rapid release of the drug whereas other modalities can have sustained drug delivery. The speakers in this forum will describe the pros and cons of each of the drug delivery systems that are designed to improve bioavailability of cancer chemotherapy to sites of neoplasia. X-ray guided drug delivery exploits the stress response within cancer that occurs during radiotherapy. Low doses of radiation induce DNA strand breaks and oxidative stress within cancer. Stress proteins such as GRP78, TIP1 and Calreticulin are induced and transported to the surface of cancer cells following irradiation. Antibodies and peptide ligands that are specific to these radiation inducible neoantigens are used to coat the surface of nanoparticles and liposomes for guided drug delivery. This strategy of x-ray guided drug delivery is analogous to the use of lasers to tag targets for smart bombs. X-rays are tissue penetrating and therefore tag deep seated cancers for the binding of antibodies and peptide ligands. The discovery platform for this technology identifies inducible antigens that are specific to cancer and not induced in normal tissues. Antigens that are induced in normal tissues are discarded while cancer specific antigens are developed. These targeting moieties are conjugated to drug delivery systems. In contrast, hyperthermia is used to heat a tumor. As liposomes pass through the tumors, the lipids melt and release the drug in that volume. This strategy of thermal regulation of drug delivery has entered Phase III clinical trials in breast cancer to deliver Doxorubicin. The use of magnetic nanoparticles for drug delivery is still in the preclinical stage. Paramagnetic nanoparticles have been targeted to tumors for both drug delivery and to heat tumors. Oscillating magnetic fields cause these nanoparticles to vibrate and heat the tumor to induce hyperthermia. These three forms of image guided drug delivery will be compared and contrasted during the debate of the practicality of bringing these new strategies of drug delivery into clinical trials.
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Using heat to control the release of drugs in cancer
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SYMPOSIUM: CLINICAL EXPERIENCE AND CURRENT EVIDENCE (INCL. PLANNING STUDIES) FOR PROTON THERAPY

SP-0540
The level of evidence for proton therapy
M. Pilis-Johannesa
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ProCure Headquarters, New York, USA
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SP-0542
Clinical experience and evidence for proton therapy of paediatric cancer patients
B. Timmermann1
1West German Proton Therapy Center Essen (WPE) gGmbH, University Hospital, Essen, Germany

Proton beam therapy seems to offer significant advantages over conventional techniques especially for the pediatric cohort and the number of children being treated with proton therapy for solid tumors is increasing rapidly throughout the world. There have been multiple dosimetric studies clearly demonstrating that protons decrease the irradiated volume and therefore the dose to the developing normal tissues compared with photon techniques while showing excellent outcome in the pediatric population. As in paediatric malignancies survival rates have increased considerably, from 0-20% until the 50ies up to about 80% today, quality of life (QoL) and late sequelae have become a major concern in pediatric cancer survivors. Therefore, proton therapy was understood as a tool potentially reducing the risk for secondary malignancy induction as well as for late effects. As children are particularly sensitive to radiation injury, they seem to be the cohort taking the greatest potential benefit from sparing dose to normal tissue. Today, local treatment with proton beam in CNS tumors or sarcomas is a common choice to be offered to the pediatric cohort in Europe and in US whenever available. It is suggested from early reports, that secondary cancer incidence may be reduced by 50% when using proton therapy. Additional early data was published on neurocognitive functioning and quality of life, both suggesting favourable outcome after proton beam therapy. Still, prospective data are limited, cohorts are small and observation times not sufficient, especially when looking at very young children being treated with proton beam therapy.

In conclusion, proton beam therapy is a promising tool to explore particularly in the pediatric cohort to reduce the risk for late effects and secondary malignancies; however, due to limited availability up to now, clinical experience of proton therapy in childhood cancer is still limited. Therefore, all pediatric programs should be accompanied by prospective evaluations of late effects and QoL to gather more information on optimal use of proton therapy. Due to small number of patients and ethical considerations, randomized data will be hardly available even on the long term in children. Still, more clinical data will be emerging to quantify the clinical benefit of proton beam therapy with regard to a decrease in late effects while maintaining excellent cancer control rates.
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Patient-specific in vitro measurements of SF2 and Tpot - how well do they predict the tumour control probability?
M. Hedman1, T. Björk-Eriksson2, O. Brodin3, I. Toma-Dasu4
1Karolinska Institutet, Department of Oncology and Pathology, Stockholm, Sweden
2Skåne University Hospital and Lund University, Department of Oncology, Lund, Sweden
3Karolinska Institutet, Medical Radiation Physics, Stockholm, Sweden

Purpose/Objective: The aim of this study is to investigate the predictive value of the modelled tumour control probability (TCP) based on BED calculations using individual measurements of in vitro radiosensitivity (SF2) and potential doubling time (Tpot) for head and neck (HN) cancer patients versus literature-based average radiobiological parameters.

Materials and Methods: Tumour radiosensitivity, measured in vitro on primary biopsies and expressed as surviving fraction of cells following an acute exposure of 2 Gy (SF2), Tpot and tumour size were determined for 46 HtH cancer patients. All patients were treated with external beam radiotherapy and 28 patients also received brachytherapy. For each patient TCP was calculated using a Poisson-LQ model based either on the patient-specific radiobiological parameters or literature-based average radiobiological parameters (α=0.3 Gy-1 and Tpot=3 days). The predicted TCP values for the two sets of parameters were compared with the actual outcome for the patients in terms of local control.

Results: The average radiobiological parameters lead to a large understimation of TCP as the predicted TCP was below 10% for the majority of the patients that actually presented local control. When tumour specific parameters were used, the majority of the patients with local control had a predicted TCP larger than 90%. A Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was also performed for assessing the predictive values of the two methods for calculating the TCP. The corresponding ROC curves are shown in Figure 1.
The dashed line corresponding to the TCP calculation based on average \( \alpha \) and \( T_{pot} \) shows that the method has no power of discrimination with an area under the curve (AUC) of about 0.5. On the other hand, the ROC curve obtained based on patient specific \( \alpha \) and \( T_{pot} \) has an AUC of 0.7 and therefore this method does have an ability to distinguish between the two groups of patients presenting or not local control. The optimal threshold for TCP determined based on ROC analysis is 93% corresponding to a sensitivity of 66% and a specificity of 80%.

Conclusions: This study shows that individual radiobiological parameters used for modeling TCP are better predictors of the radiation treatment outcome in individuals than literature-based average parameters.

**OC-0544**

Dose distributions optimized to microscopic disease probability distribution in breast conserving therapy

W. Chen1, J. Stroom2, K. Gihuji3, H. Bartelink1, J.J. Sonke1

1The Netherlands Cancer Institute - Antoni van Leeuwenhoek Hospital, Radiotherapy, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
2Champalimaud Foundation, Radiotherapy, Lisboa, Portugal
3University Medical Centre Utrecht, Radiology, Utrecht, The Netherlands

**Purpose/Objective:** Residual microscopic disease (RMD) is considered to be the major cause of local recurrence in breast conserving therapy. Little knowledge, however, is available on how to optimally take the presence of RMD into consideration during radiotherapy. Traditionally, the whole breast is irradiated with/without a boost to the surgical bed. The purpose of this study was to optimize dose distributions to microscopic disease probability distribution obtained from detailed histopathology analyses.

**Materials and Methods:** Population statistics of RMD were derived from 1818 histopathology slides of 60 breast-cancer patients. The absolute quantity was fitted to a Poisson model and the relative ratio from 1818 histopathology slides of 60 breast-cancer patients. The mean voxel \( \text{PO}_2 \) might be provided by incorporating supplementary information from a second static FMISO PET scan performed instantly after tracer injection appears to be suitable to estimate the vital hypoxic fraction (vHF) and the mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) were chosen. For FMISO the PET voxel signal four hours after tracer injection (F4h) and FH/P. The correlation was found between F4h and mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) (R2=0.22). A high nonlinear correlation was found between \( F_{4h} \) and mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) (R2=0.99). The ROC analysis showed that tumor regions with a mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) below 2.5 mmHg corresponds to a sensitivity of 68% and a specificity of 87%. Both parameters are moderately effective in identifying critical \( \text{PO}_2 \) levels on the microscopic length-scale (Y1=0.63 and Y1=0.60).

**Results:** In hypoxic tissue \( F_{4h} \) is well correlated with \( \text{vHF} \) (R2=0.90), while the correlation with mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) is low (R2=0.22). A high nonlinear correlation was found between \( F_{4h} \) and mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) (R2=0.99). The ROC analysis showed that tumor regions with a mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) below 2.5 mmHg can be identified with high sensitivity and specificity by applying an appropriate threshold to \( F_{4h} \) (Y1=0.68) and mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) (Y1=0.63) and F4h.(Figure 2-2).

**Conclusions:** Using a detailed microscopic disease distribution from histopathology analyses, the radiation treatment on the operated breast can be further optimized. The proposed dose distribution keeps the TCPs equivalent to a uniform dose distribution of 50 Gy with and without 16 Gy at tumour bed (uniform 50 Gy with/without 16 Gy at tumour bed) and the fifth cycle of the study showed that tumor regions with a mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) below 2.5 mmHg can be identified with high sensitivity and specificity by applying an appropriate threshold to \( F_{4h} \) (Y1=0.68) and mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) (Y1=0.63) and F4h. A surrogate measure for the mean \( \text{PO}_2 \) might be provided by incorporating supplementary information from a second static FMISO PET scan performed instantly after tracer administration. Clinical investigations have to show which parameter is better suited for predicting radiotherapy outcome and, in a further step, might be used to prescribe a hypoxia-specific dose.