and progression - the gene of the renin-angiotensin system ACE (rs4340) and the gene-candidate for occlusive vascular disease and hyperhomocysteinemia MTHFR (rs1801133), both disorders possibly affecting augmentation of inflammation processes.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +7 499 1328958; fax: +7 499 1328962. *E-mail addresses:* salnikovalyubov@gmail.com, Salnikova@vigg.ru (L.E. Salnikova).

<sup>1201-9712/\$36.00 -</sup> see front matter © 2013 International Society for Infectious Diseases. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2013.01.005

### 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Patients and controls

From January 2008 to October 2011 a total of 334 Russian Federation patients with CAP, hospitalized at the clinical bases of the V. A. Negovsky Research Institute of General Reanimatology, Moscow, Russia, were included in the study. The diagnosis of CAP was based on the presence of acute symptoms resulting from a lower respiratory tract infection, confirmed by clinical (cough, sputum production, auscultatory findings consistent with pneumonia, a temperature of >38 °C or <35 °C), radiological (an infiltrate on chest X-rays), and laboratory (a white blood cell count of >10  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup> cells/l or <4  $\times$  10<sup>9</sup> cells/l) data. Hospitalization was ascertained based on participant self-report and active surveil-lance by medical personnel.

The control group consisted of 141 unrelated healthy volunteers without a previous history of pneumonia.

We selected a group of accident victims who had sustained severe, mostly life-threatening physical trauma and patients with acute diseases requiring extensive surgery for the NP study. Clinical data were collected prospectively. The NP study included 216 patients with nosocomial pneumonia and 105 patients who did not develop NP during their stay in the hospital. Eighty (76.2%) patients without NP and 205 (94.9%) patients with NP were intubated and ventilated. The durations of mechanical ventilation were significantly longer in cases than in controls: 50% of NP patients were mechanically ventilated over 15 days compared with only 7% of patients without NP. The diagnosis of pneumonia was made on the basis of the appearance of a new infiltrate on chest X-ray in the presence of cough, fever, and leukocytosis.

Exclusion criteria for the CAP and NP groups consisted of age <18 years, lack of informed consent, defined immunodeficiency, corticosteroid administration <6 weeks prior, history of recent hospitalization (<30 days), chronic respiratory diseases (asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, tuberculosis, obstructive pneumonia caused by neoplasia), malignancy, final stage of chronic disease, decompensated heart failure (New York Heart Association (NYHA) class IV), decompensated diabetes, severe neurological deficit (Glasgow coma scale  $\leq 8$ ), addiction, alcoholism, AIDS, and pregnancy.

The ethnicity of the population in the present study was primarily ( $\geq$ 97%) Caucasian, mainly Slavs (81.6–86.1%), among them Russians (65.7–74.5%) (see tables; more detailed information is available in the **Supplementary Material**).

Respiratory fluid samples obtained by endotracheal aspiration were taken for microbiological documentation of CAP and NP. The bacteriological examination consisted of Gram stain smears and cultures. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid was stained and cultured for aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, fungi (*Aspergillus* and *Candida* species), and mycobacteria. A positive quantitative culture was defined when bacteria were cultured from BAL samples at a concentration of  $1 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml or more.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of V. A. Negovsky Research Institute of General Reanimatology RAMS (with institutional review board approval number 2/6/2012) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### 2.2. Genotyping

DNA was isolated from 200 µl of blood using gDNA purification kit Diatom DNA Prep 200 (Isogene Laboratory, Moscow, Russia). Genotyping was performed using an allele-specific tetra-primer PCR developed to genotype a relatively large number of samples in a cost-effective and time-saving manner. In this method, allelespecific DNA products are amplified by means of applying appropriately designed two-pair primers (four primers) into an ordinary PCR tube.<sup>24</sup> Amplification was carried out in an ABI thermal cycler using two external and two internal sequence-specific primers (**Supplementary Material**, Table S2) and PCR MasterMix tubes (Isogene Laboratory, Moscow, Russia), as previously described.<sup>25</sup> The PCR products were then analyzed in 2% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. For each SNP, 10% of randomly taken DNA samples (cases and controls) were genotyped twice and no discrepancies were observed.

## 2.3. Statistical analysis

Exact Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) tests were performed for each SNP independently using the goodness-of-fit Chisquare test to compare the observed and expected genotype frequencies for the CAP, NP, and control groups. Logistic regression analysis adjusted for age, gender, ethnicity, and the use and duration of mechanical ventilation was performed to evaluate the associations between the studied gene polymorphisms and CAP or NP risk. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the SNPStats software.<sup>26</sup> If one allele of the SNP was underrepresented among patients it was considered a protective allele (OR < 1). Reciprocally the second allele in a two-allele system would be overrepresented among patients and it would be a risk allele (OR > 1). Three genetic models defined relative to the minor allele were considered: dominant (minor homozygotes + heterozygotes vs. major homozygotes), recessive (minor homozygotes vs. heterozygotes + major homozygotes), overdominant (minor homozygotes + major homozygotes vs. heterozygotes).

Multiple SNP statistical analysis was performed using WinSTAT software (Robert K. Fitch Software, Germany).

WINPEPI test power and sample size calculators were used to evaluate type II error.<sup>27</sup> To detect OR = 2.0 in our sample size for the CAP study, the test power for the additive model for relative minor allele frequency 0.06 (rs1048943G) is 39.1% and for minor allele frequency 0.47 (rs4340Ins) is 91.2% (two-sided Fisher's test). Test power in our NP study is 43.3% for relative minor allele frequency 0.1 (rs1048943G) and 79.1% for allele frequency 0.45 (rs4340Del).

#### 3. Results

A total 334 patients with CAP (307 males and 27 females; age range 18–55 years, mean age 27 years) were included in CAP casecontrol study. One hundred forty-one unrelated healthy volunteers without a previous history of pneumonia were matched by age, sex, and ethnicity (130 males and 11 females; age range 18–52 years, mean age 29 years). The main demographic and clinical variables of the patients with CAP and controls are shown in Table 1.

The NP set comprised 216 patients with nosocomial pneumonia (176 males and 40 females; age range 18–82 years, mean age 43 years) and 105 patients (83 males and 22 females; age range 19–93 years, mean age 41 years) who did not develop NP during their stay in the hospital due to severe life-threatening conditions. Controls were matched by age, sex, ethnicity, and underlying disease (Table 2).

Microbiological confirmation was achieved in 233 CAP patients. *Streptococcus* species were isolated in 149 patients (64% of the patients with a known causative microorganism), and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was the most common etiologic agent (143 patients, 61.4%). *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified in 55 patients with CAP (23.6%) (Table 1). Microbiological data were available for only 66 NP patients. Typical nosocomial pathogens that are known to play a role in the development of NP, including ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), were identified: Gram-negative bacilli (36 patients, 54.5%)

Characteristics of the community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and control groups involved in the study  $% \left( \mathcal{A}^{2}\right) =\left( \mathcal{A}^{2}\right) \left( \mathcal{A}^{2$ 

Feature	Control, n (%)	CAP, n (%)
Total number	141	334
Age, years	$29.1\pm0.6$	$26.9\pm0.8$
Sex		
Male	130 (92.2)	307 (91.9)
Female	11 (7.8)	27 (8.1)
Ethnicity		
Caucasians	138 (97.9)	324 (97.0)
Slavs	115 (81.6)	276 (82.6)
Russian	105 (74.5)	238 (71.3)
Progression		
Bilateral	-	98 (29.3)
Unilateral	-	236 (70.7)
Background diseases	-	
No	-	326 (97.6)
Yes <sup>a</sup>	-	8 (2.4)
Comorbidity	-	
No		303 (90.7)
Yes <sup>b</sup>		31 (9.3)
Causative pathogens <sup>c</sup>	-	233 (69.8)
Streptococcal infections	-	149 (44.6)
Streptococcus pneumoniae	-	143 (42.8)
Streptococcus pyogenes	-	3 (0.9)
Other Streptococcus	-	3 (0.9)
Staphylococcus aureus	-	55 (16.5)
Haemophilus influenzae	-	11 (3.3)
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	-	11 (3.3)
Other infectious pathogens	-	7 (2.1)
Duration of hospitalization, days	-	$\textbf{27.4} \pm \textbf{10.2}$
Hospital mortality	-	12 (3.6)

<sup>a</sup> Ischemic cardiopathy, diabetes, obesity.

<sup>b</sup> Most frequently purulent antritis (51.61%).

<sup>c</sup> In patients with mixed CAP (*n* = 43; 12.9%) the most prevalent microorganism is specified.

#### Table 2

Characteristics of the nosocomial pneumonia (NP) and non-NP (control) groups involved in the study

Characteristics	Patients without NP, <i>n</i> (%)	Patients with NP, n (%)
Total number	105	216
Age, years	$41.0\pm1.6$	$43.0\pm2.0$
Sex		
Male	83 (79.1)	176 (81.5)
Female	22 (20.9)	40 (18.5)
Ethnicity		
Caucasian	105 (100)	213 (98.6)
Slav	86 (81.9)	186 (86.1)
Russian	69 (65.7)	152 (70.4)
Background diseases		
No	89 (84.8)	156 (72.2)
Yes	16 (15.2)	60 (27.8)
Cardiovascular diseases <sup>a</sup>	3 (2.9)	19 (8.8)
Type 2 diabetes	2 (1.9)	7 (3.2)
Obesity	1 (0.95)	10 (4.6)
Neurological pathology	1 (0.95)	7 (3.2)
Gastric ulcer	2 (1.9)	4 (1.85)
Duodenal ulcer	1 (0.95)	5 (2.3)
Urolithiasis	2 (1.9)	4 (1.85)
Cholelithiasis	4 (3.8)	4 (1.85)
Underlying disease		
Severe combined trauma/wound	30/21 (48.6)	109/34 (66.2)
Pancreatonecrosis	8 (7.6)	15 (6.9)
Bowel obstruction	18 (17.1)	33 (15.3)
Acute phlegmonous and gangrenous	2 (1.9)	2 (0.9)
appendicitis		
Destructive cholecystitis	9 (8.6)	3 (1.4)
Hollow organ perforation	10 (9.5)	4 (1.85)
Purulent inflammatory diseases of	4 (3.8)	4 (1.85)
the skin, subcutaneous tissue,		
and soft tissue		
Renal carbuncle	3 (2.9)	5 (2.3)
Acute violation of cerebral circulation	(0.0)	3 (1.4)

Table 2	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Characteristics	Patients	Patients
	without	with NP,
	NP, n (%)	n (%)
Lacunar tonsillitis, retropharyngeal	(0.0)	1 (0.5)
abscess	. ,	
Suppurative submandibular	(0.0)	1 (0.5)
lymphadenitis		
Purulent sphenoiditis	(0.0)	1 (0.5)
Pericarditis	(0.0)	1 (0.5)
Complications (of basic disease)	44 (44.0)	(0.0)
No	44 (41.9)	(0.0)
Yes Major complications	61 (58.1)	216 (100.0)
Nosocomial pneumonia	(0,0)	216 (100.0)
Peritonitis	(0.0) 28 (26 7)	210 (100.0) 49 (22 7)
Intra-abdominal abscesses	5(48)	6(28)
Anastomotic failure	(0,0)	8 (37)
Lung abscesses	(0.0)	17 (7.9)
Pleural empyema	(0.0)	13 (6.0)
Wound suppuration	3 (2.9)	3 (1.3)
Post-traumatic osteomyelitis	2 (1.9)	4 (1.85)
Secondary meningoencephalitis	2 (1.9)	4 (1.85)
Mediastinitis	1 (0.95)	8 (3.7)
Tracheoesophageal fistula	(0.0)	2 (0.9)
Severe sepsis	2 (1.9)	56 (25.9)
Septic shock	2 (1.9)	15 (6.9)
Multiple organ failure	5 (4.8)	74 (34.3)
Acute respiratory distress syndrome	3 (2.9)	21 (9.7)
Pulmonary embolism	3 (2.9)	5 (2.3)
Brain abscesses	I (0.95)	(0.0)
Combined complications	4 (5.6)	23 (10.7)
Infectious pathogens	7 (0.7)	131 (00.7) 66 (30.6)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	_	7 (3 2)
Klebsiella nneumoniae	_	9(42)
Staphylococcus aureus	-	12(56)
Escherichia coli	-	7 (3.2)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa +	-	13 (6.0)
Acinetobacter baumannii		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa +	-	11 (5.1)
methicillin-resistant		
Staphylococcus aureus +		
Acinetobacter baumannii		
Klebsiella pneumoniae +	-	7 (3.2)
Staphylococcus aureus +		
Acinetobacter baumannii		
Use of mechanical ventilation	25 (22.0)	44 (5.4)
No	25 (23.8)	11 (5.1)
Yes	80 (76.2)	205 (94.9)
ventilation days	$21.9 \pm 9.2$	$5.3 \pm 1.3$
<pre>ventilation, days</pre>	59 (56 2)	40 (18 5)
> uays 5-15 days	14(133)	-5(10.3)
>15 days	7 (67)	108 (5.0)
ICU admission	. (0.7)	
No	4 (3.8)	9 (4.2)
Yes	101 (96.2)	207 (95.8)
Duration of hospitalization, days	$41.3 \pm 19.6$	$49.9 \pm 18.9$
Hospital mortality	3 (2.9)	80 (37.0)

ICU, intensive care unit.

<sup>a</sup> Ischemic cardiopathy, essential hypertension, widespread atherosclerosis, coronary artery bypass.

of the patients with a known causative microorganism), Grampositive bacteria (12 patients, 18.2%), and mixed cases (18 patients, 27.3%) (Table 2).

#### 3.1. Individual SNP analysis in the CAP association study

Thirteen polymorphic variants (11 SNPs and two copy number variations (CNV) – *GSTM1* and *GSTT1*) were genotyped in CAP and control subjects from the Russian population. SNPs were analyzed for HWE. In the control group the genotype frequencies of all studied variations were in HWE (Table 3). For rs2606345 and

Distribution of genotypes among the community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and control groups

Genes and genotypes	Control subjects, n (%)	CAP, <i>n</i> (%)	Crude analysis		Adjusted analysis (adjusted by age, sex, and ethnicity)		
			<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI	
Xenobiotic detoxification	l						
CYP1A1 rs2606345 T/T <b>T/G</b> G/G	n = 134 HWP <sup>c</sup> = 0.72 46 (34.3) 63 (47.0) 25 (18.7)	n=334 HWP=0.0025 186 (55.7) 111 (33.2) 37 (11.1)	$3.9\times 10^{-5} \ (dom)$	Reference 0.42, 0.27–0.63	$3.87\times 10^{-5}~(dom)$	Reference 0.41, 0.27–0.63	
CYP1A1 rs4646903 T/T T/C C/C	n = 131 HWP = 0.22 98 (74.8) 33 (25.2) 0 (0.0)	n = 323 HWP = 0.5 265 (82.0) 57 (17.7) 1 (0.3)	0.086 (dom)	Reference 0.65, 0.40–1.06	0.094 (dom)	Reference 0.65, 0.40–1.07	
CYP1A1 rs1048943 Ile462Val	n = 132 HWP = 1.0	n=323 HWP=1.0					
A/A A/G G/G	124 (93.9) 8 (6.1) 0 (0.0)	291 (90.1) 32 (9.9) 0 (0.0)	0.17 (dom)	Reference 1.70, 0.76–3.80	0.14 (dom)	Reference 1.80, 0.80–4.05	
GSTM1	<i>n</i> = 140	n=331					
Del(D/D)- Ins (I/*)	HWP (N/A)	HWP (NA)					
D/D I/*	78 (55.7) 62 (44.3)	134 (40.5) <b>197 (59.5)</b>	0.0024 (N/A)	Reference 1.85, 1.24–2.76	0.0021 (N/A)	Reference 1.90, 1.26–2.86	
GSTT1	<i>n</i> = 140	n=331					
Del(D/D)- Ins (I/*)	HWP (N/A)	HWP (N/A)					
I/* D/D	118 (84.3) 22 (15.7)	268 (80.9) 63 (19.1)	0.39 (N/A)	Reference 0.79, 0.47–1.35	0.42 (N/A)	Reference 0.80, 0.46–1.38	
ABCB1 rs1045642 Ile1145 =	n = 141 HWP = 0.31	n = 333 HWP = 0.08					
T/T T/C C/C	47 (33.3) 63 (44.7) 31 (22.0)	140 (42.0) 140 (42.0) 53 (16.0)	0.074 (dom)	Reference 0.69, 0.46–1.04	0.065 (dom)	Reference 0.67, 0.44–1.03	
Immune response regula	tion and inflammatio	n					
IL-6	<i>n</i> = 139	n=322					
rs1800795 G/G <b>G/C</b> C/C	HWP=0.061 37 (26.6) 80 (57.6) 22 (15.8)	HWP=0.31 103 (32.0) <b>150 (46.6)</b> 69 (21.4)	0.033 (od)	Reference 0.64, 0.43–0.96	0.053 (od)	Reference 0.67, 0.44–1.01	
TNF-α rs1800629	n = 139 HWP = 0.074	n=321 HWP=0.8					
G/G G/A A/A	100 (71.9) 39 (28.1) 0 (0.0)	248 (77.3) 68 (21.2) 5 (1.5)	0.23 (dom)	Reference 0.75, 0.48–1.19	0.27 (dom)	Reference 0.77, 0.49–1.22	
MBL2 rs7096206 C/C G/G	n = 120 HWP = 0.51 85 (70.8) 31 (25.8) 4 (3.3)	n=277 HWP=0.39 194 (70.0) 73 (26.4) 10 (3.6)	0.87 (dom)	Reference 1.04, 0.65–1.66	0.93 (dom)	Reference 1.02, 0.63–1.66	
CCR5 Del32	n = 141 HWP = 1.0	n=319 HWP=1.0					
I/I I/D D/D	113 (80.1) 27 (19.2) 1 (0.7)	281 (88.1) <b>37 (11.6)</b> <b>1 (0.3)</b>	0.029 (dom)	Reference 0.55, 0.32–0.93	0.0087 (dom)	Reference 0.47, 0.27–0.82	
, NOS2	n=1/1	n=221					
rs1799983 Asp298Glu	HWP=0.15	HWP = 0.76					
G/G <b>G/T</b> T/T	67 (47.5) 66 (46.8) 8 (5.7)	185 (57.6) <b>116 (36.1)</b> 20 (6.2)	0.031 (od)	Reference 0.64, 0.43–0.96	0.016 (od)	Reference 0.60, 0.40–0.91	

#### Table 3 (Continued)

Genes and genotypes	Control subjects, n (%)	CAP, <i>n</i> (%)	Crude analysis		Adjusted analysis (adjusted l and ethnicity)	oy age, sex,
			<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI
Renin–angiotensin syste	em					
ACE	n=141	n=321				
rs4340	HWP=1.0	HWP=0.014				
Alu-287 bp						
D/D	30 (21.3)	105 (32.8)	0.011 (dom)	Reference	0.0052 (dom)	Reference
I/D	70 (49.7)	137 (42.8)		0.55, 0.35-0.88		0.52, 0.32-0.83
1/1	41 (29.1)	78 (24.4)				
Occlusive vascular disea	se					
MTHFR	n=131	n=316				
rs1801133	HWP=0.32	HWP=0.53				
Ala222Val						
C/C	56 (42.8)	134 (42.4)	0.44 (rec)	Reference	0.49 (rec)	Reference
C/T	64 (48.9)	148 (46.8)		1.32, 0.64-2.68		1.29, 0.62-2.66
T/T	11 (8.4)	34 (10.8)				

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; N/A, Not applicable.

Genotypes associated with a response in accordance with OR (protective if OR < 1, susceptible if OR > 1) are in bold-type.

<sup>a</sup> Significant *p*-values <0.05.

<sup>b</sup> The genetic model: rec, recessive; dom, dominant; od, overdominant (for the overdominant model the reference genotype is major homozygote + minor homozygote). <sup>c</sup> Hardy–Weinberg probability (a probability for the deviation between observed and expected numbers for the dominant homozygotes, the heterozygotes, and the recessive homozygotes in a two-allele system).

rs4340, there was considerable deviation from HWE in patients; a more detailed analysis showed that this was linked to CAP predisposition. We revealed CAP susceptibility genes in the crude analysis (*CYP1A1* rs2606345, *GSTM1*, *IL-6*, *NOS3*, *CCR5*, and *ACE*). Multivariate analysis adjusted for age, gender, and ethnicity showed that the abovementioned association signals became more pronounced, with only *IL-6* demonstrating a marginally significant *p*-value. In the CAP group we observed significant over-representation of the *CYP1A1* rs2606345T/T and *ACE* rs4340 D/D risk genotypes relative to the control group.

#### 3.2. Individual SNP analysis in the NP association study

The same polymorphic variants were genotyped in two other groups from the Russian population: 216 patients with NP and 105 patients who did not develop NP during their stay in the hospital (Table 4). Genotype frequencies of the studied polymorphisms in the control group were in HWE. A significant *IL*-6 heterozygote deficiency against the null hypothesis of HWE was observed in the NP group. These data are in line with the protective effect of heterozygotes found by a logistic regression analysis for the overdominant model. The *ACE* gene also showed an association with NP in the crude model. Regression analysis adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, and duration of mechanical ventilation (if applied) showed that these findings remained significant for *IL*-6 and *ACE*. Another gene, *CYP1A1* (rs2606345), appeared as a susceptibility gene with a marginally significant association with NP (p = 0.051).

# 3.3. Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons

To exclude false-positive associations with disease, we used the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Significant *p*-values were multiplied by the number of studied polymorphisms. The *p*-value after Bonferroni correction remained significant for the *CYP1A1* gene (rs2606345): pbonf = 0.00051, and for the *GSTM1* gene: pbonf = 0.031, in the CAP case-control study.

#### 3.4. CAP and NP association studies in Slavs

Individuals with ancestors other than Slav were excluded from the case and control groups (**Supplementary Material**, Table S3). Multivariate analysis adjusted for age, gender (and use of mechanical ventilation in the NP set) showed that *CYP1A1* TG–GG carriers (rs2606345) were significantly less likely to develop CAP and NP. The frequency of the *ACE* II-ID genotypes was significantly greater among controls compared with CAP patients. In the NP set, *ACE* I/I homozygous individuals also showed a lower disease incidence. A protective effect for *IL*-6 heterozygotes was revealed in the CAP (trend p = 0.056) and NP studies. Significant differences in the frequency distributions among CAP cases and controls were also observed for *GSTM1* and *NOS3* genes.

#### 3.5. Analysis of independent prognostic risk factors of CAP and NP

Since the inflammatory response and the immune response may vary depending on the microorganism, the role of the SNPs showing *p*-values of <0.1 in the CAP set was analyzed in the groups of CAP patients with known causative microorganisms. A total of 149 patients with streptococcal infections and 55 patients with staphylococcal infections were further analyzed (Table 5). Multivariate analysis adjusted for age, gender, and ethnicity confirmed that CYP1A1 T/G-G/G genotypes and ACE Ins I/I-I/D genotypes were the independent protective factors for streptococcal CAP and for staphylococcal CAP. A marginally significant association was also found between the IL-6 gene and streptococcal CAP risk. The small sample size in the staphylococcal CAP group results in wide confidence intervals and a *p*-value that is outside the significance level (p = 0.067). Multivariate regression also identified *GSTM1*, CCR5, and NOS3 genotypes as having significant effects on streptococcal CAP susceptibility. In contrast we found not even a trend (p < 0.15) for an association of these polymorphic variants with staphylococcal CAP (Table 5).

Additionally we compared the distributions of *CYP1A1* (rs2606345), *ACE*, and *IL*-6 genotypes in the subgroups of patients with streptococcal CAP and staphylococcal CAP. The frequencies of genotypes did not differ significantly in patients with different causative microorganisms (Chi-square = 0.95, p = 0.62; Chi-square = 1.9, p = 0.39; Chi-square = 1.1, p = 0.59, respectively).

With regard to the specific NP causative microorganisms we could not perform the association analysis because of the small numbers of patients in the groups. We compared the genotype distributions among NP patients with Gram-negative bacilli (36

Distribution of genotypes among the nosocomial pneumonia (NP) and control groups

Genes and genotypes	Non-NP, <i>n</i> (%)	NP, n (%)	Crude analysis		Adjusted analysis (ad sex, ethnicity, and du mechanical ventilatio	justed by age, ration of n)
			p-Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI	p-Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI
Xenobiotic detoxification CYP1A1 rs2606345 T/T <b>T/G</b> <b>G/G</b>	n = 102 HWP <sup>c</sup> = 0.22 32 (31.4) 56 (54.9) 14 (13.7)	n = 209 HWP = 0.88 88 (42.1) 97 (46.4) 24 (11.4)	0.066 (dom)	Reference 0.63, 0.38–1.04	0.051 (dom)	Reference 0.60, 0.35–1.01
CYP1A1 rs4646903 T/T T/C C/C	n = 94 HWP = 0.59 74 (78.7) 20 (21.3) 0 (0.0)	n = 186 HWP = 0.48 144 (77.4) 41 (22.0) 1 (0.5)	0.8 (dom)	Reference 1.08, 0.59–1.97	0.92 (dom)	Reference 1.04, 0.47–2.29
CYP1A1 rs1048943	n = 95 HWP = 1.0	n = 186 HWP = 1.0				
A/A A/G G/G	85 (89.5) 10 (10.5) 0 (0.0)	171 (91.9) 15 (8.1) 0 (0.0)	0.5 (dom)	Reference 0.75, 0.32–1.73	0.17 (dom)	Reference 0.39, 0.10–1.53
GSTM1 Del(D/D)- Ins (I/*)	n=104 HWP (N/A)	n = 208 HWP (N/A)				
D/D I/*	48 (46.2) 56 (53.8)	94 (45.2) 114 (54.8)	0.87 (N/A)	Reference 1.04, 0.65–1.67	0.50 (N/A)	Reference 1.22, 0.68–2.21
GSTTT Del(D/D)- Ins (I/*)	n = 104 HWP (N/A)	n = 208 HWP (N/A)		2.4		
D/D I/* ABCB1	n = 105	n = 216 (14.9)	0.56 (N/A)	Reference 0.82, 0.41–1.63	0.47 (N/A)	Reference 0.74, 0.32–1.71
rs1045642 Ile1145 = T/T	HWP=0.23	HWP = $0.32$	0.17 (rec)	Reference	035 (rec)	Reference
T/C C/C	45 (42.9) 22 (20.9)	112 (51.9) 32 (14.8)		0.66, 0.36–1.20	0.00 (100)	0.70, 0.34–1.46
Immune response regulation	n and inflammation $n = 100$	n - 206				
rs1800795 G/G <b>G/C</b> C/C	HWP=0.14 32 (32.0) 56 (56.0) 12 (12.0)	HWP=0.009 83 (40.3) <b>81 (39.3)</b> 42 (20.4)	0.006 (od)	Reference 0.51, 0.31–0.83	0.04 (od)	Reference 0.53, 0.29–0.98
TNF-α rs1800629 G/G G/A	n = 105 HWP = 0.35 81 (77.1) 24 (22.9)	n = 208 HWP = 0.23 167 (80.3) 41 (19.7)	0.52 (dom)	Reference 0.83, 0.47–1.46	0.38 (dom)	Reference 0.71, 0.33–1.52
A/A	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)				
MBL2 rs7096206 C/C C/G G/G	n = 80 HWP = 0.34 59 (73.8) 21 (26.2) 0 (0.0)	n = 151 HWP = 0.55 107 (70.9) 39 (25.8) 5 (3.3)	0.64 (dom)	Reference 1.16, 0.31–2.12	0.91 (dom)	Reference 0.95, 0.41–2.23
CCR5 Del32 rs333	n = 105 HWP = 0.59	n = 208 HWP = 0.021				
I/I I/D D/D	85 (80.9) 20 (19.1) 0 (0.0)	174 (83.7) 29 (13.9) 5 (2.4)	0.55 (dom)	Reference 0.83, 0.45–1.53	0.19 (dom)	Reference 0.60, 0.28–1.29
NOS3 rs1799983	n = 105 HWP = 0.79	n = 209 HWP = 0.33				
G/G G/T T/T	59 (56.2) 41 (39.0) 5 (4.8)	98 (46.9) 95 (45.4) 16 (7.7)	0.12 (dom)	Reference 1.45, 0.91–2.33	0.52 (dom)	Reference 1.21, 0.68–2.17

Table 4 (Continued)						
Genes and genotypes	Non-NP, <i>n</i> (%)	-NP, n (%) NP, n (%)	Crude analysis		Adjusted analysis (adjusted by age, sex, ethnicity, and duration of mechanical ventilation)	
			p-Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI	p-Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	OR, 95% CI
Renin–angiotensin syste	m					
ACE	<i>n</i> = 105	n = 208				
rs4340	HWP=0.24	HWP=0.89				
Alu-287 bp						
D/D	24 (22.9)	59 (28.4)	0.044 (rec)	Reference	0.03 (rec)	Reference
I/D	46 (43.8)	102 (49.0)		0.58, 0.35-0.98		0.47, 0.24-0.93
I/I	35 (33.3)	47 (22.6)				
Occlusive vascular disea	se					
MTHFR	n = 94	n=184				
rs1801133	HWP=0.48	HWP=0.5				
Ala222Val						
C/C	41 (43.6)	83 (45.11)	0.81 (dom)	Reference	0.81 (dom)	Reference
C/T	45 (47.9)	85 (46.20)		0.94, 0.57-1.55		1.08, 0.57-2.07
T/T	8 (8.5)	16 (8.70)				

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; N/A,.

Genotypes associated with a response in accordance with OR (protective if OR < 1, susceptible if OR > 1) are in **bold-type**.

<sup>a</sup> Significant *p*-values < 0.05.

<sup>b</sup> The genetic model: rec, recessive; dom, dominant; od, overdominant (for the overdominant model the reference genotype is major homozygote + minor homozygote). <sup>c</sup> Hardy–Weinberg probability (a probability for the deviation between observed and expected numbers for the dominant homozygotes, the heterozygotes, and the

recessive homozygotes in a two-allele system).

patients, 54.5% of the patients with a known causative microorganism), Gram-positive bacteria (*S. aureus*; 12 patients, 18.2%), and mixed Gram-negative and Gram-positive infections (18 patients, 27.3%). Three SNPs showing *p*-values of <0.1 in the NP set (Table 4) were analyzed. The distribution of *CYP1A1* (rs2606345), *ACE*, and *IL*-6 genotypes did not differ among the three groups of NP patients with different infectious pathogens (Chi-square = 1.6, *p* = 0.81; Chi-square = 4.7, *p* = 0.32; Chi-square = 0.4, *p* = 0.98, respectively).

Mechanical ventilation is the key risk factor for the development of NP. There was no significant difference in the distribution of genotype frequency among the four groups of NP patients with different durations of mechanical ventilation (no ventilation, less than 5 days, 5–15 days, more than 15 days): for *CYP1A1* (rs2606345) Chi-square = 1.6, p = 0.95; for *ACE* Chi-square = 5.6, p = 0.48; for *IL*-6 Chi-square = 2.5, p = 0.87. As there were mechanically ventilated patients in both NP groups (cases and non-NP patients), we then analyzed the genotype–covariate (duration of mechanical ventilation) interaction. The interaction *p*-value was insignificant: for *CYP1A1* (rs2606345)  $p_{interaction} = 0.7$ , for *ACE*  $p_{interaction} = 0.14$ , for *IL*-6  $p_{interaction} = 0.10$ .

NP patients were grouped by age  $\geq 65$  years (n = 37) and < 65 years (n = 179). The difference in the distribution of genotypes was non-significant in the age subgroups: for *CYP1A1* (rs2606345) Chi-square = 2.56, p = 0.28; for *ACE* Chi-square = 0.72, p = 0.70; for *IL*-6 Chi-square = 3.29, p = 0.19. The interaction analysis also showed no significant interaction between genotype and age: for *CYP1A1* (rs2606345)  $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.32$ , for *ACE*  $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.10$ , for *IL*-6  $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.97$ .

#### 3.6. Multiplicative genetic model and CAP and NP

We further explored the multiplicative genetic model of the genes demonstrating an association with both CAP and NP risk in all sets with a *p*-value of <0.1. Figure 1 shows the effect of having three risk genotypes on modulating the CAP and NP risk. The carriage of three predisposing genotypes (*CYP1A1* rs2606345T/T and *ACE* rs4340D/D and *IL*-6 rs1800795G/G+C/C) versus protective genotypes (*CYP1A1* rs2606345G/G+T/G and *ACE* rs43401/I+I/D and *IL*-6 rs1800795G/C) increased the CAP risk (*p* = 0.001, OR 7.01, 95%

CI 1.99–24.70) and the NP risk (p = 0.028, OR 4.34, 95% CI 1.15–16.45). The prognostic value of this genetic model is characterized by a sensitivity of 36.2%, specificity of 92.5%, and balancing accuracy of 64.4% for CAP, and a sensitivity of 32.3%, specificity of 90.0%, and balancing accuracy of 61.5% for NP.

# 3.7. Analysis of genotype frequencies in NP subgroups with or without a poor outcome

Since the majority of NP patients also had sepsis/septic shock, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), peritonitis, or multiple organ failure (MOF), we divided our NP group into two subgroups: absence of a poor outcome (n = 85) and presence of a poor outcome (n = 85) and presence of a poor outcome (n = 131). We compared the distribution of *CYP1A1* rs2606345, *IL-6*, and *ACE* genotypes in these subgroups to get an answer to the question "Are our findings indeed linked only to pneumonia, and not to a poor outcome?" The frequencies of genotypes did not differ in NP patients with and without a poor outcome, even at a trend level (**Supplementary Material**, Table S4). Therefore we can conclude that the observed associations are indeed between gene polymorphisms and pneumonia per se.

#### 4. Discussion

In this study we found that the *CYP1A1*, *ACE*, and *IL*-6 variant genotypes were significantly associated with CAP and NP. The relevance of the observed association was indirectly confirmed by a similar character of association in the CAP and NP groups. These findings were reaffirmed by departures from HWE for the genes associated with CAP and NP. Alleles within genotypes that confer greater susceptibilities are represented in the sample at disproportionally high rates. Disequilibrium is expected to be greatest at the disease-susceptibility locus itself, since this is the factor that determines the selection criterion.<sup>28</sup>

The main finding of this study was the association of the gene *CYP1A1* (rs2606345), encoding an enzyme of the phase I detoxification system, with CAP. The same trend was also revealed for NP. *CYP1A1* is the actively studied pulmonary *CYP* gene regulating enzyme, expressed mainly in the epithelium of the

The distribution of genotypes among CAP patients with different causative microorganisms and controls

CPP1A1 D2200345         n=134         n=149         n=46           TTG         46 (34.3)         44 (56.4)         Reference 46 (60.2)         25 (54.4)         Reference 17 (56.2)         25 (54.4)         Reference 17 (56.2)         17 (37.0)         39 (026-0.99) p=0.021 (dom)         p=0.021 (d	Genes and genotypes	Control subjects, n (%)	CAP with streptococcal infection, n (%)	Adjusted for age, sex, and ethnicity OR (95% CI) p-Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>	CAP with staphylococcal infection, n (%)	Adjusted for age, sex and ethnicity OR (95% Cl) <i>p</i> -Value <sup>a</sup> (genetic model) <sup>b</sup>
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	CYP1A1	n=134	<i>n</i> = 149		<i>n</i> = 46	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rs2606345	10 (0.1.0)	04 (50.4)		25 (54 4)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1/1 <b>T/C</b>	46 (34.3)	84 (56.4)	Reference	25 (54.4) 17 (27 0)	Reference
vot2 (16.7)16 (12.6) $p^{-9.5.1.10}$ (30.01) $q^{-16.7}$ $p^{-0.6.7}$ (30.01)rp4469030		03 (47.0)	40 (30.9)	0.30 (0.21 - 0.01) n = 0.1 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> (dom)	17 (37.0)	0.39(0.26-0.99)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6/6	25 (18.7)	15 (12.6)	$p = 9.1 \times 10$ (doin)	4 (0.7)	p = 0.021 (doin)
Tr Tr C98 (74.8) 33 (25.2) 0 (0.0)120 (81.1) 28 (85.7) 0 (0.0)Reference 62 (0.33-1.18) p =0.15 (dom)38 (86.4) 1 (2.3)Reference 0.35 (0.11-1.14) 0.35 (0.11-1.14) p =0.06 (dom)CSTM1 De(ID)D- 118 (U?)n = 140n = 149n = 149n = 46D/D78 (55.7) C57 (38.3) 28 (67.7)Reference 2.23 (1.33-3.76) p =0.0022 (N/A)23 (52.3) p =0.072 (N/A)Reference p =0.75 (N/A)ABCB1 118 (U?)n = 141n = 147n = 46n = 46TT TC TC C63 (44.7)60 (40.8) 0 (0.48)0.72 (0.43-1.21) 0 (24 (51.2)24 (52.2) 0.73 (0.26-2.09) p =0.55 (nec)L1447 (33.3) TC C63 (42.9) 0 (40.8)Reference 0 0.72 (0.43-1.21) 24 (52.2)Reference 0.73 (0.26-2.09) 20 (55.2)L6 rs1800795n = 139n = 148n = 4418100795 rs180079531 (22.0)86 (60.6) 9 -0.05 (nd)n = 4418100795 rs180079511 (80.1) 1 (22.1)132 (89.8) 9 -0.05 (nd)Reference 2 (52.3)13 (0.52-3.43) 0 (0.0)L6 rs1800795n = 141n = 147n = 43rs333rs33rs33rs33rs33 (0.52-3.43) 0 (0.00)0.000 0 (0.00)11 (25.6) 0 (33 (0.52-3.43) 0 (0.00)13 (0.52-3.43) 0 (0.00)NO33 rs33n = 141n = 142rs440rs440NO33 rs33n = 141n = 142rs440NO33 rs3316 (41.2)96 (60.6) 7 (49.5)Reference 0 (0.00)11 (25.6) 0 (	CYP1A1 rs4646903	<i>n</i> =131	n = 148		n = 44	
Trc C/C33 (25.2)28 (18.9)0.62 (0.33-1.18)5 (11.4)0.15 (0.11-1.14)C/C0 (0.0) $p = 0.15$ (dom)1 (2.3) $p = 0.06$ (dom)C/Cn = 140 $n = 149$ $n = 46$ $n = 46$ D/D78 (55.7)57 (38.3)Reference $p = 0.0022$ (NA)23 (52.3)Reference $p = 0.75$ (NA)ABCB1 	T/T	98 (74.8)	120 (81.1)	Reference	38 (86.4)	Reference
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T/C	33 (25.2)	28 (18.9)	0.62 (0.33-1.18)	5 (11.4)	0.35 (0.11-1.14)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C/C	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	<i>p</i> =0.15 (dom)	1 (2.3)	<i>p</i> =0.06 (dom)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	GSTM1 Del(D/D)- Ins (1/*)	<i>n</i> = 140	n = 149		n=46	
IP         62 (44.3)         92 (61.7)         2.23 (1.33 - 3.76) p = 0.0022 (N/A)         21 (47.7)         1.14 (0.52 - 2.50) p = 0.75 (N/A)           ABCB1 rs1045642         n = 141         n = 147         n = 46         1.14 (0.52 - 2.50) p = 0.75 (N/A)           III 145 - T/C         63 (42.7)         60 (40.8)         0.72 (0.43 - 1.21)         24 (52.2)         0.73 (0.26 - 2.09)           C/C         31 (22.0)         24 (16.3)         p = 0.21 (dom)         7 (15.2)         p = 0.56 (rec)           II.6         n = 139         n = 148         n = 44         n = 44           rs1800795         33 (22.3)         p = 0.05 (od)         7 (15.9)         p = 0.057 (dom)           C/C         22 (15.8)         33 (22.3)         p = 0.05 (od)         7 (15.9)         p = 0.057 (dom)           C/C         22 (15.8)         33 (22.3)         p = 0.05 (od)         7 (15.9)         p = 0.057 (dom)           C/C         22 (15.8)         33 (22.3)         p = 0.05 (od)         7 (15.9)         p = 0.057 (dom)           C/C         22 (15.8)         33 (22.3)         p = 0.056 (dom)         0 (0.0)         p = 0.057 (dom)           C/C         22 (15.8)         33 (22.3)         p = 0.056 (dom)         0 (1.0.7)         0 (0.0.0)         p = 0.056 (dom)	D/D	78 (55 7)	57 (38 3)	Reference	23 (52 3)	Reference
ABCRI I rs1045642         n=141         n=147         n=46           TT         47 (33.3)         63 (42.9)         Reference         15 (32.6)         Reference           TT         47 (33.3)         63 (42.9)         Reference         15 (32.6)         Reference           TT/C         63 (43.7)         60 (40.8)         0.72 (0.43-1.21)         24 (52.2)         0.73 (0.26-2.09)           C/C         31 (22.0)         24 (16.3)         p=0.21 (dom)         7 (15.2)         p=0.56 (rec)           IL-6         n=139         n=148         n=44          recence         14 (31.8)         Reference           G/G         37 (26.6)         47 (31.8)         Reference         14 (31.8)         Reference           G/C         80 (57.5)         68 (46.0)         0.60 (0.36-1.0)         23 (52.3)         0.45 (0.19-1.05)           C/CR5         n=141         n=147         rela3         rela3         rela3           Del32         rs333         11 (0.7)         15 (10.2)         0.35 (0.16-0.76)         11 (25.6)         1.33 (0.52-3.43)           JDID         27 (19.1)         15 (10.2)         0.35 (0.33-0.92)         23 (51.1)         0.83 (0.38-1.82)           K/JD         27 (19.1)         16 (6	U/*	62 (44.3)	92 (61.7)	2.23 (1.33–3.76)	21 (47.7)	1.14 (0.52–2.50)
ABCB1 rs1045642 lle1145- T/T         n=141         n=147         n=46           T/T         63 (42.)         Reference         5 (32.6)         Reference           T/T         63 (42.)         Reference         15 (32.6)         Reference           T/C         63 (42.)         A (16.3)         p=0.21 (dom)         24 (52.2)         0.73 (0.26-2.09)           T/C         7 (52.)         p=0.56 (rec)         7 (15.2)         p=0.56 (rec)         p=0.56 (rec)           S000795         7 (15.2)         p=0.56 (rec)         p=0.56 (rec)         p=0.56 (rec)         p=0.56 (rec)           C/C         37 (26.6)         47 (31.8)         Reference         14 (31.8)         Reference           C/C         20 (55.5)         68 (46.0)         0.60 (0.36-1.0)         23 (52.3)         0.45 (0.19-1.05)           C/C         20 (15.8)         33 (22.3)         p=0.05 (od)         7 (15.9)         p=0.067 (dom)           C/C         20 (15.8)         33 (23.2)         p=0.05 (od)         13 (30.52-3.43)         0.45 (0.19-1.05)           C/C         21 (18.0)         13 (28.9.8)         Reference         12 (7.4)         Reference           I/I         113 (80.1)         132 (89.8)         Reference         13 (3 (0.52-3.43) <td>,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>p = 0.0022 (N/A)</td> <td></td> <td>p=0.75 (N/A)</td>	,			p = 0.0022 (N/A)		p=0.75 (N/A)
Ile1145-         T/T         47 (33.3)         63 (42.9)         Reference         15 (32.6)         Reference           T/C         63 (44.7)         60 (40.8)         0.72 (0.43-1.21)         24 (52.2)         0.73 (0.26-2.09)           C/C         31 (22.0)         24 (16.3)         p=0.21 (dom)         7 (15.2)         p=0.56 (rec)           IL-6         n=139         n=148         n=44         recommon (14.10)         recommon (13.10)         recommon (14.10)         rec	ABCB1 rs1045642	<i>n</i> = 141	<i>n</i> = 147		<i>n</i> = 46	
1/1       4/ (3.3.)       65 (42.9)       Reference       15 (3.2.6)       Reference         T/C       63 (44.7)       60 (40.8)       0.72 (0.43-1.21)       24 (52.2)       0.73 (0.26-2.09)         C/C       31 (22.0)       24 (16.3) $p = 0.21$ (dom)       7 (15.2) $p = 0.56$ (rec)         II6 $n = 139$ $n = 148$ $n = 44$ $n = 44$ rs1800795 $C/C$ 80 (57.5)       68 (46.0)       0.60 (0.36-1.0)       23 (52.3)       0.45 (0.19-1.05)         C/C       22 (15.8)       33 (22.3) $p = 0.05$ (od)       7 (15.9) $p = 0.067$ (dom)         C/CRS $n = 141$ $n = 147$ $n = 43$ $n = 43$ Del32 $rs333$ $rs33$ $rs33$ $n = 141$ $n = 142$ $n = 44$ rs1799983 $n = 141$ $n = 142$ $n = 44$ $n = 44$ rs1799983 $n = 141$ $n = 142$ $n = 44$ rs1799983 $n = 141$ $n = 148$ $n = 43$ C/G       67 (47.5)       86 (60.6)       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/G       67 (47.5)       86 (60.6)       Reference $n = 43$ $n $	lle1145 =	47 (22.2)	(12.0)		15 (22.0)	
I/C       05 (44.7)       00 (40.8)       0.72 (0.43-1.21)       24 (32.2)       0.73 (0.26-2.08)         I/L-6       n=139       n=148       n=44         rs1800795	1/1	47 (33.3)	63 (42.9)	Reference 0.72 (0.42, 1.21)	15 (32.6)	A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A = A =
C(C       51 (22.5)       24 (10.5)       p=0.21 (001)       7 (15.2)       p=0.50 (1C)         IL-6       n=139       n=148       n=44         C(G       37 (26.6)       47 (31.8)       Reference       14 (31.8)       Reference         G/C       80 (57.5)       68 (46.0)       0.60 (0.36-1.0)       23 (52.3)       0.45 (0.19-1.05)         C/C       22 (15.8)       33 (22.3)       p=0.05 (od)       7 (15.9)       p=0.067 (dom)         C/CRS       n=141       n=147       n=43         Del32       rs333       rs333       n=141       n=147       n=43         VD       27 (19.1)       15 (10.2)       0.35 (0.16-0.76)       11 (25.6)       1.33 (0.52-3.43)         D/D       1 (0.7)       0 (0.0)       p=0.0056 (dom)       0 (0.0)       p=0.56         NOS3       n=141       n=142       n=44       rs1799983         Asp298Glu       s       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/G       67 (47.5)       86 (60.6)       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/G       67 (47.5)       8 (60.6)       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/G       67 (47.5)       8 (6 (60.6)       P		31 (22.0)	24(163)	n = 0.21 (dom)	24 (32.2) 7 (15.2)	0.73(0.20-2.09)
L-6         n=139         n=148         n=44           rs1800795         G/G         37 (26.6)         47 (31.8)         Reference         14 (31.8)         Reference           G/G         80 (57.5)         68 (46.0)         0.60 (0.36-1.0)         23 (52.3)         0.45 (0.19-1.05)           C/C         22 (15.8)         33 (22.3)         p=0.05 (od)         7 (15.9)         p=0.067 (dom)           CCR5         n=141         n=147         n=43         p=0.05 (od)         n=43           Del32         rs333         rs138 (80.1)         132 (89.8)         Reference         32 (74.4)         Reference           I/I         113 (80.1)         132 (89.8)         Reference         32 (74.4)         Reference           J/D         27 (19.1)         15 (10.2)         0.35 (0.16-0.76)         11 (25.6)         1.33 (0.52-3.43)           D/D         10 (0.0)         p=0.0056 (dom)         0 (0.0)         p=0.56         0 (0.0)           Reference         21 (46.7)         Reference         1.5 (1.52)         Reference           Sysp8Clu         Starpopasi         Starpopasi         Starpopasi         Starpopasi         Reference         21 (46.7)         Reference           G/G         67 (47.5)         86	c/c	51 (22.0)	24 (10.5)	p=0.21 (doin)	7 (15.2)	p = 0.50 (ICC)
rs1800795       Reference       14 (31.8)       Reference         G/G       80 (57.5)       68 (46.0)       0.60 (0.36-1.0)       23 (52.3)       0.45 (0.19-1.05)         C/C       22 (15.8)       33 (22.3)       p=0.05 (od)       7 (15.9)       p=0.067 (dom)         CCR5       n=141       n=147       n=43         bel32       rs333       rs333       rs42       33 (02.1)       0.35 (0.16-0.76)       11 (25.6)       1.33 (0.52-3.43)         J/D       110 (0.7)       0 (0.0)       p=0.0056 (dom)       0 (0.0)       p=0.56       1.33 (0.52-3.43)         D/D       1 (0.7)       0 (0.0)       p=0.0056 (dom)       0 (0.0)       p=0.56       1.33 (0.52-3.43)         NOS3       n=141       n=142       n=44       1.33 (0.52-3.43)       1.51 (0.2)       0.35 (0.16-0.76)       11 (25.6)       1.33 (0.52-3.43)         NOS3       n=141       n=142       n=44       1.33 (0.52-3.43)       1.51 (0.50)       1.51 (0.50)       1.51 (0.50)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.51 (0.51)       1.5	IL-6	n = 139	n=148		n=44	
G/G37 (26.6)47 (31.8)Reference14 (31.8)ReferenceG/C80 (57.5)68 (46.0) $0.60 (0.36-1.0)$ 23 (52.3) $0.45 (0.19-1.05)$ C/C22 (15.8)33 (22.3) $p=0.05 (od)$ 7 (15.9) $p=0.067 (dom)$ CCR5 $n=141$ $n=147$ $n=43$ $n=43$ Del32vvrs333vvI/I113 (80.1)132 (89.8)Reference32 (74.4)ReferenceI/D27 (19.1)15 (10.2) $0.35 (0.16-0.76)$ 11 (25.6) $1.33 (0.52-3.43)$ D/D1 (0.7)0 (0.0) $p=0.0056 (dom)$ 0 (0.0) $p=0.56$ NOS3 $n=141$ $n=142$ $n=44$ $r=17$ rs1799983 $n=141$ $n=142$ $n=44$ $r=17$ Asp298Glu $G/G$ 67 (47.5)86 (60.6)Reference21 (46.7)ReferenceG/G66 (46.8)49 (34.5) $0.55 (0.33-0.92)$ 23 (51.1) $0.33 (0.38-1.82)$ T/T8 (5.7)7 (4.9) $p=0.023 (od)$ 1 (2.2) $p=0.65 (dom)$ ACE $n=141$ $n=148$ $n=43$ $r=43$ rs4340 $Alu-287 bp$ $n=141$ $n=148$ $n=43$ D/D30 (21.3)51 (34.5)Reference14 (32.6)ReferenceJ/D30 (21.3)51 (34.5) $Reference$ $14 (32.6)$ ReferenceJ/D30 (21.3)51 (34.5) $Reference$ $14 (32.6)$ $Reference$ J/D30 (21.3)51	rs1800795					
G/C         80 (57.5)         68 (46.0)         0.60 (0.36-1.0)         23 (52.3)         0.45 (0.19-1.05)           C/C         22 (15.8)         33 (22.3) $p = 0.05$ (od)         7 (15.9) $p = 0.067$ (dom)           CCR5 $n = 141$ $n = 147$ $n = 43$ Del32 $n = 3$ $n = 43$ rs333 $n = 141$ $n = 147$ $n = 43$ I/I         113 (80.1)         132 (89.8)         Reference $32$ (74.4)         Reference           I/I         113 (80.1)         152 (89.8)         Reference $32$ (74.4)         Reference           I/I         113 (80.1)         152 (89.8)         Reference $32$ (74.4)         Reference           I/I         113 (80.1)         152 (89.8)         Reference $32$ (74.4)         Reference           I/I         10.07         0 (0.0) $p = 0.056$ (dom) $0$ (0.0) $p = 0.56$ NOS3 $n = 141$ $n = 142$ $n = 44$ $n = 43$ rs1799983         Asp298Glu $25$ (7 (7 5.5) $86$ (60.6) $P = 0.023$ (od) $1$ (2.2) $p = 0.65$ (dom)           I/T         8 (57.7)	G/G	37 (26.6)	47 (31.8)	Reference	14 (31.8)	Reference
C/C       22 (15.8)       33 (22.3) $p=0.05 (0d)$ 7 (15.9) $p=0.067 (dom)$ CCR5 $n=141$ $n=147$ $n=43$ Del32       rs333	G/C	80 (57.5)	68 (46.0)	0.60(0.36-1.0)	23 (52.3)	0.45(0.19-1.05)
CCR5         n=141         n=147         n=43           Del32         rs333	C/C	22 (15.8)	33 (22.3)	p = 0.05 (6d)	7 (15.9)	p = 0.067 (dom)
Del32       rs333       I       I       132 (89.8)       Reference       32 (74.4)       Reference         I/I       113 (80.1)       132 (89.8)       Reference       32 (74.4)       Reference         I/D       27 (19.1)       15 (10.2)       0.35 (0.16–0.76)       11 (25.6)       1.33 (0.52–3.43)         D/D       1 (0.7)       0 (0.0)       p=0.0056 (dom)       0 (0.0)       p=0.56         NOS3       n=141       n=142       n=44       rs1799983         Asp298Glu	CCR5	n = 141	n = 147		n=43	
rs333       I/I       113 (80.1)       132 (89.8)       Reference       32 (74.4)       Reference         I/D       27 (19.1)       15 (10.2)       0.35 (0.16–0.76)       11 (25.6)       1.33 (0.52–3.43)         D/D       1 (0.7)       0 (0.0)       p=0.0056 (dom)       0 (0.0)       p=0.56         NOS3       n=141       n=142       n=44         rs1799983       Asp298Clu       Keference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/G       67 (47.5)       86 (60.6)       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/T       66 (46.8)       49 (34.5)       0.55 (0.33–0.92)       23 (51.1)       0.83 (0.38–1.82)         T/T       8 (5.7)       7 (4.9)       p=0.023 (od)       1 (2.2)       p=0.65 (dom)         ACE       n=141       n=148       n=43       rest340         ALu-287 bp       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       Keference       J       Keference       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J       J<	Del32					
I/I       113 (80.1)       132 (89.8)       Reference       32 (74.4)       Reference         I/D       27 (19.1)       15 (10.2)       0.35 (0.16–0.76)       11 (25.6)       1.33 (0.52–3.43)         D/D       1 (0.7)       0 (0.0)       p=0.0056 (dom)       0 (0.0)       p=0.56         NOS3       n=141       n=142       n=44         rs1799983       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/G       67 (47.5)       86 (60.6)       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/G       66 (46.8)       49 (34.5)       0.55 (0.33–0.92)       23 (51.1)       0.83 (0.38–1.82)         T/T       8 (5.7)       7 (4.9)       p=0.023 (od)       1 (2.2)       p=0.65 (dom)         ACE       n=141       n=148       n=43       rs4340         Alu-287 bp       D/D       30 (21.3)       51 (34.5)       Reference       14 (32.6)       Reference         I/D       70 (49.6)       59 (39.9)       0.49 (0.28–0.86)       17 (39.5)       0.41 (0.18–0.98)         I/I       41 (29.1)       38 (25.7)       p=0.012 (dom)       12 (27.9)       p=0.047 (dom)	rs333					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	I/I	113 (80.1)	132 (89.8)	Reference	32 (74.4)	Reference
D/D       I (0.7)       0 (0.0)       p = 0.0056 (dom)       0 (0.0)       p = 0.56         NOS3       n=141       n=142       n=44         rs1799983       -       -       -         Asp298Clu       -       -       -         G/G       67 (47.5)       86 (60.6)       Reference       21 (46.7)       Reference         G/T       66 (46.8)       49 (34.5)       0.55 (0.33-0.92)       23 (51.1)       0.83 (0.38-1.82)         T/T       8 (5.7)       7 (4.9)       p = 0.023 (od)       1 (2.2)       p = 0.65 (dom)         ACE       n = 141       n = 148       n = 43       -       -         rs4340       -       -       -       -       -         Alu-287 bp       -       -       -       -       -         D/D       30 (21.3)       51 (34.5)       Reference       14 (32.6)       Reference         I/D       70 (49.6)       59 (39.9)       0.49 (0.28-0.86)       17 (39.5)       0.41 (0.18-0.98)         I/I       41 (29.1)       38 (25.7)       p = 0.012 (dom)       12 (27.9)       p = 0.047 (dom)	I/D	27 (19.1)	15 (10.2)	0.35(0.16-0.76)	11 (25.6)	1.33 (0.52–3.43)
NOS3         n=141         n=142         n=44           rs1799983         Asp298Glu         F <t< td=""><td>D/D</td><td>1 (0.7)</td><td>0 (0.0)</td><td>p = 0.0056 (dom)</td><td>0 (0.0)</td><td>p=0.56</td></t<>	D/D	1 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	p = 0.0056 (dom)	0 (0.0)	p=0.56
ACE       n=141       n=148       n=43         Alu-287 bp       D/D       30 (21.3)       51 (34.5)       Reference       14 (32.6)       Reference         I/D       70 (49.6)       59 (39.9)       0.49 (0.28-0.86)       17 (39.5)       0.41 (0.18-0.98)         I/I       41 (29.1)       38 (25.7)       p=0.012 (dom)       12 (27.9)       p=0.047 (dom)	NOS3 rs1799983 Asp298Clu	<i>n</i> = 141	n = 142		n = 44	
GT       66 (46.8)       49 (34.5)       0.55 (0.33-0.92)       23 (51.1)       0.83 (0.38-1.82)         T/T       8 (5.7)       7 (4.9)       p=0.023 (od)       1 (2.2)       p=0.65 (dom)         ACE       n=141       n=148       n=43         rs4340       14u-287 bp       14u-287 bp       14 (32.6)       Reference         D/D       30 (21.3)       51 (34.5)       Reference       14 (32.6)       Reference         I/D       70 (49.6)       59 (39.9)       0.49 (0.28-0.86)       17 (39.5)       0.41 (0.18-0.98)         I/I       41 (29.1)       38 (25.7)       p=0.012 (dom)       12 (27.9)       p=0.047 (dom)	G/G	67 (47 5)	86 (60 6)	Reference	21 (46 7)	Reference
T/T     8 (5.7)     7 (4.9)     p = 0.023 (od)     1 (2.2)     p = 0.65 (dom)       ACE     n = 141     n = 148     n = 43       rs4340     -     -     -       Alu-287 bp     -     -     -       D/D     30 (21.3)     51 (34.5)     Reference     14 (32.6)     Reference       I/D     70 (49.6)     59 (39.9)     0.49 (0.28-0.86)     17 (39.5)     0.41 (0.18-0.98)       I/I     41 (29.1)     38 (25.7)     p = 0.012 (dom)     12 (27.9)     p = 0.047 (dom)	G/T	66 (46.8)	49 (34.5)	0.55 (0.33–0.92)	23 (51.1)	0.83 (0.38–1.82)
ACE         n=141         n=148         n=43           rs4340         rs4340         n=43           Alu-287 bp         n         n           D/D         30 (21.3)         51 (34.5)         Reference           1/D         70 (49.6)         59 (39.9)         0.49 (0.28-0.86)         17 (39.5)         0.41 (0.18-0.98)           1/I         41 (29.1)         38 (25.7)         p=0.012 (dom)         12 (27.9)         p=0.047 (dom)	T/T	8 (5.7)	7 (4.9)	p = 0.023  (od)	1 (2.2)	<i>p</i> =0.65 (dom)
rs4340     rs4340       Alu-287 bp       D/D     30 (21.3)     51 (34.5)     Reference     14 (32.6)     Reference       I/D     70 (49.6)     59 (39.9)     0.49 (0.28-0.86)     17 (39.5)     0.41 (0.18-0.98)       I/I     41 (29.1)     38 (25.7)     p=0.012 (dom)     12 (27.9)     p=0.047 (dom)	ACE	<i>n</i> = 141	n=148		n=43	
Alu-287 bp         D/D         30 (21.3)         51 (34.5)         Reference         14 (32.6)         Reference           I/D         70 (49.6)         59 (39.9)         0.49 (0.28-0.86)         17 (39.5)         0.41 (0.18-0.98)           I/I         41 (29.1)         38 (25.7)         p=0.012 (dom)         12 (27.9)         p=0.047 (dom)	rs4340					
D/D         30 (21.3)         51 (34.5)         Reference         14 (32.6)         Reference           I/D         70 (49.6) <b>59 (39.9)</b> 0.49 (0.28-0.86) <b>17 (39.5)</b> 0.41 (0.18-0.98)           I/I         41 (29.1) <b>38 (25.7)</b> p=0.012 (dom) <b>12 (27.9)</b> p=0.047 (dom)	Alu-287 bp					
I/D         70 (49.6) <b>59 (39.9)</b> 0.49 (0.28-0.86) <b>17 (39.5)</b> 0.41 (0.18-0.98)           I/I         41 (29.1) <b>38 (25.7)</b> p=0.012 (dom) <b>12 (27.9)</b> p=0.047 (dom)	D/D	30 (21.3)	51 (34.5)	Reference	14 (32.6)	Reference
<b>I/I</b> 41 (29.1) <b>38 (25.7)</b> p=0.012 (dom) <b>12 (27.9)</b> p=0.047 (dom)	I/D	70 (49.6)	59 (39.9)	0.49 (0.28-0.86)	17 (39.5)	0.41 (0.18-0.98)
	I/I	41 (29.1)	38 (25.7)	p = 0.012 (dom)	12 (27.9)	p = 0.047 (dom)

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; N/A,.

Genotypes associated with a response in accordance with OR (protective if OR < 1, susceptible if OR > 1) are in bold-type.

<sup>a</sup> Significant *p*-values <0.05.

<sup>b</sup> The genetic model: rec, recessive; dom, dominant; od, overdominant (for the overdominant model the reference genotype is major homozygote + minor homozygote).

peripheral airways, i.e. bronchiolar, terminal bronchiolar, and alveolar epithelium, with high inter-individual variability.<sup>29</sup> It has been reported that SNP rs2606345, associated with CAP in our study, is located in the first intron of the gene, but has a functional character up-regulating CYP1A1 activity for the T or G allele correspondingly in the absence or in the presence of the specific substrates (Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other chemicals capable of binding to and activating the Ah receptor).<sup>30,31</sup>

In recent years, the genetic determinants of individual differences in *CYP1A1* expression and their association with lung carcinogenesis have been examined widely (10 meta-analyses according to the HuGE Navigator website, http://hugenavigator.net/ HuGEwiki/index.php/HuGE\_Navigator), but to our knowledge no works have examined the possible role of *CYP1A1* in lung inflammation. Meanwhile proinflammatory cytokines dramatically down-regulate CYP1A1 expression, disrupting the balance in expression of CYP family 1 enzymes, increasing the genotoxic effects of substrates and inducing apoptosis.<sup>32</sup> Proinflammatory cytokines inhibit apoptotic cell clearance in the lung, further exacerbating acute inflammation.<sup>33</sup> Thus we can suggest that genetically determined alterations in CYP1A1 activity could contribute to the pathogenesis of lung inflammation.

We also detected the protective effect of *ACE* insertion in both the CAP and NP groups. The involvement of the renin–angiotensin system in the pathogenesis and evolution of pneumonia has gained



**Figure 1.** Relative frequency distribution by the multiplicative risk genotype in the community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and nosocomial pneumonia (NP) sets. The mean and standard error (SE) of relative frequencies are plotted by the risk genotype, so that percentages of cases with CAP or NP (1) and matched control subjects (0) carrying the unfavorable genotype are shown.

substantial interest due to the possibility of the use of ACE inhibitors.<sup>34</sup> According to a meta-analysis, the ACE I/D polymorphism contributed to the risk of ACE insertion-related cough in East Asians but a negative association was observed in Caucasians.<sup>35</sup> The I/D polymorphism in intron 16 of the ACE gene is associated with plasma ACE levels being the highest for the D/D carriers<sup>36</sup> and with degradation of bradykinin in the circulation.<sup>37</sup> The D/D genotype carriers have higher serum levels of the proinflammatory angiotensin II.<sup>38</sup> By current data, the functional character of the I/D polymorphism might be indirect, being a result of linkage disequilibrium with an allele G2350A.<sup>39</sup> It was shown that the ACE D allele is an independent risk factor for fatal pneumonia in an elderly Asian population,<sup>40</sup> but not in an elderly Dutch white population.<sup>41</sup> We submit results confirming that the ACE D allele is a risk factor with low penetrance for CAP and NP in a population of the Russian Federation.

The carriage of IL-6 -174 homozygous genotypes G/G and C/C (rs1800795) was associated with CAP and NP risk. According to Terry et al., the IL-6 174G/C polymorphism affects transcription by altering the serum levels of IL-6, with the C allele associated with significantly lower levels of plasma IL-6.42 The results of the association studies are controversial as they show the deleterious effects of the minor allele IL-6 174C,<sup>1,11</sup> the absence of association,<sup>43,44</sup> and even suggest its protective role.<sup>45</sup> An interesting finding of the present study was the protective effect of the IL-6 174G>C (rs1800795) heterozygote, which was observed in both the CAP and NP studies. One of the many reasons for the inconsistent results in association studies might be molecular heterosis, which is rather common in humans.<sup>46</sup> Heterozygosity may facilitate the dynamic balance between proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory factors, thus providing better defense against exposure to a wider range of causative agents, exogenous and endogenous pathogens.

The study presented some limitations that should be addressed. All the polymorphisms were analyzed in the entire CAP and NP cohorts. The role of the SNPs showing *p*-values of <0.1 in the CAP set was also analyzed in the groups of CAP patients with known causative microorganisms. The microbial diversity of NP was higher and typical members of different phylogenetic lineages were detected. A wider range of pathogens and a large number of polymicrobial cases did not allow us to perform a stratification analysis of subgroups in the NP set, but the presented quantitative and qualitative spectrum of causative agents of NP is similar to that of other clinical series.<sup>47</sup>

In summary genotype analyses have not shown any significant difference regarding CAP and NP in models non-adjusted or adjusted for independent covariates (age, sex, ethnicity, and the use and duration of mechanical ventilation). In addition the frequencies of CYP1A1, ACE, and IL-6 genotypes did not differ between CAP patients with specific causative pathogens, as well as between NP patients with known infectious agents. We next assessed the genotype distributions in NP patients by age and use and duration of mechanical ventilation and tested the genotypecovariate interaction. Genotype frequencies were not different in the subgroups and the genotype-covariate interaction was insignificant. This study revealed that individuals bearing CYP1A1 rs2606345T/T, ACE rs4340Del/Del, and IL-6 rs1800795G/G+C/C genotypes had a higher susceptibility to CAP and NP. Carriage of three risk genotypes in these genes imposed considerably on the risk of both CAP and NP, independently of age, gender, type of causative pathogen, and the use of mechanical ventilation in patients from the Russian Federation. The strongest new association was for CYP1A1 (rs2606345) with susceptibility to CAP.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Presidium Grant from the Russian Academy of Sciences program "Fundamental Sciences for Medicine" 2012; Fundamental Research Programs of the Russian Academy of Sciences "Biodiversity and Dynamics of Gene Pools" (2006–2010); "Biological Diversity", subdivision "Gene Pools and Genetic Diversity" (2011–2012).

*Conflict of interest:* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2013.01.005.

#### References

- Martín-Loeches I, Solé-Violán J, Rodríguez de Castro F, García-Laorden MI, Borderías L, Blanquer J, et al. Variants at the promoter of the interleukin-6 gene are associated with severity and outcome of pneumococcal communityacquired pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 2012;38:256–62.
- Zúñiga J, Buendía-Roldán I, Zhao Y, Jiménez L, Torres D, Romo J, et al. Genetic variants associated with severe pneumonia in A/H1N1 influenza infection. Eur Respir J 2012;39:604–10.
- Endeman H, Meijvis SC, Rijkers GT, van Velzen-Blad H, van Moorsel CH, Grutters JC, et al. Systemic cytokine response in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2011;37:1431–8.
- Lingappa JR, Dumitrescu L, Zimmer SM, Lynfield R, McNicholl JM, Messonnier NE, et al. Identifying host genetic risk factors in the context of public health surveillance for invasive pneumococcal disease. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e23413.
- Solé-Violán J, García-Laorden MI, Marcos-Ramos JA, de Castro FR, Rajas O, Borderías L, et al. The Fcγ receptor IIA-H/H131 genotype is associated with bacteremia in pneumococcal community-acquired pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2011;**39**:1388–93.
- Mukamal KJ, Pai JK, O'Meara ES, Tracy RP, Psaty BM, Kuller LH, et al. CRP gene variation and risk of community-acquired pneumonia. *Respirology* 2010;15:160–4.
- Solé-Violán J, de Castro F, García-Laorden MI, Blanquer J, Aspa J, Borderías L, et al. Genetic variability in the severity and outcome of community-acquired pneumonia. *Respir Med* 2010;**104**:440–7.
- Herpers BL, Endeman H, de Jong BA, de Jongh BM, Grutters JC, Biesma DH, et al. Acute-phase responsiveness of mannose-binding lectin in community-acquired pneumonia is highly dependent upon MBL2 genotypes. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2009;**156**:488–94.
- Endeman H, Herpers BL, de Jong BA, Voorn GP, Grutters JC, van Velzen-Blad H, et al. Mannose-binding lectin genotypes in susceptibility to community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2008;**134**:1135–40.
- Garcia-Laorden MI, Sole-Violan J, Rodriguez de Castro F, Aspa J, Briones ML, Garcia-Saavedra A, et al. Mannose-binding lectin and mannose-binding lectinassociated serine protease 2 in susceptibility, severity, and outcome of pneumonia in adults. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2008;122:368–74. 374.e1-2.
- 11. Schaaf B, Rupp J, Müller-Steinhardt M, Kruse J, Boehmke F, Maass M, et al. The interleukin-6 –174 promoter polymorphism is associated with extrapulmonary

bacterial dissemination in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infection. *Cytokine* 2005;**31**:324–8.

- Gomi K, Tokue Y, Kobayashi T, Takahashi H, Watanabe A, Fujita T, et al. Mannose-binding lectin gene polymorphism is a modulating factor in repeated respiratory infections. *Chest* 2004;**126**:95–9.
- Gallagher PM, Lowe G, Fitzgerald T, Bella A, Greene CM, McElvaney NG, et al. Association of IL-10 polymorphism with severity of illness in community acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2003;58:154–6.
- Gentile DA, Doyle WJ, Zeevi A, Howe-Adams J, Kapadia S, Trecki J, et al. Cytokine gene polymorphisms moderate illness severity in infants with respiratory syncytial virus infection. *Hum Immunol* 2003;64:338–44.
- Wunderink RG, Waterer GW, Cantor RM, Quasney MW. Tumor necrosis factor gene polymorphisms and the variable presentation and outcome of community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2002;**121**(3 Suppl):87S.
- Waterer GW, Quasney MW, Cantor RM, Wunderink RG. Septic shock and respiratory failure in community-acquired pneumonia have different TNF polymorphism associations. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001;163: 1599–604.
- García-Laorden MI, Rodríguez de Castro F, Solé-Violán J, Rajas O, Blanquer J, Borderías L, et al. Influence of genetic variability at the surfactant proteins A and D in community-acquired pneumonia: a prospective, observational, genetic study. Crit Care 2011;15:R57.
- Yende S, Angus DC, Ding J, Newman AB, Kellum JA, Li R, et al. 4G/5G plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 polymorphisms and haplotypes are associated with pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007;**176**:1129–37.
- Madách K, Aladzsity I, Szilágyi A, Fust G, Gál J, Pénzes I, et al. 4G/5G polymorphism of PAI-1 gene is associated with multiple organ dysfunction and septic shock in pneumonia induced severe sepsis: prospective, observational, genetic study. Crit Care 2010;14:R79.
- de Rooij BJ, van Hoek B, ten Hove WR, Roos A, Bouwman LH, Schaapherder AF, et al. Lectin complement pathway gene profile of donor and recipient determine the risk of bacterial infections after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2010;**52**:1100–10.
- Ytting H, Christensen IJ, Steffensen R, Alsner J, Thiel S, Jensenius JC, et al. Mannan-binding lectin (MBL) and MBL-associated serine protease 2 (MASP-2) genotypes in colorectal cancer. Scand J Immunol 2011;73:122-7.
- Frakking FN, Israëls J, Kremer LC, Kuijpers TW, Caron HN, van de Wetering MD. Mannose-binding lectin (MBL) and the risk for febrile neutropenia and infection in pediatric oncology patients with chemotherapy. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2011;**57**:89–96.
- van der Zwet WC, Catsburg A, van Elburg RM, Savelkoul PH, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM. Mannose-binding lectin (MBL) genotype in relation to risk of nosocomial infection in pre-term neonates in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2008;14:130–5.
- Hamajima N. PCR-CTPP: a new genotyping technique in the era of genetic epidemiology. *Exp Rev Mol Diagn* 2001;1:119–23.
- Moroz VV, Smelaia TV, Salnikova LE, Golubev AM, Rubanovich AV. Genetic study of predisposition to community-acquired pneumonia. Vestn Ross Akad Med Nauk 2011;(11):12–6.
- Sole X, Guino E, Valls J, Iniesta R, Moreno V. SNPStats: a web tool for the analysis of association studies. *Bioinformatics* 2006;22:1928–9.
- Abramson JH. WINPEPI updated: computer programs for epidemiologists, and their teaching potential. *Epidemiol Perspect Innov* 2011;8:1.
- Nielsen DM, Ehm MG, Weir BS. Detecting marker-disease association by testing for Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium at a marker locus. Am J Hum Genet 1998;63:1531-40.

- Leclerc J, Courcot-Ngoubo Ngangue E, Cauffiez C, Allorge D, Pottier N, Lafitte JJ, et al. Xenobiotic metabolism and disposition in human lung: transcript profiling in non-tumoral and tumoral tissues. *Biochimie* 2011;93:1012–27.
- Rotunno M, Yu K, Lubin JH, Consonni D, Pesatori AC, Goldstein AM, et al. Phase I metabolic genes and risk of lung cancer: multiple polymorphisms and mRNA expression. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e5652.
- 31. Wang S, Chanock S, Tang D, Li Z, Jedrychowski W, Perera FP. Assessment of interactions between pah exposure and genetic polymorphisms on PAH- DNA adducts in African American, Dominican and Caucasian mothers and newborns. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;**17**:405–13.
- 32. Umannová L, Machala M, Topinka J, Schmuczerová J, Krčmář P, Neča J, et al. Benzo[a]pyrene and tumor necrosis factor-α coordinately increase genotoxic damage and the production of proinflammatory mediators in alveolar epithelial type II cells. *Toxicol Lett* 2011;**206**:121–9.
- Borges VM, Vandivier RW, McPhillips KA, Kench JA, Morimoto K, Groshong SD, et al. TNFα inhibits apoptotic cell clearance in the lung, exacerbating acute inflammation. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2009;297:L586–95.
- Alsumrain M, Melillo N, Debari VA, Kirmani J, Moussavi M, Doraiswamy V, et al. Predictors and outcomes of pneumonia in patients with spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. J Intensive Care Med 2012 Feb 14. [Epub ahead of print].
- Nishio K, Kashiki S, Tachibana H, Kobayashi Y. Angiotensin-converting enzyme and bradykinin gene polymorphisms and cough: a meta-analysis. World J Cardiol 2011;3:329–36.
- Rigat B, Hubert C, Alhenc-Gelas F, Cambien F, Corvol P, Soubrier F. An insertion/ deletion polymorphism in the angiotensin I-converting enzyme gene accounting for half the variance of serum enzyme levels. J Clin Invest 1990;86:1343-6.
- Brown NJ, Blais Jr C, Gandhi SK, Adam A. ACE insertion/deletion genotype affects bradykinin metabolism. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1998;32:373-7.
- Reyes-Engel A, Morcillo L, Aranda FJ, Ruiz M, Gaitan MJ, Mayor-Olea A, et al. Influence of gender and genetic variability on plasma angiotensin peptides. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst 2006;7:92–7.
- 39. Zhu X, Bouzekri N, Southam L, Cooper RS, Adeyemo A, McKenzie CA, et al. Linkage and association analysis of angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE) gene polymorphisms with ACE concentration and blood pressure. Am J Hum Genet 2001;68:1139–48.
- Morimoto S, Okaishi K, Onishi M, Katsuya T, Yang J, Okuro M, et al. Deletion allele of the angiotensin-converting enzyme gene as a risk factor for pneumonia in elderly patients. *Am J Med* 2002;**112**:89–94.
- Van de Garde EM, Endeman H, Deneer VH, Biesma DH, Sayed-Tabatabaei FA, Ruven HJ, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme insertion/deletion polymorphism and risk and outcome of pneumonia. *Chest* 2008;**133**:220–5.
- Terry CF, Loukaci V, Green FR. Cooperative influence of genetic polymorphisms on interleukin 6 transcriptional regulation. J Biol Chem 2000;275:18138–44.
   Schlüter B, Raufhake C, Erren M, Schotte H, Kipp F, Rust S, et al. Effect of the
- Schlüter B, Raufhake C, Erren M, Schotte H, Kipp F, Rust S, et al. Effect of the interleukin-6 promoter polymorphism (-174 G/C) on the incidence and outcome of sepsis. Crit Care Med 2002;30:32-7.
- Chauhan M, McGuire W. Interleukin-6 (-174C) polymorphism and the risk of sepsis in very low birth weight infants meta-analysis Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2008;93:F427-9.
- Marshall RP, Webb S, Hill MR, Humphries SE, Laurent GJ. Genetic polymorphisms associated with susceptibility and outcome in ARDS. *Chest* 2002;**121**. 68S–69S.
- Comings DE, MacMurray JP. Molecular heterosis: a review. Mol Genet Metab 2000;71:19–31.
- Lynch 3rd JP. Hospital-acquired pneumonia: risk factors, microbiology, and treatment. Chest 2001;119(2 Suppl). 373S–384S.