

# MV-Observables and MV-Algebras

Anatolij Dvurečenskij

*Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences Štefánikova 49*

metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

*Submitted by A. Di Nola*

Received January 19, 2000

We introduce MV-observables, an analogue of observables for MV-algebras, as  $\sigma$ -homomorphisms from the Borel tribe generated by the Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}$  and constant functions from  $[0, 1]$  into an MV-algebra  $M$ . We show that it is possible to define such observables only for weakly divisible MV-algebras. We present a representation as well as a so-called calculus of MV-observables, which enables us to construct, e.g., the sum or product of MV-observables. © 2001 Academic Press

*Key Words:* MV-algebra; MV-observable; weakly divisible MV-algebra;  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra; joint MV-observable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the classical Kolmogorov probability model [10], a random variable on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, P)$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ , is any mapping  $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e.,  $\xi^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{S}$  for any Borel set  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ . The measurability means that the mapping  $x : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  defined by  $x(E) = \xi^{-1}(E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , defines a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $\mathcal{S}$ . Conversely, it is well known [9] that any  $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $x$  from  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $\mathcal{S}$  is determined by a unique random variable  $\xi$  via  $x = \xi^{-1}$ .

This approach is adopted in frames of quantum logic, a generalized probability structure which appears with foundations of quantum mechanics and where the classical Kolmogorov scheme can fail [15], where observables are analogues of random variables. In general, in Hilbert space quantum mechanics, observables due to spectral theorem correspond to Hermitian or, more general, to self-adjoint operators.

In both classical and non-classical probability models, the sum of two observables  $x$  and  $y$  can be defined as  $x + y := (\xi + \eta)^{-1}$ , where  $\xi$  and  $\eta$

are random variables corresponding to  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. This is a usual way which enables us to construct a so-called calculus of observables.

In the last years, MV-algebras, introduced by Chang [2], also entered the theory of quantum structures, see, e.g., [7], due to their algebraic and fuzzy set ideas. Therefore, it seems to be reasonable to develop a theory of observables for MV-algebras, and in the present paper we introduce their analogue, MV-observables. We show that such observables can be defined only for weakly divisible MV-algebras. Using the Loomis–Sikorski theorem for  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebras [5, 13], we present the representation for MV-algebras and develop a so-called calculus for MV-algebras which will enable us to construct the sum or product of MV-observables.

## 2. TRIBES AND MV-OBSERVABLES

MV-algebras are many-valued analogues of a two-valued logic, and they were introduced by Chang [2]. We recall that according to Mundici [12], or [4], they can be characterized as follows. An MV-algebra is a non-empty set  $M$  with two special elements 0 and 1 ( $0 \neq 1$ ), with a binary operation  $\oplus : M \times M \rightarrow M$  and with a unary operation  $*$  :  $M \rightarrow M$  such that, for all  $a, b, c \in M$ , we have

$$(MV_i) \quad a \oplus b = b \oplus a \text{ (commutativity);}$$

$$(MV_{ii}) \quad (a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c) \text{ (associativity);}$$

$$(MV_{iii}) \quad a \oplus 0 = a;$$

$$(MV_{iv}) \quad a \oplus 1 = 1;$$

$$(MV_v) \quad (a^*)^* = a;$$

$$(MV_{vi}) \quad a \oplus a^* = 1;$$

$$(MV_{vii}) \quad 0^* = 1;$$

$$(MV_{viii}) \quad (a^* \oplus b)^* \oplus b = (a \oplus b^*)^* \oplus a.$$

We define binary operations  $\odot, \vee, \wedge$  as

$$a \odot b := (a^* \oplus b^*)^*, \quad a, b \in M,$$

$$a \vee b := (a^* \oplus b)^* \oplus b, \quad a \wedge b := (a^* \vee b^*)^*, \quad a, b \in M.$$

If, for  $a, b \in M$ , we define

$$a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a = a \wedge b,$$

then  $\leq$  is a partial order on  $M$ , and  $(M; \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  is a distributive lattice with the least and greatest elements 0 and 1, respectively [2]. We recall that  $a \leq b$  iff  $b \oplus a^* = 1$ . An MV-algebra  $M$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -complete if  $M$  is in addition a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice.

A non-void subset  $I$  of  $M$  is said to be an *ideal* of  $M$  if

- (i)  $x, y \in I$  imply  $x \oplus y \in I$ ,
- (ii)  $x \in I, y \leq x$  imply  $y \in I$ .

A proper ideal  $A$  of  $M$  is said to be *maximal* if there is no proper ideal of  $M$  containing  $A$  as a proper subset. Let  $\mathcal{M}(M)$  denote the set of all maximal ideals of  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}(M) \neq \emptyset$ . Denote by

$$\text{Rad}(M) := \bigcap \{A : A \in \mathcal{M}(M)\},$$

and we call  $\text{Rad}(M)$  the *radical* of  $M$ . An MV-algebra  $M$  is said to be *semisimple* if  $\text{Rad}(M) = \{0\}$ . We recall that any  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra is semisimple.

A *state* on an MV-algebra  $M$  is a mapping  $m : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $m(1) = 1$ , and  $m(a \oplus b) = m(a) + m(b)$  whenever  $a \leq b^*$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  the set of all states on  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  is a convex non-empty set. We denote by  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  the set of extremal points of  $\mathcal{S}(M)$ . In addition,  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  is a Hausdorff compact topological space in the weak topology of states, i.e., a net  $\{m_\alpha\}$  converges weakly to  $m$  iff  $m_\alpha(a) \rightarrow m(a)$  for each  $a \in M$ .

According to Belluce [1], we say that a subset  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [0, 1]^\Omega$ , where  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , is a *Bold algebra* if

- (i)  $0_\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (ii)  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  entails  $1_\Omega - f \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (iii)  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$  imply  $f \oplus g \in \mathcal{F}$ , where

$$(f \oplus g)(\omega) = \min\{f(\omega) + g(\omega), 1\}, \quad \omega \in \Omega. \quad (2.1)$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\oplus$  defined by (2.1), with  $f^* := 1_\Omega - f$  and with  $0_\Omega$  and  $1_\Omega$  is an MV-algebra which is semisimple. Conversely, any semisimple MV-algebra is MV-isomorphic to some Bold algebra.

The following notion is a direct generalization of a  $\sigma$ -algebra of crisp subsets.

A *tribe* is a non-void system  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [0, 1]^\Omega$  of fuzzy sets on a set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  such that

- (i)  $1_\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (ii) if  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $1 - a \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (iii) if  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then

$$\min \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n, 1 \right\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

(We note that all above operations with fuzzy sets are defined pointwisely on  $\Omega$ .)

By [14, Proposition 3.13], if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a tribe and if  $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$ , then (i)  $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , (ii)  $b - a \in \mathcal{F}$  if  $a \leq b$ , i.e., if  $a(\omega) \leq b(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , (iii) if  $a_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $a_n \nearrow a$  (pointwisely), then  $a = \lim_n a_n \in \mathcal{F}$ . It is simple to verify that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Bold algebra and a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra of fuzzy sets, where the partial order is determined by the set-theoretical ordering, with the least and greatest elements  $0_\Omega$  and  $1_\Omega$ , respectively.

Denote by

$$\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}) := \{A \subseteq \Omega : \chi_A \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

The following result can be found, e.g., in [14, Theorem 8.1.4]:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a tribe. Then*

- (1)  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of crisp subsets of  $\Omega$ .
- (2) If  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable.
- (3)  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable fuzzy functions on  $\Omega$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all constant functions with values in  $[0, 1]$ .

It is evident that given a family  $T$  of fuzzy subsets of  $\Omega$  there exists a tribe generated by  $T$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  be the algebra of Borel subsets of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$  the tribe generated by  $\{\chi_E : E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$  and by all constant fuzzy sets taking values in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . We called it the *Borel tribe*, and the elements of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$  *Borel fuzzy subsets* of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In the same manner we define  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and Borel fuzzy subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The tribe  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of Borel fuzzy subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consists of all Borel measurable fuzzy sets on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* It is evident that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , and according to (3) of Proposition 2.1,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consists of all  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ -measurable fuzzy sets on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of all elements from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which are Borel measurable. It is clear that the characteristic functions of all Borel sets and all constant functions from  $[0, 1]$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and in addition,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a tribe, consequently  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . ■

An MV-observable on a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$  is any MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $x$  from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $M$ . It is clear that the range,  $R(x)$ , of an MV-observable  $x$  is an MV-subalgebra of  $M$ . In addition, if  $a$  is a crisp element in  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $x(a)$  is a Boolean element in  $M$ , i.e.,  $x(a) \oplus x(a) = x(a)$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a tribe of fuzzy sets on a crisp set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  containing all constant fuzzy sets on  $\Omega$ , and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable function. Then the mapping  $x_f$  defined by*

$$x_f(a) := a \circ f, \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (2.2)$$

*is an MV-observable on the tribe  $\mathcal{F}$ . Conversely, let  $x$  be an MV-observable on the tribe  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists a unique real-valued function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  which is  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable such that  $x = x_f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ; by assumptions we have  $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ , which entails  $x_f(\chi_E) = \chi_E \circ f = \chi_{f^{-1}(E)} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Similarly,  $c \circ f \in \mathcal{F}$  for any constant  $c \in [0, 1]$ . Since the set of all Borel fuzzy sets  $a$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $a \circ f \in \mathcal{F}$  is a tribe containing the generators of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $x_f$  is a well-defined MV-observable on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Conversely, let  $x$  be an MV-observable on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $x$  maps all crisp sets from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$  onto crisp sets from  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the set of all rational numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  be any enumeration of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We set  $\chi_{A_i} = x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_i)})$  for any  $i \geq 1$ . We define a mapping  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$f(\omega) = \inf\{r_j : \omega \in A_j\}.$$

Then  $f$  is a well-defined function such that  $x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_j)}) = \chi_{f^{-1}(A_j)}$ , and  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable. In addition,  $x(\chi_E) = \chi_{f^{-1}(E)}$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $1_{\Omega} = x(1_{\mathbb{R}}) = x(n \frac{1}{n}) = x(\frac{1}{n} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{1}{n}) = nx(\frac{1}{n})$  which proves that  $x(\frac{1}{n}) = \frac{1}{n}$ . Consequently,  $x(\frac{m}{n}) = \frac{m}{n}$  for any  $0 \leq m \leq n$ . Using the density of rational numbers, we have that  $x(c) = c$  for any constant  $c \in [0, 1]$ . Hence, the set of all  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $x(a) = x_f(a)$  is a tribe containing the generator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ ; consequently,  $x(a) = x_f(a)$  for any  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

The uniqueness of  $f$  can be proved as follows. Let  $g$  be a real-valued function on  $\Omega$  such that  $x_f = x_g$ . Then  $\{\omega : f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} (\{\omega : f(\omega) < r < g(\omega)\} \cup \{\omega : g(\omega) < r < f(\omega)\}) = \emptyset$ . ■

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $x$  be an MV-observable on a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then the range  $R(x)$  of  $x$  is a weakly divisible  $\sigma$ -complete MV-subalgebra of  $M$  with a countable generator. Conversely, if  $M_1$  is a weakly divisible  $\sigma$ -complete MV-subalgebra of  $M$  with a countable generator, then there exists an MV-observable  $x$  on  $M$  such that  $M_1 = R(x)$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $R(x)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-subalgebra of  $M$  with the countable generator  $\{x(s\chi_{(-\infty, r)}) : r \in \mathbb{R}, s \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\}$ .

Suppose conversely that  $M_1$  is a weakly divisible  $\sigma$ -complete MV-subalgebra of  $M$ . By the Loomis–Sikorski theorem for  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebras [5, 13], there exists a tribe  $\mathcal{F}$  of fuzzy sets on a non-void subset  $\Omega$  and

there exists an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $h$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto  $M_1$ . Let  $\{a_n : n \geq 1\}$  be a generator of  $M_1$ , and let  $\{b_n : n \geq 1\}$  be the set of such elements from  $\mathcal{F}$  that  $h(b_n) = a_n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_0$  the smallest tribe containing all  $b_n$ ; it contains all constants. The function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  defined by

$$f(\omega) := (b_1(\omega), b_2(\omega), \dots)$$

is an  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}_0)$ -measurable mapping from  $\Omega$  into the compact metric space  $Y = [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We remark that  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}_0)$  is equal to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{b_i^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1]), i \geq 1\}$ . In addition, for any  $E \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  we have  $f^{-1}(\pi_i^{-1}(E)) = b_i^{-1}(E)$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the  $i$ th projection from  $Y$  onto  $[0, 1]$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}_0) = \{f^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{B}(Y)\}$ . Now, by a classical theorem of Kuratowski [11], there exists a Borel isomorphism  $d$  of  $Y$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ , so that the function  $f_1(\omega) := d(f(\omega))$  is  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}_0)$ -measurable, and  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F}_0) = \{f_1^{-1}(E) : E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$ . If we now define a mapping  $x$  by

$$x(a) := h(a \circ f_1), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}),$$

then  $x$  is an MV-observable on  $M$  whose range is  $M_1$ . ■

An element  $a \in M$  is said to be *Boolean* or *idempotent* if  $a \vee a^* = 1$ . It is possible to show that  $a$  is Boolean iff  $a \oplus a = a$  iff  $a \odot a = a$  iff  $a \odot a^* = 0$ . Denote by  $B(M)$  the set of all Boolean elements of  $M$ . It is easy to verify that (i)  $0, 1 \in B(M)$ ; (ii)  $\bigvee_i a_i \in B(M)$  whenever  $a_i \in B(M)$  for any  $i$ ; (iii)  $B(M)$  is a Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra whenever  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -complete.

We now present a finer version of the Loomis–Sikorski theorem for  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebras.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra. Then there exists a tribe  $\mathcal{F}$  of fuzzy sets on a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  and an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $h$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto  $M$  such that  $h$  maps  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  onto  $B(M)$ .*

*Proof.* We define  $\Omega := \text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  and let  $\hat{M} = \{\hat{a} \in [0, 1]^{\Omega} : a \in M\}$ , where  $\hat{a}(m) := m(a)$ ,  $m \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$ . According to the proof of the Loomis–Sikorski theorem, if we define  $\mathcal{F}$  as the tribe of fuzzy subsets of  $\Omega$  generated by  $\hat{M}$ , a mapping  $h : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$  can be defined by  $h(f) = a$  for  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $a \in M$ , whenever  $\{m \in \Omega : f(m) \neq m(a)\}$  is a meager set,  $h$  is a well-defined MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto  $M$  (see the proof of [5, Theorem 4.10]). It is evident that an element  $a$  of a semisimple MV-algebra  $M$  is Boolean iff  $\hat{a}$  is a two-valued function on  $\Omega$ , so that  $\hat{a} = \chi_A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ , and in addition,  $A$  is a clopen subset of  $\Omega$ . We define by  $\mathcal{S}_0$  the set of  $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  all such that  $\chi_A = \hat{a}$  for some  $a \in M$ .

According to [8, Theorem 8.14], the space  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  is homeomorphic with the set  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(B(M)))$  of all extremal states on the Boolean  $\sigma$ -algebra  $B(M)$ . In addition, any restriction of  $m \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  to  $B(M)$  gives

an element of  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(B(M)))$ , and conversely, any element of  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(B(M)))$  can be uniquely extended to an extremal state on  $M$ , and this correspondence defines the mentioned homeomorphism.

Consequently, by the proof of the classical Loomis–Sikorski theorem,  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of crisp subsets of  $\Omega$ , and due to the definition of  $h$ , its restriction onto  $\mathcal{S}_0$  defines a  $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{S}_0$  onto  $B(M)$ .

It is evident that  $\mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ . On the other hand,  $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  iff  $\chi_A \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $h(\chi_A) = a$  for some  $a \in M$ . Since  $\chi_A$  is an Boolean element of  $\mathcal{F}$ , so is  $a$  in  $M$ . Consequently,  $\hat{a} = \chi_A$  and  $A \in \mathcal{S}_0$ . ■

Let  $M$  be a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra. The triplet  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, h)$ , where  $\Omega = \text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the tribe, and  $h$  is the MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto  $M$  described in the proof of Theorem 2.5, is said to be a *canonical representation* of  $M$ .

Given an integer  $n \geq 1$  and  $a \in M$ , we define

$$n \odot a := a_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus a_n,$$

where  $a_i = a$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Another version of the Loomis–Sikorski theorem is the following statement.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a tribe of fuzzy sets on  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  containing all constant fuzzy sets on  $\Omega$ . Let  $h$  be an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then  $h$  maps  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  onto  $B(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in B(M)$ . Then there exists an element  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $h(g) = a$ . According to (3) of Proposition 2.1, there are two cases.

*Case 1.* Let  $g = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$ , where  $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ , and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ . There exists an integer  $s$  such that  $s\alpha_j \geq 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $s \odot g = \chi_A$ , where  $A = \bigcup_{j=1}^k A_j$ . Hence,  $h(\chi_A) = h(s \odot g) = s \odot a = a$ .

*Case 2.* There exists a non-decreasing sequence of simple functions  $\{g_n\}_n$  of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\lim_n g_n = g$ . Let  $g_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \alpha_i^n \chi_{A_i^n}$ , where  $\alpha_i^n \in [0, 1]$  and  $A_i^n \cap A_j^n = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . We define  $A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} A_i^n$  and  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . As in Case 1, there exists an integer  $s \geq 1$  such that  $s\alpha_i^1 \geq 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k_1$ . Hence,  $s\alpha_i^n \geq 1$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, k_n$  and any  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $s \odot g_n \leq s \odot g_{n+1} \leq s \odot g$ , i.e.,  $\chi_{A_n} \leq \chi_{A_{n+1}} \leq s \odot g$ , which entails  $\chi_{A_n} \nearrow s \odot g$  and  $h(\chi_A) = h(\lim_n \chi_{A_n}) = h(\lim_n s \odot g_n) = h(s \odot g) = s \odot a = a$ . ■

Let  $c \in [0, 1]$ . An element  $a$  in a semisimple MV-algebra  $M$  is said to be a *c-constant* if  $m(a) = c$  for any state  $m \in M$ . If  $M$  is a tribe, then the *c-constant* is equal to  $c1_\Omega$ .

According to [6], we say that a semisimple MV-algebra  $M$  is *weakly divisible* if, for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , there is an element  $v \in M$  such that  $nv$  is defined in  $M$  and  $nv = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $v$  is uniquely determined. Indeed,  $m(nv) = 1$  for any state  $m$  on  $M$ . Then  $m(v) = 1/n$ . We denote  $v = \frac{1}{n}$ .

For example,  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is weakly divisible. In addition, if  $M$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete, weakly divisible MV-algebra, then any  $c$ -constant, where  $c \in [0, 1]$ , belongs to  $M$ .

In the proof of [6, Theorem 3.10], it was shown that a weakly divisible  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$  is isomorphic as MV-algebras with the space  $C(\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M)))$  of all continuous functions on the basically disconnected space<sup>1</sup>  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  with values in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . In this case, the tribe generated by  $C(\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M)))$  is equal to the set of all Baire measurable fuzzy sets on  $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$  [5, Proposition 3.4].

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *Let  $h$  be an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from a tribe  $\mathcal{F}$  of fuzzy sets on  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  containing all constant fuzzy sets into a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then  $M$  is weakly divisible, and  $h(c1_{\Omega})$  is a  $c$ -constant for any  $c \in [0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 1$  be given. Then  $1 = h(1_{\Omega}) = h(n\frac{1}{n}1_{\Omega})$ , which proves that  $M$  is weakly divisible. Hence,  $h(\frac{m}{n}1_{\Omega})$  is an  $\frac{m}{n}$ -constant in  $M$ . Consequently,  $h(c1_{\Omega})$  is a  $c$ -constant for any  $c \in [0, 1]$ . ■

The following statement is an easy consequence of the above proposition.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $x$  be an MV-observable of a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then  $M$  is weakly divisible, and  $x(c)$  is a  $c$ -constant for any  $c \in [0, 1]$ .*

For example, let  $L_n := \{0, 1/n, 2/n, \dots, n/n\}$  be the so-called basic MV-algebra. If  $n \geq 2$ , then on  $L_n$  there exists no MV-observable. Similarly, since any finite MV-algebra  $M$  is a direct product of finitely many basic MV-algebras [3], if  $M$  is a finite non-Boolean MV-algebra, then it does not admit any MV-observable.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a tribe of fuzzy sets on a non-void set  $\Omega$  containing all constant fuzzy sets and let  $h$  be an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}$  onto a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then, for any MV-observable  $x$  on  $M$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable real-valued function  $f$  defined on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$x(a) = h(a \circ f), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (2.3)$$

<sup>1</sup>A topological space  $X$  is said to be *basically disconnected* provided the closure of every open  $F_{\sigma}$  subset of  $X$  is open.



If  $g$  is any  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable real-valued function defined on  $\Omega$  such that  $x(a) = h(a \circ g)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $h(\chi_E) = 0$ , where  $E = \{\omega : f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\}$ .

Conversely, if  $f$  is a real-valued  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable mapping on  $\Omega$ , then the mapping  $x_f$  defined by

$$x_f(a) := h(a \circ f), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}), \tag{2.4}$$

defines an MV-observable on  $M$ .

*Proof.* Step 1. Suppose that  $A, B \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ , and  $c \in B(M)$  are such that  $h(\chi_A) \leq c \leq h(\chi_B)$ . Then there exists an element  $C \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ , and  $h(\chi_C) = c$ . In fact, since  $h$  maps  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  onto  $B(M)$ , there exists  $C_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $h(C_1) = c$ . If we define  $C = (C_1 \cap B) \cup A$ , then  $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$  and  $h(C) = c$ .

Step 2. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, let  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  be any enumeration of the rational numbers of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is clear that  $x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_i)}) \leq x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_j)})$  whenever  $r_i < r_j$  and any  $x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_i)})$  is a Boolean element of  $M$ . We shall now construct crisp sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  from  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  such that (a)  $h(\chi_{A_i}) = x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_i)})$  for any  $i$ ; (b)  $A_i \subseteq A_j$  whenever  $r_i < r_j$ . According to Theorem 2.6, we can find a set  $A_1$  in  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $h(A_1) = x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_1)})$ . Suppose that  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  in  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  have been constructed such that (i)  $h(\chi_{A_i}) = x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_i)})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ; (ii)  $A_i \subseteq A_j$  whenever  $r_i < r_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j, \leq n$ . We shall construct  $A_{n+1}$  as follows. Let  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  be the permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$  such that  $r_{i_1} < r_{i_2} < \dots < r_{i_n}$ . Then there exists a unique  $k$  such that  $r_{i_k} < r_{n+1} < r_{i_{k+1}}$  (we define  $r_{i_0} = -\infty$  and  $r_{i_{n+1}} = \infty$ ), and by Step 1, we can select  $A_{n+1} \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  such that  $A_{i_k} \subseteq A_{n+1} \subseteq A_{i_{k+1}}$  (we define  $A_{i_0} = \emptyset$  and  $A_{i_{n+1}} = \Omega$ ). By induction, we have proved that there exists a sequence  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  in  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$  with the properties (a) and (b).

As

$$h(\chi_{\cap_j A_j}) = \bigwedge_j h(\chi_{A_j}) = \bigwedge_j x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_j)}) = 0,$$

we may, by replacing  $A_k$  by  $A_k \setminus \cap_j A_j$ , if necessary, assume that  $\cap_j A_j = \emptyset$ . In addition, we have  $h(\chi_{\cup_j A_j}) = \bigvee_j x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_j)}) = 1$ .

We now define a function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  as

$$f(\omega) = \begin{cases} \inf\{r_j : \omega \in A_j\} & \text{if } \omega \in \cup_j A_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f$  is a finite real-valued function on  $\Omega$ , and, for any  $k$

$$f^{-1}((-\infty, r_k)) \cap \bigcup_j A_j = \bigcup_{j: r_j < r_k} A_j,$$

so that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ -measurable. Further,

$$f(\chi_{f^{-1}((-\infty, r_k))}) = h(\chi_{\cup_{j:r_j < r_k} A_j}) = \bigvee_{j:r_j < r_k} x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_j)}) = x(\chi_{(-\infty, r_k)}).$$

Therefore,  $h(\chi_E \circ f) = x(\chi_E)$  whenever  $E = (-\infty, r_k)$  for some  $k$ . Similarly, by Proposition 2.7,  $h(c \circ f) = h(c1_\Omega) = x(c)$  for any  $c \in [0, 1]$ . Hence, the system of all  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $x(a) = h(a \circ f)$  is a tribe containing the generator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ , consequently, it coincides with  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

The uniqueness of a function  $f$  in the given sense can be proved in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

The second statement is now evident. ■

It is worth recalling that according to Theorem 2.9, a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$  admits an MV-observable iff  $M$  is weakly divisible:

**THEOREM 2.10.** *A  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$  admits an MV-observable if and only if  $M$  is weakly divisible.*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be an MV-observable of  $M$ . Due to Corollary 2.8,  $M$  is weakly divisible.

Suppose now  $M$  is a weakly divisible  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra. By [6, Theorem 3.10],  $M$  is isomorphic to the system  $C(\Omega)$  of all continuous fuzzy sets on  $\Omega := \text{Ext}(\mathcal{S}(M))$ . The tribe  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  generated by  $C(\Omega)$  is equal to the system of all Baire measurable functions on  $\Omega$  [6, Proposition 3.4]. In addition,  $\mathcal{S}(M)$  contains all constant functions taking values in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . Take now a Baire function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and define  $x_f$  via (2.4). According to Theorem 2.9,  $x_f$  is an MV-observable on  $M$ , where  $h$  is defined in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. ■

### 3. CALCULUS OF OBSERVABLES

Let  $f$  be a Borel measurable function from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f \circ x$ , where  $x$  is an MV-observable of  $M$ ,

$$(f \circ x)(a) := x(a \circ f), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}),$$

and  $a \circ f(\omega) := a(f(\omega))$ ,  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ , is an MV-observable of  $M$ . In this manner we can define  $x^2$ ,  $x^3$ , or  $e^x$ , etc.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two MV-observables of a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then  $R(x) \subseteq R(y)$  if and only if there exists a Borel measurable function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x = f \circ y$ .*

*Proof.* One direction is evident. Suppose now that  $R(x) \subseteq R(y)$ . We define  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $h = y$ ,  $M = R(y)$ , and apply Theorem 2.9. ■

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of MV-observables on a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then there exists an MV-observable  $x$  and a sequence of Borel measurable functions  $\{f_n\}$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_n = f_n \circ x$  for any  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M_0$  be the MV-subalgebra of  $M$  generated by  $\bigcup_n R(x_n)$ . According to Theorem 2.4, there exists an MV-observable  $x$  such that  $R(x) = M_0$ . Then we apply Theorem 3.1 and we obtain the statement in question. ■

The following result can be of a particular interest for many valued analysis and for a so-called calculus of MV-observables.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be MV-observables of a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Then there exists a unique MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $x$  from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $M$  such that, for any Borel fuzzy set  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $x_i(a) = x(a \circ \pi_i)$ , where  $\pi_i$  is the  $i$ th projection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M_0$  be the MV-subalgebra of  $M$  generated by all ranges  $R(x_1), \dots, R(x_n)$ . Since  $M_0$  has a countable generator, according to Theorem 2.4, there exists an MV-observable  $y$  of  $M$  such that  $R(y) = M_0$ . Consequently, by Theorem 2.9, there exist Borel measurable functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_i(a) = (f_i \circ y)(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define the Borel measurable mapping  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by  $f(t) := (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and set  $x: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow M$  via

$$x(b) := y(b \circ f), b \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{3.1}$$

Then  $x$  is an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $M$ . Moreover, let  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$x(a \circ \pi_i) = y(a \circ \pi_i \circ f) = y(a \circ f_i) = x_i(a).$$

For the uniqueness, let  $z$  be any MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $M$  such that  $z(a \circ \pi_i) = x_i(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, for  $E_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} z(\chi_{E_1 \times \dots \times E_n}) &= z\left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\chi_{E_i} \circ \pi_i)\right) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n z(\chi_{E_i} \circ \pi_i) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n x(\chi_{E_i} \circ \pi_i) \\ &= x\left(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\chi_{E_i} \circ \pi_i)\right) = x(\chi_{E_1 \times \dots \times E_n}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let  $c \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $x(c\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}) = x(c\chi_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \pi_i) = x_i(c\chi_{\mathbb{R}}) = z(c\chi_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \pi_i) = z(c\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ .

Hence the set of all Borel fuzzy sets  $b$  from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $x(b) = z(b)$  is a tribe containing the generator of  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so that  $x = z$ . ■

The unique MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism  $x$  from Theorem 3.3 is said to be a *joint MV-observable* of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $x$  be a joint MV-observable of the MV-observables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Let  $y$  be an MV-observable of  $M$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  let be Borel measurable functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $x_i = f_i \circ y$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel function. Define  $\phi \circ x: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M$  and  $\phi(f_1, \dots, f_n) \circ y: \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M$ , where  $\phi(f_1, \dots, f_n)(t) := \phi(f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , by*

$$(\phi \circ x)(a) := x(a \circ \phi), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$(\phi(f_1, \dots, f_n) \circ y)(a) := y(a \circ \phi(f_1, \dots, f_n)), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.3)$$

Then  $\phi \circ x$  and  $\phi(f_1, \dots, f_n) \circ y$  are identical MV-observables of  $M$ .

*Proof.* According to the proof of Theorem 2.9 and Theorems 3.1–3.2, it is evident that the construction of the joint MV-observable  $x$  of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  does not depend on the used MV-observable  $y$  and Borel functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ . It is clear that  $\phi \circ x$  and  $\phi(f_1, \dots, f_n) \circ y$  are MV-observables of  $M$ . Consequently, by (3.1), for any  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \circ x)(a) &= x(a \circ \phi) = y(a \circ \phi \circ f) \\ &= y(a \circ \phi(f_1, \dots, f_n)) \\ &= (\phi(f_1, \dots, f_n) \circ y)(a), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(t) = (f_1(t), \dots, f_n(t))$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . ■

The MV-observable  $\phi \circ x$  from Theorem 3.4 will be denoted by  $\phi \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , i.e., as a function of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We have seen that it can be defined by equivalent ways by (3.2) or by (3.3).

For example, if  $\phi(t_1, t_2) = t_1 + t_2$ , we can define addition of two MV-observables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  by

$$x_1 + x_2 := \phi \circ (x_1, x_2). \quad (3.4)$$

In a similar way we can define product, difference, etc., of MV-observables.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be MV-observables of a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ ,  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  be real-valued Borel functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $h$  be a real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $y_i = g_i \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , are MV-observables of  $M$ , and*

$$h \circ (y_1, \dots, y_k) = (h(g_1, \dots, g_k)) \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $h(g_1, \dots, g_k)$  is the function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto h(g_1(t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, g_k(t_1, \dots, t_n))$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $y_i = g_i \circ (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and let  $g$  be the mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$  defined by  $g(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (g_1(t_1, \dots, t_n), \dots, g_k(t_1, \dots, t_n))$ . Then the mapping  $y : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow M$  given by

$$y(b) := x(b \circ g), \quad b \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^k),$$

is an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism such that, for  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$y(a \circ \pi_i^k) = x(a \circ \pi_i^k \circ g) = x(a \circ g_i) = y_i(a),$$

where  $\pi_i^k$  is the  $i$ th projection from  $\mathbb{R}^k$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $y$  is the unique joint MV-observable of  $y_1, \dots, y_k$ . Consequently, for  $h \circ (y_1, \dots, y_k)$ , we have

$$(h \circ (y_1, \dots, y_k))(a) = y(a \circ h) = x(a \circ h \circ g),$$

which proves the theorem. ■

If we apply Theorems 3.3–3.4, we see that, for example, the sum  $x_1 + \dots + x_n$  of MV-observables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is well-defined, and, in addition,  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_n}$ , where  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is any permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$ .

We now present a representation theorem for MV-observables. According to Theorem 2.10, we can limit ourselves to weakly divisible  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebras.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $M$  be a weakly divisible  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra with the canonical representation  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, h)$ . If  $f$  is a Baire measurable real-valued function on  $\Omega$ , then*

$$x_f(a) := h(a \circ f), \quad a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}),$$

*defines an MV-observable of  $M$ . Conversely, given an MV-observable  $x$  on  $M$ , there exists a real-valued Baire-function  $f$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $x = x_f$ . If  $g$  is another real-valued Baire measurable function on  $\Omega$  with  $x_f = x_g$ , then  $\{\omega : f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\}$  is a meager set.*

*Proof.* The statement follows directly from Theorems 2.9–2.10. ■

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 3.6 be satisfied. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be MV-observables with the joint MV-observable  $x$ . Then there exist real-valued Baire measurable functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $x_i = x_{f_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $x = x_f$ , where  $f(\omega) = (f_1(\omega), \dots, f_n(\omega))$ , and*

$$x_f(b) = h(b \circ f), \quad b \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{3.6}$$

If  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is Baire measurable such that  $x_f = x_g$ , then  $\{\omega : f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\}$  is a meager set.

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3.6, there exist Baire measurable functions  $f_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $x_i = x_{f_i}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Due to Theorem 3.3, the joint MV-observable  $x : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow M$  is uniquely determined by  $x(a \circ \pi_i) = x_i(a)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ . Define  $x_f$  by (3.6). Then  $x_f$  is an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $M$  with  $x_f(a \circ \pi_i) = h(a \circ \pi_i \circ f) = h(a \circ f_i) = x_{f_i}(a) = x_i(a)$  for  $a \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ . The uniqueness of a joint MV-observable entails  $x = x_f$ .

If  $x = x_g$  for some Baire measurable function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\{\omega : f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{\omega : f_i(\omega) \neq g_i(\omega)\}$ , where  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  with  $g_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , so that the set under question is meager. ■

Let  $x$  be an MV-observable of  $M$ . The *spectrum* of  $x$  is the set  $\sigma(x) := \bigcap \{C : C \text{ is a closed crisp set of } \mathbb{R} \text{ with } x(\chi_C) = 1\}$ . Since the natural topology of  $\mathbb{R}$  satisfies the second countability axiom, there exists a sequence of closed sets  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  such that  $x(\chi_{C_n}) = 1$  for all  $n$ , and  $\sigma(x) = \bigcap_n C_n$ .  $x$  is said to be (i) *bounded* if  $\sigma(x)$  is a bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}$ ; (ii) *discrete* if  $\sigma(x) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ ; (iii) *simple* if  $\sigma(x) = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ ; (iv) *question* if  $\sigma(x) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$ , and (v) *constant* if  $\sigma(x) = \{c\}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let the conditions of Theorem 3.6 be satisfied. Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Baire measurable function. The MV-observable  $x_f$  is*

- (i) *bounded if and only if  $f$  is a bounded function up to a meager set;*
- (ii) *discrete if and only if  $f = \sum_i c_i \chi_{A_i}$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ ;*
- (iii) *simple if and only if  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \chi_{A_i}$ ,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ ;*
- (iv) *question if and only if  $f = \chi_A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{F})$ ;*
- (v) *constant if and only if  $f = c \chi_{\Omega}$ .*

(All statements (ii)–(v) are valid up to a meager set.)

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x$  be bounded. Then there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $\sigma(x) \subseteq [-c, c]$ . Hence

$$1 = x_f(\chi_{[-c, c]}) = h(\chi_{[-c, c]} \circ f) = h(\chi_{f^{-1}([-c, c])}),$$

which proves that  $\{\omega : f(\omega) \notin [-c, c]\}$  is a meager set. The converse is clear.

From the rest we prove only (ii). Put  $A_i = f^{-1}(\{c_i\})$  and calculate  $x_f(\chi_{\{c_i\}}) = h(\chi_{\{c_i\}} \circ f) = h(\chi_{f^{-1}(\{c_i\})}) = h(\chi_{A_i})$ . Therefore,  $f = \sum_i c_i \chi_{A_i}$ . ■

Let  $m$  be a  $\sigma$ -additive state on a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . If  $x$  is an MV-observable on  $M$ , then the composition  $m_x$  of  $m$  with  $x$ ,  $m_x := m \circ x$ , is a  $\sigma$ -additive state on  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbb{R})$ . In addition, the restriction  $\widehat{m}_x$  of  $m_x$  onto  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , defined via  $\widehat{m}_x(E) := m_x(\chi_E)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , is a usual probability measure on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

In a similar way, if  $h$  is an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism of a tribe  $\mathcal{T}$  onto  $M$ , then  $m_h := m \circ h$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive state, and  $\widehat{m}_h : \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by  $\widehat{m}_h(A) := m_h(\chi_A)$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{T})$ , is a usual probability measure on  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{T})$ .

Let  $x$  be an MV-observable; for the *mean value* of  $x$  in a  $\sigma$ -additive state  $m$  we understand the expression

$$m(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} t \widehat{dm}_x(t) \quad (3.7)$$

whenever the expression on the right-hand side of (3.7) exists and is finite.

The following result gives a way how to prove many of the known results from classical probability theory (convergence of MV-observables, limit theorems) for probability theory on MV-algebras.

**PROPOSITION 3.9.** *Let  $h$  be an MV- $\sigma$ -homomorphism of a tribe containing all constants onto a  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebra  $M$ . Let  $x_f$  be defined by (2.2) for some  $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathcal{T})$ -measurable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $m$  be a  $\sigma$ -additive state on  $M$ . Then  $m(x_f)$  exists and is finite if and only if the integral  $\int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \widehat{dm}_h(\omega)$  exists and is finite. In such a case*

$$m(x_f) = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) \widehat{dm}_h(\omega).$$

*Proof.* It follows from the equality (3.7) and from transforms of integrals. ■

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is indebted to Professor R. Frič, Košice, for the fruitful discussion on the subject of the paper, and to the referee for his careful reading. This research was supported by VEGA Grants 2/7192/20 and 2/6087/99 of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia.

## REFERENCES

1. L. P. Belluce, Semisimple algebras of infinite valued logic, *Canad. J. Math.* **38** (1986), 1356–1379.
2. C. C. Chang, Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1958), 467–490.

3. R. Cignoli, Complete and atomic algebras of the infinite valued Łukasiewicz logic, *Studia Logica* **50** (1991), 375–384.
4. R. Cignoli, I. M. L. D’Ottaviano, and D. Mundici, “Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning,” Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
5. A. Dvurečenskij, Loomis–Sikorski theorem for  $\sigma$ -complete MV-algebras and  $l$ -groups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **68** (2000), 261–277.
6. A. Dvurečenskij and B. Riečan, Weakly divisible MV-algebras and product, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **234** (1999), 208–222.
7. A. Dvurečenskij and S. Pulmannová, “New Trends in Quantum Structures,” Kluwer Academic, Ister Science, Dordrecht/Bratislava, 2000.
8. K. R. Goodearl, “Partially Ordered Abelian Groups with Interpolation,” Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986.
9. P. R. Halmos, “Measure Theory,” Springer-Verlag, New York/Heidelberg/Berlin, 1988.
10. A. N. Kolmogorov, “Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung,” Berlin, 1933.
11. K. Kuratowski, “Topology, I,” Mir, Moscow, 1966.
12. D. Mundici, Interpretation of AF  $C^*$ -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.* **65** (1986), 15–63.
13. D. Mundici, Tensor products and the Loomis–Sikorski theorem for MV-algebras, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **22** (1999), 227–248.
14. B. Riečan and T. Neubrunn, “Integral, Measures, and Ordering,” Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997.
15. V. S. Varadarajan, “Geometry of Quantum Theory,” Vol. 1, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1968.