The Effect of Cutting Parameters on Cutting Force During Turning Multiphase Microalloyed Steel
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Abstract

The multiphase (ferrite-bainite-martensite) microalloyed steel was turned to study the effect of machining parameters such as cutting speed, feed and depth of cut on cutting forces. The mechanical properties of the multiphase microalloyed steels are analogous to the quenched and tempered steel. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to identify the significant contribution of machining parameters. The result shows that feed and depth of cut influence more on cutting force than cutting speed. The optimal cutting condition to machine the multiphase microalloyed steels were identified.
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1. Introduction

Microalloyed (MA) steels are widely used in automotive components such as engine, crankshaft, connecting rods, etc. [1]. They are cost effective in terms of processing as compared to quenched and tempered (Q&T) steels. Two step cooling (TSC) procedure after forging followed by annealing was adopted to produce multiphase (ferrite-bainite-martensite) microstructures and the mechanical properties were analogous to those of Q&T steels [2]. The study on machinability of this multiphase microalloyed steel having a yield strength of 1384 MPa is important due to the significant application in automotive industry. The cutting parameters like cutting speed, feed and depth of cut were varied for different levels to identify the influence of each parameters on cutting force.

The turning experiments were conducted in a high speed lathe with dry condition for about a length of 40 mm to assess the influence of machining parameters on cutting force (Fz). Taguchi L27 orthogonal array was used to design the number of experiment and smaller the better quality characteristics were chosen to find the signal to noise (SN) ratio [3],[4].

The chemical composition of the work material is C 0.38, Si 0.68, Mn 1.5, P 0.022, S 0.06, V 0.11, N 0.066, Cr 0.18 and Fe balance.

Uncoated P type tungsten carbide insert of SNMG 120408 was used to perform turning operation. Kistler dynamometer was used to measure the cutting force. The machining parameters and their levels are shown in table 1.

Table 1 Machining parameters and levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutting Speed (m/min)</th>
<th>Feed (mm/rev)</th>
<th>Depth of cut (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Experimental Procedures

The schematic of the experimental setup is shown in figure 1. The forces developed during machining multiphase...
Microalloyed steel were captured with the help of a Kistler dynamometer which uses force sensors that work on the piezoelectric principle and the charge amplifier converts the dynamometer output (electrical charge) into voltage. A Dynoware data acquisition system was used (DAQ) to visualize and analyze the measured data.

![Schematic of experimental setup](image)

**Fig. 1** Schematic of experimental setup

### 2.1 Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Multiphase Microalloyed Steel

The micrograph obtained through optical and scanning electron microscope is shown in figure 2. The microalloyed steel were polished and etched with 2% nital solution to reveal the microstructure. Polygonal ferrite (PF) and bainite-martensite (B-M) colonies are identified in the microstructure. The bulk hardness of the work material is 40-43 HRc and the yield strength of the material is 1384 MPa.

![Micrograph of multiphase microalloyed steel](image)

**Fig. 2** Micrograph of multiphase microalloyed steel (a) optical (b) SEM

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of Cutting Speed

The cutting force obtained for different cutting speeds by varying the feed with constant depth of cut is shown in figure 3. As the cutting speed increases, the cutting force decreases. As the feed increases from 0.05 to 0.25 mm/rev, the magnitude of all the forces increases. As the cutting speed increases, with a feed of 0.05 mm/rev, the machining becomes steady resulting in a drop in force. The decrement of force for different speeds is moderate for a feed of 0.16 mm/rev. For a feed of 0.25 mm/rev, the cutting forces come down up to 70 m/min due to thermal softening of the work material and then the forces decrease gradually. Similar trend is observed for quenched and tempered and microalloyed steel [5],[6],[7].

![Plot on cutting speed vs cutting force](image)

**Fig. 3** Plot on cutting speed vs cutting force

#### 3.2 Effect of Feed

The effect of feed for different cutting velocities with a constant depth of cut is shown in figure 4. The cutting force increases as the feed increases. However, the magnitude of the cutting force decreases as the cutting speed increases. This may be due to thermal softening of the work material. For a feed of 0.05 mm/rev, the cutting force recorded for a cutting speed of 60 m/min is 150 N whereas for 80 m/min the cutting force recorded is 110 N. This drop in force also occurs for higher feed with various cutting speeds by keeping the depth of cut constant. This decrease in force is due to thermal softening of the work material during machining.

![Plot on feed vs cutting force](image)

**Fig. 4** Plot on feed vs cutting force
3.3 Effect of depth of cut

The influence of depth of cut on cutting forces for different cutting velocity with constant feed is shown in figure 5. The cutting force increases as the depth of cut increases. The cutting force for a velocity of 60 m/min is increasing steadily for different depth of cut. As the cutting velocity is increased to 80 m/min the magnitude of cutting force decreased compared to the cutting force obtained for 60 m/min. When the cutting velocity is increased from 60 m/min to 70 m/min at 0.1 mm depth of cut the forces are increasing. This may be due to formation of BUE. For higher depth of cut, the forces are lower compared to other two cutting velocities (60m/min & 80 m/min). This effect may be due to the thermal softening of the work material and also may be due to the influence of change in working rake angle due to the formation of BUE.

![Fig. 5](http://example.com/fig5.png)

**Fig. 5** Plot on depth of cut vs cutting force

3.4 Built-up edge (BUE) formation

The built-up edge formation is observed for selected cutting conditions. The BUE influence the trend of the cutting force for different cutting parameters. Zeiss stemi 2000CS stereo microscope was used to observe the built-up edge formation for different cutting edges. The BUE formation on tip of the tool directly affects the cutting force [8]. The figure 6 shows the formation of built-up edge for a cutting velocity of 70 m/min, depth of cut 0.1 mm with a feed of 0.25 mm/rev.

![Fig. 6](http://example.com/fig6.png)

**Fig. 6** BUE formation at cutting edge

3.5 ANOVA for cutting forces

Analysis of variance was performed at 95% confident level to identify the significance of each cutting parameters. From table 2 under probability (P) the values less than 0.05 (5% significance level) indicates the significant parameters that influence the cutting force. The significant parameters are shown in bold form. It is observed that feed and depth of cut are the parameters which contribute significantly for the cutting force. The cutting speed and the interaction between the parameters are insignificant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Sum of square</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>% Combination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.441</td>
<td>7.221</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>2.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>254.830</td>
<td>127.415</td>
<td><strong>0.000</strong></td>
<td>50.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>170.684</td>
<td>85.342</td>
<td><strong>0.000</strong></td>
<td>34.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Speed) x (Feed)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.804</td>
<td>4.701</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>3.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Speed) x (DOC)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.676</td>
<td>4.419</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>3.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Feed) x (DOC)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.263</td>
<td>1.316</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>1.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18.524</td>
<td>2.315</td>
<td>3.703</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>500.222</td>
<td>100.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main effect plot was constructed by using Taguchi orthogonal array technique with the help of Minitab software. The signal to noise ratio was found by considering smaller the better quality characteristics. The plot between data means and mean of signal to noise ratio is shown in figure 7. From figure the optimum parameters are found be cutting speed 80 m/min, feed 0.05 mm/rev, depth of cut 0.1 mm. The force obtained for optimum parameter is minimum and is recorded as 41 N.

![Fig. 7](http://example.com/fig7.png)

**Fig. 7** Main effect plot for cutting force
3.6 Contour plots for cutting force

The contour plots for different combination of cutting parameters like speed, feed and depth of cut are shown in figure 8. The contour plot for cutting force ($F_z$) vs cutting speed and feed are shown in figure 7(a) and the contour plot for cutting force ($F_z$) vs feed and depth of cut are shown in figure 7(b). Contour plot for cutting force ($F_z$) vs depth of cut and speed are shown in figure 7(c).

4 Conclusion

1. During machining multiphase microalloyed steel the cutting forces decreases as the cutting speed increases. The cutting forces are increased as the feed and depth of cut are increased for various cutting conditions.

2. The ANOVA for means shows that feed and depth of cut are the significant parameters which influence the cutting force than cutting speed.

3. The optimum parameter to machine the multiphase microalloyed steel are cutting velocity 80 m/min, feed 0.05 mm/rev and depth of cut 0.1 mm.

5 References


