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#### Abstract

We present a lower bound for the smallest non-zero eigenvalue of the Laplacian of an undirected graph. The bound is primarily useful for graphs with small diameter. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We use the following definition for the Laplacian matrix of a graph, consistent with [3]:
Definition. Let $G$ be an undirected graph with adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A}$, and let $\mathbf{D}$ be the diagonal degree matrix defined by $d_{i i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and $d_{i k}=0$ for $i \neq k$. The Laplacian of $G$ is the matrix $\mathscr{L}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{D}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D}^{-1 / 2}$.

The eigenvalues of $\mathscr{L}$ are in the range [ 0,2 ]. Zero is always an eigenvalue with multiplicity equal to the number of connected components of $G$, and 2 occurs as an eigenvalue if and only if $G$ is bipartite. The eigenvalues of $\mathscr{L}$ contain additional information regarding the structure of $G$. They can be used to establish bounds on the diameter of $G$ as well as distances between subsets of $G[1,4,2,5]$. The magnitudes of the eigenvalues also determine the rate of convergence of various iterative computations such as those described in [6,7]; it is therefore desirable to find bounds on the eigenvalues themselves. One of the best lower bounds for

[^0]the smallest non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is established in [3]
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geqslant \frac{1}{D \operatorname{vol}(G)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here $D$ is the diameter of $G$, and $\operatorname{vol}(G)$ is the sum of the degrees of all vertices. In this paper, we present a lower bound on $\lambda_{1}$ which is easy to compute and is tighter than (1) for certain graphs with low diameter.

## 2. A lower bound for $\lambda_{1}$

Consider the similar matrix $\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{D}^{-1 / 2} \mathscr{L} \mathbf{D}^{1 / 2}=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{D}^{-1} \mathbf{A}$ which has the same eigenvalues as $\mathscr{L}$. If $f$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{L}$ corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda$ then for any vertex $v$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\lambda) f(v)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}(v)} \sum_{u \sim v} f(u), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \sim v$ denotes that the vertices $u, v$ are connected. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m+1}$ be a sequence of connected vertices such that $f\left(v_{1}\right)$ is maximal and $f\left(v_{m+1}\right) \leqslant 0$. For convenience, set $x_{i}=f\left(v_{i}\right)$. Let $\alpha=1-\lambda$, and let $d$ be the maximum degree of any vertex of $G$. Since $v_{1} \sim v_{2}$ and $f\left(v_{1}\right)$ is maximal, Eq. (2) gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha x_{1}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right)} \sum_{u \sim v_{1}} f(u) \leqslant \frac{x_{2}}{\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right)}+\frac{\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right)-1\right) x_{1}}{\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{1}\right)} \leqslant \frac{x_{2}}{d}+\frac{(d-1) x_{1}}{d} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, since $v_{i} \sim v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i} \sim v_{i+1}$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha x_{i} \leqslant \frac{x_{i-1}+x_{i+1}}{d}+\frac{(d-2) x_{1}}{d} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Scaling $f$ if necessary, we may assume that $x_{1}=1$ and rewrite inequalities (3) and (4) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{2} \geqslant 1-\lambda d, \\
& x_{i+1} \geqslant \alpha d x_{i}-x_{i-1}-(d-2) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that $\lambda<1$ and $\alpha d \geqslant 1$; otherwise we have the bound $\lambda>(d-1) / d$ which is much better than the one we will derive.

Lemma. For $3 \leqslant k \leqslant m+1$ we have $x_{k} \geqslant 1-\lambda \alpha^{k-3} d^{k-2}-\lambda \alpha^{k-2} d^{k-1}$.
Proof. Our proof is by induction. Setting $i=2$ in inequality (5) establishes the base case:

$$
x_{3} \geqslant \alpha d x_{2}-1-(d-2) \geqslant \alpha d(1-\lambda d)+1-d=1-\lambda d-\lambda \alpha d^{2} .
$$

Now suppose the inequality holds for $k \leqslant i$, where $i \geqslant 3$. If we add the inequalities (5) up to $i+1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2} \geqslant 1-\lambda d \\
& x_{3} \geqslant \alpha d x_{2}-1-(d-2), \\
& x_{4} \geqslant \alpha d x_{3}-x_{2}-(d-2), \\
& \vdots \\
& x_{i} \geqslant \alpha d x_{i-1}-x_{i-2}-(d-2), \\
& +x_{i+1} \geqslant \alpha d x_{i}-x_{i-1}-(d-2), \\
& x_{i+1} \geqslant(\alpha d-2)\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+\cdots+x_{i-1}+x_{i}\right)+x_{i}-\lambda d-(i-1)(d-2),
\end{aligned}
$$

so by induction

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i+1} \geqslant & (\alpha d-2)\left((i-1)-2 \lambda d-2 \lambda \alpha d^{2}-\cdots-2 \lambda a^{i-3} d^{i-2}-\lambda a^{i-2} d^{i-1}\right) \\
& +\left(1-\lambda \alpha^{i-3} d^{i-2}-\lambda \alpha^{i-2} d^{i-1}\right)-\lambda d-(i-1)(d-2) \\
= & 1-(i-4) \lambda d+2 \lambda \alpha d^{2}+2 \lambda \alpha^{2} d^{3}+\cdots+2 \lambda \alpha^{i-4} d^{i-3}+\lambda \alpha^{i-3} d^{i-2} \\
& -\lambda \alpha^{i-2} d^{i-1}-\lambda \alpha^{i-1} d^{i} \\
\geqslant & 1-(i-4) \lambda d+2 \lambda d+2 \lambda d+\cdots+2 \lambda d+\lambda d-\lambda \alpha^{i-2} d^{i-1}-\lambda \alpha^{i-1} d^{i} \\
= & 1+(i-3) \lambda d-\lambda \alpha^{i-2} d^{i-1}-\lambda \alpha^{i-1} d^{i} \geqslant-\lambda \alpha^{i-2} d^{i-1}-\lambda \alpha^{i-1} d^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. Let $G$ be a graph with diameter $D$ and maximum vertex degree $d$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geqslant \frac{1}{(d+1) d^{[D / 2\rceil-1}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the distance from a vertex which maximizes $f$ to one which minimizes $f$ is at most $D$, we can obtain the described sequence of connected vertices with $m \leqslant\lceil D / 2\rceil$, negating $f$ if necessary. Then applying the lemma to $x_{m+1}$ we have

$$
0 \geqslant x_{m+1} \geqslant 1-\lambda_{1} \alpha^{m-2} d^{m-1}-\lambda_{1} \alpha^{m-1} d^{m} \geqslant 1-\lambda_{1} d^{m-1}-\lambda_{1} d^{m}
$$

from which the result follows.

## 3. Discussion

Since the size of the denominator of (6) is exponential in $D$, the bound is primarily useful for graphs of low diameter. Note that in the special case $D=2$ we have $0 \geqslant x_{2} \geqslant 1-\lambda_{1} d$ which gives us the improved bound

$$
\lambda_{1} \geqslant \frac{1}{d}
$$

Table 1
Maximum value of $d$ for which the bound (6) is necessarily tighter on $d$-regular graphs

| $D$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $d$ | 10 | 18 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 3 |

In general, the bound (6) will be tighter than (1) when $(d+1) d^{[D / 2\rceil-1} \leqslant D \operatorname{vol}(G)$. For $d$-regular graphs in which all vertices have degree $d$, this condition becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d+1) d^{\lceil D / 2\rceil-2} \leqslant D|G| . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is always true for $D=3,4$. For $D=5$, a $d$-regular graph has at least $2 d+4$ vertices, so condition (7) will certainly be satisfied if $(d+1) d \leqslant 5(2 d+4)$ which is true for $d \leqslant 10$; for $D=6$ a $d$-regular graph has at least $3 d+3$ vertices, so (7) will be satisfied if ( $d+$ $1) d \leqslant 6(3 d+3)$, i.e. if $d \leqslant 18$. Continuing in this manner we obtain Table 1 which lists, for $5 \leqslant D \leqslant 10$, the maximum value of $d$ for which condition (7) is guaranteed to be satisfied.
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