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#### Abstract

Let $\Sigma$ be the set of vertices of a convex non-degenerate polyhedron in $\mathbf{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2$. We suggest an algorithm to construct smooth convex algebraic hypersurfaces of degree as small as possible, going through $\Sigma$. © 1997 Academic Press


## INTRODUCTION

The standard algebraic interpolation problem in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is to find an algebraic hypersurface of degree as small as possible, passing through a given finite set $\Sigma$. The convex algebraic interpolation problem is: Given a finite set $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ in convex position, we look for a smooth convex algebraic hypersurface of degree as small as possible, going through $\Sigma$. A Hermitetype convex algebraic interpolation problem is to find a smooth convex algebraic hypersurface, going through $\Sigma$ and tangent at $\Sigma$ to given hyperplanes. A modification of this problem-interpolation by convex piecewise algebraic curves and surfaces-was treated in [2-6, 11]. In [8] a solution to the convex algebraic interpolation problem in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is presented: namely, a family of convex curves of degree $[(m+1) / 2]$, going through all vertices of a convex $m$-gon, is constructed. This algorithm gives a solution to the Hermite-type convex algebraic interpolation problem in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ as well, but in general does not work for higher dimensions.

In the present paper we suggest an approach to both ordinary and Hermite-type convex algebraic interpolation problems in any dimension $n \geqslant 2$ using hyperbolic hypersurfaces. The advantage is that, given a real polynomial of degree $>2$, it is hard to check whether the polynomial defines a convex hypersurface or a hypersurface with a convex connected component (see Section 2 below) and the hyperbolicity is a well-controllable property. An explicit equation of convex hypersurfaces that we construct below can be found from some systems of non-linear equations which have a simple linear approximation.

I am very grateful to Professor D. Levin for introducing to the subject and inspiring this work and to Professor N. Alon for helpful discussions. I thank the referee for his useful remarks and suggestions.

Throughout the article we number statements and equations separately.

## 1. FORMULATION OF RESULTS

To simplify the notation in the following we denote an algebraic hypersurface and a polynomial, defining it by the same symbol.

A finite set $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is called convexly located if no point of $\Sigma$ belongs to the convex hull of the other points. The convex hull $\mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$ of $\Sigma$ is a convex polyhedron.

A connected component of a smooth algebraic hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is called convex if all its finite subsets are convexly located. An algebraic hypersurface $H$ is said to be convex interpolatory for a convex set $\Sigma$ if $H$ has a smooth convex connected component containing $\Sigma$. If such a component of $H$ is bounded then the hypersurface $H$ will be called bounded convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$.

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a convexly located set of $m$ points. We denote by $d(\Sigma)$ the minimal number of disjoint proper faces of $\mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$ such that they all are simplices (not necessary equidimensional) and their union contains $\Sigma$. Such a set of faces we will call a covering set. It is easy to see that for $n=2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\Sigma) \leqslant \frac{m+1}{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n=3$ then from [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\Sigma)<\frac{2}{3} m, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the constant $2 / 3$ is tight. For any $n \geqslant 4$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\Sigma)<m, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this estimate is asymptitically tight; i.e., there are examples with

$$
d(\Sigma)=m-O\left(m^{1 /[n / 2]}\right) .
$$

These examples, shown to me by Professor N. Alon, are presented in the proof of Theorem 5 below.

Our main result is the following
Theorem 1. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a set of $m>n$ convexly located points, and $\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{C}(\Sigma)=n$. Then there exists a hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ of degree $d(\Sigma)$, convex
interpolatory for $\Sigma$, and there exists a hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ of degree $\leqslant d(\Sigma)+1$, bounded convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$.

Theorem 2. Let $\Sigma=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}, m \geqslant n$, be a convexly located set, and let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ be a set of hyperplanes in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
L_{i} \cap \mathscr{C}(\Sigma)=\left\{z_{i}\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

There exist a hypersurface $F \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of degree $m$, convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$, and a hypersurface $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of degree $\leqslant m+1$, bounded convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$, such that $F$ and $G$ are tangent to $L_{i}$ at $z_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, m$.

The same approach allows us to solve a problem of the mixed type as well. For a proper nonempty subset $\Sigma^{\prime} \subset \Sigma$ let us denote by $d\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma\right)$ the minimal number of proper faces of $\mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$ such that they all are simplices and their union contains $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{p}\right\}$ be a subset of a convexly located set $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of $m \geqslant n$ points. Let $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{p}$ be a set of hyperplanes in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
L_{i} \cap \mathscr{C}(\Sigma)=\left\{z_{i}\right\}, \quad i=1, \ldots, p
$$

There exist a hypersurface $F \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of degree $p+d\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma\right)$, convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$, and a hypersurface $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of degree $\leqslant p+d\left(\Sigma^{\prime}, \Sigma\right)+1$, bounded convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$, such that $F$ and $G$ are tangent to $L_{i}$ at $z_{i}$ for all $i=1, . ., p$.

In the case $n=2$ Theorem 1 gives a convex interpolatory curve for $\Sigma$ of degree $[(m+1) / 2]$, whereas, actually, in [8] a bounded convex interpolatory curve of the same degree was constructed. The following statement specifies this result.

Theorem 4. For any convexly located set $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ of $m \geqslant 4$ points there exist a convex interpolatory curve of degree $[\mathrm{m} / 2]$ and a bounded convex interpolatory curve of degree $[(m+1) / 2]$.

The following statement together with estimates (1), (2), (3) shows how optimal are the results of Theorems 1 and 4 with respect to the degree of interpolatory hypersurfaces.

Theorem 5. (1) For any $m \geqslant 4$ there exists a convexly located set $\Sigma_{m} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ of $m$ points such that there is no convex smooth curve through $\Sigma_{m}$ of degree $<[m / 2]$.
(2) For any $m \geqslant 10$ there exists a convexly located set $\Sigma_{m} \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ of $m$ points such that there is no convex smooth surface through $\Sigma_{m}$ of degree $<[(2 m-4) / 3]$.
(3) For any $n \geqslant 4$ and $m \geqslant n+1$ there exists a convexly located set $\Sigma_{m} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ of $m$ points such that there is no convex smooth hypersurface through $\Sigma_{m}$ of degree less than $m-s_{0}$, where

$$
s_{0}=\min \left\{s \geqslant n+1 \left\lvert\, s+\binom{s-[(n+1) / 2]}{s-n}+\binom{s-[n / 2]-1}{s-n} \geqslant m\right.\right\} .
$$

Remarks. (1) In the case of odd $m$ there may not exist a bounded convex interpolatory curve of degree $(m-1) / 2$. For example, five points on a hyperbola determine this hyperbola as the unique conic curve through the chosen points.
(2) In the third statement of Theorem 5

$$
m-s_{0} \geqslant\binom{ s_{0}-1-[(n+1) / 2]}{s_{0}-1-n}+\binom{s_{0}-2-[n / 2]}{s_{0}-1-n}-1,
$$

where the right-hand side is a polynomial in $s_{0}$ of degree [ $n / 2$ ]. Hence the statements of Theorems 1 and 5 mean that there are convexly located sets $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 4$, of $m$ points with $d(\Sigma)=m-O\left(m^{1 /[n / 2]}\right)$.
(3) The convex interpolatory curves and hypersurfaces, which we construct in the proofs of Theorems $1-4$, are not unique. For instance, by Theorem 4, given a convexly located set $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ of $m=2 k+1$ points, there exists a convex interpolatory curve $C_{k}$ of degree $k$ through $\Sigma$. For $k \geqslant 3$ the space of curves of degree $k$ (being the space of polynomials in two variables of degree $k$, taken up to a constant factor) has dimension $k(k+3) / 2>$ $2 k+1$; hence the set of curves of degree $k$, going through $\Sigma$, is a projective space of a positive dimension. and hereby any such curve, sufficiently close to $C_{k}$, is a convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$ as well.

## 2. HYPERBOLIC POLYNOMIALS

Let $q$ be a point in the real projective space $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$. A real homogeneous polynomial $F\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of degree $d$ is called $q$-hyperbolic (strict q-hyperbolic) if the hypersurface $F=0$ in $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$ intersects any straight line through $q$ at $d$ real points counting multiplicities (resp., at $d$ distinct real points).

Lemma 1. Any q-hyperbolic polynomial is the limit of strict q-hyperbolic polynomials of the same degree.

Proof. In fact, this statement is due to Nuij [9]. We will present here the required family of strict $q$-hyperbolic polynomials in a slightly modified form, suggested in [10]. Let $F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a $q$-hyperbolic polynomial of degree $d$, and let $q=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. Then according to [9] the polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{\xi} F\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Id}+\xi x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{d} \circ \ldots \circ\left(\operatorname{Id}+\xi x_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right)^{d} F\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where Id is the identity operator, are strict $q$-hyperbolic for all constants $\xi \in \mathbf{R} \backslash\{0\}$, while $\mathscr{T}_{0} F=F$.

Lemma 2. Let $F$ be a strict $q$-hyperbolic polynomial of degree $d$ if $d=2 k$ then the hypersurface $F$ in $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$ consists of $k$ smooth connected components homeomorphic to the $(n-1)$-sphere $S^{n-1}$. Each connected component bounds in $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$ a domain homeomorphic to the $n$-dimensional ball $D^{n}$ and containing $q$, and all these balls form an ascending sequence. If $d=2 k+1$ then the hypersurface $F$ consists of $k+1$ smooth connected components, $k$ of them are homeomorphic to $S^{n-1}$ and situated as described above; one more component is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R} P^{n-1}$ and does not bound any part of $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$.

Proof. The fact is well known in real algebraic geometry. We will explain it shortly. First, it is known (see, for example [13]) that a smooth real hypersurface consists of orientable components homologous to zero in $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$, and, in the case of odd degree, contains one more component realizing a non-zero homology class. At last, note that the natural projection of a strict $q$-hyperbolic hypersurface onto the space $\mathbf{R} P^{n-1}$ of lines going through $q$ is a $d$-sheeted covering, which completes the proof because $\mathbf{R} P^{n-1}$ can be covered either by $\mathbf{R} P^{n-1}$ or by $S^{n-1}$, and, for $n>2$, a component $\mathbf{R} P^{n-1}$ cannot bound anything in $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$, because it intersects any (projective) straight line $L$ through $q$ at one point and hence does not divide $L$ into two or more connected components.

In particular, a strict $q$-hyperbolic hypersurface of degree $>1$ has a unique component homeomorphic to $S^{n-1}$ which bounds a component of $\mathbf{R} P^{n} \backslash F$ homeomorphic to a ball. We will call it the inner component.

Lemma 3. Given a strict q-hyperbolic hypersurface $F$, let $S$ be its inner component. For any hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{R} P^{n}$, the set $S \backslash H \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}=\mathbf{R} P^{n} \backslash H$ consists either of one or two convex components of the affine hypersurface $F \backslash H$.

Proof. Note that $F$ is $q^{\prime}$-hyperbolic with respect to any point $q^{\prime}$ belonging to the ball bounded by the inner component. Therefore any straight line in $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$ meets the inner component at at most two points, and we are done.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

### 3.1. Construction of a Convex Interpolatory Hypersurface

Let $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d}, d=d(\Sigma)$, be a covering set of faces of $\mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$. Through these faces one can draw hyperplanes $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{d}$ such that

$$
H_{i} \cap \mathscr{C}(\Sigma)=\sigma_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d .
$$

Let $\widetilde{H}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_{d} \subset \mathbf{R} P^{n}$ be the projective closures of $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{d}$, respectively.
It is clear that the hypersurface

$$
F=\widetilde{H}_{1} \cdots \tilde{H}_{d}
$$

is $q$-hyperbolic with respect to any point $q$ in the interior of $\mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$. In order to get a strict $q$-hyperbolic polynomial we apply the deformation (4). The problem is how to keep these hyperbolic hypersurfaces from passing through $\Sigma$. So we will slightly modify the deformation (4).

Let $\Sigma=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right\}$. In a neighborhood of each point $z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, on the straight line $\left\langle q z_{i}\right\rangle$ we introduce a local coordinate $s_{i}$ such that the coordinate of $z_{i}$ is 0 .

Lemma 4. There exist $\varepsilon>0$ and smooth families $\tilde{H}_{1, \bar{s}}, \ldots, \tilde{H}_{d, \bar{s}}$ of hyperplanes, depending on parameters

$$
\bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right), \quad\left|s_{i}\right|<\varepsilon, \quad i=1, \ldots, m,
$$

such that $\tilde{H}_{j, 0}=\tilde{H}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, d$, and, for any pair $z_{i} \in \tilde{H}_{j}$, the hyperplane $\tilde{H}_{j, \bar{s}}$ meets the line $\left\langle q z_{i}\right\rangle$ at the point with coordinate $s_{i}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that the points of $\Sigma$ belonging to $\widetilde{H}_{j}$ are verices of a simplex, hence are linearly independent.

Let us consider the family of hypersurfaces $\mathscr{T}_{\xi} F_{\bar{s}}$, where the operator $\mathscr{T}_{\xi}$ is defined by (4), $q$ is assumed to be $(1.0, \ldots, 0)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\bar{s}} & =\tilde{H}_{1, \bar{s}} \cdots \tilde{H}_{d, \bar{s}}, \\
\bar{s} & =\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right), \quad|\xi|<\delta, \quad\left|s_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|s_{m}\right|<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

with some fixed positive $\delta, \varepsilon$. These are strict $q$-hyperbolic hypersurfaces of degree $d$ for all $\xi \neq 0$. Now we seek $s_{1}, \ldots, . s_{m}$ as functions of $\xi$ such that $s_{i}(0)=0, i=1, \ldots, m$, and, for any $\xi \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, the hypersurface $\mathscr{T}_{\xi} F_{\bar{s}}$ contains $\Sigma$.

Homogeneous polynomials in $n+1$ variables of degree $d$, close to $F_{0}$, can be parametrized by the collection of their coefficients

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left\{A_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}, i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=d, i_{0}<d\right\},
$$

assuming $A_{d, 0, \ldots, 0}=$ const. $\neq 0$. By construction, the coefficients $\mathscr{A}$ of the polynomials $\mathscr{T}_{\xi} F_{\bar{s}}$ are smooth functions of $\xi, \bar{s}$ in a neighborhood of zero. On the other hand, since any straight line $\left\langle q z_{i}\right\rangle$ meets $F_{0}$ transversally at distinct points (by choice of $q$ in a generic position), this straight line meets transversaly each hypersurface $\mathscr{T}_{\xi} F_{\bar{s}}$ at $d$ distinct points. Therefore, the coordinate $S_{i}$ of the intersection point of the line $\left\langle q z_{i}\right\rangle$ and $\mathscr{T}_{\xi} F_{\bar{s}}$, which is close to $z_{i}$, is a smooth function of $\mathscr{A}$. Thereby, our problem can be reformulated as to find a solution $s_{1}(\xi), \ldots, s_{m}(\xi)$ of the system

$$
S_{i}\left(\mathscr{A}\left(\xi, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)\right)=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, m
$$

in a neighborhood of zero. Note that

$$
S_{i}\left(\mathscr{A}\left(0, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right)\right)=s_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m,
$$

for all $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$ close to zero. Hence

$$
\left.\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial S_{i}}{\partial s_{j}}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m}\right|_{\xi=0, \bar{s}=0}=1,
$$

therefore by the implicit function theorem there exists a solution $s_{1}(\xi), \ldots, s_{m}(\xi)$, defined on some interval $\xi \in(-\delta, \delta)$ and satisfying

$$
s_{i}(0)=0, \quad\left|s_{i}(\xi)\right|<\varepsilon, \quad \xi \in(-\delta, \delta), \quad i=1, \ldots, m .
$$

That completes the construction of a convex interpolatory hypersurface.

### 3.2. Construction of a Bounded Convex Interpolatory Hypersurface

If the hyperplanes $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{d}$, introduced above, bound a compact polyhedron which contains $\Sigma$, then the previous procedure gives a bounded inner component of the hyperbolic hypersurface constructed.

Assume that the component of the complement to $H_{1} \cup \cdots \cup H_{d}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, containing $q$, is unbounded. Since the points of $\Sigma$ lying in $H_{1}$ are vertices of a simplex, there exists an $(n-2)$-sphere $S^{n-1}$ in $H_{1}$ going through these points. Obviously, there exists an $(n-1)$-sphere $S^{n-1}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ going through $S^{n-2}$ and bounding a ball which contains $\Sigma$. Thus, substituting $H_{1}$ for $S^{n-1}$ and performing the procedure from Section 3.1, we get a bounded convex interpolatory hypersurface of degree $d(\Sigma)+1$.

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

We will perform, actually, the above procedure. Let $\widetilde{H}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_{m} \subset \mathbf{R} P^{n}$ be the projective closures of the affine hyperplanes $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$. Define the
normal vector of a hyperplane $a_{0} x_{0}+a_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}=0$ in $\mathbf{R} P^{n}$ with $a_{0} \neq 0$ as

$$
v=\left(\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}, \ldots, \frac{a_{n}}{a_{0}}\right) \in \partial \mathbf{R}^{n} .
$$

Denote by $\bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}$ the normal vectors of $\widetilde{H}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{H}_{m}$, and introduce families

$$
\tilde{H}_{1}\left(s_{1}, \bar{w}_{1}\right), \ldots, \widetilde{H}_{m}\left(s_{m}, \bar{w}_{m}\right)
$$

of hyperplanes depending on parameters $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m} \in \mathbf{R}$ close to zero, and vectors

$$
\bar{w}_{i}=\left(w_{i 1}, \ldots, w_{i n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m,
$$

close to $\bar{v}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}$, respectively, such that the hyperplane $\widetilde{H}_{i}\left(s_{i}, \bar{w}_{i}\right)$ meets the line $\left\langle q z_{i}\right\rangle$ at the point with coordinate $s_{i}$ and has the normal vector $\bar{w}_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, m$.

As in Section 3.1, we look for the required convex interpolatory hypersuface $F$ in the family $\mathscr{T}_{\xi} F\left(\bar{s}, \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}\right)$, where

$$
F\left(\bar{s}, \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{H}_{i}\left(s_{i}, \bar{w}_{i}\right), \quad \bar{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}\right) .
$$

Clearly, the coefficients of $F$

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left\{A_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n}}, i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}=m, i_{0} \neq m\right\}
$$

are smooth functions of $\bar{s}, \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}$, if $A_{m, 0, \ldots, 0}=$ const $\neq 0$. On the other hand, the coordinates $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$ of the intersection points of $F$ with the lines $\left\langle q z_{1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle q z_{m}\right\rangle$ in neighborhoods of points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}$, respectively, and the normal vectors $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}$ of the tangent hyperplanes to $F$ at these intersection points depend smoothly on $\mathscr{A}$. Thus, our problem is reduced to solution of the system
$S_{i}\left(\mathscr{A}\left(\xi, \bar{s}, \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}\right)\right)=0, \quad V_{i}\left(\mathscr{A}\left(\xi, \bar{s}_{1}, \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}\right)\right)=\bar{v}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m$,
with respect to $\bar{s}, \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}$ as functions of $\xi$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{i}\left(\mathscr{A}\left(0, \bar{s}^{\prime}, \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}\right)\right)=s_{i}, \\
& V_{i}\left(\mathscr{A}\left(0, \bar{s}_{1} \bar{w}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{w}_{m}\right)\right)=\bar{w}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m,
\end{aligned}
$$

the Jacobian of the left-hand sides of (5) with respect to $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{m}$, $w_{11}, \ldots, w_{m n}$ is non-degenerate which, by the implicit function theorem, provides the existence of the required solution to (5) which completes the construction of $F$.

If the hyperplanes $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}$ bound a compact polyhedron in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ containing $\Sigma$, then we put $G=F$. Otherwise, we substitute the hyperplanek $L_{1}$ for a $(n-1)$-sphere, tangent to $L_{1}$ at $z_{1}$ and embracing $\Sigma$, in the construction described above, and get a bounded convex interpolatory hypersurface $G$ of degree $m+1$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2 .

The proof of Theorem 3 is a simple combination of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

### 5.1. Existence of a Bounded Convex Interpolatory Curve

In [8] a convex interpolatory curve of degree $[(m+1) / 2]$ was constructed. We will show that this algorithm gives also a bounded convex interpolatory curve. Assume that $m=2 k(k \geqslant 2)$. Let us number successively the edges of the $m$-gon $\mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$ and put

$$
F_{\lambda}=\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{k} H_{2 i}+(1-\lambda) \prod_{i=1}^{k} H_{2 i-1}, \quad \lambda=\text { const } \in(0,1)
$$

where $H_{i}$ is the straight line through the $i$ th edge, $i=1, \ldots, m$. The fact that all the curves $F_{\lambda}, \lambda \in(0,1)$, are convex interpolatory for $\Sigma$ is proved in [8, Theorem 1]. It was shown in the proof of Theorem 1 in [8] that the convex component of $F_{\lambda}$ lies in the closure of the set $\left(\Pi_{1} \cup \Pi_{2}\right) \backslash\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\Pi_{1}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \pi_{2 i-1}, \quad \Pi_{2}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \pi_{2 i}
$$

and $\pi_{i} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, denotes the closed half plane, bounded by $H_{i}$ and containing $\mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$. It is not difficult to see that there exists a straight line $H \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$, which bounds a half plane $\pi$ such that $\pi \supset \mathscr{C}(\Sigma)$, and $\pi \cap\left(\Pi_{1} \cup \Pi_{2}\right)$ is bounded. Now we shift $H$ in a parallel way, keeping the above property, until $H \cap \mathscr{C}(\Sigma)=\left\{z_{i}\right\}, z_{i} \in \Sigma$. Note that the tangent to $F_{\lambda}$ at $z_{i}=H_{i} \cap H_{i+1}$ runs over that interval $\left(H_{i}, H_{i+1}\right)$ of the line pencil through $z_{i}$, which contains $H$, as $\lambda$ varies in the interval $(0,1)$. Choosing $\lambda \in(0,1)$ so that $F_{\lambda}$ is tangent to $H$ at $z_{i}$, we get a convex curve through $\Sigma$ lying in the bounded set $\left(\Pi_{1} \cup \Pi_{2}\right) \cap \pi$.

The case of odd $m$ can be considered analogously.

### 5.2. Existence of a Convex Interpolatory Curve

We only have to construct a convex interpolatory curve of degree $k$ for a set $\Sigma$, consisting of $m=2 k+1(k \geqslant 2)$ points. We will use a slightly modified procedure from [8]. Let $\Sigma=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 k+1}\right\} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ be the set of successively numbered vertices of a convex $(2 k+1)$-gon. Introduce the straight lines

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{1}=\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}\right\rangle, \quad H_{2}=\left\langle z_{2}, z_{3}\right\rangle, \ldots, H_{2 k-1}=\left\langle z_{2 \mathrm{~K}-1}, z_{2 k}\right\rangle, \\
H_{2 k}=\left\langle z_{2 k}, z_{1}\right\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

As in the previous subsection, we define the family $F_{\lambda}$ of curves of degree $k$ and the sets $\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}$, assuming that $\pi_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 k$, is the closed half plane, bounded by $H_{i}$ and containing the points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{2 k}$. Clearly, the point $z_{2 k+1}$ belongs to $\left(\Pi_{1} \cup \Pi_{2}\right) \backslash\left(\Pi_{1} \cap \Pi_{2}\right)$. Since the curves $F_{\lambda}$ cover the interior of the latter set as $\lambda$ runs through the interval $(0,1)$, there exists $\mu \in(0,1)$ such that the curve $F_{\mu}$ (convex by [8, Theorem 1]) does through $z_{2 k+1}$, which completes the construction.

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

(1) Let us consider a convexly located set $\Sigma_{m} \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$, consisting of $m-1$ points on a convex conic curve $C$ and of one more point outside the disk bounded by $C$. Then any curve $F$ of degree

$$
d \leqslant\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]-1=\left[\frac{m-2}{2}\right],
$$

going through $\Sigma_{m}$, meets $C$ at least at

$$
m-1>2 \cdot \frac{m-2}{2} \geqslant 2 d
$$

points, hence, by Bezout's theorem [12], $F$ must contain $C$ as component and cannot be interpolatory for $\Sigma$.
(2) Let $m=3 s_{0}-1-r \geqslant 10$, where $r=3$, 4 , or 5 , and $s_{0}$ is an integer. Clearly, there exists a convex polyhedron $\Delta$ in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ with $s_{0}$ vertices $z_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, s_{0}$, such that one of its facets (faces of codimension 1) is an $r$-angle and the other are triangles. Denote by $s_{1}, s_{2}$ the numbers of edges and facets of $\Delta$, respectively. From

$$
3 s_{2}+r-3=2 s_{1}, \quad s_{0}-s_{1}+s_{2}=2,
$$

one derives $s_{2}=2 s_{0}-r-1$. Denote by $w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s_{2}$, the baricenters of the facets of $\Delta$, and by $\bar{v}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s_{2}$, the normal vectors of the corresponding facets, oriented in the exterior of $\Delta$. For a given $\varepsilon>0$ denote by $w_{i}(\varepsilon)$ the point $w_{i}+\varepsilon \bar{v}_{i}, 1, \ldots, s_{2}$. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{1}<0$ the sets

$$
\Sigma_{m}(\varepsilon)=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{s_{0}}, w_{1}(\varepsilon), \ldots, w_{s_{2}}(\varepsilon)\right\}, \quad 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}
$$

of $m$ points are convexly located in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Put $d(\varepsilon)$ to be the minimal degree a convex interpolary surface through $\Sigma_{m}(\varepsilon)$. This integral-valued function defines a semi-algebraic subdivision of the interval $\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$. Hence there is $\varepsilon_{1} \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ such that

$$
d(\varepsilon)=d^{*}=\text { const }, \quad \varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right) .
$$

Since the (projective) space of real surfaces of degree $d$ is compact, there exists a sequence $H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}, \ldots$ of convex interpolatory surfaces of degree $d^{*}$ for the sets $\Sigma_{m}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right), \Sigma_{m}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right), \Sigma_{m}\left(\varepsilon_{3}\right), \ldots$, respectively, such that $\lim \varepsilon_{k}=0$ and there exists $\lim H_{k}=H \neq 0$. Since $w_{i}\left(\varepsilon_{k}\right) \rightarrow w_{i}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the convexity condition implies that the limit shape of the convex component $H_{k}$ must be $\Delta$, hence $H$ contains the planes through all the $s_{2}$ facets of $\Delta$ as components. Thereby,

$$
d^{*} \geqslant s_{2}=2 s_{0}-r-1=\left[\frac{2 m-4}{3}\right]
$$

which completes the proof of the second part.
(3) Let us fix $n \geqslant 4$. The convex hull $\Delta(s)$ of $s>n$ generic points $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{s}$ on the curve

$$
\left\{\left(t, t^{2}, \ldots, t^{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \mid t \in \mathbf{R}\right\}
$$

is a so-called cyclic polyhedron with $q$ vertices and

$$
\mu(s, n)=\binom{s-[(n+1) / 2]}{s-n}+\binom{s-[n / 2]-1}{s-n}
$$

facets [7]. For a given $m \geqslant n+1$, let

$$
s_{0}=\min \{s \geqslant n \mid s+\mu(s, n) \geqslant m\} .
$$

Clearly, $m \geqslant s_{0} \geqslant n+1$, since $\mu(n, n)=2$. Put $r=m-s_{0}$. As above, we introduce the normal vectors $\bar{v}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$, of $r$ distinct facets $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}$ of
$\Delta\left(s_{0}\right)$, oriented in the exterior of $\Delta\left(s_{0}\right)$. Also, we fix one point $w_{i}$ inside each facet $\sigma_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ the set $\Sigma_{m}(\varepsilon)$ of $m$ points

$$
z_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, s_{0}, \quad w_{i}(\varepsilon)=w_{i}+\varepsilon \bar{v}_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r
$$

is convexly located in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. As above one shows that the minimal degree of a convex interpolatory hypersurface for $\Sigma_{m}(\varepsilon)$ is a constant $d^{*}$ as $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{1}\right)$, and $d^{*} \geqslant r$, which implies the required statement.
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