

IL-13R α 2 is a Glioma-Restricted Receptor for Interleukin-13¹

Akiva Mintz*, Denise M. Gibo*, Becky Slagle-Webb*, Neil D. Christensen[†] and Waldemar Debinski*

*Section of Neurosurgery/H110; [†]Jake Gittlen Cancer Research Institute, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, 500 University Drive, Hershey, PA 17033-0850, USA

Abstract

We have found that binding sites for interleukin-13 (IL-13) are overexpressed in a vast majority of high-grade astrocytomas (HGAs). These binding sites for IL-13 are distinct from the physiological receptor in that it does not bind IL-4. We also demonstrated that IL-13 receptor alpha 2 protein chain (IL-13R α 2), an IL-4-independent receptor for IL-13, is abundant among HGAs, but not in normal organs. To examine if IL-13R α 2 is the tumor-associated site for IL-13, we stably transfected normal Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and glioma G-26 cells to express either human (h) or murine (m) IL-13R α 2. CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) cells and G-26-h/mIL-13R α 2(+) cells, and not CHO and G-26 parental or mock-transfected cells, specifically bound IL-13 in an IL-4-independent manner. The IL-13R α 2(+) cells also became highly susceptible to the killing by an IL-13-based cytotoxic fusion protein. In loss of function studies, a HGA cell line, SNB-19, was transfected with antisense (as) hIL-13R α 2. as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) cells lost their natural affinity towards IL-13 and became resistant to IL-13-based cytotoxins. The fact, that IL-13R α 2-positive cells bind IL-13 independent of IL-4, become susceptible to IL-13 cytotoxins, and cells deprived of IL-13R α 2 receptor lose these features, demonstrates that IL-13R α 2 is the brain tumor-associated receptor for IL-13.

Neoplasia (2002) 4, 388–399 doi:10.1038/sj.neo.7900234

Keywords: brain tumors, gliomas, cytotoxin, receptor, interleukin-13, cytokine.

Introduction

High-grade astrocytomas (HGAs) are rapidly progressive heterogeneous brain tumors of glial origin that are extremely resistant to current therapies. Recently, we demonstrated that the vast majority of HGAs bind interleukin-13 (IL-13) and are highly susceptible to the cytotoxicity of IL-13-based cytotoxins [1–4].

IL-13 is an immune regulatory cytokine that shares a variety of functions with IL-4 [5–7]. IL-13 is secreted by B and T cells and binds to a plethora of different normal hemopoietic and somatic cells [5–7]. Its physiological functions are mediated through the shared IL-13/4 receptor [8–11]. The IL-13/4 receptor is a heterodimer that first

binds IL-13 through the IL-13 binding component, IL-13 receptor alpha 1 (IL-13R α 1) (42 kDa), at a low affinity and subsequently recruits the IL-4 receptor component, IL-4R α (140 kDa) [11]. The complex of these two proteins results in a high-affinity binding site for IL-13, which is competed for by IL-4 [11,12].

We demonstrated that a vast majority of HGAs bind IL-13. Unexpectedly, their affinity for IL-13 remained even in the presence of IL-4 [1,3,13–15]. This indicated that there might exist a more restricted HGA-associated receptor for IL-13 that is distinct from the physiological IL-13/4 receptor [4]. Additionally, mutants of IL-13 that showed a diminished capacity to signal retained the ability to bind HGAs [14–16]. We demonstrated that a prototype mutant of IL-13, IL-13.E13K, loses the ability to proliferate TF-1 cells, a downstream effect of IL-13 signaling [15]. Furthermore, we showed that IL-13.E13K competes for the HGA IL-13 binding site approximately 50 times better than wild-type IL-13 [14]. These mutants were fused to derivatives of *Pseudomonas* exotoxin (PE), such as PE38QQR, PE1E, and PE4E, and showed ability to very potently kill glioma cells [1,14,17]. Because these derivatives of PE lack a fully functional α 2 macroglobulin receptor binding domain of PE, the only means the IL-13-based fusion protein can enter a cell is through IL-13 binding to cell surface receptors and subsequent internalization [12,13].

Additional experimental evidence for a second IL-13 receptor was provided by the work of Caput et al. [18]. These investigators isolated a protein termed IL-13 receptor alpha 2 (IL-13R α 2), which binds IL-13 in an IL-4-independent manner, a feature resembling a glioma-restricted receptor for IL-13. However, the link between IL-13R α 2 and the IL-13 binding to the restricted sites on HGAs or the susceptibility of HGA to IL-13-based cytotoxins did not appear to be clear-cut. Several cell lines from which either the gene was cloned or had tested positive for IL-13R α 2 gene expression

Abbreviations: as, antisense; CHO cells, Chinese hamster ovary cells; CTA, cancer/testis antigen; IH, immunohistochemistry; h, human; HGA, high-grade astrocytoma; IL-4, interleukin-4; IL-13, interleukin-13; m, murine; PE, *Pseudomonas* exotoxin

Address all correspondence to: Dr. Waldemar Debinski, Section of Neurosurgery/H110, Department of Surgery, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, 500 University Drive, Hershey, PA 17033-0850, USA. E-mail: wdebinski@psu.edu

¹This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grant R01 CA74145.

Received 10 December 2001; Accepted 27 December 2001.

did not demonstrate the anticipated response to IL-13-PE cytotoxins (not shown). This and similar other initial lack of correlation between IL-13R α 2 expression and, e.g., susceptibility to IL-13–based cytotoxins manifested the need to further investigate whether or not IL-13R α 2 and the restricted binding sites for IL-13 on HGA are in fact the same IL-13 binding site.

Materials and Methods

Materials

DNA ligase and restriction endonucleases were purchased from New England Biolaboratories (Beverly, MA). Pfu turbo DNA polymerase was purchased from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). Oligonucleotide primers were synthesized in the Macromolecular Core Laboratory of the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine. All polymerase chain reaction (PCR) purifications, DNA extraction from agarose gels, and plasmid minipreps were done using respective Qiagen kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Tissue culture equipment was from Corning Glass (Corning, NY). RNA blotted membranes were purchased from Clontech (Palo Alto, CA). Cell lines, such as U-87 MG, SW-1088, H-4, A-431, U-373 MG, SNB-19, and CHO-K1, were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) or were primary HGA cultures isolated in this laboratory (G-48a). The ATCC CHO-K1 cell line was derived as a subclone from the parental Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell line initiated from a biopsy of an ovary of an adult Chinese hamster. G-26 mouse glioma cells were the gift of Dr. Marzenna Wiranowska.

Production of Recombinant Proteins

Plasmids and recombinant proteins for IL-13.E13K, IL-13, IL-4, and IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR were prepared as described in Debinski et al. [14] and Thompson and Debinski [15]. The procedure used for protein production, isolation, refolding, and purification of IL-13 and IL-13–based cytotoxins was described previously [12].

RNA Isolation and Northern Blots

Total RNA from CHO, G-26, and SNB-19 cells were obtained using an acid–guanidium isothiocyanate–phenol–chloroform method [19]. Procedures for poly(A)⁺ isolation and Northern blots were described previously [20]. Blots were probed with random-primed ³²P cDNA for hIL-13R α 2 or mL-13R α 2. ³²P riboprobes were also constructed as described previously and used to probe for sense-strand hIL-13R α 2. Additionally, blots were probed for actin to demonstrate the integrity of the RNA.

Isolation of hIL-13R α 2 and mL-13R α 2

hIL-13R α 2 was isolated by screening a cDNA library derived from renal cell carcinoma (RCC) cells. A custom cDNA library was prepared by Stratagene using poly(A)⁺ RNA isolated from the RCC cell line. The library was screened using the protocol described by the manufacturer.

cDNA probes for hIL-13R α 2 were identical to the ones described above.

mIL-13R α 2 was isolated from murine brain mRNA obtained from Clontech. Superscript II Moloney murine leukemia virus (MMLV) with a poly(T) primer was used to synthesize first-strand cDNA. The resulting murine brain cDNA was purified using a Qiagen PCR purification kit. For PCR amplification of mL-13R α 2, forward primer, 5'-CAGACTAGGGGTACCATGGCTTTTGTGCAT-3', and reverse primer, 5'-TGATGCTAGTCTAGATTAACAGAGGGTATC-TTC-3', were used. Pfu turbo DNA polymerase was used for high-fidelity amplification of mL-13R α 2. *Kpn*I and *Xba*I restriction endonucleases were used to create compatible ends and the resulting fragments were ligated into pcDNA 3.1 vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Constructs were sequenced in the Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine Core Macromolecular Facility using an ABI 377 automated sequencer (PE, Norwalk, CT).

Transfection of CHO and G-26 Cells

The gene for hIL-13R α 2 that was transfected into CHO cells was kindly provided by Dr. Pascual Ferrara of Sanofi BioRecherche, Labège Innopole (France) and the gene for hIL-13R α 2 that was transfected into G-26 cells was isolated by us. The primers used to PCR-amplify hIL-13R α 2 were forward primer, 5'-AAGATTTGGGCTAGCATGGCTTTTCG-TTTC-3', and reverse primer, 5'-TCCCTCGAAGCTT-CATGTATCACAGAAAAA-3'. For antisense constructs of hIL-13R α 2, forward primer, 5'-AAGATTTGGAAGCTTATG-GCTTTTCGTTTGC-3', and reverse primer, 5'-TCCCTCG-AAGCTTCATGTATCACAGAAAAA-3', were used and the purified product was confirmed by sequencing. For the hIL-13R α 2 forward construct, *Nhe*I and *Hind*III restriction endonucleases were used to create compatible ends, and for the antisense hIL-13R α 2 construct, *Hind*III restriction endonuclease was used. Fragments were ligated into pcDNA 3.1 vector.

DNA was transfected into CHO and G-26 cells using lipofectin reagent as indicated by the manufacturer (GibcoBRL, Rockville, MD). After 48 hours, cells were passaged 1:5 and grown in selection medium (800 μ g/ml geneticin; GibcoBRL) until individual clones were isolated. Cells were clonally expanded and maintained in 200 μ g/ml geneticin.

Transfection of SNB-19 Cells with Antisense hIL-13R α 2 (*as-hIL-13R α 2*)

The construction of pcDNA3.1-*as-hIL-13R α 2*, which contains the full-length gene of IL-13R α 2 in an antisense orientation under a CMV promoter, is described above. DNA was transfected into SNB-19 cells using lipofectin reagent. In addition to geneticin, cells were selected in media containing 10 μ g/ml IL-13–based cytotoxin, IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR. This procedure efficiently helped isolate clones expressing low amounts of hIL-13R α 2. IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR was added to the SNB-19 cells transfected with *as-hIL-13R α 2* 48 hours after transfection and surviving cells were maintained in media containing the

cytotoxin for five passages. Additionally, mock-transfected cells were treated with IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR in the same manner as the transfected cells and no surviving clones were observed.

Reverse Transcription (RT) PCR Analysis of IL-13R α 2 Gene Expression

RNA was isolated from CHO-IL-13R α 2(+), G-26-IL-13R α 2(+) cells, and controls as described above and cDNA was produced using Superscript reverse transcriptase from GibcoBRL and Taq DNA polymerase. The sequence of the primers used to specifically amplify 970 bp of cDNA encoding hIL-13R α 2 was as follows: forward primer, 5'-AGCTTGACCGCATATGTCATCTTCAGACACCGA-3', and reverse primer, 5'-TGGTACGTGAGGTACCACGTAGCA-AAGTTTTCT-3'. To amplify a 900-bp fragment of murine actin, forward primer, 5'-CAGAGCAAGAGGGGTATCC-TGA-3', and reverse primer, 5'-TGATCCACATCTGCTG-GAAGGT-3', were used. The primers used to amplify mL-13R α 2 were identical to the ones used to isolate the gene.

Autoradiography

Recombinant IL-13 and IL-13.E13K were labeled with ¹²⁵I by using Iodo-Gen reagent (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL) as described [1]. 5×10^4 cells were dotted onto sterile slides and allowed to adhere overnight. Cells were then fixed using ice-cold ethanol. Procedure used for autoradiography was previously described in detail [1].

All films from autoradiography were scanned on a transparency scanner at a pixel size of $88 \times 88 \mu\text{m}$ (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA) and the densities were plotted using Quantity 1 software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The images were compiled in Paint Shop Pro V 5.0 (Jasc Software, Eden Prairie, MN).

Cell Proliferation Assay

Cytotoxicity assays were performed as described previously [14]. 3×10^3 cells were plated in each well of a 96-well plate in $150 \mu\text{l}$ of media and allowed to adhere overnight. The following day, $25 \mu\text{l}$ of blocker (1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ final concentration) of IL-13.E13K, or IL-4 in 0.1% BSA/PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) buffer, or buffer alone, was added. After an hour of incubation at 37°C, varying concentrations of IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR were added in triplicate and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂/95% O₂ for 48 hours. After 48 hours, MTS/PMS cell proliferation assay was performed as instructed by the manufacturer (Promega, Madison, WI). Ten microliters of dye was added to each well and incubated for 4 hours. Plates were then read by a microplate reader (Cambridge Technology, Watertown, MA) at absorbance of 490 nm. Cyclohexamide-treated, cell-containing wells served as the background for the assay. Background was subtracted from each data point and was divided by the value from the wells that were not treated with cytotoxin to obtain the fraction of cells

remaining. The fraction of cells remaining was multiplied by 100 to obtain the percent of control.

Production of Anti-hIL-13R α 2 Serum

A monoclonal antibody against IL-13R α 2, clone B-D13, was purchased from Cell Sciences (Norwood, MA). This antibody was found to be inadequate in our hands for immunohistochemistry (IH) and adequate for flow cytometry in the presence of signal's amplification. We therefore commenced the production of anti-IL-13R α 2 serum in house. One hundred micrograms of pcDNA3.1-hIL-13R α 2 DNA was injected intrasplenically into 10-week-old BALBc mice and boosted 2 weeks later intramuscularly again with 100 μg of the plasmid. Four weeks later, mice were reboosted every other week for a total of three boosts with 500 μg of affinity-purified 6 \times Histidine-IL-13R α 2 extracellular domain (6his-hIL-13R α 2ex) recombinant protein produced in *Escherichia coli*. One week after the last injection, serum was obtained.

IH

IH was performed on 1×10^4 ethanol-fixed GBM cells or snap-frozen sections of HGA tumors fixed to glass slides. Samples were exposed to a 1:50 dilution of anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum or serum from a nonimmunized mouse. The procedure used for immunostaining was described previously [21].

Cell ELISA Assay

1×10^6 cells were plated in each well of a 96-well plate and allowed to adhere overnight. Media were removed and nonspecific binding sites were blocked using 2.5% dry milk dissolved in media. After 20 minutes of blocking, 1:100 dilution of anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum or nonimmunized mouse serum was added to each well for 30 minutes and shaken gently at room temperature (RT). Cells were washed three times with PBS and 1:1000 dilution of secondary antibody (anti-mouse polyclonal alkaline phosphatase) (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) was added for 30 minutes at RT. Cells were washed three times with PBS and *p*-nitrophenyl phosphate substrate was added (1 mg/1 ml) in alkaline phosphatase buffer (100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM Tris-HCl; pH 9.5) (Invitrogen). Plates were then read using a microplate reader (Cambridge Technology) at absorbance of 405 nm. Values were obtained by subtracting the background immunoreactivity observed in wells treated with nonimmunized mouse serum. Each experiment was performed in quadruplicates and repeated at least twice.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were detached from flask using $1 \times$ PBS/4 mm EDTA and counted using a hemocytometer. 1×10^6 cells in a volume of $100 \mu\text{l}$ were stained for enhanced signal using Flow-Amp enzymic enhancement kit (Flow-Amp Systems, Cleveland, OH) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Cells were incubated with anti-hIL-13R α 2 monoclonal antibody (clone B-D13; Cell Sciences). Ten thousand events (gated on viable cells) were measured for each sample and controls using a Becton Dickinson FACS scan flow cytometer and analyzed with CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Results are expressed in mean fluorescence intensity (MFI). Each experiment was repeated three separate times.

Results

HGA Tumor Specimens and Glioma Cell Lines are Immunoreactive for hIL-13R α 2

Previously, we demonstrated that HGAs expressed the transcript for hIL-13R α 2 [20]. Additionally, we demonstrated that a vast majority of HGAs bind IL-13 independent of IL-4 [1,3,13–15]. Here we demonstrate the presence of immunoreactive hIL-13R α 2 on HGAs directly using anti-hIL-13R α 2 mouse serum. Only the anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum, but not serum from nonimmunized mice, immunoreacted with the membranes of the HGA tumor section (Figure 1A).

IL-13R α 2 Immunoreactivity is Present in Brain Tumor Cells

To further document the presence of immunoreactive hIL-13R α 2 in HGAs, various tumor cell lines were tested using a cell ELISA assay. Because whole cells were used in this assay, the only proteins that are exposed to the anti-hIL-13R α 2 antibodies are plasma membrane proteins. Two HGA cell lines, U-87 MG, U-373 MG, and one low grade glioma cell line, H-4, all which are potently killed by IL-13-based cytotoxins (Figure 1B), demonstrated prominent reactivity towards anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum (Figure 1C). In contrast, a HGA cell line, SW-1088, and an epidermoid carcinoma cell line, A-431, both which are resistant to IL-13-based cytotoxins (Figure 1B), did not react with the anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum (Figure 1C). These results demonstrate a link between the presence of IL-13R α 2 immunoreactive protein and the susceptibility of tumor cells to IL-13-based cytotoxins.

Gain of Function Studies: Transfecting CHO and G-26 Cells with hIL-13R α 2

In order to directly demonstrate that hIL-13R α 2 is the glioma-restricted receptor for IL-13 and is responsible for the restrictive IL-13 binding properties seen in HGAs, we transfected normal CHO cells and murine glioma-derived G-26 cells with hIL-13R α 2 in a CMV promoter-containing vector.

Total RNA was isolated from clones that showed highest transgene expression in the initial screening: CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2. Then, Northern blot analysis was performed using 32 P-labeled hIL-13R α 2 cDNA. CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 (Figure 2A, lane 3) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2 (Figure 2A, lane 6) demonstrated the presence of hIL-

13R α 2 transcript. Of importance, CHO and G-26 parental clones (Figure 2A, lanes 1 and 4) and control clones containing empty vector (Figure 2A, lanes 2 and 5) failed to show any hybridization to 32 P-hIL-13R α 2. Blots were also probed with human 32 P actin, which hybridizes to RNA encoding murine actin as well (Figure 2A). Additionally, RT-PCR was performed to confirm the presence of the transgene message using primers to specifically amplify hIL-13R α 2. Only CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 (Figure 2B, lane 3) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2 (Figure 2B, lane 6) and not the controls, such as G-26 and CHO parental cell lines (Figure 2B, lanes 1 and 4) or G-26 and CHO transfected with empty vector (Figure 2B, lanes 2 and 5), showed amplification of hIL-13R α 2 transcript. Murine actin was amplified to show the integrity of the RNA in cells of murine origin (Figure 2B, lanes 4 to 7).

Transfecting mL-13R α 2 into G-26 Murine Glioma Cells

In order to further analyze IL-13R α 2 in gliomas, a murine glioma model that expresses IL-13R α 2 was needed. Of the several rodent glioma cell lines that we tested, all failed to demonstrate detectable levels of IL-13R α 2 (unpublished). We therefore transfected mL-13R α 2 into the murine G-26 glioma cell line in order to generate an immunocompetent syngeneic murine glioma model that reflects the expression of IL-13R α 2 found in human HGAs. mL-13R α 2-transfected clones were analyzed using Northern blot analysis and RT-PCR. Northern blot analysis of total RNA from mL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 and controls was performed using 32 P-labeled mL-13R α 2. G-26-mL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 showed hybridization to 32 P mL-13R α 2 cDNA (Figure 2A, lane 7). In addition, RT-PCR was performed on total RNA isolated from G-26-mL-13R α 2(+) clone 17. The resulting fragment of full-length mL-13R α 2 was demonstrated only in G-26-mL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 (Figure 2B, lane 7) and not in parental G-26 (Figure 2B, lane 4) or G-26 cells containing vector alone (Figure 2B, lane 5).

CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) Cells Specifically Bind IL-13 or its Mutant in an IL-4-Independent Manner

IL-13.E13K is a mutant of IL-13 that has been shown to have altered interactions with the physiological shared IL-13/4 receptor, while preserving or even strengthening its affinity towards the HGA-restricted IL-13 receptor [14–16]. To examine the actual hIL-13R α 2 protein expression and whether or not transgene-expressed hIL-13R α 2 is capable of binding IL-13.E13K, iodo-labeled IL-13.E13K was applied to ethanol-fixed cells and autoradiography was performed. CHO and G-26 parental or mock-transfected cells were not bound by the labeled cytokine (Figure 3, column 1, 2, 4 and 5). However, in the absence of blocker, CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 (Figure 3, column 3) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2 (Figure 3, column 6) showed high retention of labeled IL-13.E13K. To ascertain that this binding was IL-13 receptor-specific, an excess of unlabeled IL-13.E13K was applied prior to 125 I IL-13.E13K in




Figure 1. (A) hIL-13R α 2 immunoreactivity in a GBM tumor specimen. Nonimmunized serum was used on a contiguous section of the same tumor as a negative control. Tissues were also stained for nuclear presence using DAPI. (B) Cytotoxicity of high-grade astrocytoma cells (SW-1088, U-373 MG, U-87 MG), low-grade astrocytoma cells (H-4), and epidermoid tumor cells (A-431) using 100 ng/ml IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR determined in a colorimetric cell proliferation assay. Vertical lines represent SD. (C) Reactivity of high-grade astrocytoma cells (U-87 MG, U-373 MG, SW-1088), low-grade astrocytoma cells (H-4), and epidermoid tumor cell (A-431) to anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum in a cell ELISA assay. Vertical lines represent SD.




Figure 2. (A) Northern blot of CHO and G-26 cells transfected with hIL-13R α 2 or mIL-13R α 2 and controls. Parental CHO cells (lane 1), mock-transfected CHO (lane 2), CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 (lane 3), parental G-26 cells (lane 4), mock-transfected G-26 (lane 5), G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2 (lane 6), and G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 (lane 7) are shown. The size of transcripts is given in kilobases (kb). (B) RT-PCR of hIL-13R α 2 or mIL-13R α 2 in G-26 and CHO controls and transfected cells. The order of analyzed cells is the same as in (A). The size of PCR-amplified fragments is given in kilobases (kb).

a blocking assay. Efficient, more than 75% blocking of labeled IL-13.E13K binding was observed in IL-13R α 2-expressing clones (Figure 3, columns 3 and 6), indicating that the binding of IL-13.E13K is an IL-13 receptor-specific phenomenon. In addition, CHO-hIL-13R α 2-transfected cells and controls were exposed to wild-type ¹²⁵I IL-13 in the presence and absence of excess IL-13. Only CHO-IL-13R α 2(+) clones and not parental or mock-transfected CHO cells demonstrated retention of labeled wild-type IL-13, which was competed for by excess IL-13 (not shown).

Unlike the physiological IL-13/4 receptor, the glioma-restricted IL-13 receptor has the distinct characteristic of not being competed for by IL-4 [1]. To determine whether hIL-13R α 2 exhibits this property in our CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) transfectants, we performed a competition assay, applying unlabeled IL-4 prior to labeled IL-13.E13K. As it was found on the majority of HGAs, no significant blocking was observed in CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 (Figure 3, column 3) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2 (Figure 3, column 6).

G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) Cells Specifically Bind IL-13.E13k in an IL-4-Independent Manner

To examine if the functional characteristics of the IL-13 binding sites resulting from the presence of mIL-13R α 2 in G-26 cells are similar to those of hIL-13R α 2, autoradiography was performed on G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17. In the absence of blocker, G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 avidly bound labeled IL-13.E13K (Figure 3, A and B, column 7). The addition of unlabeled IL-13.E13K competed for the IL-13 binding sites on mIL-13R α 2(+) cells, indicating IL-13-specific binding (Figure 3, A and B, column 7). Furthermore,

cold IL-4 did not compete for the IL-13 binding sites on G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) cells (Figure 3, A and B, column 7), indicating that mIL-13R α 2 binds IL-13 independently of IL-4, a characteristic of hIL-13R α 2.

CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) Clones Become Highly Susceptible to Killing by IL-13-Based Cytotoxins

HGAs demonstrate a high sensitivity toward IL-13-based PE derivative-containing cytotoxins [11,14]. To examine if CHO and G-26 cells, which are otherwise resistant to IL-13-based cytotoxins (Figure 4, A and B), gain sensitivity towards IL-13-based cytotoxins when transfected with hIL-13R α 2, we performed a colorimetric cell proliferation assay. CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) cells, G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) cells, and controls were exposed to varying concentrations of IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR. The hIL-13R α 2(+) clones, CHO clone 5, and G-26 clone 2 became responsive to the cytotoxin, with IC₅₀ values of 3 and 0.1 ng/ml, respectively, whereas parental and mock-transfected CHO and G-26 cells remained resistant even in the presence of up to 100 ng/ml cytotoxin (Figure 4, A and B). In addition, the susceptibility to IL-13-based cytotoxins varied between hIL-13R α 2(+) clones (Figure 4A for CHO-hIL-13R α 2 clones 2 and 5, and Figure 4B) for G-26-hIL-13R α 2 clones 2 and 5), suggesting that the cell killing is in proportion to the amounts of hIL-13R α 2 transcript and protein present. This was supported by Northern blot analysis of CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2 that demonstrated lesser amounts of hIL-13R α 2 mRNA than hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 (not shown).

To determine if the susceptibility of hIL-13R α 2 expressing clones to cytotoxin is IL-4-independent and IL-13




Figure 3. (A) Autoradiography of CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5, G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2, G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17, and control cells using labeled IL-13.E13K. Parental CHO cells (column 1), mock-transfected CHO (column 2), CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5 (column 3), parental G-26 cells (column 4), mock-transfected G-26 (column 5), G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2 (column 6), and G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 (column 7). The assay was performed in the absence of blocker or in the presence of an excess of unlabeled IL-13.E13K or IL-4. Each experiment was repeated at least four times and the results shown are a representative sample of one of the experiments. (B) Histogram of peak densities of ¹²⁵I IL-13.E13K binding in the absence or presence of IL-13.E13K and IL-4 in CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 5, G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 2, G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17, and control cells. Column designations are the same as in (A). Measurements were taken from scanned X-rays shown in (A).

receptor-mediated, a neutralization assay was performed using an excess of IL-13.E13K. In the CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones tested, the killing by the cytotoxin was completely inhibited in the presence of excess IL-13.E13K but not IL-4 (Figure 4, C and D).

mIL-13R α 2 Transgene Turns G-26 Murine Glioma Cells into Responders to the hIL-13 Cytotoxin

In order to examine if a mIL-13R α 2 transgene changes the G-26 cells' responsiveness to IL-13-based cytotoxin, the G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 cells and controls were treated with IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR. G-26-mIL-13R α 2(+) clone 17 and not the controls were killed by

IL-13-based cytotoxin at an IC₅₀ of 0.1 to 1 ng/ml (Figure 4E). Excess IL-13.E13K neutralized the cell killing by IL-13 cytotoxin in mIL-13R α 2-expressing cells, whereas excess IL-4 had no effect on this phenomenon (Figure 4E).

IL-13R α 2(+) Clones are Highly Susceptible to IL-13-Based Cytotoxins Similar to HGA Cells

We demonstrated above that IL-13R α 2-transfected cells became highly responsive to the killing by IL-13-based cytotoxins. In order to juxtapose the susceptibility to IL-13R α 2-transfected cells with human HGAs, HGA cell lines SNB-19 and G-48a were treated with IL-13




Figure 4. Cytotoxicity using IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR determined in a colorimetric cell proliferation assay. Vertical bars represent SD. (A) CHO parental cells, CHO mock-transfected, and CHO-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones 2 and 5. (B) G-26 parental cells, G-26 mock-transfected, and G-26-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones 2 and 5. (C-E) Neutralization assays in which the cell killing by IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR in IL-13R α 2(+) clones is blocked in the presence of an excess of IL-13.E13K, but not IL-4, in CHO and G-26 cells. (F) G-48a and SNB-19 HGA cells and neutralization of IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR cytotoxicity in the presence of an excess of IL-13.E13K, but not IL-4.

cytotoxin. The two HGA cell lines were potently killed by IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR at IC₅₀ values of 0.01 to 0.1 ng/ml (Figure 4F), which is in a close range to what was seen in IL-13R α 2-transfected cells. In addition, neutralization assays were performed using an excess of mutated IL-13, IL-13.E13K, but not IL-4, which blocked the cytotoxicity of IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR in HGAs (Figure 4F).

Loss of Function Studies: Transfecting SNB-19 Cells with Antisense hIL-13R α 2

We demonstrated in gain of function studies that the IL-13R α 2 transgene mimics the IL-13 binding properties of HGA in that it 1) binds IL-13 independent of IL-4; 2) binds a mutant of IL-13, IL-13.E13K; and 3) is able to mediate the cytotoxicity of IL-13.E13K-based cytotoxins.




Figure 5. (A) Northern blot of IL-13R α 2 and actin in SNB-19 mock-transfected, and as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones 1 and 6. (B) IL-13R α 2 immunoreactivity in SNB-19 cells transfected with as-IL-13R α 2 (clones 1 and 6) and with vector only. DAPI nuclear staining was also performed. (C) Flow cytometry performed on SNB-19 parental cells and as-SNB-19-IL-13R α 2(+) clone 6 cells. The bottom graph juxtaposes the distribution of the two experiments. Mean values of each distribution are listed in the area under the peaks. Experiment was repeated three times.




Figure 6. (A) Autoradiography and histogram of peak densities of IL-13 binding sites in SNB-19 parental cells, SNB-19 mock-transfected, and in as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones treated with labeled IL-13.E13K. Each experiment was repeated at least four times and the results shown are a representative sample of the experiments. (B) Cytotoxicity assay using IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR cytotoxin or wild-type PE in SNB-19 parental cells, SNB-19 mock-transfected, and in as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones 1 and 6. Vertical bars represent SD.

In order to demonstrate that IL-13R α 2, and not other proteins, mediates these IL-13 binding properties in HGAs, loss of function studies were performed. Antisense hIL-13R α 2 was stably transfected into SNB-19 cells in a CMV-containing vector. Clones containing low amounts of hIL-13R α 2 were obtained by a previously described method of selection in which IL-2-based cytotoxins had been used to identify cell lines that were unable to express high-affinity IL-2 receptors [22]. In our experiment, an IL-13-based cytotoxin was used to select for cells that had significantly reduced their expression level of IL-13R α 2. Only as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2 transfectants, but not the mock-transfected SNB-19 cells, survived this selection. Total RNA was isolated from mock-transfected SNB-19 cells, as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 1, and as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 6, and Northern blot analysis was performed. Mock-transfected SNB-19 cells and not as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones showed strong signal for the presence of hIL-13R α 2 gene expression (Figure 5A).

hIL-13R α 2 Protein Expression is Drastically Reduced in as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+)–Transfected Cells

In order to demonstrate actual reduction in immunoreactive IL-13R α 2 protein expression in SNB-19 cells transfected with as-IL-13R α 2, IH was performed using anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum. Mock-transfected SNB-19 cells demonstrated intense immunoreactivity towards the anti-hIL-13R α 2 serum (Figure 5B). In contrast, such immunoreactivity was not seen in either of the as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones. Furthermore, flow cytometry performed on as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 6 using an anti-hIL-13R α 2 monoclonal antibody demonstrated a decreased amount of hIL-13R α 2 expression compared to parental SNB-19 cells (Figure 5C).

as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) Clones no Longer Possess the Restrictive IL-4–Independent IL-13 Binding Site

To examine if the IL-4-independent IL-13 binding sites that are a characteristic of HGAs are still present in SNB-19 cells transfected with antisense hIL-13R α 2, autoradiography




Figure 7. Schemata of possible therapeutic interventions by using IL-13R α 2 as a molecularly defined target.

was performed. 125 I-labeled IL-13.E13K was applied to *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 1, clone 6, and their controls. Only parental and mock-transfected SNB-19 cells, but not *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones, bound 125 I-IL-13.E13K (Figure 6A).

as-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) Clones Become Resistant to the Killing by IL-13–Based Cytotoxins

We demonstrated that CHO and G-26 cells transfected with IL-13R α 2 are susceptible to IL-13–based cytotoxins similar to HGA cells. To examine if this susceptibility is truly mediated by hIL-13R α 2 in human HGA cells, *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) cells were treated with IL-13–based cytotoxins. Parental and mock-transfected SNB-19 cells were characteristically killed by IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR, an IL-13–based cytotoxin, at an IC₅₀ of 0.01 to 0.1 ng/ml (Figure 6B). Conversely, *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones 1 and 6 were no longer killed by IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR at similarly low concentrations (Figure 6B). Of importance, *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clone 6 failed to show susceptibility to IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR at concentrations exceeding 1000 times the IC₅₀ of parental and mock-transfected SNB-19 cells (Figure 6B). To examine if this loss of susceptibility to IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR was due to an induced resistance of *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) cells to PE, *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones and controls were exposed to varying concentrations of wild-type toxin. Because PE contains an α 2 macroglobulin binding region, its cytotoxicity is IL-13 receptor–independent. *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones and controls were completely killed by wild-type PE at all concentrations tested (Figure 6B). This result convincingly demonstrated that the resistance of *as*-SNB-19-hIL-13R α 2(+) clones to IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR is due to the loss of IL-13 binding sites and not to an inadvertently introduced resistance to PE.

Discussion

This study shows, for the first time, a direct link between IL-13R α 2 and the IL-13 binding site properties seen in the vast

majority of HGAs. The gain of function studies using cells transfected with hIL-13R α 2 demonstrate that hIL-13R α 2 binds IL-13 in an IL-4–independent manner, a characteristic not found in nondiseased IL-13 binding cells. Moreover, we demonstrate that a mutant of IL-13, which has altered interaction with the shared IL-13/4 receptor, is bound by hIL-13R α 2–expressing cells and internalized, a characteristic feature of HGA-associated IL-13 binding. Importantly, CHO cells and tumor G-26 cells became extremely sensitive to IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR cytotoxin when transfected with IL-13R α 2. IL-13.E13K-PE38QQR cytotoxic fusion protein has been shown, *in vitro* and *in vivo*, to be able to safely and efficiently treat HGA tumors [14]. Moreover, we showed for the first time that HGA cells transfected with antisense hIL-13R α 2 1) lose an immunoreactive IL-13R α 2; 2) lose the restricted IL-4–independent IL-13 binding site; and 3) become resistant to IL-13–based cytotoxins. Thus, both gain of function and loss of function studies provide direct evidence for the link between IL-13R α 2 and the restricted IL-13 binding site seen in the vast majority of HGAs.

Furthermore, we demonstrated that murine IL-13R α 2 can mimic the restrictive IL-13 binding properties of human IL-13R α 2 and can be used to generate a syngeneic, fully immunocompetent murine HGA model that reflects the presence and function of hIL-13R α 2 (Mintz et al., manuscript in preparation). mL-13R α 2 transfected into G-26 murine glioma cells caused the cells to bind IL-13.E13K in an IL-4–independent manner and to become highly susceptible to IL-13–based cytotoxins, characteristics of cells containing hIL-13R α 2. This indicates a conserved function between hIL-13R α 2 and mL-13R α 2 and confirms the absence of IL-13 species specificity between humans and mice.

Recently, we demonstrated that the gene for hIL-13R α 2 is expressed in HGAs, but little, if at all, in normal tissue, with the exception of the testes [20]. We therefore classified hIL-13R α 2 as a member of the cancer/testis antigen (CTA) family. This finding, together with the direct evidence provided in the current work that identifies IL-13R α 2 as a

glioma-restricted receptor for IL-13, demonstrates the potential of efficient and specific targeting of HGAs.

It is tempting to speculate that the expression of IL-13R α 2 may be just one part of a more widespread phenomenon in malignancy. Recently, a number of genes located on chromosome X were found to be abnormally expressed in cancer [23]. CTAs are a group of antigens located on the X chromosome and almost exclusively expressed in cancer and the normal testes. It is plausible that epigenetic pathomechanisms, such as abnormal methylation or aneuploidy, are responsible for the abundance of CTAs in malignancy [23]. Of interest, IL-13R α 2 is only sporadically present in low-grade astrocytomas and becomes abundant only with the progression to higher-grade malignancy [24,25]. Thus, its expression contributes and/or reflects an important step in astrocytoma oncogenesis.

Our previous and present studies, suggest that molecular targeting of IL-13R α 2 is an attractive strategy for molecular detection and treatment of HGAs (Figure 7). Targeted gene therapy, targeted radiation, and targeted chemotherapy all have the potential of being applied to patients with HGAs. The evidence presented above suggests that IL-13R α 2 is a unique molecular target to accomplish this goal.

References

- [1] Debinski W, Gibo DM, Hulet SW, Connor JR, and Gillespie GY (1999). Receptor for interleukin 13 is a marker and therapeutic target for human high-grade gliomas. *Clin Cancer Res* 5, 985–90.
- [2] Debinski W, Gibo DM, Slagle B, Powers SK, and Gillespie GY (1999). Receptor for interleukin 13 is abundantly and specifically over-expressed in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. *Int J Oncol* 15, 481–86.
- [3] Debinski W, Obiri NI, Powers SK, Pastan I, and Puri RK (1995). Human glioma cells over-express receptor for interleukin 13 and are extremely sensitive to a novel chimeric protein composed of interleukin 13 and *Pseudomonas* exotoxin. *Clin Cancer Res* 1, 1253–58.
- [4] Debinski W (1998). An immune regulatory cytokine and high-grade gliomas: an unexpected link. *Crit Rev Oncog* 9, 256–68.
- [5] Minty A, Chalon P, Derocq JM, Dumont X, Guillemot JC, Kaghad M, Labit C, Leplatois P, Liauzun P, Miloux B, Minty C, Casellas P, Loison G, Lupker J, Shire D, Ferrara P, and Caput D (1993). Interleukin-13 is a new human lymphokine regulating inflammatory and immune responses. *Nature* 36, 248–51.
- [6] McKenzie ANJ, Culpepper JR, De Waal Malefyt R, Briere F, Punnonen J, Aversa G, Sato A, Dang W, Cocks BG, Menon S, De Vries JE, Banchereau J, and Zurawski G (1993). Interleukin 13, a T-cell-derived cytokine that regulates human monocyte and B-cell function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 90, 3735–39.
- [7] Zurawski G, and de Vries JI (1993). Interleukin 13 elicits a subset of the activities of its close relative interleukin 4. *Stem Cells* 12, 169–74.
- [8] Zurawski SM, Vega F Jr, Huyghe B, and Zurawski G (1993). Receptors for interleukin 13 and interleukin-4 are complex and share a novel component that functions in signal transduction. *EMBO J* 12, 26663–70.
- [9] Hilton DJ, Zhang J-G, Metcalf D, Alexander WS, Nicola NA, and Wilson TA (1996). Cloning and characterization of a binding subunit of the interleukin 13 receptor that is also a component of the interleukin 4 receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93, 497–501.
- [10] Aman MJ, Tayebi N, Obiri NI, Puri PK, Modi WS, and Leonard WJ (1996). cDNA cloning and characterization of the human interleukin-13 receptor α chain. *J Biol Chem* 19, 29265–70.
- [11] Miloux B, Laurent P, Bonnin O, Lupker J, Caput D, Vita N, and Ferrara P (1997). Cloning of the human IL-13R alpha1 chain and reconstitution with the IL4R alpha of a functional IL-4/IL-13 receptor complex. *FEBS Lett* 401, 163–66.
- [12] Debinski W, Obiri NI, Pastan I, and Puri RK (1995). A novel chimeric protein composed of interleukin 13 and *Pseudomonas* exotoxin is highly cytotoxic to human carcinoma cells expressing receptors for interleukin 13 and interleukin 4. *J Biol Chem* 270, 16775–80.
- [13] Debinski W, Miner R, Leland P, Obiri NI, and Puri RK (1996). Receptor for IL 13 does not interact with IL4 but receptor for IL4 interacts with IL13 on human glioma cells. *J Biol Chem* 271, 22428–33.
- [14] Debinski W, Gibo D, Obiri NI, Kealisher A, and Puri RK (1998). Novel anti-brain tumor cytotoxins specific for cancer cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 16, 449–53.
- [15] Thompson JP, and Debinski W (1999). Mutants of interleukin 13 with altered reactivity toward interleukin 13 receptors. *J Biol Chem* 274, 29944–50.
- [16] Debinski W, and Thompson JP (1997). Retargeting interleukin 13 for radioimmunodetection and radioimmunotherapy of human high-grade gliomas. *Clin Cancer Res* 5, 3143s–47s.
- [17] Nash KT, Thompson JP, and Debinski W (2001). Molecular targeting of malignant gliomas with novel multiply-mutated interleukin 13-based cytotoxins. *Crit Rev Oncol/Hematol* 39, 87–98.
- [18] Caput D, Laurent P, Kaghad M, Lelias J-M, Lefort S, Vita N, and Ferrara P (1996). Cloning and characterization of a specific interleukin (IL-13) binding protein structurally related to the IL-5 receptor α chain. *J Biol Chem* 271, 16921–26.
- [19] Chomczynski P, and Sacchi N (1987). Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate–phenol–chloroform extraction. *Anal Biochem* 162, 156–59.
- [20] Debinski W, and Gibo DM (2000). Molecular expression analysis of restrictive receptor for interleukin 13, a brain tumor associated cancer/testis antigen. *Mol Med* 6, 440–49.
- [21] Debinski W, Slagle-Webb B, Achen MG, Stacker SA, Tulchinsky E, Gillespie GY, and Gibo DM (2001). VEGF-D is an X-linked/AP-1 regulated putative onco-angiogen in human glioblastoma multiforme. *Mol Med* 7, 598–608.
- [22] Furse RK, and Malek TR (1993). Selection of internalization-deficient cells by interleukin-2–*Pseudomonas* exotoxin chimeric protein: the cytoplasmic domain of the interleukin-2 receptor β chain does not contribute to internalization of interleukin-2. *J Immunol* 23, 3181–88.
- [23] Mintz A, and Debinski W (2000). Cancer genetics/epigenetics and the X chromosome: possible new links for malignant glioma pathogenesis and immune-based therapies. *Crit Rev Oncog* 11, 77–95.
- [24] Debinski W, Slagle B, Gibo DM, Powers SK, and Gillespie GY (2000). Expression of a restrictive receptor for interleukin 13 is associated with glial transformation. *J Neuro-Oncol* 48, 103–11.
- [25] Liu H, Jacobs BS, Liu J, Prayson RA, Estes ML, Barnett GH, and Barna BP (2000). Interleukin-13 sensitivity and receptor phenotypes of human glial cell lines: non-neoplastic glia and low-grade astrocytoma differ from malignant glioma. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 49, 319–24.