# **Cortical Development and Remapping through Spike Timing-Dependent Plasticity**

**Neuron, Vol. 32, 339–350, October 25, 2001, Copyright 2001 by Cell Press**

## Sen Song and L.F. Abbott<sup>1</sup>

**Volen Center for Complex Systems and Department of Biology**

**pend on the timing of pre- and postsynaptic action apses to compete with each other for control of the potentials. In model studies, such spike timing-depen- timing of postsynaptic action potentials, and this, by dent plasticity (STDP) introduces the desirable fea- itself, can lead to competitive Hebbian synaptic modifi**tures of competition among synapses and regulation<br>
or cation (Kempter et al., 1999; Song et al., 2000). Here,<br>
or postsynaptic firing characteristics. STDP strength-<br>
ens synapses that receive correlated input, which can<br>

**map formation (Purves and Lichtman, 1985; Ruthazer the selectivity of neuron B without itself being affected by the changes in B. In more general terms, STDP allows and Stryker, 1996; Crair et al., 1997, 1998; Crowley and Katz, 2000), manipulations of activity can strongly affect selective groups of neurons with correlated firing patdevelopment (Stryker, 1986). Even in adulthood, cortical terns to direct the development of nonselective neurons maps can be remodeled by changes in input patterns, with more random firing patterns. such as those that occur after lesions (Merzenich et al., Many Hebbian models allow only feedforward syn-1983, 1984; Kaas et al., 1990). A number of models have been proposed to account for activity-dependent as- rent synapses to strengthen produces strong self-excitpects of developmental (reviewed in Miller, 1996) and atory loops that lead to uncontrollable network activity. adult (Grajski and Merzenich, 1990; Benuskov et al., This is the network analog of the reciprocal strengthen-1994; Sutton et al., 1994) plasticity. These share the ing of synapses between neurons A and B in the twocommon feature of requiring, in addition to correlation- neuron example just discussed. The temporal asymmebased Hebbian synaptic plasticity, some mechanism try of STDP suppresses strong recurrent loops leading to ensure competition among synapses. Competition to stable network models even when all network syntypically arises from constraints imposed on the synap- apses are subject to activity-dependent modification. tic strengths (Miller and MacKay, 1994) that are uncor- In the models we consider, synaptic plasticity affects roborated by experimental evidence or additional types both feedforward and recurrent network connections, of plasticity, such as a sliding threshold (Bienenstock and it does so at all times. Plasticity is not deactivated**

**Experimental evidence from a number of different preparations indicates that repeated pairing of pre- and postsynaptic action potentials can lead to long-term Brandeis University changes in synaptic efficacy, the sign and amplitude of Waltham, Massachusetts 02454-9110 which depend on relative spike timing (Levy and Steward, 1983; Gustafsson et al., 1987; Debanne et al., 1994; Magee and Johnston, 1997; Markram et al., 1997; Bell Summary et al., 1997; Debanne et al., 1998; Bi and Poo, 1998; Zhang et al., 1998; Egger et al. 1999; Feldman, 2000). Long-term modification of synaptic efficacy can de- Spike timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) forces syn-**

**window or considerably longer, depending on the syn- Introduction apse, induce long-term depression (LTD).**

The temporal asymmetry of STDP has a number of<br>
(Stryker, 1986; Katz and Shatz, 1996; Yuste and Sur,<br>
1999) and their modification during adulthood (Buono-<br>
mano and Merzenich, 1998; Wall, 1988; Kaas, 1991;<br>
O'Leary et al.

**et al., 1982; Abraham, 1997). once the desired structures form. The neuronal selectivities and cortical maps arising from these models are thus stable and persistent. <sup>1</sup>**

**Each synapse in the models we consider is character- established by STDP. In this case, the synaptic inputs ized by a peak conductance** *g* **(the peak value of the are uncorrelated, and the division into strong and weak synaptic conductance following a single presynaptic ac- synapses is random with 50% of the synapses in each tion potential) that is constrained to lie between 0 and a group (Figure 1B). maximum value** *g***max. Every pair of pre- and postsynaptic STDP strengthens synapses that are effective at rapspikes can potentially modify the value of** *g***, and the idly evoking a postsynaptic action potential, such as changes due to each spike pair are continually summed groups of presynaptic inputs that fire in a correlated to determine how** *g* **changes over time. We make the manner (Song et al., 2000). STDP strengthens the synsimplifying assumption that the modifications produced apses made by such inputs provided that the correlation by individual spike pairs combine linearly. This is clearly time, which sets the timescale over which the inputs are an approximation, as a number of nonlinear affects are significantly correlated, is appropriately related to the observed experimentally (Markram et al., 1997; Sjöström and Nelson, personal communication). However, most 1F). For Figure 1C, correlations were introduced among of our results depend on only two basic features of the spike trains for inputs 501 through 1000 (see Experi-STDP: presynaptic spikes arriving slightly before post- mental Procedures), while the spike trains for inputs 1 synaptic firing produce synaptic potentiation and ran- through 500 were left uncorrelated. After STDP equili**dom pre- and postsynaptic action potentials result in synaptic depression.<br>A presynaptic spike occurring at time type and a post. We next consider an example inspired by the develop-<br>Me next consider an example inspired by the develop-

synaptic spike at time  $t_{post}$  modify the corresponding and the of ocular dominance in neurons of the primary<br>
synaptic conductance by  $a \to a + a$   $F(\Delta t)$  where  $\Delta t =$  visual cortex and lateral geniculate nucleus. Before eye  $s$ ynaptic conductance by  $g \to g + g_{\text{max}}F(\Delta t)$ , where  $\Delta t =$  **visual cortex and lateral geniculate nucleus. Before eye** 

$$
F(\Delta t) = \begin{cases} A_+ \exp(\Delta t/\tau_+), & \text{if } \Delta t < 0 \\ -A_- \exp(-\Delta t/\tau_-), & \text{if } \Delta t \ge 0 \end{cases}
$$

**If this modification would make** *g* **less than 0 or greater this situation, we introduced independent correlations of than** *gmax***,** *g* **is set to the appropriate limiting value. The equal magnitude into inputs 1 through 500 (representing** form of the STDP window function,  $F(\Delta t)$ , (Figure 1A) **and the values of the parameters used (Experimental ing right-eye inputs). The two groups were not correlated Procedures) are based on experimental data. The time with each other. This creates a situation in which the constants and determine the ranges of pre- to two sets of equally correlated inputs compete, and only postsynaptic spike intervals over which synaptic one ends up dominating the response of the postsynapstrengthening and weakening are significant, and** *A* **tic neuron. Which set does this is random. Figure 1D and** *A* **determine the maximum amount of synaptic shows the equilibrium distribution of synaptic strengths** modification in each case. The experimental results indicate a value of  $\tau_+$  in the range of tens of milliseconds.<br>We have used  $\tau_+ = 20$  ms in all our simulations. Values energion. Synapses formed by the other correlated group We have used  $\tau_+ = 20$  ms in all our simulations. Values and uppon. Synapses formed by the other correlated group<br>
of  $\tau_+$  fall into two categories depending on the type of and position of inputs are weak due to the co **of**  $\tau$ <sub>-</sub> fall into two categories depending on the type of **fall into two categories depending on the type of <b>of** inpurson  $\blacksquare$  synapse being studied. In some cases,  $\tau_-\approx\tau_+$  (Markram  $\blacksquare$  <code>STDP.</code> **et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 1998; Bi and Poo, 1998), while The ultimate distribution of synaptic strengths that** in others,  $\tau_{\rm m} >> \tau_{\rm +}$  (Debanne et al., 1998; Feldman, arises from STDP depends on the number of pre- and<br>2000) We therefore consider two cases:  $\tau_{\rm m} = \tau_{\rm m} = 20$  postsynaptic spike pairs that fall into differen **2000). We therefore consider two cases:**  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+} = 20$ 

of  $F(\Delta t)$  over all  $\Delta t$  is negative. In the range above 1, the spike timing differences  $\Delta t$  of the product of  $F(\Delta t)$  and

**Before considering network models, we study how for these inputs are therefore weakened by STDP due STDP affects synapses onto a single postsynaptic neu- to the negative total integral of the window function. On ron. When multiple synapses drive a postsynaptic neu- the other hand, the correlation function between the ron, STDP tends to segregate them into strong and weak correlated inputs and the postsynaptic action potentials groups, creating a bimodal equilibrium distribution of has a prominent peak near time difference 0 (Figure 1E). synaptic strengths (Song et al., 2000). Figure 1B shows This peak has a large symmetric component that, by** the strengths of 1000 excitatory synapses onto a single **itself, would weaken the synapses when**  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+}$ . How-

**Results integrate-and-fire model neuron (Experimental Procedures) after a stable equilibrium distribution has been**

A presynaptic spike occurring at time  $t_{pre}$  and a post-<br>mantic spike at time t , modify the corresponding and ment of ocular dominance in neurons of the primary *t*<sub>pre</sub>  $-$  *t*<sub>post</sub> and *pre*  $-$  *t*<sub>post</sub> and **eye is correlated by retinal circuitry due to patterns of** activity such as retinal waves (reviewed in Wong, 1999). **. However, there is little correlation between the activities of the two eyes at this point in development. To simulate** left-eye inputs) and inputs 501 through 1000 (represent-

**ms and**  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+} = 100$  ms.  $\tau_{-}$  **of the STDP window function,**  $F(\Delta t)$ . The average number The ratio of the areas under the negative and positive<br>portions of the STDP window function, defined as  $B = A_-\tau_-/(A_+\tau_+)$ , has a significant impact on our simulations.<br>To avoid uncontrolled synaptic growth, this ratio must spike timing differences  $\Delta t$  of the product of  $F(\Delta t)$  and value of B controls the level of activity in a network<br>model.<br>The input-output correlation function is flat for the un-<br>correlated set of inputs in the example of Figure 1C, **except for a small excess of presynaptic spikes just STDP and Presynaptic Correlation Times before a postsynaptic action potential. The synapses**



**Figure 1. Examples of STDP Involving a Single Postsynaptic Neuron**

**(A) The STDP window function, which determines the percentage change of peak synaptic conductance (relative to its maximum allowed value) induced by a single pre- and postsynaptic action potential pair at times** *t***pre** and  $t_{\text{post}}$ . The short-dashed curve is for  $\tau_{-}$  $\tau_{+}$ , and the long-dashed curve is for  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+}$ . **(B) Equilibrium distribution of synaptic strengths for uncorrelated inputs. Each dot represents the strength (relative to the maximum allowed strength) of a synapse from an input neuron to the postsynaptic neuron after STDP has come to equilibrium.**

**(C) Equilibrium synaptic strengths when the postsynaptic neuron receives both uncorrelated (input neurons 1 through 500) and correlated (input neurons 501 through 1000) inputs.** The correlation time was 20 ms and  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+}$ . **(D) Equilibrium synaptic strengths when the postsynaptic neuron receives input from two groups (input neurons 1 through 500 and 501 through 1000) that were both equally correlated but uncorrelated with each other.**

**(E) Average correlation between presynaptic action potentials of the correlated group of inputs in (C) and the postsynaptic spike train. The solid curve indicates the relative probability of a presynaptic spike occurring at time** *t***pre when a postsynaptic spike occurs at time** *t***post. The curve is normalized so that a value of 1 arises from chance occurrences of such pairs. The dotted and the dashed curves** show the STDP window functions for  $\tau_-=\tau_+$ and  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+}$ .

**(F) The result of a sequence of runs similar to that shown in (C) but with different correlation times. The difference between the average**

**value of the synaptic strengths (divided by the maximum synaptic strength) for the correlated group of input neurons (inputs 501 through 1000) and the uncorrelated input neurons (inputs 1 through 500) is plotted against the correlation time. Circles are for and triangles for**  $\tau = 5\tau + 1$ 

**ever, there is also an excess of presynaptic spikes be- correlation times for the correlated group of inputs (a**

decay times  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+} = 20$  ms of the STDP window than those of the uncorrelated group. When  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+}$ , **(Figure 1E). As a result, the symmetric component of than about 5 s. the peak in the input-output correlation function around zero time difference causes synaptic strengthening by Development of Selectivity and Columns STDP, and correlated inputs are strengthened even Previous models have demonstrated that Hebbian syn**more effectively when  $\tau = 5\tau_+$  than when  $\tau = \tau_+$ . aptic plasticity can cause neurons to become selective

cases is that STDP with  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+}$  is sensitive to correla- priate global constraints or additional plasticity mecha**similar to the one shown in Figure 1C but with different we applied it to a network model intended to simulate**

**fore the postsynaptic response due to the input integra- correlation time of 20 ms was used in Figure 1C). Figure tion performed by the postsynaptic neuron. The portion 1F shows the difference between the average synaptic of the peak to the left of time differences of 0 in Figure conductance of the correlated and uncorrelated groups 1E** is larger than the portion to the right. This excess after STDP has come to equilibrium. For the case  $\tau =$ causes the synaptic strengths of the correlated group  $\tau_+$ , large differences between the two groups, as seen **of inputs to grow. in Figure 1C, begin to shrink for correlation times greater In this example, the decay time constant of the input- than 100 ms and vanish for a correlation time of about output correlations (i.e., the correlation time) is close to 500 ms. For even larger correlation times, the correlated the membrane time constant of the neuron and to the group ends up with synapses that are slightly weaker** function. For STDP with  $\tau = 5\tau_{+}$ , the basic result of the correlated group develops much stronger synapses **strengthening of correlated groups of synapses is pre- than the uncorrelated group for correlation times up to served, but no longer matches the decay time of 1 s, and the difference between the two groups does the input-output correlation for positive time differences not vanish until the correlation time becomes greater**

**Another important difference between these two to specific aspects of their input provided that approtions over much longer timescales than STDP with nisms are included (reviewed in Miller, 1996). To test . To study this, we performed a series of simulations whether STDP can generate such selectivity by itself,**



**Figure 2. STDP Leads to the Development of Selectivity**

**The upper panel shows the network used in this example with Gaussian firing rate curves for the input neurons (lower row of circles) and feedforward connections to the network neurons (upper row of circles) but recurrent connections absent. (A) A typical pattern of input neuron activity. Each dot is a spike, and all 1000 input neurons are shown for 1 s. (B) Firing rate curves for network neuron 40 before (dashed) and after (solid) STDP. The initial values of the feedforward synapses were chosen randomly and uniformly between 0 and** *g***max, resulting in little initial selectivity. In this and similar figures, responses are plotted as firing rates normalized to a maximum value of 1, and periodic boundary conditions were imposed on the network and firing rate curves.**

**a recurrently interconnected cortical circuit. The model generated by another random stimulus location.** has 1000 input neurons with responses generated by a labels of initial simulations, we disabled the recurrent **hypothetical stimulus. The stimulus is parameterized by connections and allowed STDP to modify feedforward a single variable that might, for example, represent the synapses while random stimuli were presented. We location of a touch stimulus on the skin or the location** show the case  $\tau = \tau_+$ , but similar results were obtained **or orientation of a visual image on the retina. The firing** for  $\tau = 5\tau_{+}$ . At the start of the simulation, the strengths **rate of each input neuron in response to the stimulus is of the feedforward connections were assigned random** determined by a Gaussian firing rate curve (Experimental values. Because of the random connectivity, the neu-**Procedures and upper panel of Figure 2) that reaches rons in the network were initially nonselective, reits maximum value for a stimulus location that we call sponding almost equally for all stimulus locations the preferred location of that cell. The preferred stimulus (dashed curve in Figure 2B). However, when STDP came** locations progress smoothly across the array of input to equilibrium, each neuron in the network had devel**neurons, which induces correlations in the firing of oped input selectivity (solid curve in Figure 2B). Due to neighboring input neurons. To simplify the discussion, the competitive nature of the rule, the strengthening of we identify a specific stimulus location by giving the synapses associated with one group of correlated inputs** label of the input neuron that has that location as its suppresses other synapses, eventually leading to strong **preferred stimulus location. For example, stimulus loca- feedforward connections exclusively from a contiguous tion 20 is the value that elicits the maximum response set of input neurons.**

**(except for Figure 5, where 250 neurons were used) ferred locations. Recurrent connections lead to the forthrough sparse random (20% connection probability) mation of a single column of neurons with similar excitatory feedforward connections. The network neu- selectivities for both**  $\tau = \tau_+$  and  $\tau = 5\tau_+$ , but again we **rons are interconnected in an all-to-all manner by excit- show the former case. In these simulations, the feedforatory synapses, and each network neuron also receives ward connections were set to random initial values, and background input that makes it spontaneously active the recurrent connection strengths were initialized to 0. (Experimental Procedures). Figures 3A and 3B show equilibrium synaptic strengths**

**is generated by a series of brief presentations of the tively, in grayscale plots. The shading at each point stimulus at random locations. Each presentation lasts represents the strength of the corresponding synapse. for a short period of time chosen from an exponential The horizontal stripe in Figure 3A indicates that all the distribution with a mean of either 20 or 100 ms. Figure network neurons receive strong synapses from input 2A shows a raster of typical input neuron activities gen- neurons in the neighborhood of input 800, while synaptic erated by such stimuli. Each dot in the plot is a spike, connections from other input neurons are weak. This and the high-density areas reveal the stimulus locations. pattern of connectivity confers similar selectivity to all Activity corresponding to a given stimulus location lasts the neurons in the network, as seen in the firing rate**

thalamic relay neurons providing feedforward input to for a short amount of time before switching to activity

**from input neuron 20. Without recurrent connections, different network neu-The 1000 input neurons drive 200 network neurons rons develop different selectivities with random pre-** During simulated development, input to the network for the feedforward and recurrent synapses, respec-



**Figure 3. Formation of a Selective Column In the network figure at the top, the thick black connections represent strong synapses. Other synapses are weak after STDP comes to equilibrium. The resulting configuration makes all of the network neurons sensitive to stimuli that excite the same subset of input neurons. (A) Grayscale plot of the strengths of feedforward synapses between input and network neurons after STDP has come to equilibrium. The** *x* **value of each point corresponds to the label of the network neuron and the** *y* **value to the label of the input neuron. The horizontal band reflects the strong synapses illustrated in the network diagram above. (B) Grayscale plot of the strengths of recurrent synapses between network neurons after STDP has come to equilibrium. The** *x* **value of each point corresponds to the label of the postsynaptic network neuron and the** *y* **value to the label of the presynaptic network neuron. Recurrent synapses are all weak in this example. (C) Firing rate curves for network neurons 40 and 130, which have similar selectivities as do all network neurons. (D) The preferred stimulus locations for the network neurons all take values near 800.**

**3C) and in the similar preferred stimulus locations near groups of input neurons. input location 800 for all the network neurons (Figure As the recurrent synapses grow stronger, the seeded 3D). At the final stage, the recurrent synapses between network neurons, which respond directly to input neunetwork neurons are all quite weak (Figure 3B). However, rons 401 through 600, begin to drive unseeded network as we will see, recurrent synapses play an important neurons. As a result, the unseeded network neurons role in the formation of the column. start to fire slightly after input neurons 401 through 600**

**lectivity and column structure in the network neurons, neurons 401 through 600 followed by unseeded network it proved useful to "seed" the selectivity of the network. neurons, is exactly the pre- before postsynaptic se-**For Figure 4, network neurons 81 through 120 were given quence that causes STDP to strengthen synapses. As **initial feedforward weights (seeded) that made them se- a result, synapses from input neurons 401 through 600** lective for stimulus locations in the range 401 through to all of the unseeded network neurons become strong **600. The patch in the center of Figure 4A reveals the (Figure 4E). initial feedforward synaptic strengths that provided this The final step in the development of a selective column seeding. The strengths of the recurrent synapses were of network neurons is the weakening of the recurrent** initially set to 0 (Figure 4B), and the unseeded network synapses. Once feedforward synapses from input neu**neurons displayed little initial selectivity. On the other rons 401 through 600 to all the network neurons have hand, the seeded network neurons were selective for strengthened sufficiently, they compete with recurrent nearby input locations and therefore fired in a correlated synapses for further strengthening by STDP. STDP fa**manner from the beginning of the simulation. **vors short latency inputs** over longer latency inputs

**the feedforward (4C and 4E) and recurrent (4D and 4F) competition. Figures 4E and 4F show the final synaptic synapses during the simulation. Initially, STDP strength- strengths after the system has reached equilibrium. The ens synapses from the seeded group of network neu- horizontal band of strong feedforward synapses seen rons, which fire in a correlated manner, to other network in Figure 4E indicates that all the neurons now have neurons. The horizontal band of increased synaptic similar input selectivities. Figure 4F shows that the restrength seen in the middle of Figure 4D represents the current synapses end up quite weak.** synapses made from network neurons 81 through 120 When the same stimulation is run with  $\tau = 5\tau_{+}$ , col**to other neurons in the network. This strengthening pre- umn development occurs in a similar manner, but the cedes the modification of the feedforward synapses equilibrium values of the recurrent synapses are larger** seen faintly in Figure 4C. Recurrent synapses are than for  $\tau = \tau_{+}$ , although the pattern of recurrent synstrengthened before feedforward synapses because apses is fairly random. The stronger final recurrent con**there is only one strongly correlated group of network nection strengths arise because the symmetric compo-**

**curves of two representative network neurons (Figure neurons, while there are multiple competing correlated**

**To study the developmental sequence leading to se- are excited by the stimulus. This pattern of firing, input**

**Figures 4C–4F show snapshots of the strengths of (Song et al., 2000), so the feedforward synapses win this**



## **Figure 4. Synaptic Strengths at Various Stages of Column Development**

**Graphs on the left are for feedforward synapses and on the right are for recurrent synapses. Data are presented in grayscale plots as in Figure 3. (A) Initial feedforward synaptic strengths. The block in the center corresponds to the seed, which makes network neurons 81 through 120 selective for inputs 401 through 600. (B) Initial recurrent synaptic strengths were initially set to 0. (C and D) Feedforward and recurrent synaptic strengths after some time but before equilibrium has been reached. The horizontal band in the center of (D) corresponds to strong synapses formed by the seeded cluster of network neurons onto other network neurons. (E and F) Equilibrium synaptic strengths. A well-formed column selective to inputs 401 through 600 can be seen in (E), and the recurrent synapses shown in (F) have become quite weak.**

**nent of the input-output correlation function leads to direct feedforward input (Figure 5C), and the network synaptic strengthening in this case. The recurrent con- neurons still form a column with tightly bunched prenections tend to stabilize clusters of network neurons ferred stimulus locations (Figure 5D). with their own selectivities, and this makes the columnar Column formation through STDP can occur over a**

**input, STDP constructs a column driven either exclu- parts of the STDP window function. The value of this** sively (for  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+}$ ) or primarily (for  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+}$ ) by feedfor-<br>ratio must be appropriately adjusted for both the recurward input. However, not all cortical neurons receive rent  $(B_{\text{rev}})$  and feedforward  $(B_{\text{rf}})$  connections. The simu**direct input from the thalamus. To simulate this situation, lations produce well-formed columns if**  $1 < B_{\text{recur}} < B_{\text{ff}} < B_{\text{ref}}$ we removed some of the connections from the input 1.07. Columns with a larger degree of dispersion in their **neurons to the network neurons in the model (we also <b>preferred locations arise if**  $B_f \leq B_{\text{recur}} \leq B_f + 0.02$ . Larger **250). Figure 5 shows the results of a simulation with random locations, as if there were no recurrent connec-**  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+}$  in which network neurons 101 through 200 were tions at all. If  $B_{\rm ff} > 1.07$ , the development of selectivity **not connected to the input neurons (Figure 5A). The is disrupted. The simulations are not too sensitive to the neurons develop similar selectivities through the tuning STDP, set by the parameter** *A***. However if this is too However, the recurrent connections from the feedfor- synapses can exhibit oscillatory behavior, and the model ward-driven network neurons to network neurons that never stabilizes. are not directly connected to the inputs remain strong (Figure 5B), unlike the connections between network Refinement of Cortical Maps neurons receiving feedforward input. The network neu- In our simulations to this point, the competitive nature rons disconnected from the input neurons can be viewed of STDP leads to a winner-take-all situation that favors as an additional layer within the network, so a hierarchi- the formation of a single column. To create a continuous cal architecture has formed. Network neurons end up map of selectivities rather than a single column, we need with the same selectivity whether or not they receive to restrict the spread of selectivity from one neuron to**

**structure less tight when**  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+}$  than when  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+}$ . range of parameter values. The process is most sensitive **If all the network neurons receive direct feedforward to** *B***, the ratio of areas under the negative and positive increased the number of network neurons from 200 to values of** *B***recur lead to the formation of preferences at network neurons that are directly connected to the input overall size of the conductance changes arising from** of feedforward synapses, as in the previous simulation. large, especially in the case  $\tau$ <sub>-</sub>  $\gg$   $\tau$ <sub>+</sub>, the recurrent



**different selectivities of their own. in the network map.**

**of activity-independent processes in map formation sults. The major difference is that some recurrent syn- (Crowley and Katz, 1999; Hubener and Bonhoeffer, 1999; apses are retained as in the case of the single column Crowley and Katz, 2000). Therefore, we begin by study- discussed previously (see Figure 8D). ing the effects of STDP on map development when a coarse map is set up initially in an activity-independent Unseeded Development of Cortical Maps manner (i.e., the map is seeded). In the next section, Although activity-independent processes appear to act initially. In the seeded simulations, a map-like structure explored whether STDP by itself, without any seeding, tions in Figure 6A. The crudeness of the map is evident if inhibitory connections are introduced into the network.**

## **Figure 5. Formation of Multiple Layers**

**The upper panel shows the equilibrium configuration of synaptic strengths with strong synapses from input neurons 601 through 800 to the network neurons they enervate and strong synapses from these network neurons to other network neurons that do not receive direct feedforward input. (A) Feedforward synaptic strengths at equilibrium in a grayscale plot. The horizontal band indicates the formation of a single column selective to inputs in the neighborhood of 700. The hole in the middle of this band corresponds to the neurons that received no feedforward input. (B) Equilibrium recurrent synaptic strengths in a grayscale plot. The vertical band indicates that strong synapses have formed from network neurons that are driven by feedforward input (network neurons 1 through 100 and 201 through 250) to network neurons that do not receive direct feedforward input (network neurons 101 through 200). (C) Firing rate curves for a feedforward-driven network neuron (neuron 40) and a recurrently driven network neuron (neuron 150) show similar selectivities. (D) Preferred stimulus locations are similar across the network. There is less dispersion in the preferred stimulus locations of the recurrently driven network neurons than the feedforward-driven neurons because the recurrent connections are all-to-all.**

**another. We do this, in part, by limiting the range of the equilibrated, the band of strong feedforward synapses recurrent connections between network neurons to local is narrower (Figure 6B), and the firing rate curves of the neighborhoods, rather than allowing them to be all-to- network neurons are sharper, as shown in Figure 6D. all (Experimental Procedures). In addition, we choose The tightness of the final map is primarily determined** the parameters  $B_{\text{recur}}$  and  $B_{\text{ff}}$  so that feedforward connec- by the width of the input correlations. The ordered pro**tions tend to dominate recurrent connections. In particu- gression of preferred locations of the network neurons** lar, the values of  $B_{\text{recur}}$  and  $B_{\text{ff}}$  must allow for the formation seen in Figure 6E reveals the well-defined map and is **of a column, as described in the previous section. In much tighter than the initial distribution of preferred addition,** *B***recur must be large enough to prevent the re- locations (Figure 6F). The pattern of synaptic strengths currents from transferring selectivity from one group seen in Figure 6B is stable. We have simulated many of neurons to other neurons with well-established but hours of activity and observed no significant changes**

Recent experiments have stressed the importance Simulations performed with  $\tau = 5\tau_{+}$  give similar re-

**we study map formation without any structure imposed early in development to initialize map formation, we have was imposed on the network model by setting the initial can lead to map development. If the network model strengths of the feedforward synapses from the input used to this point is run without initial seeding, a single neurons to the network neurons in the manner indicated column structure forms. However, an orderly map can by the diagonal stripe of strong feedforward connec- arise solely through STDP from random initial conditions from the width of this stripe and from the weak initial In a set of simulations, we introduced all-to-all uniform selectivities of the network neurons shown in Figure 6C. inhibitory interactions of fixed strength between network STDP tightens and refines this map. After STDP has units, in addition to their plastic local excitatory connec-**



## **Figure 6. Synaptic Strengths, Firing Rate Curves, and Preferred Stimulus Locations Before and After Map Refinement**

**The upper panel shows the final stage when strong feedforward synapses form a topographic map from the input neurons to the network neurons. In the remaining panels, graphs on the left are before STDP has been applied, and graphs on the right are after STDP has come to equilibrium. (A) Grayscale plot of the initial feedforward synaptic strengths in an example with initial seeding of the map. The diagonal band of strengthened synapses indicates that they form a rough map. (B) Feedfoward synaptic strengths after STDP has come to equilibrium and refined the map in (A). The refined map structure is visible as a tightened diagonal band of strengthened synapses. (C) Firing rate curves of neurons 40 and 130 before the application of the STDP. The curves are quite wide and shallow, showing that the selectivity is quite weak. (D) Firing rate curves of the same two neurons after STDP have equilibrated showing the increased selectivity. (E and F) Preferred stimulus locations for the network neurons before (E) and after (F) the application of STDP.**

**tions (see Experimental Procedures). These inhibitory orientation with respect to the network are not deterconnections tend to make different neurons in the net- mined by the initial conditions, the map that forms in** work develop different location preferences, whereas these simulations can be arranged in either direction **the excitatory recurrent interactions favor similar prefer- and can be located at any point across the network. ences. When the excitatory connections are restricted Occasionally, a "double" map can arise, with the stripe to local neighborhoods, these opposing forces can lead pattern wrapping twice around the network (remember to the formation of a smoothly changing cortical map. that periodic boundary conditions have been imposed). Short-range excitation and long-range inhibition is im- This frequently happens if the range of recurrent conportant for map formation in previous models as well nections is less than 40. For a recurrent range of more (reviewed in Miller, 1996). Our model differs from previ- than 100, a partial map generally forms, with a continuous work in that the excitatory recurrent connections ous variation of preferred locations that does not cover are allowed to be plastic. the full range of stimulus locations. More complicated**

**7B. This simulation started with the random initial feed- across the full range of values. forward connection strengths seen in Figure 7A, but nevertheless the final feedforward connection strengths Adult Plasticity of Cortical Maps (Figure 7B) exhibit a map-like structure similar to that Cortical maps can be reorganized in the adult brain as**

**The form of the map arising from STDP in the un- patterns can also arise, but the variation of preferred seeded case depends on the range of the local excit- locations is always smooth within these structures. Fiatory connections. For a range of 40 (20 to the left and nally, to illustrate the nature of the activity generated by 20 to the right) to around 100, a single smooth map the network after the map has formed, we show in Figure usually forms in the simulations initialized with random 7C a raster of the action potentials fired by the network weights. An example of such a map is shown in Figure neurons in response to a stimulus that sweeps steadily**

**observed in Figure 6B. a result of injury or behavioral training. This typically Because the absolute location of the map and its involves normal or highly active regions of a cortical**



**or that are less highly activated during training (reviewed for a simulation done with 5. In this case, network in Buonomano and Merzenich, 1998). Lesion-induced neurons 101 through 150 took on the same selectivities plasticity can arise from STDP (Feldman, 2000). We have as neurons 151 through 200, and network neurons 51 simulated this situation by removing all the feedforward through 100 acquired the selectivities of neurons 1** connections from a subset of the input neurons to net-<br>through 50 (Figure 8F). In simulations done with  $\tau =$ work neurons that have already formed a map as in the  $\tau_{+}$ , connection and selectivity patterns similar to those **previous section. Figure 8A shows the strengths of the in Figures 8C and 8F were observed for a while, but feedforward synapses immediately after the lesion. The over time network neurons 51 through 100 switched to hole in the middle of the band of strong synapses reflects acquire a selectivity around 800, similar to the tuning the removal of all feedforward connections made from of network neurons 101 through 150 (Figure 8E). This inputs 301 through 700. The synaptic modifications in- process is slow because of competition between two duced by this lesion follow a progression similar to that correlated groups, neurons 1 through 50 and neurons shown in Figure 4 for the formation of a single column. 151 through 200. The stronger recurrent synapses al-**As noted previously, feedforward inputs are favored by lowed by STDP with  $\tau$ <sub>-</sub> = 5 $\tau$ <sub>+</sub> (seen in Figure 8D) stabilize<br>STDP over recurrent synapses. However, when the the split remapping observed in Figures 8C and 8F. **STDP** over recurrent synapses. However, when the **feedforward inputs are lesioned, recurrent connections The parameter range for which remapping following become the primary source of selectivity. Recurrent a lesion occurs is similar to the range where maps form, connections to the network neurons with lesioned inputs except that if** *B***recur is too large, the lesioned area fails grow in strength when their normal competitors, the to acquire the selectivities of neighboring areas and feedforward inputs, are removed. In this way, the neu- remains unresponsive. rons with lesioned inputs adopt the selectivity of neighboring network neurons with intact feedforward inputs.**

**The strengthening of recurrent synapses is only the Discussion first of the changes induced by an input lesion to the network. As in the case of a single column, the strength- Correlation-based synaptic modification has proved valuthat favors the strengthening of feedforward synapses in cortical maps, but it suffers from a number of probneurons that did not initially form strong connections to pre- and postsynaptic activity occurs, which could hapthem. Figure 8B shows the strengths of the feedforward pen by chance rather than reflecting a causal relation**the case  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+}$ . Figure 8E gives the corresponding all synapses, which is clearly an undesirable outcome. **sive to input locations 301 through 700, have now ac- ity mechanisms must be imposed. STDP can solve both quired input connections similar to those of neurons of these problems. 151 through 200 (Figure 8B). Their preferred stimulus The temporal asymmetry of STDP with respect to locations have also shifted to around 800 (Figure 8E), spike timing provides a mechanism for transferring seso these network neurons have developed selectivities lectivity across a network. Any group of neurons that similar to their neighboring neurons. becomes selective to a particular set of inputs and be-**

**Figure 7. Synaptic Strengths Before and After Unseeded Map Development in a Network with Additional All-to-All Recurrent Inhibitory Connections**

**(A) Grayscale plot of the initial feedforward synaptic strengths showing the lack of seeding. Synaptic strengths are random.**

**(B) Feedforward synaptic strengths after STDP have come to equilibrium. The diagonal band of strong synapses reveals the formation of a continuous map from the random initial condition in (A).**

**(C) A raster showing the activity of all the network neurons in response to a stimulus that sweeps across the map at a steady rate and then terminates at 1000 s. Each dot represents an action potential from the corresponding neuron. The effect of the periodic boundary conditions is apparent in the network activity.**

**map expanding into regions that have lesioned inputs Figures 8C and 8D show the final synaptic strengths**

**ened recurrent connections drive the network in a way able for the study of developmental and adult plasticity to the network neurons with lesioned inputs from input lems. Synapses are strengthened whenever coincident synapses after the system has reached equilibrium for ship. This can lead to the nonselective strengthening of preferred stimulus locations for the network neurons. Furthermore, correlation-based synaptic plasticity is not Neurons 51 through 150, which were previously respon- by itself competitive, so additional constraints or plastic-**



**Figure 8. Synaptic Strengths and Preferred Stimulus Locations After Lesioning by Disconnecting Feedforward Synapses from Inputs 301 through 700**

**The upper panel shows the network after STDP has come to equilibrium. The network neurons represented by the center circle in the upper row, which have lost their feedforward input, are partially driven by neighboring network neurons and also receive strengthened synapses from input neurons surrounding the lesion. (A) Feedforward synaptic strengths immediately after the lesion. The diagonal band shows the preexisting map, and the hole in the middle reflects the lesioning of the feedforward synapses from input neurons 301 through 700. (B) Feedforward synaptic strengths after STDP with has reequilibrated following the lesion. The network neurons with lesioned inputs now receive strong feedforward synapses from input neurons 701 through 1000. (C and D) Feedforward and recurrent synaptic** strengths after STDP with  $\tau = 5\tau_+$  has re**equilibrated following the lesion. The network neurons with lesioned inputs receive strong feedforward synapses from input neurons 1 through 300 and 701 through 1000 (C), and the recurrents retain a nonzero strength (D). (E and F) Preferred stimulus locations of the network neurons following recovery from the lesion for**  $\tau_{-} = \tau_{+}$  (E) and  $\tau_{-} = 5\tau_{+}$  (F).

**ment of selectivity in other network neurons. The selec- ward inputs to the network neurons with lesioned inputs. tive "teacher" group drives nonselective "student" This sequence appears to match that seen in animal neurons through strengthened recurrent connections, studies where evidence suggests that thalamocortical causing them to become similarly selective. If no feed- organization is guided by earlier intracortical changes. forward input is available to the student neurons, the For example, the initial reorganization in rat barrel cortex process stops there, but if feedforward input is available, following whisker clipping appears to involve the potenthe teacher neurons provide an instructive signal that tiation of intracortical synapses, while later modificainduces selective strengthening of feedforward syn- tions affect thalamic afferents (Diamond et al., 1993; apses. This process terminates with the weakening of Armstrong-James et al., 1994; Glazewski and Fox, 1996). the instructive recurrent synapses, leading to a stable The most distinctive predictions of a model that uses column or map of selective neurons. In agreement with STDP for developmental and adult plasticity concern this scheme, it has been suggested that structure within the time course of plastic changes. Loss of input correlaprimary visual cortex precedes and guides the develop- tion (as opposed to loss of activity) leads to a rapid**

**gins to fire in a correlated manner can direct the develop- tive signal that guides the strengthening of new feedfor-**

**ment of thalamocortical inputs (Ruthazer and Stryker, decrease in synaptic strength in these models. In the 1996; Crair et al., 1997, 1998; Trachtenberg et al., 2000). absence of competition from a feedforward source of In the case of an input lesion, the process outlined in input, STDP will potentiate the most correlated set of the previous paragraph repeats. First, recurrent syn- intracortical inputs to a given neuron. However, once apses from nearby network neurons strengthen to pro- these inputs are strengthened, they can act as a training vide selectivity to the network neurons with lesioned signal, allowing feedforward synapses to strengthen. inputs. The strengthened recurrents provide an instruc- The shorter latency of feedforward over recurrent inputs**

**leads to their ultimate dominance. Thus, a signature an additional background Poisson input at 500 Hz through a synapse**

**ies for different preparations. STDP with**  $\tau = \tau_{+}$  **favors** connection strengths for the maps we discussed were set to **feedforward architectures when direct feedforward in put is available but promotes recurrent network connec- , tions when feedforward input is absent while discouraging the formation of strong recurrent loops. STDP with** where, for the synapse from input neuron i to network neuron j,  $d =$ <br> $\frac{d}{dt}$  =  $\tau_{-} >> \tau_{+}$  allows stronger recurrent connections to per-<br>sist, which could be advantageous in some situations.<br>For the examples involving maps, recurrent connections between **A large value of also ensures sensitivity of STDP network neurons were limited in range so that network neuron** *<sup>j</sup>* **only to groups of inputs with long correlation times. These connected to other network neurons in the range** *j* **40 to** *j* **40, differences suggest that regional and developmental modulo the periodic boundary conditions imposed on the network**

The integrate-and-fire neuron used in the single neuron and network<br>
models follows a standard implementation (Troyer and Miller, 1997).<br>
The metwork simulations, we used  $A_+ = 0.001$ . For  $\tau_- = \tau_+$ ,<br>
we used  $B_{\rm ff} = 1.$ 

$$
r_m \frac{dV}{dt} = V_{\text{rest}} - V + g_{\text{ex}}(t)(E_{\text{ex}} - V).
$$

with  $\tau_m = 20$  ms,  $V_{\text{est}} = -74$ mV, and  $E_{\text{ex}} = 0$ mV. In addition, the<br>neuron fires an action potential when the membrane potential<br>reaches a threshold value of -54mV, and the membrane potential is<br>then reset to -60mV. that a single presynaptic action potential at time 0 generates a<br>synaptic conductance  $g_{\text{ex}}(t) = g \exp(-t/\tau_{\text{ex}})$ , with  $\tau_{\text{ex}} = 5$  ms. The Acknowledgments synaptic conductances, including the peak conductance parameter<br>
g, are measured in units of the leakage conductance of the neuron<br>
and are thus dimensionless.<br>
Encoul the cimulations choung in Figure 1, we used  $A = 0.005$ 

the presynaptic firing rates were generated randomly from Gaussian Fredoctoral Fellowship to S.S. W<br>distributions, We divide time into intervals chosen from an exponen-Sigström for useful discussions. **tial distribution with mean interval equal to the correlation time being Received March 9, 2001; revised August 17, 2001. investigated. At the start of each interval, we generate** *N* **1 random** numbers *y* and  $x_a$  for  $a = 1, 2,..., N$  from Gaussian distributions with **mean 0 and standard deviation 1. The firing rate of input neuron** *a* **References** is then set to  $10(1 + 0.3x_a + 0.3y)$  Hz, if the neuron belongs to a **correlated cluster, and 10(1 0.3**√**2***xa***) Hz, if it belongs to an uncorre- Abraham, W.C. (1997). Metaplasticity, a new vista across the field** lated cluster. These rates are held constant until the start of next **interval. When two correlated clusters are used (Figure 1D), we Armstrong-James, M., Diamond, M.E., and Ebner, F.F. (1994). An** values for the two groups, so they were uncorrelated with each of barrel cortex neurons. J. Neurosci. 14, 6978–6991.<br>
other. We used  $g_{\text{max}} = 0.015$  and  $B = 1.05$  for the simulations shown  $B_{\text{all}}$  C. Hap M. Suggware X,

other. We used  $g_{max} = 0.015$  and  $B = 1.05$  for the simulations shown<br>
in Figure 1.<br>
The input neurons of the network model generate spikes through<br>
a Poisson process with a time-varying firing rate. Periodic boundary<br>
a P

$$
r_a = R_0 + R_1 (e^{-(s-a)^2/2\sigma^2} + e^{-(s+1000-a)^2/2\sigma^2} + e^{-(s-1000-a)^2/2\sigma^2}),
$$
 91, 4791-4799.

with  $R_0 = 10$  Hz,  $R_1 = 80$  Hz, and  $\sigma = 100$ . The latter two Gaussian tions in hippocampal culture, dependence on spike timing, synaptic functions are used to give approximate periodicity. The firing rates strength and **functions are used to give approximate periodicity. The firing rates strength and cell type. J. Neurosci.** *18***, 10464–10472.** of the input rieurors are generated over variable time intervals critical contents. E.L., Cooper, L.N., and Munro, P.W. (1982). Theory for<br>
sen from an exponential distribution with a mean of 20 ms (or, for<br>
location s is **distribution, and the input firing rates computed from the above Buonomano, D., and Merzenich, M. (1998). Cortical plasticity: from synapses to maps. Ann. Rev. Neurosci.** *21***, 149–186. equation are then held constant until the start of the next interval.**

**The network neurons are described by the integrate-and-fire Crair, M., Ruthazer, E., Gillespie, D., and Stryker, M. (1997). Relationmodel (see above) and driven by excitatory conductances evoked ship between the ocular dominance and orientation maps in visual by the spikes of the input neurons. Each network neuron receives cortex of monocularly deprived cats. Neuron** *19***, 307–318.**

of STDP-based plasticity is a transient increase in the<br>strength 0.096, except in Figure 5, where the strength was set to<br>strength of intracortical pathways that are then weak-<br>ened once strong feedforward pathways become **lished. neurons keep firing.**

**The timescale of synaptic weakening under STDP var- In the network model, we used** *g***max 0.02. The initial feedforward**

$$
0.5g_{\max}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{100}\right)^2\right)
$$

variabilities in STDP timing properties may reflect differ-<br>ent functional roles for synaptic plasticity.<br>of the inhibitory connections was 0.3 g<sub>mav</sub>, and the initial strength **of the excitatory feedforward connections were chosen randomly<br>and uniformly between 0 and 0.5 g<sub>max</sub>. The strengths of the excitatory<br>recurrent connections were set initially to 0.** 

**To generate the response firing rate curves for the network neu** rons, we ramped the stimulus from 0 to 1000. The response curve **was calculated by counting spikes over 1000 repetitions, and the**

**For all the simulations shown in Figure 1, we used**  $A_+ = 0.005$ **, and** deis University, the W.M. Keck Foundation, and a Howard Hughes<br>**Predoctoral Fellowship and a University of the Studies of Studies of Predoctoral Fellow** 

innocuous bias in whisker use in adult rats modifies receptive fields

**dent plasticity in adult rat barrel cortex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA**

**Bi, G.-Q., and Poo, M.-M. (1998). Activity-induced synaptic modifica-**

**experience in the development of columns in cat visual cortex. Sci- Hebbian learning. Neural Comp.** *6***, 100–126. ence** *279***, 566–570. O'Leary, D., Ruff, N., and Dyck, R. (1994). Developmental, critical**

**nance columns in the absence of retinal input. Nat. Neurosci.** *2***, sensory systems. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.** *4***, 535–544. 1125–1130. Purves, D., and Lichtman, J. (1985). Principles of Neural Develop-**

**Crowley, J.C., and Katz, L.C. (2000). Early development of ocular ment (Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates).**

**J. Neurosci.** *16***, 7253–7269. nous pre- and postsynaptic activity induces associative long-term depression in area CA1 of the rat hippocampus in vitro. Proc. Natl. Song, S., Miller, K., and Abbott, L. (2000). Competitive Hebbian Acad. Sci. USA** *91***, 1148–1152. learning through spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. Nat.**

Debanne, D., Gahwiler, B., and Thompson, S. (1998). Long-term Neurosci. 3, 919–926.<br>synaptic plasticity between pairs of individual CA3 pyramidal cells Stryker, M. (1986). The role of neural activity in rearranging connecsynaptic plasticity between pairs of individual CA3 pyramidal cells **in rat hippocampal slice cultures. J. Physiol.** *507***, 237–247. tions in the central visual system. In The Biology of Change in Otola-**

Diamond, M.E., Armstrong-James, M., and Ebner, F.F. (1993). Expe- ryngology, R.J. Ruben, T.R. Van De Water, and E.W. Rubel, eds.<br>rience-dependent plasticity in adult rate barrel cortex. Proc. Natl. (Amsterdam: Elsevier), p

Egger, V., Feldemeyer, D., and Sakmann, B. (1999). Coincidence<br>detection and efficacy changes in synaptic connections between  $\frac{6}{1}$ , 1–13.<br>spiny stellate neurons of the rat barrel cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 2.<br>spiny stella

Gustafsson, B., Wigstrom, H., Abraham, W.C., and Huang, Y.-Y.<br>
(1987). Long-term potentiation in the hippocampus using depolariz-<br>
ing current pulses as the conditioning stimulus to single volley syn-<br>
aptic potentials. J.

**rosci.** *2***, 1043–1045.**

**Kaas, J. (1991). Plasticity of sensory and motor maps in adult mammals. Annu. Rev. Neurosci.** *14***, 137–167.**

**Kaas, J., Krubitzer, L., Chino, Y., Langston, A., Polley, E., and Blair, N. (1990). Reorganization of retinotopic cortical maps in adult mammals after lesions of the retina. Science** *248***, 229–231.**

**Katz, L., and Shatz, C. (1996). Synaptic activity and the construction of cortical circuits. Science** *274***, 1133–1138.**

**Kempter, R., Gerstner, W., and van Hemmen, J. (1999). Hebbian learning and spiking neurons. Phys. Rev. E** *59***, 4498–4514.**

**Levy, W.B., and Steward, D. (1983). Temporal contiguity requirements for long-term associative potentiation/depression in the hippocampus. Neuroscience** *8***, 791–797.**

**Magee, J.C., and Johnston, D. (1997). A synaptically controlled, associative signal for Hebbian plasticity in hippocampal neurons. Science** *275***, 209–213.**

**Markram, H., Lubke, J., Frotscher, M., and Sakmann, B. (1997). Regulation of synaptic efficacy by coincidence of postsynaptic APs and EPSPs. Science** *275***, 213–215.**

**Merzenich, M., Kaas, J., Wall, J., Nelson, R., Sur, M., and Felleman, D. (1983). Topographic reorganization of somatosensory cortical areas 3b and 1 in adult monkeys following restricted deafferentation. Neuroscience** *8***, 33–55.**

**Merzenich, M., Nelson, R., Stryker, M., Cynader, M., Schoppmann, A., and Zook, J. (1984). Somatosensory map changes following digit amputation in adult monkeys. J. Comp. Neurol.** *224***, 591–605.**

**Miller, K. (1996). Receptive fields and maps in the visual cortex: models of ocular dominance and orientation columns. In Models of Neural Networks, III, E. Domany, J. van Hemmen, and K. Schulten, eds. (New York: Springer-Verlag), pp. 55–78.**

**Crair, M., Gillespie, D., and Stryker, M. (1998). The role of visual Miller, K.D., and MacKay, D.J.C. (1994). The role of constraints in**

**Crowley, J.C., and Katz, L.C. (1999). Development of ocular domi- period plasticity, and adult reorganization of mammalian somato-**

dominance columns. Science 290, 1321-1324. **Buthazer, E., and Stryker, M. (1996).** The role of activity in the devel-**Debanne, D., Gahwiler, B.H., and Thompson, S.M. (1994). Asynchro- opment of long-range horizontal connections in area 17 of the ferret.**

Acad. Sci. USA 90, 2082–2086.<br> **Continuing Continuing Con** 

spiny stellate neurons of the rat barrel cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 2, Trachtenberg, J.T., Trepel, C., and Stryker, M.P. (2000). Rapid extra-<br>1098–1105. The state of thalamocortical plasticity in the absence of thalamocortica

Feldman, D. (2000). Timing-based LTP and LTD at vertical inputs to<br>
layer II/III pyramidal cells in rat barrel cortex. Neuron 27, 45–46.<br>
Glibert, C. (1996). Plasticity in visual perception and physiology.<br>
Curr. Opin. Neu

**rats. J. Neurophysiol.** *<sup>75</sup>***, 1714–1729. Weinberger, N. (1995). Dynamic regulation of receptive fields and Grajski, K., and Merzenich, M. (1990). Hebb-type dynamics is suffi- maps in the adult sensory cortex. Annu. Rev. Neurosci.** *19***, 129–158.** Cient to account for the inverse magnification rule in cortical somato-<br>topy. Neural Comp. 2, 71–84.<br>Gustafsson, B., Wigstrom, H., Abraham, W.C., and Huang, Y.-Y.<br>Custafsson, B., Wigstrom, H., Abraham, W.C., and Huang, Y.-