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#### Abstract

A finite set $X$ in a metric space $M$ is called an $s$-distance set if the set of distances between any two distinct points of $X$ has size $s$. The main problem for $s$-distance sets is to determine the maximum cardinality of $s$-distance sets for fixed $s$ and $M$. In this paper, we improve the known upper bound for $s$-distance sets in the $n$ sphere for $s=3$, 4. In particular, we determine the maximum cardinalities of three-distance sets for $n=7$ and 21. We also give the maximum cardinalities of $s$-distance sets in the Hamming space and the Johnson space for several $s$ and dimensions.
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## 1. Introduction

A finite subset $X$ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$ is called an $s$-distance set (or $s$-code) if there exist $s$ Euclidean distances between two distinct vectors in $X$. The main problem for $s$-distance sets is to determine the maximum cardinality of $s$-distance sets for fixed $s$ and $n$.

Bannai et al. [2] proved that the size of $s$-distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is bounded above by $\binom{n+s}{s}$. When $s \geq 2$, we know only one example attaining this upper bound, namely, for $(n, s)=\left(8,2^{s}\right.$ [17]. The maximum cardinality of $s$-distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are determined for $n$ and $s$ in Tables 1 and 2 [6,14,17].

Moreover, Shinohara [24] proved the icosahedron is the unique maximum three-distance set in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Delsarte et al. proved that the largest cardinality of $s$-distance sets in $S^{n-1}$ is bounded above by $\binom{n+s-1}{s}+\binom{n+s-2}{s-1}$. In the circle, the regular $(2 s+1)$-gons attain this upper bound. When $n \geq 3$, we have two examples attaining this upper bound, namely, for $(n, s)=(6,2),(22,2)$ [9]. We have the results in Table 3 for the maximum cardinalities of two-distance sets in $S^{n-1}[9,19]$.

When $s \geq 3$, we have only one result, namely, that of Shinohara [24] for $(n, s)=(3,3)$.

[^0]
## Table 1

Maximum cardinalities of two-distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Size | 5 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 27 | 29 | 45 |

Table 2

| Maximum cardinalities of $s$-distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $s$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Size | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 |

Table 3

| Maximum cardinalities of two-distance sets in $S^{n-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $7 \ldots 21$ | 22 | $24 \ldots 39$ |  |  |
| Size | 5 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 27 | $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ | 275 | $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ |  |  |

Recently, Musin [19] determined the maximum cardinalities of two-distance sets in $S^{n-1}$ for $7 \leq n \leq 21$ and $24 \leq n \leq 39$ by a certain general method. This method needs three theorems, namely, Delsarte's linear programming bound, Larman-Rogers-Seidel's theorem and a certain useful bound. This bound in [19] is the following: for two-distance sets in $S^{n-1}$ with inner products $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$, if $a_{1}+a_{2} \geq 0$, then the size of two-distance set is at most $\binom{n+1}{2}$. Larman et al. proved that if the size of a two-distance set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with distances $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}\left(b_{1}>b_{2}\right)$ is greater than $2 n+3$, then the ratio $b_{1}^{2} / b_{2}^{2}$ is equal to $k /(k-1)$ where $k$ is a positive integer bounded above by some function of $n$ [15]. This method in [19] is applicable to $s$-distance sets in a two-point-homogeneous space $M$ with a certain assumption.

Nozaki extended the upper bound in [19] to spherical s-distance sets for any $s$ [22]. This upper bound is applicable to $M$. By this generalized bound, Barg and Musin [4] gave the maximum $s$-distance sets in the Hamming space and the Johnson space for some $s$ and small dimensions. Larman-Rogers-Seidel's theorem is also extended to $s$-distance sets for any $s$ [21]. This theorem is also applicable to $s$-distance sets in $M$.

In the present paper, we improve the known upper bound for $s$-distance sets in $S^{n-1}$ by the method in [19] with the generalized Larman-Rogers-Seidel's theorem and the Nozaki upper bound. In particular, we determine the maximum cardinalities of three-distance sets in $S^{7}$ and $S^{21}$. We also give the maximum cardinalities of $s$-distance sets in the Hamming space and the Johnson space for some $s \geq 3$ and more dimensions.

## 2. Few distance sets in two-point-homogeneous spaces

### 2.1. Basic definitions

In this subsection, we introduce the concept of two-point-homogeneous spaces $M$ and our restrictive assumption [5, Chapter 9], [13,16].

Let $G$ be a finite group or a connected compact group. We call $M$ a two-point-homogeneous $G$-space if $M$ holds the following properties:
(1) $M$ is a set on which $G$ acts.
(2) $M$ is a metric space with a distance function $\tau$.
(3) $\tau$ is strongly invariant under $G$ : for any $x, x^{\prime}, y, y^{\prime} \in M, \tau(x, y)=\tau\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there is an element $g \in G$ such that $g(x)=x^{\prime}$ and $g(y)=y^{\prime}$.
Let $H$ be the subgroup of $G$ that fixes a particular element $x_{0} \in M$. Then $M$ can be identified with the space $G / H$ of left cosets $g H$. Throughout the present paper, we assume the following:
(1) If $G$ is infinite, then $M$ is a connected Riemannian manifold and $\tau$ is a constant times the natural distance on the manifold.
(2) If $G$ is finite, and $d_{0}=\min \tau(x, y)$ for $x, y \in M, x \neq y$, then $M$ has the structure of a graph in which $x$ is adjacent to $y$ if and only if $\tau(x, y)=d_{0}$, and furthermore $\tau$ is a constant times the natural distance in the graph.
Under our assumptions, if $G$ is infinite then Wang [26] proved that $M$ is a sphere; real, complex or quaternionic projective space; or the Cayley projective plane. The finite two-point-homogeneous spaces have not yet been completely classified.

Let $\mu$ be the Haar measure, which is invariant under $G$. This induces a unique invariant measure on $M$, which will also be denoted by $\mu$. We assume that $\mu$ is normalized so that $\mu(M)=1$. Let $L^{2}(G)$ denote the vector space of complex-valued functions $u$ on $G$, satisfying

$$
\int_{G}|u(g)|^{2} d \mu(g)<\infty
$$

with inner product

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\int_{G} u_{1}(g) \overline{u_{2}(g)} \mathrm{d} \mu(g) .
$$

Those $u \in L^{2}(G)$ that are constant on left cosets of $H$ can be regarded as belonging to $L^{2}(M)$, which is defined similarly and has the inner product

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\int_{M} u_{1}(x) \overline{u_{2}(x)} \mathrm{d} \mu(x) .
$$

The space $L^{2}(M)$ decomposes into a countable direct sum of mutually orthogonal subspaces $\left\{V_{k}\right\}_{k=0,1, \ldots}$ called (generalized) spherical harmonics. Let $\left\{\phi_{k, i}\right\}_{i=1}^{h_{k}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $V_{k}$, where $h_{k}=$ $\operatorname{dim} V_{k}$. Since $M$ is distance transitive, the function

$$
\Phi_{k}(x, y):=\frac{1}{h_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{h_{k}} \phi_{k, i}(x) \overline{\phi_{k, i}(y)}
$$

depends only on $\tau(x, y)$. This expression is called the addition formula, and $\Phi_{k}(\tau)$ is called the zonal spherical function associated with $V_{k}$. It is immediate from the definition that $\Phi_{k}$ is positive definite, that is,

$$
\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X} \Phi_{k}(\tau(x, y)) \geq 0
$$

for any $X \subset M$. For all infinite $M$ and for all currently known finite cases, $\left\{\Phi_{i}\right\}$ form families of classical orthogonal polynomials. We suppose that the degree of $\Phi_{k}$ is $k$. Note that $\Phi_{k}\left(\tau_{0}\right)=1$.

We define

$$
D(X)=\{\tau(x, y) \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\}
$$

for a finite set $X$ in a two-point-homogeneous space $M$. The finite set $X$ is called an $s$-distance set (or $s$-code) if $|D(X)|=s$. Let $A(M, s)$ be the maximum cardinality of $s$-distance sets in $M$.

### 2.2. Delsarte's linear programming bound

The following bound is known as Delsarte's linear programming bound, and give a good evaluation for some $D(X)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $X$ be an s-distance set with $D(X)=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\}$. Then

$$
|X| \leq \max \left\{1+\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{s} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i} \Phi_{k}\left(d_{i}\right) \geq-1, k \geq 0 ; \alpha_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, s\right\} .
$$

The following is corresponding to the dual problem of the above linear programming problem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $X$ be an $s$-distance set with $D(X)=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\}$. Choose a natural number $m$. Then

$$
|X| \leq \min \left\{1+f_{1}+\cdots+f_{m} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{k} \Phi_{k}\left(d_{i}\right) \leq-1, i=1,2, \ldots, s ; f_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \ldots, s\right\} .
$$

### 2.3. Harmonic absolute bound

The following upper bound was proved by Delsarte [7,8,16].
Theorem 2.3. Let $X$ be an s-distance set in $M$. Then

$$
|X| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} h_{i} .
$$

Nozaki improved the above bound [22].
Theorem 2.4. Let $X$ be an s-distance set in $M$ with $D(X)=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\}$. Consider the polynomial $f(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(d_{i}-t\right) /\left(d_{i}-\tau_{0}\right)$ and suppose that its expansion in the basis $\left\{\Phi_{k}\right\}$ has the form $f(t)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{s} f_{i} \Phi_{i}(t)$. Then

$$
|X| \leq \sum_{i: f_{i}>0} h_{i} .
$$

When the coefficients $f_{i}$ are all positive, the bound coincides with the bound in Theorem 2.3

### 2.4. LRS type theorem

Let

$$
N(M, s):=h_{0}+h_{1}+\cdots+h_{s-1} .
$$

For $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}$, we define the value

$$
K_{i}:=\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{d_{j}-\tau_{0}}{d_{j}-d_{i}}
$$

for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. The following theorem is a good constraint to improve the upper bound [21].
Theorem 2.5. Let $X$ be an $s$-distance set in $M$ with $D(X)=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\}$. If $|X| \geq 2 N(M, s)$, then $K_{i}$ is an integer for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. Moreover, $\left|K_{i}\right| \leq\left\lfloor 1 / 2+\sqrt{N(M, s)^{2} /(2 N(M, s)-2)+1 / 4}\right\rfloor$.

The numbers $K_{i}$ have the following properties.
Theorem 2.6. For any $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, s-1\}$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}^{j} K_{i}=\tau_{0}^{j}$.
Proof. For each $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$, we define the polynomial

$$
L_{j}(x):=\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}^{j} \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{x-d_{k}}{d_{i}-d_{k}}
$$

of degree at most $s-1$. Then the property $L_{j}\left(d_{i}\right)=d_{i}^{j}$ holds for any $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. The polynomial of degree at most $s-1$, that is interpolating distinct $s$ points, is unique. Therefore we can determine $L_{j}(x)=x^{j}$.

Corollary 2.7. (1) When $s=2$, we have

$$
d_{1}=\frac{\tau_{0}-d_{2} K_{2}}{K_{1}}
$$

(2) When $s=3$, if $d_{1}>d_{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\frac{\tau_{0} K_{1}-d_{3} K_{1} K_{3}-\left(d_{3}-\tau_{0}\right) \sqrt{-K_{1} K_{2} K_{3}}}{K_{1}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)}, \\
& d_{2}=\frac{\tau_{0} K_{2}-d_{3} K_{2} K_{3}+\left(d_{3}-\tau_{0}\right) \sqrt{-K_{1} K_{2} K_{3}}}{K_{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We solve the system of equations given by Theorem 2.6.
Remark 2.8. For $s \geq 4$, there is no simple solution of the system of equations given by Theorem 2.6.
Corollary 2.9. If $d_{1}>d_{2}>\cdots>d_{s}>\tau_{0}$ (i.e. $\tau(\rho)$ is a monotone increasing function) or $d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{s}<\tau_{0}$ (i.e. $\tau(\rho)$ is a monotone decreasing function), then $\left|K_{1}\right|<\left|K_{2}\right|$.
Proof. This is immediate because

$$
\left|\frac{K_{1}}{K_{2}}\right|=\left|\frac{\tau_{0}-d_{2}}{\tau_{0}-d_{1}} \cdot \frac{d_{3}-d_{2}}{d_{3}-d_{1}} \cdots \frac{d_{s}-d_{2}}{d_{s}-d_{1}}\right|<1 .
$$

### 2.5. New bounds

Let $\mathfrak{D}(M, s)$ be the set of all possible $s$ distances $D(X)=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\}$ satisfying that $K_{i}$ are integers. For each $D \in \mathfrak{D}(M, s)$, we have the two bounds, those are the harmonic absolute bound $H(D)$ in Theorem 2.4, and Delsarte's linear programming bound $L(D)$. Then the following immediately holds.

Theorem 2.10. Let $B(D):=\min \{H(D), L(D)\}$ for $D \in \mathfrak{D}(M, s)$. Then

$$
A(M, s) \leq \max _{D \in \mathfrak{D}(M, s)}\{B(D), 2 N(M, s)-1\} .
$$

## 3. Bounds on sets with few distances

### 3.1. Hamming space

In this section, we deal with the Hamming space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ with the Hamming distance $\tau(x, y):=\mid\{i \mid$ $\left.x_{i} \neq y_{i}\right\} \mid$ where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. Then $\Phi_{k}$ is the Krawtchouk polynomial of degree $k$ :

$$
\Phi_{k}(x):=\binom{n}{k}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j}\binom{x}{j}\binom{n-x}{k-j} .
$$

We have $h_{i}=q^{-n}\binom{n}{i}(q-1)^{i}$.
When $2 s \leq n$, we can construct an $s$-distance set in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s / 2\rfloor}\binom{n}{s-2 i}$ points. Namely, the example consists of all vectors having $k$ ones for all $k \equiv s \bmod 2$. We obtain a lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, s\right) \geq \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{s}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{n}{s-2 i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2 s \leq n$.
Maximum two-distance sets are studied in [4].
Theorem 3.1. If $6 \leq n \leq 74$ with the exception of the values $n=47,53,59,65,70,71$, or if $n=78$, then $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, 2\right) \leq\left(n^{2}-n+2\right) / 2$.

We determine the maximum cardinalities of three- or four-distance sets in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ for some $n$.

Theorem 3.2. (1) If $8 \leq n \leq 22,24 \leq n \leq 33$, or $n=36,37,44$, then $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, 3\right)=n+\binom{n}{3}$.
(2) If $10 \leq n \leq 47$, then $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, 4\right)=1+\binom{n}{2}+\binom{n}{4}$.

Proof. In [4] it is proved that (1) for $8 \leq n \leq 22$ and $n=24$, and (2) for $10 \leq n \leq 24$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ is finite, we can obtain the finite set $\mathfrak{D}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, s\right)$. We apply Theorem 2.10 for $\mathfrak{D}(M, s)$. Then this theorem follows from (3.1).

Remark 3.3. We also have $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{23}, 3\right)=2048$, which is obtained from the even subcode of the Golay code $\mathcal{q}_{23}$ (i.e. the dual code $\mathcal{g}_{23}^{\perp}[4,16]$ ). Our method can be applied for other relatively small $s$. For $s \geq 3$, the authors know no example whose cardinality is greater than the value in the lower bound (3.1) except for $g \frac{1}{23}$.

### 3.2. Johnson space

The binary Johnson space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}$ consists of $n$-dimensional binary vectors with $w$ ones, where $2 w \leq n$. The distance is $\tau(x, y)=\left|\left\{i \mid x_{i} \neq y_{i}\right\}\right| / 2$. Then $\Phi_{k}$ is the Hahn polynomial of degree $k$ :

$$
\Phi_{k}(x):=\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{j} \frac{\binom{k}{j}\binom{n+1-k}{j}}{\binom{w}{j}\binom{n-w}{j}}\binom{x}{j} .
$$

We have $h_{i}=\binom{n}{i}-\binom{n}{i-1}$.
When $s \leq n-w$, we can construct $s$-distance sets in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}$ with $\binom{n-w+s}{s}$ points. The example consists of the all vectors with $w-s$ ones in the first coordinates and the remaining $s$ ones anywhere outside them. Therefore we have a lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}, s\right) \geq\binom{ n-w+s}{s} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \leq n-w$.
The case $s=2$ was already considered in [4].
Theorem 3.4. If $n$ and $w$ satisfy any of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \leq n \leq 8 \text { and } w=3, \\
& 9 \leq n \leq 11 \text { and } 3 \leq w \leq 4, \\
& 12 \leq n \leq 14 \text { or } 25 \leq n \leq 34 \text { and } 3 \leq w \leq 5, \\
& 15 \leq n \leq 24 \text { or } 35 \leq n \leq 46 \text { and } 3 \leq w \leq 6,
\end{aligned}
$$

then $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}, 2\right)=(n-w+1)(n-w+2) / 2$.
We also have $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{23,7}, 2\right)=253$, which is obtained from the 253 vectors of weight 7 in the binary Golay code of length 23 [4], [18, p. 69]. The code attains the upper bound in Theorem 2.3. Let $X$ be the set of the 253 vectors. We can compute an upper bound $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{24,8}, 2\right) \leq 253$ by the method in Barg-Musin's paper [4]. Though they did not mention the tightness about this bound, an attaining example is easily constructed by

$$
Y:=\{(1, u) \mid u \in X\} .
$$

Clearly $Y$ is a two-distance set $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{24,8}$ with 253 points, and hence $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{24,8}, 2\right)=253$.
We give the following maximum cardinalities of three- or four-distance sets in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}$ for some $n$ and $w$.

Theorem 3.5. (1) For $11 \leq n \leq 45$ and $4 \leq w \leq n / 2$, we have $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}, 3\right) \leq h_{0}+h_{1}+h_{3}=$ $\binom{n}{3}-\binom{n}{2}+n$.
(2) If $n$ and $w$ satisfy any of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \leq n \leq 12 \text { and } w=4, \\
& 13 \leq n \leq 15 \text { and } 4 \leq w \leq 5, \\
& 16 \leq n \leq 19 \text { and } 4 \leq w \leq 6, \\
& 20 \leq n \leq 24 \text { and } 4 \leq w \leq 7, \\
& 25 \leq n \leq 50 \text { and } 4 \leq w \leq 8, \\
& \text { then } A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}, 3\right)=\binom{n-w+3}{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have the finite set $\mathfrak{D}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}, s\right)$. This theorem is immediate from the bound in Theorem 2.10 and (3.2).

Theorem 3.6. (1) For $14 \leq n \leq 58$ and $5 \leq w \leq n / 2$, we have $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}, 4\right) \leq h_{0}+h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{4}=$ $\binom{n}{4}-\binom{n}{3}+\binom{n}{2}$.
(2) If $n$ and $w$ satisfy any of the following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \leq n \leq 16 \text { and } w=5, \\
& 17 \leq n \leq 19 \text { and } 5 \leq w \leq 6, \\
& 20 \leq n \leq 24 \text { and } 5 \leq w \leq 7, \\
& 25 \leq n \leq 29 \text { and } 5 \leq w \leq 8, \\
& 30 \leq n \leq 34 \text { or } 41 \leq n \leq 47 \text { and } 5 \leq w \leq 9, \\
& 35 \leq n \leq 40 \text { or } 48 \leq n \leq 59 \text { and } 5 \leq w \leq 10, \\
& 60 \leq n \leq 70 \text { and } 5 \leq w \leq 11,
\end{aligned}
$$

then $A\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}, 4\right)=\binom{n-w+4}{4}$.
Proof. This proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.5.
Remark 3.7. For relatively small $s$, we can obtain similar results. For $s \geq 3$, the authors know no example whose cardinality is greater than the value in the lower bound (3.2). We can regard a bound for $s$-distance sets in $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n, w}$ as that for $w$-uniform $s$-intersecting families [4,1,10,25].

### 3.3. Spherical space

For the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$, we use the usual inner product as $\tau$. Then $\Phi_{k}$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree $k$. The Gegenbauer polynomials $G_{k}$ are defined by the following manner:

$$
x G_{k}(x)=\lambda_{k+1} G_{k+1}(x)+\left(1-\lambda_{k-1}\right) G_{k-1}(x)
$$

where $\lambda_{k}=k /(n+2 k-2), G_{0}(x) \equiv 1$, and $G_{1}(x)=n x$. We have $\Phi_{k}(x)=G_{k}(x) / h_{k}$ where $h_{k}=\binom{n+k-1}{k}-\binom{n+k-3}{k-2}$.

We can construct an $s$-distance set in $S^{n-1}$ with $\binom{n+1}{s}$ points for $2 s \leq n+1$. The example consists of all vectors those are of length $n+1$, and have exactly $s$ entries of 1 and $n+1-s$ entries of 0 . Since the finite set is on the hyper plane which is perpendicular to the vector of all ones, we can regard it as a subset of $S^{n-1}$. Thus we have a lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(S^{n-1}, s\right) \geq\binom{ n+1}{s} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2 s \leq n+1$.
The following are new bounds on three- or four-distance sets in $S^{n-1}$ for some $n$.

Theorem 3.8. (1) $A\left(S^{7}, 3\right)=120$ and $A\left(S^{21}, 3\right)=2025$.
(2) $A\left(S^{3}, 3\right) \leq 27, A\left(S^{4}, 3\right) \leq 39$ and $A\left(S^{6}, 3\right) \leq 91$.
(3) For $n=6$ or $9 \leq n \leq 19$, we have $A\left(S^{n-1}\right.$, 3$) \leq h_{1}+h_{3}=n(n+1)(n+2) / 6$.
(4) For $20 \leq n \leq 30$, we have $A\left(S^{n-1}\right.$, 3$) \leq h_{0}+h_{1}+h_{3}=(n+3)\left(n^{2}+2\right) / 6$.
(5) For $31 \leq n \leq 50$, we have $A\left(S^{n-1}, 3\right) \leq h_{2}+h_{3}=\left(n^{2}-1\right)(n+6) / 6$.

Proof. Let $X \subset S^{n-1}$ be a three-distance set with $D(X)=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right\}$ where $d_{1}<d_{2}<d_{3}<\tau_{0}=1$. By Corollary 2.7, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\frac{K_{1}-d_{3} K_{1} K_{3}-\left(d_{3}-1\right) \sqrt{-K_{1} K_{2} K_{3}}}{K_{1}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)} \\
& d_{2}=\frac{K_{2}-d_{3} K_{2} K_{3}+\left(d_{3}-1\right) \sqrt{-K_{1} K_{2} K_{3}}}{K_{2}\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum inner product $d_{3}$ should be greater than zero. Otherwise the cardinality is smaller than $2 n+1$ by Rankin's third bound [23], [11, page 16]. Dividing the range $0<d_{3}<1$ into sufficiently many parts, we obtain finitely many choices of $d_{3}$. For finitely many choices of three inner products from $K_{i}$ and $d_{3}$, we apply Theorem 2.10. Then the upper bound of $A\left(S^{n-1}, 3\right)$ is obtained numerically.

For $n=8$ and $n=22$, we have examples attaining the upper bounds. For $n=8$, the examples can be constructed from subsets of the $E_{8}$ root system. Let $X$ be the $E_{8}$ root system normalized to have the norm 1. We have $D(X)=\{0,-1, \pm 1 / 2\}$ and $|X|=240$. There exists $Y \subset X$ such that $Y \cup(-Y)=X$ and $|Y|=|X| / 2$. Then, $D(Y)=\{0, \pm 1 / 2\}$, and hence $Y$ is a three-distance set with 120 points in $S^{7}$. For $n=22$, the example is a subset of the minimum vectors in the Leech lattice. Let $X \subset S^{23}$ be the minimum vectors normalized to have the norm 1 . For fixed $x, y \in X$ such that $\tau(x, y)=-1 / 4$, we obtain

$$
Y=\{z \in X \mid \tau(z, x)=1 / 2, \tau(z, y)=0\}
$$

Then, $Y \subset S^{21}$ has 2025 points and $D(Y)=\{7 / 22,-1 / 44,-4 / 11\}$.
Remark 3.9. We have a lot of maximum three-distance sets in $S^{7}$ up to orthogonal transformations because there exist many choices of subsets $Y$ in the above proof. Only one maximum three-distance set in $S^{21}$ is known, and hence it might be unique.

Remark 3.10. For the case $s=2$, giving polynomials in Theorem 2.2 concretely, we obtained a similar result (see details in [19]). We can use this approach also for $s=3$.

Theorem 3.11. (1) $A\left(S^{4}, 4\right) \leq 99, A\left(S^{5}, 4\right) \leq 153$ and $A\left(S^{6}, 4\right) \leq 223$.
(2) For $8 \leq n \leq 15$ or $n=18$, we have $A\left(S^{n-1}, 4\right) \leq h_{0}+h_{2}+h_{4}=n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) / 24$.
(3) For $16 \leq n \leq 17$, we have $A\left(S^{n-1}\right.$, 4) $\leq h_{0}+h_{3}+h_{4}=(n+3)\left(n^{3}+7 n^{2}-10 n+8\right) / 24$.
(4) For $19 \leq n \leq 21$, we have $A\left(S^{n-1}, 4\right) \leq h_{2}+h_{3}=d(n+5)\left(n^{2}+n+6\right) / 24$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is the same as that of Theorem 3.8 except for the way to obtain $d_{i}$. For given $K_{i}$ and $d_{4}$, we find the solutions of the system of equations given by Theorem 2.6 numerically.

It is possible to calculate for $s \geq 5$ or large $n$, but it takes much time and needs more memory. The following table shows an example whose size is greater than the value in the lower bound (3.3) for $s \geq 3$, and except for $(n, s)=(8,3),(22,3)$.

| $n$ | $s$ | $\|X\|$ | Inner products | Absolute bound | New bound | Bound (3.3) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 23 | 3 | 2300 | $0, \pm \frac{1}{3}$ | 2576 | 2301 | 2024 |
| 8 | 4 | 240 | $-1,0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$ | 450 | 330 | 126 |
| 24 | 5 | 98280 | $0, \pm \frac{1}{4}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$ | 115830 | $?$ | 53130 |
| 24 | 6 | 196560 | $-1,0, \pm \frac{1}{4}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$ | 573300 | $?$ | 177100 |

The examples in the above table are obtained from tight spherical designs, or their subsets [9,16]. The methods in Theorems 3.8 and 3.11 are applicable to other projective spaces.

Remark 3.12. Our method is applicable to a $Q$-polynomial association scheme defined in [7] (also see [3]). A $Q$-polynomial association scheme is not always a two-point-homogeneous space. There are two concepts which include the projective spaces and $Q$-polynomial association schemes, namely, $Q$-polynomial spaces [12] and Delsarte spaces [20]. The method in the present paper is applicable to both of the two concepts.
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